Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan
Further Submitters Report

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	503	William Howse	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-18	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend second paragraph under Background as follows: "~~A precautionary approach is essential to prevent the loss of significant historic heritage places and areas occurring before they can.~~ Significant historic heritage needs to be identified through area surveys, thematic research or public nominations, ~~or~~ and then included in the historic heritage schedule of the plan. A precautionary approach is ~~particularly~~ however important in relation to archaeological sites."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-19	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Add additional text to Background to confirm that the objectives and policies beneath relate to buildings or places yet to be scheduled in the Plan, rather than as an additional layer of control for those buildings and places already scheduled in Appendix 9. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-20	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to provide that significant historic heritage places, once identified, will be specifically scheduled in the Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 13/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1266	Michael R Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-21	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 2 (precautionary approach to demolition).	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, M manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-22	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "In respect of activities for which a resource consent is required, ~~M~~ manage effects on historic heritage places by: (...)	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	842	Breanna Hawthorne	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1498	Kathryn E Hawthorne	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-23	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4(b) to incorporate the balancing exercise expressed in Clause (c), and delete Policies 4(e)-4(g). Refer to details in submission at page 14/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2633	Murphys Development Limited	Support
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3486	Karaka and Drury Consultant Limited	Support
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-24	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to recognise that some sediment may enter water despite best practice erosion and sediment control measures. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1241	Saint Cuthbert's College	Support
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2950	St Cuthbert's College Educational Trust Board	Support
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-25	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1) and Table 8 to refer to minimum parking dimensions, remove the need to keep parking spaces clear when the primary activity is not in operation, and to provide for smaller sized spaces for regular users. Refer to details in submission at page 15/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1241	Saint Cuthbert's College	Support
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2950	St Cuthbert's College Educational Trust Board	Support
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-26	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3.2 to provide for smaller loading space dimensions for activities other than warehouses, industrial and large retail activities. Amend typographical error in 3.3.2 that refers to Table 7 (instead of Table 9). Refer to details in submission at page 16/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-27	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete Figure 2 (85 percentile car tracking curve) and replace with Figure 12.3(a) (90 percentile car tracking curve) from Auckland Council Operative District Plan: Isthmus Section. Refer to details in submission at page 17/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1241	Saint Cuthbert's College	Support
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2950	St Cuthbert's College Educational Trust Board	Support
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-28	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Table 15 to provide for vehicle crossings greater than 6m in width (up to 7.5m). Refer to details in submission at page 19/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2633	Murphys Development Limited	Support
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3486	Karaka and Drury Consultant Limited	Support
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-29	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend Activity Table to increase thresholds for general earthworks, amend activity status for earthworks under 2500m^2 / 2500m^3 to permitted across all residential zones, other zones and roads, and amend from discretionary to restricted discretionary status earthworks greater than 1ha in other zones and roads. Refer to details in submission at page 20/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-30	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend general control 2.1.1(10) as follows: "The earthworks must be located at least 20m from any scheduled historic heritage place or scheduled sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua. This excludes earthworks associated with permitted or approved works associated with a scheduled historic heritage place. "	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-31	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(g) as follows: "whether the extent or impacts of adverse effects from the earthworks can be mitigated by ~~limiting~~ managing the duration, season or staging of such works;"	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1498	Kathryn E Hawthorne	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-32	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table 1.1 to remove the 1000m^2 threshold for new impervious area and replace with the impervious area control in the relevant zone. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-33	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new impervious areas as a permitted activity where the land has been subject to a structure plan or subdivision consent. Refer to details in submission at page 23/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-34	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for all diversions from impervious areas not authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules as as restricted discretionary activities (rather than discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 23 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-35	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(a) for public roads as follows: "(...) the new impervious area, including footpaths, cycleways and ancillary areas, must: i. either be less than or equal to 10~~5~~ ,000m^2; or ii. be part of an approved concept plan, framework plan, structure plan or subdivision consent."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3129	Dominic Hutching	Support
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-36	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(3)(b) for public roads as follows: "at a minimum, stormwater treatment must be applied to catchpits for concentrated flows, or by directing sheet flows across vegetated areas where flows are not concentrated, or subject to stormwater management processes before the stormwater enters a natural water body."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-37	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend permitted activity control 1.2.1(6) to refer to the underlying zone development controls as standards for the permitted activity status of new impervious areas. Refer to details in submission at page 24/65 of volume 1.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Support
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-38	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to include a new permitted activity as follows: "The development of new areas within a precinct or area accommodated by an approved stormwater management system". Refer to details in submission at page 25/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Support
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-39	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity Table to refer to compliance with the minimum landscape controls for the zone as appropriate for stormwater management purposes where no impervious area threshold control exists.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or~~,~~ iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3503	Kensington Park Holdings Limited	Support
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-40	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend permitted activity control 2.2.1(3) as follows: "Impervious areas in urban areas not connected to the stormwater networks (...) ii. the total impervious area is less than 10 percent of the site are; or- iii. the development is within a precinct, subdivision, or area subject to an approved stormwater management system and the proposed development is proceeding in accordance with that approved stormwater management system.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-41	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.(1)(h) as follows: " managing the effects of ~~whether~~ traffic generation during the period of earthworks ~~will have adverse effects on the amenity values of areas, health and safety of road users or pedestrians, or adverse effects on the carriageways of roads through which it is likely to pass~~ ;"	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2633	Murphys Development Limited	Support
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3486	Karaka and Drury Consultant Limited	Support
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-42	Unitec Institute of Technology	Earthworks	H4.2.3 Assessment		Amend assessment criteria 3.2.1(l) as follows: "the extent to which the area of the earthworks ~~is minimised and~~ is consistent with the scale of development being undertaken."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-43	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for uncovered parking and the installation of roofing, cladding or architectural features as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity where those controls are not met.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-44	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend Activity Table to provide for new, and redevelopment of existing, high-use public roads operated by a road controlling authority as a permitted activity where relevant stormwater management controls are already being met, or a restricted discretionary activity.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Support
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-45	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(2)as follows: "New high contaminant-yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features either: (a)(...) or (b) All potential high contaminant yielding roofing, spouting, cladding or architectural features have been sealed/treated to industry standards to prevent or minimise leeching of contaminants.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m~~ ^{2} (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m~~^{2} (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2}~~ (~~a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-46	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(3) as follows: "New, and redevelopment of existing, high use public roads provided for as a permitted activity ~~less than 5000m ^{2} (a). The new/redeveloped impervious area of the high use road must be less than or equal to 5000m^2~~ (b) Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area, and any additional existing area discharging to the same discharge point(s), must be managed by devices or a stormwater management system that are designed to meet the stormwater quality management requirements for the relevant contaminants of concern in Tables 3 and 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3129	Dominic Hutching	Support
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-47	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend permitted activity control 3.2.1(4)(a) as follows: "Stormwater runoff from the new/redeveloped area must be directed to an existing stormwater quality management device or stormwater management system, required by a current stormwater discharge consent, subject to:"	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-48	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete controlled activity control 3.2.2 and associated controlled activity assessment 3.3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-49	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in Matters of discretion 3.4.1(1)(d) [misspelling of "practicable"].	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-50	Unitec Institute of Technology	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete Table 3 (Stormwater quality management requirements) and Table 4 (Stormwater contaminants of concern) and replace with a method-based standard to Unitec's satisfaction.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-51	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend general control 2.1(1)(a) to add the word "generally", such that subdivision must be "generally" in accordance with an approved framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-52	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 (d) Site size, to provide for subdivision that does not comply with the minimum site sizes set out in the control as a discretionary rather than non-complying activity.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1266	Michael R Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-53	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 1 Site size, Table 1 so that the minimum site area for subdivision purposes applies to vacant proposed sites. Refer to details in submission at page 32/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-54	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend rule 4 Access to rear sites, to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 33/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-55	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2 - "Site size" Table 4 to provide minimum site sizes of 150m^2 for subdivision of vacant sites in Mixed Use zones, instead of 200m^2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-56	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend Business zone control 2.3.2(2) to recognise that an approved concept or precinct plan may incorporate more flexible access arrangements for rear sites. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-57	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2.3.2 Business zones	Amend zone control 2.3.2(4) - "Parking areas" to recognise that more flexible shared parking arrangements may be incorporated into an approved precinct , framework or concept plan. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-58	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary activity assessment Table 14 to address concerns that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as standards to be met, rather than criteria to assist future decision making. Refer to details in submission at page 34/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1269	Karen Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1272	Iain C S Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1614	Mana Owairaka	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3195	Frank H Russel	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-59	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete rule 6.6 and replace with appropriate and effective provisions to ensure retained affordable housing mechanisms are workable and enforceable.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-60	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.2 to replace "proposed development" with "activity" to reflect the wording of section 104D of the RMA.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-61	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide that "bundling" of consents shall not occur between district and regional consent matters. Refer to details in submission at page 50/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-62	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to state that pre-application meetings are optional.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-63	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend typographical error in first paragraph of rule 1.4 to refer to section 36A of the RMA in relation to requirements to consult (not section 36).	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-64	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4, second paragraph, to provide that Mana Whenua should be consulted only in relation to activities on land identified as a site or place of significance to Mana Whenua. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-65	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.4 to provide increased certainty regarding assessment of restricted discretionary activities. Refer to details in submission at page 51/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-66	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend rule 1.5 to include sites and places of significance and value to Mana Whenua and applications for the use of character buildings in the list of applications for which fees shall not be charged.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-67	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain rule 1.10 but review those rules that have been identified as having immediate legal effect.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-68	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to a particular [bundled] proposal and / or one that crosses more than one zone or precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-69	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2 to provide that a precinct rule may over-ride a rule in an overlay. Refer to details in submission at page 53/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	750	Campbell Twist	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-70	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend rule 2.2(1) to provide for discretionary activity status (rather than non-complying) as the default for activities not specifically provided for in the PAUP.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1269	Karen Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1272	Iain C S Oliver	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-71	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete rule 2.3(4)(b), and other references to the Auckland Design Manual in the PAUP.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-72	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain notification rule 2.4 but amend clause 2.4(1) to delete the reference to notification for special circumstances on the basis of circumstances "that make notification desirable". Refer to details in submission at page 54/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-73	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.5(1) - Historic heritage.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3031	Bates Industrial Finishes Limited	Support
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-74	Unitec Institute of Technology	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend rule 2.5(5)(a) to provide that not all works need cease in the event of discovery of contaminated land, as follows: "the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease ~~and~~ until immediate measures ~~must be~~ are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-75	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 to reflect proposed changes to the Precinct provisions set out elsewhere in the submission, including changes to the activity status of subsequent resource consent applications and assessment of framework plans that do not meet land use, subdivision or development controls. Refer to details in submission at page 55/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-76	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend rule 2.6 so that the majority of assessment criteria in the PAUP are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of matters of discretion rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 56/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-77	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule 2.7.1.2 - Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	725	Kate Green	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	727	Anna Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1221	Jennifer Green	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3195	Frank H Russel	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-78	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete in entirety rule 2.7.2 - Design Statements.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-79	Unitec Institute of Technology	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Delete rule 2.7.3.1(h) - building profile information requirement for framework plans.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-80	Unitec Institute of Technology	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete rule 2.7.5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-81	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete rule 2.7.8.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-82	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Amend rule 2.7.9.1(3) to require that matters to be assessed for an integrated transport assessment should be guided by specified Auckland Transport guidelines. Refer to details in submission at page 60/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-83	Unitec Institute of Technology	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete in entirety rule 2.7.9.2 - Information requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3327	The University of Auckland	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-84	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Insert new definition of "Adaptive reuse" as follows: "The facilitation of the social, cultural and economically useful purpose of the heritage building or place, in a manner which is sympathetic to the heritage outcomes for the place, and aids in securing a long term future for the place."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-85	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of Building coverage to include additional bullet point as follows: "Excludes: (...) car park structures with landscaped decks, roof, or podiums above."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3125	Z Energy Limited and BP Oil NZ Limited and Mobil Oil NZ Limited and Wiri Oil Services Limited	Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-86	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	New		Add new definition of "Contaminated land", as follows: "Land that has a hazardous substance in or on it that - (a) has significant adverse effects on the environment; or (b) is reasonably likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3267	Massey University	Support
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3311	Tyndale Park Christian School Trust Board	Support
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-87	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Education facilities", in particular the differentiation in that definition between school facilities and tertiary education facilities.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-88	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Landscaped area" to enable "half-in/half-out" car parks with terraced roof structures. Refer to details in submission at page 62/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-89	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of "Partial demolition".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3267	Massey University	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3272	Auckland University of Technology	Support
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-90	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of "Student accommodation" to provide greater flexibility for likely users and avoid excessive prescription in relation to building and site format, as follows: "Living accommodation, primarily used or designed to be used by registered students, lecturers, research assistants and any guests of a tertiary education facility and which is served by one or more communal living areas, including kitchens. ~~The building(s) in which the student accommodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ "	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2108	Smith and Caughey Limited	Support
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2124	Radco Trading Limited and DIG Investment Group Limited	Support
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-91	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition of "Total and substantial demolition" to provide clarity for applicants, and replace with the following definition of "Substantial demolition": "The demolition of the significant majority of a scheduled place and, in the case of a scheduled building, comprises the demolition of more than 60% of the scheduled aspects of the building and excludes any permitted demolition."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1614	Mana Owairaka	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3267	Massey University	Support in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3272	Auckland University of Technology	Support
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-92	Unitec Institute of Technology	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Tertiary education facilities" to incorporate a wide range of ancillary activities and recognise the relationship between tertiary education and business/innovation facilities, as follows: "Includes: (...) accommodation, administrative, entertainment, laboratory, research facilities, meeting and conference facilities (including accommodation), innovation centres, business centres, cultural, health, retail and ~~communal~~ community facilities accessory to the above."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the þ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~p~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ƥ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-93	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct Introduction (first paragraph) as follows: "The objectives and policies of the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education, mixed use and terrace housing and apartment zones do not apply in the Wairaka sub-precincts unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the ~~þ~~ Precinct and sub-precincts."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2769	Norak Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2927	Taylors Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3524	Phillippa O'Connor	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-94	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend the Precinct description to establish the purpose of the Precinct (as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan attached to the submission), and to recognise the mix of uses that are intended to occur around the campus core (including business, residential and commercial services). Refer to details in submission at page 1/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2769	Norak Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2927	Taylors Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3456	EBOS Group Limited	Support
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-95	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 4) to describe proposed uses of sub-precincts A and B, and to describe new sub-precincts C, D and E, as set out in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 2/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-96	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct description (paragraph 5 and new paragraph 6) to include various characteristics of the precinct as proposed in the Unitec Indicative Masterplan, including roading, walking and cycling networks, access to public open space, and stormwater treatment and network connections. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-97	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct description (paragraph 6) to provide that the development of the Precinct should either take place in accordance with a framework plan or Unitec's proposed Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 3/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-98	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 to provide that the objectives listed in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-99	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 4 to signal that the existing commercial and healthcare uses at Wairaka precinct may not remain for the duration of the PAUP. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-100	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 6 to provide for adaptive re-use of the scheduled heritage building and to remove the reference to retention to notable and urban trees. Refer to details in submission at page 4/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-101	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objectives 7 and 8 to provide for cycling linkages proposed in Wairaka Indicative Masterplan. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-102	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 9 to recognise significant existing stormwater infrastructure which serves the wider Mt Albert neighbourhood. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-103	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend precinct polices to provide that the underlying zone policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2769	Norak Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2927	Taylors Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-104	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 1 and insert new Policy 1A to recognise that the Precinct is an ideal location to provide for growth, including business and commercial growth, and a wide range of activities should be provided for in order to enable the efficient use of the available physical resource. Refer to details in submission at page 5/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-105	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 2 to recognise and provide for Unitec's proposed Concept Plan, to signal that existing services may not remain on site for the life of the PAUP, to recognise the potential for adaptive re-use of the existing heritage buildings and the opportunity to create linkages through the Site and to the Oakley Creek reserve. Refer to details in submission at page 6/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct.~~"	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2769	Norak Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2927	Taylors Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3477	Board of Trustees, Gladstone Primary School	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-106	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 4 to encourage residential intensification in the Precinct, as follows: "Encourage a mix of residential lifestyles and housing typologies to cater for a diverse residential community at Wairaka. ~~primarily support the tertiary education activity and the needs of accessory activities in the precinct."~~	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-107	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 7A to recognise that the Mason Clinic may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2769	Norak Properties Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-108	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 10A to recognise that the existing commercial laundry may relocate during the life of the PAUP, following which the provisions of Unitec's sub-precinct C would apply. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2769	Norak Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2927	Taylors Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3381	Colin Spring	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-109	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10B - 10F to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct C, including residential intensification, business and retail activity, and adaptive re-use of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 7/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-110	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10G - 10J to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct D, including residential, business and retail development. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-111	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 10K - 10L to provide a policy framework for Unitec's proposed sub-precinct E, including the campus core, and business, office, innovation and research activites. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-112	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policies 11-13 to recognise and provide for the potential adaptive reuse of the existing scheduled heritage building. Refer to details in submission at page 8/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-113	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 13A to provide for intensification and to enable buildings of a significant scale, as follows: "Provide the opportunity for additional intensification through buildings of significant scale in identified parts of the Precinct and subject to design controls."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Support
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-114	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 15A to recognise the public connections shown on Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan [refer to submission] as follows: "Provide public connections to Oakley Creek from Carrington Road through public roads and open space, giving quality public access to this important ecological area."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	562	Jo L Liaw-Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1242	Lisa C London	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1266	Michael R Hope	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1269	Karen Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1272	Iain C S Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1809	Raewyn M Reade	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2067	Robert J Valkenburg	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2714	Mary L Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2957	Elizabeth A Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Support in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3195	Frank H Russel	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-115	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 18A, and amend Policies 16-18, to emphasise important [proposed] pedestrian and cycle linkages through the Precinct and the network of [proposed] public roads that will connect the Precinct with the surrounding communities. Refer to details in submission at page 9/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-116	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 19(d) to reflect that transport planning and the provision of shared parking facilities can encourage students, staff, residents and employees to travel out of peak, as follows: "~~Reduce the peaks in traffic generation~~ Enables and encourages out of peak travel to achieve more even traffic flows through the day and the year."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-117	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 20 so that it is only the implementation of a new framework plan which requires a separate integrated transport and traffic management plan, and not all new development. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1266	Michael R Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3429	Adele Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-118	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policy 20A to provide for car-parking buildings rather than at-grade parking, as follows: "Encourage large parking areas, or parking servicing the heritage area, to be provided within parking buildings."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-119	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 21 to allow development in accordance with either the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan or a framework plan, and to recognise the inclusion of new sub-precincts C, D and E. Refer to details in submission at page 10/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	750	Campbell Twist	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1266	Michael R Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1272	Iain C S Oliver	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2714	Mary L Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2957	Elizabeth A Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3129	Dominic Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Support
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-120	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 22 so that avoidance of effects such as dominance, privacy and overshadowing primarily relate only to the protection of residential amenity, as follows: "Require graduated building heights and locate higher buildings away from the ~~p~~ Precinct boundary adjacent to residential uses so they do not cause overshadowing, adverse wind effects, visual dominance or loss of visual privacy for neighbouring residential properties and ~~public open space zoned land~~ ."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-121	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 24 to recognise that the Wairaka Precinct is made up of sites in multiple ownership, as follows: "Encourage the joint consideration of framework plan applications. Where a framework plan is applied for, encourage joint application by multiple landowners ~~and any related development~~ to provide for coordinated development of the ~~p~~ Precinct."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-122	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	F2.23 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Add new Policies 26A - 26C to provide for "super-block" subdivision consents to facilitate development in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Concept Plan, to import the relevant subdivision controls of the underlying zone, so that the Precinct provisions stand alone as the only relevant planning controls for the Precinct, and provide for subdivision related to the scheduled heritage building on the Precinct as a controlled activity. Refer to details in submission at page 11/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-123	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend Introduction to provide that the underlying zone rules need not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	562	Jo L Liaw-Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	750	Campbell Twist	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1242	Lisa C London	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1498	Kathryn E Hawthorne	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1930	John Christiansen	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2769	Norak Properties Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2927	Taylors Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3429	Adele Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-124	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend extensively the Activity Table to provide for rules that apply across the entire precinct. Additional activities applying in the sub-precincts are set out in separate relief. Amendments sought relate to various accommodation, commercial, community facility, and development-related activities (including subdivision), and include enabling a less restrictive activity status for existing activities, providing for new activities (including in particular additional accommodation and commercial activities and earthworks), and application of Unitec's proposed Wairaka Concept Plan to subdivision and development within the precinct. Refer to details in submission at page 12/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-125	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.1 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct A in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-126	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introduction to Activity Table 1.2 to reflect the activities to be enabled in sub-precinct B in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-127	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.3 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed] sub-precinct C in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Mixed Use zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 17/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-128	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new Activity table 1.4 with the activities to be enabled in [proposed]sub-precinct E in accordance with the [proposed] Indicative Wairaka Masterplan, including activities enabled in the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone (where not incompatible with the Precinct or sub-precinct provisions). Refer to details in submission at page 18/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1614	Mana Owairaka	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; – Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be undertaken, including notice being given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; H~~h~~owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-129	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Retain precinct Rule 2 - Notification, with minor amendment to 2(1) to avoid repetition, as follows: "Council will consider (...) applications for framework plans (...) without the need for public notification.; Hh owever limited notification may be ~~undertaken, including notice being~~ given to any owner of land within the precinct who has not provided their written approval."	3532	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-130	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete land use control 3.1 so that the land use controls in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone will not apply.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-131	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Insert new land use control 3.1A (1)-(3) to import the subdivision land use controls and assessment criteria from the relevant underlying zones. Refer to details in submission at page 19/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-132	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new land use control 3.1B and Figure 1 referring to the Concept Plan for the Preinct. Refer to details in submission at page 20/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-133	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.2(e) - Framework plan to clarify the additional information (in relation to heritage buildings and location and extent of buildings) that a resource consent application will be required to include. Refer to details in submission at page 21/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-134	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 3.3(1) as follows: "Where floodlights are located adjacent to a residential zone outside the Wairaka Precinct, the hours of operation must not extend beyond: (...)".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-135	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.1 to provide that the underlying zone development controls policies do not apply, unless specifically referenced within the precinct provisions. Refer to details in submission at page 22/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	750	Campbell Twist	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3381	Colin Spring	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-136	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.2 to include [proposed] Figure 2 - Height Plan, and provisions which set out the purpose of the Height Plan, to enable a series of maximum permitted building heights in the Wairaka Precinct in excess of existing heights. Refer to details in submission at page 23/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Support
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-137	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.3 to establish the purpose of the height in relation to boundary control as being preservation of amenity of neighbouring residential zones, and to restrict application of control to sub-precinct boundaries adjoining a residential or public open space zone. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-138	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.4 to establish the purpose of the building coverage control as being to provide for a mid-rise intensive urban-built character within the zone, amend the coverage levels for sub-precinct B, provide for coverage control in [proposed] sub-precincts C and D, and to restrict application of the control to areas outside [proposed] sub-precinct E. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-139	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.5 to establish the purpose of the landscaping control, being to ensure a high amenity environment including open spaces and to provide stormwater absorption, and to refer to the proposed Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 25/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Support
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-140	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.6 to establish the purpose of the boundary setback controls as being to provide setbacks from specified Precinct boundaries, and to confirm that building setbacks should be from the Precinct boundary rather than any internal zone boundaries and/or from the edge of all permanent and intermittent streams.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Support
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-141	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.6.(3) as follows: "Buildings on land fronting Carrington Road must be set back a minimum width of 3m from the Carrington Road boundary. This setback may be used for walkways, cycleways, public transport facilities, site access, street furniture, outdoor dining and cafes. Other areas within the 3m not used for active uses shall be landscaped."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Support
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Support
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-142	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.7 to establish the purpose of the tree protection control as being the protection of certain identified trees within the Precinct, to delete 4.7(1)(a)(iii), and to provide that any trees located within any existing or future road-widening area along Carrington Road frontage would not be subject to this control. Refer to details in submission at page 26/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-143	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.8 to establish the purpose of the control as being both to provide for the protection of key heritage features and enable the adaptive reuse of parts of the former Oakley Hospital that no longer warrant complete conservation, and to include [proposed] Figure 4 that amends the historic heritage extent of place.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	495	Matthew Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	542	NZ Application Service Ltd	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	678	Winsome Gael McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1242	Lisa C London	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1266	Michael R Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1269	Karen Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1272	Iain C S Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1809	Raewyn M Reade	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1930	John Christiansen	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2714	Mary L Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2957	Elizabeth A Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-144	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.9 to establish the purpose of the access controls as being to provide pedestrian and cycle linkages, manage traffic effects and ensure that primary access remains from Carrington Road, and amend 4.9(1) and 4.9(2) to reference both the proposed Figure 1 - Concept Plan or any other access location approved in a framework plan. Refer to details in submission at page 29/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	678	Winsome Gael McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-145	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control 4.10 to establish parking controls that are appropriate to a high-density transport orientated development that will benefit from shared parking and which will not be focussed on commuter peak periods, including controls relating to the impact of parking on the existing heritage building and application of the [proposed] Concept Plan. Refer to details in submission at page 30/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-146	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.11 to import a number of controls from the Mixed Use zone into sub-precincts A and B and proposed sub-precinct C. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-147	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Add new development control 4.12 to import a number of controls from the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone into proposed sub-precinct D. Refer to details in submission at page 31/50 of volume 2.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-148	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete Subdivision control 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters: ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-149	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 - Matters for discretion, to delete matters for discretion based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters. ~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-150	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend introductory wording for 6.2 to delete assessment criteria based on the underlying zoning, as follows: "For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Wairaka ~~p~~ Precinct, the following assessment criteria apply. ~~, in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone.~~	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-151	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 32/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-152	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(1)(g) as it relates solely to the Metropolitan Centre zone.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-153	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 34/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-154	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(5) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 35/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-155	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(6) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 36/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-156	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(7) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-157	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(8) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 37/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	750	Campbell Twist	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-158	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(9) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-159	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(10) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-160	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(11) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 38/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-161	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(12) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 39/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-162	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(13) regarding building demolition.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-163	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(14) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 40/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-164	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(16) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1311	Susan A Child	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-165	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 6.2(17) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 41/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-166	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-167	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.1 - Matters for discretion - Table 5 to include the Precinct boundary setback control in 4.6. Refer to details in submission at page 42/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-168	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringements 7.2 - Assessment criteria so that the underlying Special Purpose Tertiary Education zone rules do not apply. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-169	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(1) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 43/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-170	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(2) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 44/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-171	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(3) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-172	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend development control infringement assessment criteria 7.2(4) so that the assessment criteria in the Proposed Plan are drafted as criteria that are intended to aid the assessment of the matters of control/discretion, rather than standards to be met. Refer to details in submission at page 45/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-173	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	K2.23 Precinct Rules	Amend rule 8 - Special Information Requirements to recognise that a framework plan may not be sought in respect of a particular development proposal (particularly if the development is in accordance with the [proposed] Wairaka Precinct Concept Plan); including deletion of 8(1), deletion of 8.2(b), and amendments to rule 8.2(c) to provide sufficient flexibility at the framework plan stage in respect of public spaces likely to vest with Council in future [rule numbers inferred as submission appears incorrect]. Refer to details in submission at page 46/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3188	Karine David and Cyril Brendolise	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3315	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Rawa Limited	Support in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-174	Unitec Institute of Technology	Precincts - Central	Wairaka	Mapping	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Precincts to include [proposed] sub-precincts A-E. Refer to details in submission at page 49/50 of volume 4.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-175	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description to refer to a series of amendments to Appendix 9 based on the findings of an independent heritage assessment of " Building 1" at the Unitec site (Wairaka Precinct). These amendments seek to reflect the relative value of the various parts of the heritage buildings, and to provide for conservation, reuse or demolition of parts of buildings. Amendments sought also refer to relevant precinct plans, which may contain additional provisions or diagrams in relation to scheduled buildings or areas. Refer to details in submission at page 2/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-176	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Overlay description (explanation of terms, paragraph 13) to clarify that the provisions only apply to historic heritage buildings that have been scheduled. Refer to details in submission at page 3/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3349	Dan Alpe, The Boatshed Trust, Ohakune Self Storage Limited and Sunrise Holdings 2003 Limited	Support
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-177	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1(1) to recognise that some scheduled historic places will be appropriate for adaptive reuse, as follows: "A scheduled historic heritage place is protected and conserved while enabling continuing use or appropriate, adaptive reuse of that place to assist in its long term existence, economic viability, maintenance and repair."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3349	Dan Alpe, The Boatshed Trust, Ohakune Self Storage Limited and Sunrise Holdings 2003 Limited	Support
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-178	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 1(d) and add new Policy 1(f) as follows: "Enable adaptive reuse of buildings to encourage viable activity which assists in the economic sustainability of the scheduled historic heritage place."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-179	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add ne w Policy 2A as follows: "Enable the conservation of scheduled historic heritage places requiring significant seismic upgrade by allowing adaptive reuse and appropriate (sympathetic) modification of the building, including partial demolition, to ensure their long term survival and economic viability. Any seismic upgrade should take cognisance of the heritage values of the building and its component parts."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3349	Dan Alpe, The Boatshed Trust, Ohakune Self Storage Limited and Sunrise Holdings 2003 Limited	Support
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-180	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 6A: "Notwithstanding Policy 6, recognise the need for scheduled heritage buildings, particularly those which require significant seismic upgrade, to be adaptively re-used to ensure that management of the buildings is affordable and that they can continue to exist in the long-term."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-181	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8 to provide greater flexibility and to allow heritage values to be considered alongside other important considerations at the time of subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 4/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-182	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 and add new Policies 9A and 9B, which allow for full or partial demolition if necessary to achieve long-term financial viability of the remainder of the site. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-183	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 to restrict its application to total or substantial demolition, and providing for demolition as part of an adaptive re-use proposal Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-184	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Add new Policy 12A to provide policy direction in the case of an application for partial demolition of a building. Refer to details in submission at page 5/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-185	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 heading as follows: "Significant scheduled historic heritage place overlay"	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3395	The Bledisloe Estate Trust	Support
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-186	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for partial demolition of a primary or non-primary feature of a Category A place as a discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 6/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3132	New Zealand Bloodstock Limited	Support in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3395	The Bledisloe Estate Trust	Support
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-187	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for modification of the primary or non-primary features of a Category A / A* or a Category B place as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 7/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3132	New Zealand Bloodstock Limited	Support in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3395	The Bledisloe Estate Trust	Support
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-188	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for new buildings or structures as restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3132	New Zealand Bloodstock Limited	Support in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Support in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3395	The Bledisloe Estate Trust	Support
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-189	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for subdivision of land containing a scheduled historic heritage place as restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 8/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3395	The Bledisloe Estate Trust	Support
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-190	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for temporary dismantling for seismic strengthening to meet the requirements of the council's plans prepared under the Building Act as a permitted activity (instead of restricted discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3132	New Zealand Bloodstock Limited	Support
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3269	Pepperell Family Trust	Support
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3395	The Bledisloe Estate Trust	Support
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-191	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs not otherwise specified" as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of non-complying). Refer to details in submission at page 9/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2571	Tamaki Paenga Hira - Auckland War Memorial Museum	Support
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3349	Dan Alpe, The Boatshed Trust, Ohakune Self Storage Limited and Sunrise Holdings 2003 Limited	Support
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3395	The Bledisloe Estate Trust	Support
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-192	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Add a new activity to Activity Table 1 to provide for "signs in accordance with an approved conservation plan" as a permitted activity. Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-193	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for upgrading and replacement of network utilities as a restricted discretionary activity (instead of discretionary). Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	614	Mt Albert Historical Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2108	Smith and Caughey Limited	Support
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2124	Radco Trading Limited and DIG Investment Group Limited	Support
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-194	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to include a separate category for the modification or partial demolition of a building, feature or landscape feature within a Category A or B scheduled historic area as a restricted discretionary activity, if undertaken in conjunction with conservation plan or adaptive reuse proposal . Refer to details in submission at page 10/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-195	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend Activity Table 3 to provide for any subdivision of land within a contributing part of a historic heritage area as a restricted discretionary activity rather than non-complying. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-196	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.3 - Identification signs to recognise that different considerations exist between the zones in respect of way-finding and urban design. Refer to details in submission at page 11/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-197	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add new matter for discretion 4.1(9)(c) regarding seismic strengthening requirements as follows: "the economic viability of the building and the opportunities that adaptive reuse offers."; and add associated assessment criteria to 4.2(1). Refer to details in submission at page 12/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3325	33 Shortland Street Limited	Support
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3327	The University of Auckland	Support
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3404	BF Holdings Limited	Support
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-198	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria 4.3 regarding total or substantial demolition or partial demolition or destruction, to refer to the values of the parts of a scheduled historic heritage place to be demolished and the parts that would remain, the economic viability of the scheduled heritage place and the extent of demolition. Refer to details in submission at page 13/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	888	273 Neilson Street Limited	Support
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-199	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Delete heritage policy document rule 5(1)(b).	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-200	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Schedule ID 01618 (Oakley Hospital) - Primary feature in Appendix 9.1 as follows: "Building (pre-~~1905~~ 1887 footprint) Exterior and site surrounds".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1351	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3345	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Heritage New Zealand) Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-201	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add new map to Appendix 9.3 which shows the amended extent of Building 1 and site surrounds that should be categorised as category A. Refer to details in submission at page 14/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1921	Richmond N Afford	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-202	Unitec Institute of Technology	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Urban Grid 31 - Historic heritage to reflect proposed changes to extent of place. Refer to details in submission at page 15/16 of volume 5.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1266	Michael R Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2067	Robert J Valkenburg	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2714	Mary L Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2957	Elizabeth A Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3173	Martyn Nash	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-203	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend zone description to provide for Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in growth nodes that are appropriate for intensive development, and that in some circumstances additional height may be appropriate in the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone [Refer to page 1/23 of volume 6 of submission for details].	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-204	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Land surrounding centres, ~~and~~ the rapid and frequent service network, and key growth nodes is efficiently used to provide urban living that increases Auckland's housing supply and access to centres and public transport."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1269	Karen Oliver	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1272	Iain C S Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-205	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3 as follows: "Manage the height, bulk, form and appearance of development and require sufficient setbacks and landscaped areas consistent with an urban residential character generally ~~of~~ between four and six storeys, or other heights in identified locations.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3267	Massey University	Support in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3272	Auckland University of Technology	Support in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-206	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend zone description to recognise the increasing trend for for business and innovation facilities to co-locate with the tertiary institutes, and associated benefits. Refer to details in submission at page 2/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3267	Massey University	Support in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3272	Auckland University of Technology	Support in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-207	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Objective 2A as follows: "Enable shared benefits arising from the co-location of business activities and tertiary education facilities".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3267	Massey University	Support in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3272	Auckland University of Technology	Support in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-208	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Provide for those businesses which significantly contribute to and benefit from a co-location within a tertiary education environment, including offices, research, laboratories, and other activities which may provide a combination of research, innovation, learning, economic development and work experience."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-209	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend overlay description to promote mixed urban development down significant growth corridors. Refer to details in submission at page 3/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-210	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Objective 1A as follows: "Enable development along significant growth corridors that will support the Plan's growth strategy".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-211	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Policy 1A as follows: "Promote quality development that will support opportunities for growth along key corridors".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1908	Elizabeth M Wood	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3381	Colin Spring	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3429	Adele Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-212	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add new Figure 2 - Identified Growth Corridor locations - Carrington Road, extending from the intersection with Great North Road to the intersection with New North Road. Refer to details in submission at page 4/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1896	Margaret McConnell	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-213	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development control infringements to delete Clause 1(e) - maximum impervious area and 1(h) outlook, so that breaches of these controls will not result in discretionary activity status.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-214	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.6 to provide that minimum frontage and site widths apply to vacant, not developed, sites [Refer to details in submission at page 6/23 of volume 6].	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-215	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.8 to increase maximum building coverage from 60 to 65%.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-216	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.9(1) to reduce minimum landscaped area from 40 to 30%.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2067	Robert J Valkenburg	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2714	Mary L Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2957	Elizabeth A Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-217	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.11 - "separation between buildings within a site" to enable the development of zero-lot line detached housing.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-218	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(1) - Outdoor living space, to "ensure that sufficient separation distances are provided in the Plan to enable dwellings facing taller buildings to retain appropriate outlook and amenity within outdoor living spaces" [Refer to details in submission at page 8/23 of volume 6].	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-219	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.12(2) to reduce the minimum depth of an outdoor living space in the form of a balcony or roof terrace from 2.4m to 1.8m where the entire dwelling is above ground level.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-220	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(1) - Maximum building lengths, to provide for varying types of breaks in building facades along side and/or rear boundaries [Refer to details in submission at page 10/23 of volume 6].	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-221	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.13(2) - Maximum building lengths, to increase the maximum length along side and/or rear boundaries from 30m to 60m [Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6].	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-222	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete development control 9.22(1) - Minimum floor to floor / ceiling ratio.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1919	Elizabeth Hunter	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-223	Unitec Institute of Technology	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend development control 9.22(2) to reflect Building Code minimum height of 2.4m.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-224	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for less restrictive activity status for particular office, retail and supermarket activities in the Mixed Use zone. Refer to details in submission at page 11/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3304	Academic Colleges Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-225	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Tertiary education facilities" rather than "Education facilities"	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-226	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to apply to "Laboratories" rather than "Industrial Laboratories".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3272	Auckland University of Technology	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-227	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Amend Activity Table 2 to provide for tertiary education facilities (particularly those that are accessory to a business or industrial activity), to locate in industrial zones. Refer to details in submission at page 13/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-228	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend development control 4.1(1)(d) regarding development control infringements as follows: "~~maximum tower dimension and~~ tower separation", consequentially amend development control 4.5, and consequentially delete Figure 13 and Figure 14. Refer to details in submission at page 14/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3352	Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-229	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete development control 4.6(4)(a) - Buildings fronting the street, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3352	Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-230	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.8(2)(c) - Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height, so that it does not apply in the Mixed Use zone.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3352	Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-231	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.9(2)(c)(iv) - Glazing - Mixed use zone.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-232	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.12(1) - Ground floor at street frontage level as follows: "Entrances to the ground floor of a non-residential building must be at grade with the adjoining street."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-233	Unitec Institute of Technology	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete Development Control 4.20(1)(b)(viii) - Dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, so that there is separation between buildings on the same site.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-234	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Add new section 1.1 to introduction to Activity Table as follows: "Where a tertiary education facility comprises multiple sites but has a common tertiary education zone, the entire facility will be treated as a single site for the purpose of applying the following activity table controls."	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-235	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for offices as a permitted activity.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-236	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for healthcare facilities as a permitted activity.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-237	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table to provide for alterations and additions to existing buildings which do not increase the existing building footprint or building envelope by more than 24% as a permitted activity.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-238	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings greater than 5000m² GFA ".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-239	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Buildings, alterations, additions and demolition within the site and visible from and located within 10m of a public road or public open space outside the site".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	562	Jo L Liaw-Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1826	Craig Smith	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2204	Megan L Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2947	Sarah Ellen Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-240	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend Activity Table as follows: "Any development not in accordance with an incorporated concept plan where such a plan exists".	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3267	Massey University	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3272	Auckland University of Technology	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-241	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.1(1)(a) (regarding hours of operation of community facilities and/or community use of tertiary education facilities) as follows: "~~10~~ 11pm on Monday to Thursday and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays" .	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1242	Lisa C London	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1266	Michael R Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1269	Karen Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1272	Iain C S Oliver	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2067	Robert J Valkenburg	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2714	Mary L Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2941	Raychel Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2944	Robyn Mary Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2957	Elizabeth A Chapman	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-242	Unitec Institute of Technology	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.10 & I24 Tertiary Education		Amend land use control 2.2 (Licensed premises) to enable extended operating hours for licensed premises. Refer to details in submission at page 20/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1304	RSCJ Trust (Religious of the Society of the Sacred Heart) and Baradene College of the Sacred Heart	Support
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1355	The McAuley Trust (Congregation of the Sisters of Mercy New Zealand)	Support
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-243	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend overlay introduction to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1618	Dan McKessar	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-244	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to provide for buildings penetrating the floor of a volcanic viewshaft, except in a height sensitive area, as a restricted discretionary activity, rather than non-complying.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	944	Gadol Corporation Ltd	Support
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	964	Geffen Holdings	Support
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1023	Heatherloch Holdings	Support
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1048	Westir Properties	Support
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1051	Zelig Corporation	Support
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-245	Unitec Institute of Technology	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete rule 2 - Notification.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	725	Kate Green	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	727	Anna Green	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1221	Jennifer Green	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1868	Ron Etzion	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1894	Corrinne E Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1898	Gavin L Edhouse	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2769	Norak Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2887	Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2927	Taylors Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2939	Mark Francis Pierson	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3173	Martyn Nash	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Amend Urban Grid 31 to rezone parts of Unitec site from Special Purpose - Tertiary Education to Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zones. Refer to details in submission at page 22/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1242	Lisa C London	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1633	Robert McGivern	Support
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1800	Chih-Ling (Catherine) Tu	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1808	Isha L Parker	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1972	Karen Burge	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3195	Frank H Russel	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3197	Jocelyn A Noble	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3381	Colin Spring	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3429	Adele Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3475	Elizabeth Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-247	Unitec Institute of Technology	Zoning	Central		Rezone various properties fronting Carrington Road (generally opposite the Unitec site) from their existing zoning to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings. Refer to details in submission at page 23/23 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-248	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 8 in Table 14 so that roads are aligned north/south in order to establish east/west blocks, or otherwise orientated to achieve maximum solar gain, and requirement that shape factors achieve maximum benefit from solar gain is deleted. Refer to details in submission at page 36/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-249	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend Restricted Discretionary assessment criterion 15 in Table 14 such that further inappropriate subdivision is managed to achieve underlying zone outcomes through an appropriate legal mechanism. refer to details in submission at page 37/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-250	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criterion 17 so that proposed sites for detached dwellings have a frontage width to length ratio that is suitable for development, whereby a ratio of between 1:1.3 and 1:3 is considered appropriate. refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-251	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 18 to provide that proposed sites front onto and are directly accessed from a legal road, and rear sites are limited or appropriate to the circumstances of the subdivision. Refer to details in submission at page 38/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3182	Mount Albert Electorate Office	Support
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-252	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 37 to provide that pedestrian and cycle links run along either the front of sites or public open spaces, but not the rear of sites. Refer to details in submission at page 41/65 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	654	Jerome and Amanda O'Sullivan	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-253	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete assessment criterion 38(d) regarding avoidance of significant landscape modifications (at the time of subdivision) for the sole purpose of forming additional development blocks. Refer to details in submission at page 42/65 of volume 6.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-254	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criterion 50 to address the management of stormwater through management of discharges rather than minimisation, the extent rather than minimisation of impervious areas, and the the use of rain gardens, rainwater tanks and rooftop greening and planting only where appropriate. Refer to details in submission at page 45/65 of volume 3.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	341	John Green	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	568	Peter J Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	592	Nevenka Stojnic	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	786	Springleigh Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	807	Peter Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	809	Sarah Rawlings	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	824	Benjamin Winterbourne	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	841	Angelique M J van Hoppe	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	844	Dana P Mitchell	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	993	Belinda Fellowes	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1057	Stephen M Hickey	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1060	Faith Fang	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1277	Monique P Hope	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1298	Manoj Sukha	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1340	Peter F Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1343	Clare E Bindon	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1365	Geoff Lamb	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1383	Richard B Nightingale	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1386	Louise Shaw	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1387	John P Shaw	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1647	Anju Bhana	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1673	Soraya Landall	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1695	Nicholas Harris	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1785	Joseph Niupopo	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1794	Sean Kisby	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1797	Karl Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1803	Brian Murphy	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1805	Gordon R Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1813	Noeleen D O'Keefe	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1815	Wayne A Forsyth	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1817	Kim A Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1818	Mark Bouzaid	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1822	Josephine L Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1829	Mary P Robinson	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1831	Tania Mary Alison	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1833	Beverley G Crosby	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1834	Dennis Katsanos	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1835	Eileen Janice Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1837	Melissa Pavlovich	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1840	Karen Anne Horsley	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1842	Jane L Grant	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1843	Virginia E Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1847	Linda M Bowman	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1855	Richard W Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1860	Stephen J Buckland	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1862	Angus Duncan Crombie	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1865	Caiyun Zhang	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1872	Megan Faye Burrowes	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1873	Justine Nielsen	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1874	Susan M Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1878	Peter B Martin	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1880	Luke John Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1883	Lindsay G Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1884	John Deck	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1887	Mark E Groves	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1888	Niels van Pelt	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1889	Norma M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1890	Francine M Werry	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1892	Pratibha Rajay	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1893	Christopher M Pettit	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1897	Toni F Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1899	David R Llewell	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1900	Phillip A Chase	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1901	Diane P Chiplin	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1902	Alison J Towns	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1904	Deborah M Bassett	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1905	Xinxin Wang	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1906	Jennifer Kathleen Stulich	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1907	Toni Christian and Pete Turner	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1910	Keith Armstrong	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1913	Kim A Hall	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1914	Leigh D Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1916	Erin M Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1917	Freshly Muljadi	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1918	Christopher W Judd	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1920	Richard Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1922	Pip Llewellyn	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1924	Luana M Clifford	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1926	Jason Kaulima	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1928	Jeffrey J Sutton	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1939	Michael Ninich	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1940	Sally Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1941	Renald W Lietz	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1942	Paul W Marris	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1943	Dorothy M Waymouth	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1944	Andrew L Reid	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1948	Joanne Maree Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1949	Heath W Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1952	Brent R Ellison	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1958	Tristan Deck	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1962	B and A Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1963	Christopher Stuart Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1967	Gretchen I Anderson	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1969	Maureen Joan Dunne	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1973	Scott Lewis	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1977	Heather L Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1979	Anthony John Gracie	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1981	Peter M Adams	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1983	Susan Francis Skarsholt	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1985	Jiahua Chen	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1988	Stefanie Margaret Moore	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1989	Graeme Bryant	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1991	Geoffrey R Lomax	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	1994	Olwyn A Bertram-Ellison	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2003	Helen Westwood Ireland	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2004	Waitemata District Health Board	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2018	Adrian Dickison	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2029	Arthur H Marshall	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2041	Helen Holdem	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2043	Philippa Clark	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2044	Julie Earley	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2046	Double B Builder Limited	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2077	Selina C Chant	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2080	Julie V Standish	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2163	Hugh Anthony Hopkins	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2173	Mary Elizabeth Crotty	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2176	Tim Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2177	Ann D Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2181	Amanda Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2183	Richard Digby Wallis	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2184	Stuart Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2188	Linda C N Holdaway	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2189	Bruce Edwards	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2251	Andrew Holdaway	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2262	Alan W Johnston	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2264	Laszlo Hovarth	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2267	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2272	Jacinda V Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2274	Shane L Byers	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2277	Kristina A Morgan	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2288	Martin Lawrence Skinner	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2298	Michael J Hutching	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2312	Megan Farrant	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2319	Leon Lee	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2329	Steven Hart	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2330	Alan Gary Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2339	Gladys Salu Shingles	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2348	Glenn Aitu	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2354	Lesley Dorile Butler	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2428	CherylAnne Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2429	Kayl C Horvath	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2674	Gladstone Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2711	Matthew John Simpson	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2739	Diane Leslie Patricia Scriven	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2750	Kathryn Sandford	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2770	Jennifer M Browne	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2816	Craig Magee	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2869	Murray D McEwing	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2883	Helen Roach	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2885	Howard Marshall and Gavin Ghuah	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2912	Marc Andrew Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2943	Michael J G Goodger	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2961	Eleanor M Mansell	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2972	Gabrielle M Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2980	Mt Albert Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	2986	Morag A Lavich	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3084	New Zealand Squash Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3088	Pamela A Covich	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3090	John B Covich	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3166	Gaylene Hill	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3167	Pamela Anne Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3176	Linda Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3186	Kirstin M Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3189	Katherine Hubert	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3203	Kath Gray	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3234	Brian J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3300	Olivia Kelly	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3335	Angela K Brooker	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3339	Anne Duncan	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3380	Andrea M Halloran	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3436	Sheridan Wilson	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3438	Robert Angus Forbes	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3439	Aine Margrain	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3453	North Western Community Association	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3499	Squash Auckland Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3518	Marcus J Cameron	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3530	Amelia V A Webb	Oppose in Part
2742-255	Unitec Institute of Technology	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary criterion 44 to require confirmation of acceptability of a proposed open space from that the public open space administering body rather than written advice.	3531	Jason S Solomon	Oppose in Part
2743-1	Peter Scott	Precincts - North	Bayswater	F5.6 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Instruct an auditor to review sale and purchase of land at Bayswater Marina.			
2744-1	Jeremy Sole	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Replace noise levels for residential/light business zones with those specified in NZS6801:2008.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-1	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Retain provision for minor infrastructure upgrading' as a permitted activity in the PAUP.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-1	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Retain provision for minor infrastructure upgrading' as a permitted activity in the PAUP.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-2	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Remove rules which introduce general tree protection rules (beyond the powers for regional councils under section 30 of the RMA) into the PAUP	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-2	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Remove rules which introduce general tree protection rules (beyond the powers for regional councils under section 30 of the RMA) into the PAUP	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-3	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Add a set of controls for tree trimming undertaken by network utility operator or their agents, which allows for removal of a maximum branch diameter of 100mm and a maximum live growth removal of 20 per cent. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 9/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-3	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Add a set of controls for tree trimming undertaken by network utility operator or their agents, which allows for removal of a maximum branch diameter of 100mm and a maximum live growth removal of 20 per cent. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 9/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-4	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Add provisions confirming that tree trimming under the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 and network utility tree works are permitted activities throughout the PAUP, including in overlays and precincts.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-4	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Add provisions confirming that tree trimming under the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 and network utility tree works are permitted activities throughout the PAUP, including in overlays and precincts.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-5	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Clarify (state) the relative priority of each designation, where designations overlap, in terms of section 177 of the RMA.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-5	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Clarify (state) the relative priority of each designation, where designations overlap, in terms of section 177 of the RMA.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-5	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Clarify (state) the relative priority of each designation, where designations overlap, in terms of section 177 of the RMA.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-6	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the Pre-1944 Demolition control overlay from any of Vector Ltd.'s designated substation sites.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-6	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the Pre-1944 Demolition control overlay from any of Vector Ltd.'s designated substation sites.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-7	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain the adoption of a permissive consenting regime for renewable energy and implement a policy of waiving consent fees (for both resource consents and building consents) for small-scale renewable electricity generation (if any consents are required).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-7	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain the adoption of a permissive consenting regime for renewable energy and implement a policy of waiving consent fees (for both resource consents and building consents) for small-scale renewable electricity generation (if any consents are required).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-8	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Amend the PAUP to provide stronger protection against inappropriate new development near to existing and future Vector Ltd substations (particularly in relation to designations: 8808, 8847, 8883, 8888 and 8895). Amendments would include a stronger more protective objective, policy and rule framework for substations.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-8	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Amend the PAUP to provide stronger protection against inappropriate new development near to existing and future Vector Ltd substations (particularly in relation to designations: 8808, 8847, 8883, 8888 and 8895). Amendments would include a stronger more protective objective, policy and rule framework for substations.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-9	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Add an explanatory note to the general provisions, to explain that Vector Ltd's substations will be identified on any relevant Land Information Memorandum that is requested for a property. Clarify that property owners/consent applicants should check the location of substations, and associated infrastructure, and discuss any potential issues with Vector Ltd before commencing any development or redevelopment works.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-9	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Add an explanatory note to the general provisions, to explain that Vector Ltd's substations will be identified on any relevant Land Information Memorandum that is requested for a property. Clarify that property owners/consent applicants should check the location of substations, and associated infrastructure, and discuss any potential issues with Vector Ltd before commencing any development or redevelopment works.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-10	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a note to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' to confirm that 'gas' includes LPG.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-10	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a note to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' to confirm that 'gas' includes LPG.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-11	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	New		Add a definition of 'Gas', which includes LPG.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-11	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	New		Add a definition of 'Gas', which includes LPG.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-12	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	H4.6.1 Activity table	Amend to clarify the wording of 'Hazardous facilities that involve LPG associated with retail sale of fuel in a single vessel - not more than 6t'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 16-17/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-12	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	H4.6.1 Activity table	Amend to clarify the wording of 'Hazardous facilities that involve LPG associated with retail sale of fuel in a single vessel - not more than 6t'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 16-17/252].	2227	Rockgas Limited	Support
2745-12	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	H4.6.1 Activity table	Amend to clarify the wording of 'Hazardous facilities that involve LPG associated with retail sale of fuel in a single vessel - not more than 6t'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 16-17/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-13	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Hazardous facilities', to the extent that 'gas and oil pipelines that are part of a network utility service' are excluded. Amend the exclusion to ensure ancillary facilities are excluded: 'gas and oil pipelines and associated facilities that are part of a network utility service'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-13	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Hazardous facilities', to the extent that 'gas and oil pipelines that are part of a network utility service' are excluded. Amend the exclusion to ensure ancillary facilities are excluded: 'gas and oil pipelines and associated facilities that are part of a network utility service'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-14	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition heading to read: '…Gas and oil pipelines and associated facilities that are part of a network utility service. …'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-14	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition heading to read: '…Gas and oil pipelines and associated facilities that are part of a network utility service. …'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-15	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	C5.9 Background, objectives and policies	Retain the distinction between 'hazardous substances' and 'environmentally hazardous substances' and retain the application of Industrial and Trade Activities (ITA) controls to environmentally hazardous substances (do not extend to other substances that do not have the potential to cause adverse effects on receiving environments).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-15	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	C5.9 Background, objectives and policies	Retain the distinction between 'hazardous substances' and 'environmentally hazardous substances' and retain the application of Industrial and Trade Activities (ITA) controls to environmentally hazardous substances (do not extend to other substances that do not have the potential to cause adverse effects on receiving environments).	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-15	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	C5.9 Background, objectives and policies	Retain the distinction between 'hazardous substances' and 'environmentally hazardous substances' and retain the application of Industrial and Trade Activities (ITA) controls to environmentally hazardous substances (do not extend to other substances that do not have the potential to cause adverse effects on receiving environments).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-15	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	C5.9 Background, objectives and policies	Retain the distinction between 'hazardous substances' and 'environmentally hazardous substances' and retain the application of Industrial and Trade Activities (ITA) controls to environmentally hazardous substances (do not extend to other substances that do not have the potential to cause adverse effects on receiving environments).	3023	Carter Holt Harvey Limited	Support
2745-15	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	C5.9 Background, objectives and policies	Retain the distinction between 'hazardous substances' and 'environmentally hazardous substances' and retain the application of Industrial and Trade Activities (ITA) controls to environmentally hazardous substances (do not extend to other substances that do not have the potential to cause adverse effects on receiving environments).	3028	Wilson Hellaby Group of Companies	Support
2745-16	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	H4.8.4 Table of ITAs	Amend H4.8.4(2) to exclude all electrical substations from the ITA provisions, irrespective of the amount of oil contained within them.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-16	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	H4.8.4 Table of ITAs	Amend H4.8.4(2) to exclude all electrical substations from the ITA provisions, irrespective of the amount of oil contained within them.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-17	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	H4.8.2 Controls/ H4.8.3 Assessment	Amend the controls (in particular permitted activity control H4.8.2.1.1(5)) to recognise and provide for the standard industry practices of network utility operators in regard to substations including amending permitted activity control 2.1.1(5) so that only annual inspections are quired for activities carried out by network utility operators, not weekly inspections. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 18/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-17	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Industrial and Trade activities (ITA)	H4.8.2 Controls/ H4.8.3 Assessment	Amend the controls (in particular permitted activity control H4.8.2.1.1(5)) to recognise and provide for the standard industry practices of network utility operators in regard to substations including amending permitted activity control 2.1.1(5) so that only annual inspections are quired for activities carried out by network utility operators, not weekly inspections. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 18/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-18	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Issues	New Issues	Add a new section '1.X Significant infrastructure' to address the following new Unitary Plan issue: 'The sustainable management of significant infrastructure is essential if Auckland is to be a successful International City. This will require: Providing effective, resilient, efficient and affordable significant infrastructure; Integrating significant infrastructure and land use/growth planning; Managing the effects of significant infrastructure'. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording which includes 'Background', 'Explanation' and 'link to Auckland Plan' sections [page 53-54/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-18	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Issues	New Issues	Add a new section '1.X Significant infrastructure' to address the following new Unitary Plan issue: 'The sustainable management of significant infrastructure is essential if Auckland is to be a successful International City. This will require: Providing effective, resilient, efficient and affordable significant infrastructure; Integrating significant infrastructure and land use/growth planning; Managing the effects of significant infrastructure'. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording which includes 'Background', 'Explanation' and 'link to Auckland Plan' sections [page 53-54/252].	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
2745-18	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Issues	New Issues	Add a new section '1.X Significant infrastructure' to address the following new Unitary Plan issue: 'The sustainable management of significant infrastructure is essential if Auckland is to be a successful International City. This will require: Providing effective, resilient, efficient and affordable significant infrastructure; Integrating significant infrastructure and land use/growth planning; Managing the effects of significant infrastructure'. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording which includes 'Background', 'Explanation' and 'link to Auckland Plan' sections [page 53-54/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-18	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Issues	New Issues	Add a new section '1.X Significant infrastructure' to address the following new Unitary Plan issue: 'The sustainable management of significant infrastructure is essential if Auckland is to be a successful International City. This will require: Providing effective, resilient, efficient and affordable significant infrastructure; Integrating significant infrastructure and land use/growth planning; Managing the effects of significant infrastructure'. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording which includes 'Background', 'Explanation' and 'link to Auckland Plan' sections [page 53-54/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-19	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an Introduction to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which emphasises that all significant infrastructure is important, from the international connections, national and regional connections, to individual distribution networks. In addition, significant infrastructure must be provided in a timely and efficient manner, that appropriately addresses any effects on the environment. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 56-57/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-19	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an Introduction to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which emphasises that all significant infrastructure is important, from the international connections, national and regional connections, to individual distribution networks. In addition, significant infrastructure must be provided in a timely and efficient manner, that appropriately addresses any effects on the environment. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 56-57/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-19	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an Introduction to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which emphasises that all significant infrastructure is important, from the international connections, national and regional connections, to individual distribution networks. In addition, significant infrastructure must be provided in a timely and efficient manner, that appropriately addresses any effects on the environment. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 56-57/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-20	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '1. Resilient, effective, efficient and affordable infrastructure and a high quality service'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-20	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '1. Resilient, effective, efficient and affordable infrastructure and a high quality service'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-20	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '1. Resilient, effective, efficient and affordable infrastructure and a high quality service'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-21	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an objective (Objective 2) to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which states that the benefits of significant infrastructure which services the wider community, Auckland or New Zealand are recognised similar to Objective 2 of B3.2. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 57/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-21	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an objective (Objective 2) to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which states that the benefits of significant infrastructure which services the wider community, Auckland or New Zealand are recognised similar to Objective 2 of B3.2. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 57/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-21	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an objective (Objective 2) to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which states that the benefits of significant infrastructure which services the wider community, Auckland or New Zealand are recognised similar to Objective 2 of B3.2. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 57/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-22	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an objective (Objective 3) to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which provides for and enables the development, operation, maintenance, and upgrading of significant infrastructure, while recognising the locational and operational requirements of such infrastructure and managing effects on areas with significant values and the health, safety and amenity of communities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 57/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-22	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an objective (Objective 3) to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which provides for and enables the development, operation, maintenance, and upgrading of significant infrastructure, while recognising the locational and operational requirements of such infrastructure and managing effects on areas with significant values and the health, safety and amenity of communities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 57/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-22	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add an objective (Objective 3) to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which provides for and enables the development, operation, maintenance, and upgrading of significant infrastructure, while recognising the locational and operational requirements of such infrastructure and managing effects on areas with significant values and the health, safety and amenity of communities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 57/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-23	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '4. Renewable electricity generation is enabled, and energy efficiency and conservation promoted.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-23	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '4. Renewable electricity generation is enabled, and energy efficiency and conservation promoted.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-24	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '5. Infrastructure planning and development is integrated and co-ordinated at an early stage with land use and development to support residential and business growth and its efficient, affordable and effective servicing.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-24	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '5. Infrastructure planning and development is integrated and co-ordinated at an early stage with land use and development to support residential and business growth and its efficient, affordable and effective servicing.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-25	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Auckland's significant infrastructure is protected from reverse sensitivity effects and incompatible subdivision, use and development.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-25	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Auckland's significant infrastructure is protected from reverse sensitivity effects and incompatible subdivision, use and development.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-25	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Auckland's significant infrastructure is protected from reverse sensitivity effects and incompatible subdivision, use and development.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-25	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following objective to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Auckland's significant infrastructure is protected from reverse sensitivity effects and incompatible subdivision, use and development.'	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2745-26	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '1. Provide for the efficient development, use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of secure, affordable and reliable significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-26	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '1. Provide for the efficient development, use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of secure, affordable and reliable significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-26	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '1. Provide for the efficient development, use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of secure, affordable and reliable significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-27	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '2. Increase the resilience and security of significant infrastructure… through work that: a. enhances the reliability of networks and services b. provides for greater capacity and enhanced interconnectedness within and between Auckland, other parts of New Zealand, and internationally. c. improves Auckland's ability to respond and recover from unexpected and adverse events d. manages the risks associated with natural hazards and the effects of climate change.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-27	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '2. Increase the resilience and security of significant infrastructure… through work that: a. enhances the reliability of networks and services b. provides for greater capacity and enhanced interconnectedness within and between Auckland, other parts of New Zealand, and internationally. c. improves Auckland's ability to respond and recover from unexpected and adverse events d. manages the risks associated with natural hazards and the effects of climate change.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-27	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '2. Increase the resilience and security of significant infrastructure… through work that: a. enhances the reliability of networks and services b. provides for greater capacity and enhanced interconnectedness within and between Auckland, other parts of New Zealand, and internationally. c. improves Auckland's ability to respond and recover from unexpected and adverse events d. manages the risks associated with natural hazards and the effects of climate change.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-28	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '3. Integrate significant infrastructure with land use development by ensuring it is: a. planned and funded at an early stage with land use provisions to provide for growth b. provided to service land use development within the RUB c. located in a way that does not fragment or limit planned land development within the RUB and consented land use development outside the RUB d. timed to avoid the inefficiencies and costs associated with servicing unplanned development or development that has occurred out of sequence.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-28	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '3. Integrate significant infrastructure with land use development by ensuring it is: a. planned and funded at an early stage with land use provisions to provide for growth b. provided to service land use development within the RUB c. located in a way that does not fragment or limit planned land development within the RUB and consented land use development outside the RUB d. timed to avoid the inefficiencies and costs associated with servicing unplanned development or development that has occurred out of sequence.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-29	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '4. Recognise and provide for the operational and technical requirements of significant infrastructure'. (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-29	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '4. Recognise and provide for the operational and technical requirements of significant infrastructure'. (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-29	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '4. Recognise and provide for the operational and technical requirements of significant infrastructure'. (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-30	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '5. Provide for the locational requirements of significant infrastructure by recognising that it often has a functional need to be located in certain places, and that it is more efficient for such infrastructure to be upgraded and enhanced in that location than established elsewhere.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-30	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '5. Provide for the locational requirements of significant infrastructure by recognising that it often has a functional need to be located in certain places, and that it is more efficient for such infrastructure to be upgraded and enhanced in that location than established elsewhere.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-30	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '5. Provide for the locational requirements of significant infrastructure by recognising that it often has a functional need to be located in certain places, and that it is more efficient for such infrastructure to be upgraded and enhanced in that location than established elsewhere.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-31	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Encourage integration and co-ordination between the council, transport agencies and infrastructure providers on the maintenance, upgrade, and future development, of significant infrastructure, particularly in new growth areas.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-31	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Encourage integration and co-ordination between the council, transport agencies and infrastructure providers on the maintenance, upgrade, and future development, of significant infrastructure, particularly in new growth areas.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-32	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '7. Enable the provision of significant infrastructure in an affordable and timely manner, including by simplifying the extent and nature of planning provisions applying to significant infrastructure while ensuring that any adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-32	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '7. Enable the provision of significant infrastructure in an affordable and timely manner, including by simplifying the extent and nature of planning provisions applying to significant infrastructure while ensuring that any adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.' (under the heading 'Provision of significant infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-33	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '8. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing or proposed and planned significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Adverse effects on infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-33	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '8. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing or proposed and planned significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Adverse effects on infrastructure')	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-33	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '8. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing or proposed and planned significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Adverse effects on infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-34	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '9. Ensure that land use, subdivision and development do not limit or restrict the functioning, maintenance and development of existing or proposed significant infrastructure, including in particular significant infrastructure that provides national, regional and sub-regional trunk connections and newly developed areas provided for future infrastructure corridors where required.' (under the heading 'Adverse effects on infrastructure')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-34	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '9. Ensure that land use, subdivision and development do not limit or restrict the functioning, maintenance and development of existing or proposed significant infrastructure, including in particular significant infrastructure that provides national, regional and sub-regional trunk connections and newly developed areas provided for future infrastructure corridors where required.' (under the heading 'Adverse effects on infrastructure')	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-34	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '9. Ensure that land use, subdivision and development do not limit or restrict the functioning, maintenance and development of existing or proposed significant infrastructure, including in particular significant infrastructure that provides national, regional and sub-regional trunk connections and newly developed areas provided for future infrastructure corridors where required.' (under the heading 'Adverse effects on infrastructure')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-35	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '10. When assessing the adverse effects of significant infrastructure at a local level (including amenity effects), particular regard should be given to the widespread benefits of such infrastructure, and the need for such infrastructure to be resilient, effective, affordable and serve community demands.' (under the heading 'Managing adverse effects')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-35	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '10. When assessing the adverse effects of significant infrastructure at a local level (including amenity effects), particular regard should be given to the widespread benefits of such infrastructure, and the need for such infrastructure to be resilient, effective, affordable and serve community demands.' (under the heading 'Managing adverse effects')	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-35	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '10. When assessing the adverse effects of significant infrastructure at a local level (including amenity effects), particular regard should be given to the widespread benefits of such infrastructure, and the need for such infrastructure to be resilient, effective, affordable and serve community demands.' (under the heading 'Managing adverse effects')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-36	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a policy (Policy 11, under the heading 'Managing adverse effects') to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy', substantively the same as B3.2 Policy 3, which outlines the matters to be considered in instances where new significant infrastructure or upgrades that will give rise to significant adverse effects are proposed within overlays. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 58-59/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-36	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a policy (Policy 11, under the heading 'Managing adverse effects') to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy', substantively the same as B3.2 Policy 3, which outlines the matters to be considered in instances where new significant infrastructure or upgrades that will give rise to significant adverse effects are proposed within overlays. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 58-59/252].	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2745-36	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a policy (Policy 11, under the heading 'Managing adverse effects') to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy', substantively the same as B3.2 Policy 3, which outlines the matters to be considered in instances where new significant infrastructure or upgrades that will give rise to significant adverse effects are proposed within overlays. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 58-59/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-37	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a policy (Policy 12, under the heading 'Managing adverse effects') to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' to manage the effects of significant infrastructure within places and/or resources subject to particular protection by recognising the investment and widespread community benefit of significant infrastructure, recognise the infrastructure in those locations will have adverse effects that can not be easily avoided, remedied or mitigated and encourage infrastructure to reduce effects as much as practicable. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 59/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-37	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a policy (Policy 12, under the heading 'Managing adverse effects') to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' to manage the effects of significant infrastructure within places and/or resources subject to particular protection by recognising the investment and widespread community benefit of significant infrastructure, recognise the infrastructure in those locations will have adverse effects that can not be easily avoided, remedied or mitigated and encourage infrastructure to reduce effects as much as practicable. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 59/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-37	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a policy (Policy 12, under the heading 'Managing adverse effects') to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' to manage the effects of significant infrastructure within places and/or resources subject to particular protection by recognising the investment and widespread community benefit of significant infrastructure, recognise the infrastructure in those locations will have adverse effects that can not be easily avoided, remedied or mitigated and encourage infrastructure to reduce effects as much as practicable. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 59/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-38	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '13. Manage the adverse effects on the health and safety of communities and amenity values from new and/or major upgrades to significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Managing adverse effects')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-38	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '13. Manage the adverse effects on the health and safety of communities and amenity values from new and/or major upgrades to significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Managing adverse effects')	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-38	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '13. Manage the adverse effects on the health and safety of communities and amenity values from new and/or major upgrades to significant infrastructure.' (under the heading 'Managing adverse effects')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-39	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '14. Encourage the co-location and co-siting of significant infrastructure in existing and new urban areas, and the use of existing infrastructure corridors and identification of appropriate future infrastructure corridors, subject to operational and technical feasibility, and the requirement for significant infrastructure to be affordable and resilient.' (under the heading 'Managing adverse effects')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-39	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following policy to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '14. Encourage the co-location and co-siting of significant infrastructure in existing and new urban areas, and the use of existing infrastructure corridors and identification of appropriate future infrastructure corridors, subject to operational and technical feasibility, and the requirement for significant infrastructure to be affordable and resilient.' (under the heading 'Managing adverse effects')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-40	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Auckland-wide objectives, policies and rules for: network utilities and electricity generation facilities and subdivision including: a stand-alone chapter for network utilities, recognising the Auckland-wide nature of the utilities; and providing a consenting regime that enables day to day activities, while limiting the need for resource consents to those activities that have the potential for significant adverse effects' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-40	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Auckland-wide objectives, policies and rules for: network utilities and electricity generation facilities and subdivision including: a stand-alone chapter for network utilities, recognising the Auckland-wide nature of the utilities; and providing a consenting regime that enables day to day activities, while limiting the need for resource consents to those activities that have the potential for significant adverse effects' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-40	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Auckland-wide objectives, policies and rules for: network utilities and electricity generation facilities and subdivision including: a stand-alone chapter for network utilities, recognising the Auckland-wide nature of the utilities; and providing a consenting regime that enables day to day activities, while limiting the need for resource consents to those activities that have the potential for significant adverse effects' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-41	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Zone objectives, policies and rules for the Airport zone and application of zones to minimise reverse sensitivity effects on other significant infrastructure, to provide appropriate infrastructure standards for development, and to ensure that there is integration between land use/development and the provision of infrastructure (and that infrastructure requirements are taken into account in structure planning, framework planning and any extensions of the RUB)' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-41	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Zone objectives, policies and rules for the Airport zone and application of zones to minimise reverse sensitivity effects on other significant infrastructure, to provide appropriate infrastructure standards for development, and to ensure that there is integration between land use/development and the provision of infrastructure (and that infrastructure requirements are taken into account in structure planning, framework planning and any extensions of the RUB)' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-42	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Overlay objectives, policies and rules for the: Electricity Transmission Corridor overlay, Aircraft Noise overlay, Approach Path overlay, and Auckland-wide rules and policies in respect of tree management by network utility operators' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-42	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Overlay objectives, policies and rules for the: Electricity Transmission Corridor overlay, Aircraft Noise overlay, Approach Path overlay, and Auckland-wide rules and policies in respect of tree management by network utility operators' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-43	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Precinct objectives, policies and rules for the Airport precincts and Rodney Thermal Energy precinct' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-43	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Precinct objectives, policies and rules for the Airport precincts and Rodney Thermal Energy precinct' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-44	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Designations by Network Utility Operators' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-44	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Designations by Network Utility Operators' (under the heading 'Unitary Plan').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-45	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Compliance with Electricity Act 1993' (under the heading 'Other').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-45	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Compliance with Electricity Act 1993' (under the heading 'Other').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-45	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Compliance with Electricity Act 1993' (under the heading 'Other').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-46	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Compliance with Telecommunications Act 2001' (under the heading 'Other').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-46	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Compliance with Telecommunications Act 2001' (under the heading 'Other').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-47	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Preparation and implementation of regional and national codes of practice e.g. National Code of Practice for Utility Operators' Access to Transport Corridors 2011' (under the heading 'Other').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-47	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Preparation and implementation of regional and national codes of practice e.g. National Code of Practice for Utility Operators' Access to Transport Corridors 2011' (under the heading 'Other').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-48	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2009' (under the heading 'Other').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-48	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2009' (under the heading 'Other').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-48	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2009' (under the heading 'Other').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-49	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Policy Statement on Renewable Electricity Generation 2011' (under the heading 'Other').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-49	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Policy Statement on Renewable Electricity Generation 2011' (under the heading 'Other').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-49	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Policy Statement on Renewable Electricity Generation 2011' (under the heading 'Other').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-50	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Environmental Standards' (under the heading 'Other').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-50	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Environmental Standards' (under the heading 'Other').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-50	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'National Environmental Standards' (under the heading 'Other').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-51	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Preparation of infrastructure plan for Auckland to identify and manage the issues affecting all types of infrastructure in the Region and to provide a resource to those undertaking activities and development in the Region' (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-51	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Preparation of infrastructure plan for Auckland to identify and manage the issues affecting all types of infrastructure in the Region and to provide a resource to those undertaking activities and development in the Region' (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-52	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Plans and strategies on infrastructure e.g. Digital Enablement Strategy' (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-52	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Plans and strategies on infrastructure e.g. Digital Enablement Strategy' (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-53	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council to manage vegetation particularly pest vegetation where it interferes with infrastructure'. (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-53	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council to manage vegetation particularly pest vegetation where it interferes with infrastructure'. (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-54	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Engagement & education strategy and engagement process for when infrastructure is required on Manna Whenua sites of significance and value' (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-54	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Engagement & education strategy and engagement process for when infrastructure is required on Manna Whenua sites of significance and value' (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-55	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Best practice industry guidelines' (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-55	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Best practice industry guidelines' (under the heading 'Non-statutory plans and strategies').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-56	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Delete the following existing non-regulatory method: '~~Advocacy to central government on the undergrounding of transmission lines in the urban area~~ '.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-56	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Delete the following existing non-regulatory method: '~~Advocacy to central government on the undergrounding of transmission lines in the urban area~~ '.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-57	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Education of communities around the importance and benefits of the electricity transmission system, the risks associated with transmission lines, and the cost and practical difficulties of undergrounding' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-57	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Education of communities around the importance and benefits of the electricity transmission system, the risks associated with transmission lines, and the cost and practical difficulties of undergrounding' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-57	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Education of communities around the importance and benefits of the electricity transmission system, the risks associated with transmission lines, and the cost and practical difficulties of undergrounding' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-58	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Promote greater understanding within the community on the infrastructure issues facing the region, the feasible solutions to these issues, the costs and benefits of these solutions and the risks/implications associated with acting or not acting on these issues' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-58	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Promote greater understanding within the community on the infrastructure issues facing the region, the feasible solutions to these issues, the costs and benefits of these solutions and the risks/implications associated with acting or not acting on these issues' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-59	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Education of communities around noise associated with ports and airports, the benefits of energy efficient homes and businesses, and how to achieve the full potential of broadband' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-59	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Education of communities around noise associated with ports and airports, the benefits of energy efficient homes and businesses, and how to achieve the full potential of broadband' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-60	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Encourage efficiency in the use of resources, in particular gas, electricity and water' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-60	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Encourage efficiency in the use of resources, in particular gas, electricity and water' (under the heading 'Advocacy and education').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-61	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Coordination between the investment and development plans of infrastructure providers and the Council to ensure integrated land use, transport and infrastructure planning' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-61	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Coordination between the investment and development plans of infrastructure providers and the Council to ensure integrated land use, transport and infrastructure planning' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-62	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council to coordinate and ensure that network utility operators have early engagement and access to growth modelling to enable integrated land use, transport and infrastructure planning and provision' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-62	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council to coordinate and ensure that network utility operators have early engagement and access to growth modelling to enable integrated land use, transport and infrastructure planning and provision' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-63	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council ensure that infrastructure providers have early notification of applications for Special Housing Areas (SHA) and applications within a SHA. Council to coordinate inputs of infrastructure providers on each SHA and to ensure that any associated infrastructure upgrades are considered as an integral part of the SHA approval process' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-63	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council ensure that infrastructure providers have early notification of applications for Special Housing Areas (SHA) and applications within a SHA. Council to coordinate inputs of infrastructure providers on each SHA and to ensure that any associated infrastructure upgrades are considered as an integral part of the SHA approval process' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-64	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Coordination with organisations whose energy, water or communications pass through Auckland (e.g. energy supply to Northland)' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-64	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Coordination with organisations whose energy, water or communications pass through Auckland (e.g. energy supply to Northland)' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-64	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Coordination with organisations whose energy, water or communications pass through Auckland (e.g. energy supply to Northland)' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-65	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council support of infrastructure providers in realising sources of energy, water and communications which are outside Auckland region but essential to the maintenance and enhancement of Auckland's infrastructure' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-65	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council support of infrastructure providers in realising sources of energy, water and communications which are outside Auckland region but essential to the maintenance and enhancement of Auckland's infrastructure' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-65	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council support of infrastructure providers in realising sources of energy, water and communications which are outside Auckland region but essential to the maintenance and enhancement of Auckland's infrastructure' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-66	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council support of renewable energy in Auckland on a variety of scales to reduce dependence on external sources over the long term' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-66	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council support of renewable energy in Auckland on a variety of scales to reduce dependence on external sources over the long term' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-66	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council support of renewable energy in Auckland on a variety of scales to reduce dependence on external sources over the long term' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-67	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Provide planning policy and resource consent specialists with expertise in Infrastructure projects' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-67	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Provide planning policy and resource consent specialists with expertise in Infrastructure projects' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-68	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council support the provision of information to developers, homeowners building new structures or additions or when rewiring on the standards/requirements to ensure access to the full potential of broadband' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-68	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council support the provision of information to developers, homeowners building new structures or additions or when rewiring on the standards/requirements to ensure access to the full potential of broadband' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-69	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council led forums and working groups on infrastructure, particularly in the education of communities' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-69	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Council led forums and working groups on infrastructure, particularly in the education of communities' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-70	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Liaison with Road Controlling Authorities and infrastructure providers to manage competing regulatory regimes and pressures for use of the road corridor (to avoid unnecessary duplication of processes under various regulatory regimes)' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-70	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add the following non-regulatory method to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': 'Liaison with Road Controlling Authorities and infrastructure providers to manage competing regulatory regimes and pressures for use of the road corridor (to avoid unnecessary duplication of processes under various regulatory regimes)' (under the heading 'Council's roles including:').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-71	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add an environmental result (as Environmental Result 1) to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which states that the overall quality, reliability and effectiveness of Auckland's infrastructure is maintained, expanded and enhanced, while being provided in a timely and affordable manner and outlines specific improvements. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 62252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-71	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add an environmental result (as Environmental Result 1) to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy' which states that the overall quality, reliability and effectiveness of Auckland's infrastructure is maintained, expanded and enhanced, while being provided in a timely and affordable manner and outlines specific improvements. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 62252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-72	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '2. Public health and improved environmental outcomes will continue to be provided for by the operation, maintenance and upgrade of reticulated infrastructure, communications and transportation networks'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-72	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '2. Public health and improved environmental outcomes will continue to be provided for by the operation, maintenance and upgrade of reticulated infrastructure, communications and transportation networks'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-73	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '3. The development of Auckland's local infrastructure will support planned development and future urban growth within and beyond Auckland'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-73	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '3. The development of Auckland's local infrastructure will support planned development and future urban growth within and beyond Auckland'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-74	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '4. The efficient, effective and affordable investment in infrastructure shall be a factor shaping and influencing the growth opportunities of Auckland'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-74	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '4. The efficient, effective and affordable investment in infrastructure shall be a factor shaping and influencing the growth opportunities of Auckland'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-75	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '5. Cost-effective infrastructure provision will continue in the areas of Auckland outside of the RUB'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-75	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '5. Cost-effective infrastructure provision will continue in the areas of Auckland outside of the RUB'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-76	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Auckland's infrastructure provides for the quality of life of the population (modern communications, energy needs, water supply and wastewater/storm water treatment and disposal, and an interconnected transportation network)'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-76	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Auckland's infrastructure provides for the quality of life of the population (modern communications, energy needs, water supply and wastewater/storm water treatment and disposal, and an interconnected transportation network)'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-76	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '6. Auckland's infrastructure provides for the quality of life of the population (modern communications, energy needs, water supply and wastewater/storm water treatment and disposal, and an interconnected transportation network)'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-77	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '7. Infrastructure will be protected from the limitations imposed by unplanned and inconsistent development, and Incompatible uses within and alongside infrastructure corridors will be avoided'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-77	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '7. Infrastructure will be protected from the limitations imposed by unplanned and inconsistent development, and Incompatible uses within and alongside infrastructure corridors will be avoided'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-78	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '8. The development of Auckland's infrastructure shall recognise that Auckland as a metropolitan city operates around the clock and infrastructure works may need to occur at night to maintain adequate supply and service'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-78	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '8. The development of Auckland's infrastructure shall recognise that Auckland as a metropolitan city operates around the clock and infrastructure works may need to occur at night to maintain adequate supply and service'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-79	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '9. New technological advances in infrastructure are able to be adopted and implemented as appropriate'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-79	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '9. New technological advances in infrastructure are able to be adopted and implemented as appropriate'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-80	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '10. Energy generation is provided for within Auckland on a range of scales to reduce dependence on external sources in the long term'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-80	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '10. Energy generation is provided for within Auckland on a range of scales to reduce dependence on external sources in the long term'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-80	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '10. Energy generation is provided for within Auckland on a range of scales to reduce dependence on external sources in the long term'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-81	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '11. A more coordinated approach to vegetation management to ensure vegetation does not interrupt infrastructure service and where possible new infrastructure minimises removal of trees of particular amenity, ecological, or historic value'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-81	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '11. A more coordinated approach to vegetation management to ensure vegetation does not interrupt infrastructure service and where possible new infrastructure minimises removal of trees of particular amenity, ecological, or historic value'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-82	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '12. That new and altered housing and development is enabled to provide access to the full potential of broadband and energy efficiency'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-82	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add the following environmental result relating to the new section 'Significant infrastructure and energy': '12. That new and altered housing and development is enabled to provide access to the full potential of broadband and energy efficiency'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-83	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a new section on 'Explanations and reasons' to the new section on 'Significant infrastructure and energy', which is substantively similar to the explanation and reasons for B3.2 but include references to timely, efficient and affordable infrastructure, including reference to the need for a high level of integration between land use and infrastructure planning and delete reference to policies about balancing provision and protection . Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 58-59/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-83	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a new section on 'Explanations and reasons' to the new section on 'Significant infrastructure and energy', which is substantively similar to the explanation and reasons for B3.2 but include references to timely, efficient and affordable infrastructure, including reference to the need for a high level of integration between land use and infrastructure planning and delete reference to policies about balancing provision and protection . Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 58-59/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-83	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add a new section on 'Explanations and reasons' to the new section on 'Significant infrastructure and energy', which is substantively similar to the explanation and reasons for B3.2 but include references to timely, efficient and affordable infrastructure, including reference to the need for a high level of integration between land use and infrastructure planning and delete reference to policies about balancing provision and protection . Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 58-59/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-84	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the background, as detailed on page 65/252 of the submission, to refer to significant infrastructure and add cultural and environmental well-being to the benefits significant infrastructure provides to the community.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-84	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the background, as detailed on page 65/252 of the submission, to refer to significant infrastructure and add cultural and environmental well-being to the benefits significant infrastructure provides to the community.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-85	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to read: 'The benefits of infrastructure, particularly significant infrastructure, are recognised.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-85	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to read: 'The benefits of infrastructure, particularly significant infrastructure, are recognised.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-85	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 to read: 'The benefits of infrastructure, particularly significant infrastructure, are recognised.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-86	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 3 to read: 'Safe, efficient, affordable and secure development, operation and upgrading of infrastructure…'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-86	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 3 to read: 'Safe, efficient, affordable and secure development, operation and upgrading of infrastructure…'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-87	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 4 to read: 'The resilience of Auckland's infrastructure is continually improved and it is recognised that this will require both modification and upgrade ofr existing infrastructure and new infrastructure.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-87	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 4 to read: 'The resilience of Auckland's infrastructure is continually improved and it is recognised that this will require both modification and upgrade ofr existing infrastructure and new infrastructure.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-88	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 1 to read: 'Benefits of significant infrastructure' and amend Policy 1 to read: 'Recognise the positive social, economic, cultural and environmental ~~and cultural~~ effects that significant infrastructure and infrastructure networks provide, including:... (e) protecting, enhancing or improving the environment...'.	728	WEL Networks Limited	Support
2745-88	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 1 to read: 'Benefits of significant infrastructure' and amend Policy 1 to read: 'Recognise the positive social, economic, cultural and environmental ~~and cultural~~ effects that significant infrastructure and infrastructure networks provide, including:... (e) protecting, enhancing or improving the environment...'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-88	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 1 to read: 'Benefits of significant infrastructure' and amend Policy 1 to read: 'Recognise the positive social, economic, cultural and environmental ~~and cultural~~ effects that significant infrastructure and infrastructure networks provide, including:... (e) protecting, enhancing or improving the environment...'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-88	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 1 to read: 'Benefits of significant infrastructure' and amend Policy 1 to read: 'Recognise the positive social, economic, cultural and environmental ~~and cultural~~ effects that significant infrastructure and infrastructure networks provide, including:... (e) protecting, enhancing or improving the environment...'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-89	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to read: 'Prevent reverse sensitivity effects from inappropriate subdivision, use and development which may compromise the operation and capacity of existing or ~~approved~~ proposed significant infrastructure, particularly nationally or regionally significant infrastructure.'	728	WEL Networks Limited	Support
2745-89	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to read: 'Prevent reverse sensitivity effects from inappropriate subdivision, use and development which may compromise the operation and capacity of existing or ~~approved~~ proposed significant infrastructure, particularly nationally or regionally significant infrastructure.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-89	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to read: 'Prevent reverse sensitivity effects from inappropriate subdivision, use and development which may compromise the operation and capacity of existing or ~~approved~~ proposed significant infrastructure, particularly nationally or regionally significant infrastructure.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-89	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to read: 'Prevent reverse sensitivity effects from inappropriate subdivision, use and development which may compromise the operation and capacity of existing or ~~approved~~ proposed significant infrastructure, particularly nationally or regionally significant infrastructure.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-89	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2 to read: 'Prevent reverse sensitivity effects from inappropriate subdivision, use and development which may compromise the operation and capacity of existing or ~~approved~~ proposed significant infrastructure, particularly nationally or regionally significant infrastructure.'	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2745-90	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 3 to read: 'Provision of significant infrastructure. Amend Policy 3 by adding 'significant' before the word 'infrastructure' as it appears four times in the policy.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-90	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 3 to read: 'Provision of significant infrastructure. Amend Policy 3 by adding 'significant' before the word 'infrastructure' as it appears four times in the policy.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-90	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 3 to read: 'Provision of significant infrastructure. Amend Policy 3 by adding 'significant' before the word 'infrastructure' as it appears four times in the policy.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-91	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4 to read: 'Require the development, upgrading, operation, repair and maintenance of significant infrastructure to avoid or mitigate manage adverse effects of the: (a) health, well-being and safety of people, such as a result of nuisance from noise, vibration, dust and odour emissiosn and light spill...'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-91	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4 to read: 'Require the development, upgrading, operation, repair and maintenance of significant infrastructure to avoid or mitigate manage adverse effects of the: (a) health, well-being and safety of people, such as a result of nuisance from noise, vibration, dust and odour emissiosn and light spill...'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-91	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4 to read: 'Require the development, upgrading, operation, repair and maintenance of significant infrastructure to avoid or mitigate manage adverse effects of the: (a) health, well-being and safety of people, such as a result of nuisance from noise, vibration, dust and odour emissiosn and light spill...'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-92	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 5 to read: 'Assess the adverse effects of development of new significant infrastructure, considering:… (d) the need for the significant infrastructure in the context of the wider network (e) the benefits to the wider community and/or Auckland provided by significant ~~the~~ infrastructure.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-92	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 5 to read: 'Assess the adverse effects of development of new significant infrastructure, considering:… (d) the need for the significant infrastructure in the context of the wider network (e) the benefits to the wider community and/or Auckland provided by significant ~~the~~ infrastructure.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-92	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 5 to read: 'Assess the adverse effects of development of new significant infrastructure, considering:… (d) the need for the significant infrastructure in the context of the wider network (e) the benefits to the wider community and/or Auckland provided by significant ~~the~~ infrastructure.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-93	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 6 to read: 'Encourage new linear significant infrastructure…'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-93	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 6 to read: 'Encourage new linear significant infrastructure…'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-93	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 6 to read: 'Encourage new linear significant infrastructure…'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-94	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 7 to read: 'Undergrounding of significant infrastructure in urban areas within the RUB including satellite towns'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-94	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 7 to read: 'Undergrounding of significant infrastructure in urban areas within the RUB including satellite towns'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-94	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend the heading before Policy 7 to read: 'Undergrounding of significant infrastructure in urban areas within the RUB including satellite towns'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-95	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Add a new policy after Policy 7 to read: 'Enable new electricity and telecommunications lines which are nationally or regionally significant ~~economic reasons~~ infrastructure to be provided for overhead.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-95	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Add a new policy after Policy 7 to read: 'Enable new electricity and telecommunications lines which are nationally or regionally significant ~~economic reasons~~ infrastructure to be provided for overhead.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-95	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Add a new policy after Policy 7 to read: 'Enable new electricity and telecommunications lines which are nationally or regionally significant ~~economic reasons~~ infrastructure to be provided for overhead.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-96	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 9 to read: 'Provide flexibility, including by providing for such upgrades within the scope of minor upgrading, for significant infrastructure operators… (c) result in environmental benefits and enhancements, such as replacing old equipment with more compact equipment which reduces visual impact, and improving existing effects on the environment particularly within sensitive areas identified as overlay areas.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-96	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 9 to read: 'Provide flexibility, including by providing for such upgrades within the scope of minor upgrading, for significant infrastructure operators… (c) result in environmental benefits and enhancements, such as replacing old equipment with more compact equipment which reduces visual impact, and improving existing effects on the environment particularly within sensitive areas identified as overlay areas.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-96	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 9 to read: 'Provide flexibility, including by providing for such upgrades within the scope of minor upgrading, for significant infrastructure operators… (c) result in environmental benefits and enhancements, such as replacing old equipment with more compact equipment which reduces visual impact, and improving existing effects on the environment particularly within sensitive areas identified as overlay areas.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-97	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 10 to read: 'Provide for the construction, use, operation, repair, maintenance and development…'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-97	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 10 to read: 'Provide for the construction, use, operation, repair, maintenance and development…'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-98	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 12 to read: 'Undertake or require aboveground works to be undertaken in an existing or planned road, in a manner which ~~will achieve positive movement, access and placemaking outcomes~~ takesing into account'. Add the following as point (i) below (c): functional and operational need for significant infrastructure to locate in the road. Amend Policy 12(e)(i - ii) to read: (i) enhance the street environment and ~~(ii)~~ avoid visual clutter. See page 60/252.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-98	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 12 to read: 'Undertake or require aboveground works to be undertaken in an existing or planned road, in a manner which ~~will achieve positive movement, access and placemaking outcomes~~ takesing into account'. Add the following as point (i) below (c): functional and operational need for significant infrastructure to locate in the road. Amend Policy 12(e)(i - ii) to read: (i) enhance the street environment and ~~(ii)~~ avoid visual clutter. See page 60/252.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-98	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 12 to read: 'Undertake or require aboveground works to be undertaken in an existing or planned road, in a manner which ~~will achieve positive movement, access and placemaking outcomes~~ takesing into account'. Add the following as point (i) below (c): functional and operational need for significant infrastructure to locate in the road. Amend Policy 12(e)(i - ii) to read: (i) enhance the street environment and ~~(ii)~~ avoid visual clutter. See page 60/252.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-99	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Amend introduction as detailed on page 70/252 of the submission. The significant amendments to the introduction provide for network utilities (excluding roads) and electricity generation facilities across all zones and overlays in the PAUP (outside of the CMA) to be amalgamated into one activity table in this section of the PAUP so the provisions override all overlays and zone rules. These are all revised references to various codes of practice and national environmental standards.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-99	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Amend introduction as detailed on page 70/252 of the submission. The significant amendments to the introduction provide for network utilities (excluding roads) and electricity generation facilities across all zones and overlays in the PAUP (outside of the CMA) to be amalgamated into one activity table in this section of the PAUP so the provisions override all overlays and zone rules. These are all revised references to various codes of practice and national environmental standards.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-99	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Amend introduction as detailed on page 70/252 of the submission. The significant amendments to the introduction provide for network utilities (excluding roads) and electricity generation facilities across all zones and overlays in the PAUP (outside of the CMA) to be amalgamated into one activity table in this section of the PAUP so the provisions override all overlays and zone rules. These are all revised references to various codes of practice and national environmental standards.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-100	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table headings as detailed on page 72/252 of the submission. Amendments add the Green Infrastructure Corridor, Coastal Transition, Defence, Ferry Terminal, Mooring, Majory Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility, Special Purpose - School and Special Purpose Tertiary Education zones to the activity table	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-100	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table headings as detailed on page 72/252 of the submission. Amendments add the Green Infrastructure Corridor, Coastal Transition, Defence, Ferry Terminal, Mooring, Majory Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility, Special Purpose - School and Special Purpose Tertiary Education zones to the activity table	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-100	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table headings as detailed on page 72/252 of the submission. Amendments add the Green Infrastructure Corridor, Coastal Transition, Defence, Ferry Terminal, Mooring, Majory Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility, Special Purpose - School and Special Purpose Tertiary Education zones to the activity table	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-101	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: '~~The operation, repair and maintenance of n~~ Network utilities and electricity generation facilities in existance (as at date of public notification of the Unitary Plan) or which has been lawfully established or granted resource consent'.	728	WEL Networks Limited	Support
2745-101	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: '~~The operation, repair and maintenance of n~~ Network utilities and electricity generation facilities in existance (as at date of public notification of the Unitary Plan) or which has been lawfully established or granted resource consent'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-101	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: '~~The operation, repair and maintenance of n~~ Network utilities and electricity generation facilities in existance (as at date of public notification of the Unitary Plan) or which has been lawfully established or granted resource consent'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-101	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: '~~The operation, repair and maintenance of n~~ Network utilities and electricity generation facilities in existance (as at date of public notification of the Unitary Plan) or which has been lawfully established or granted resource consent'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-102	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Minor infrastructure upgrading of existing network utilities and electricity generation facilities'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-102	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Minor infrastructure upgrading of existing network utilities and electricity generation facilities'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-102	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Minor infrastructure upgrading of existing network utilities and electricity generation facilities'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-103	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Telecommunication equipment/devices for operating a network utility and/or electricity generation facility such as telecommunication on lines, radio controlled switches, aerials, meters…	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-103	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Telecommunication equipment/devices for operating a network utility and/or electricity generation facility such as telecommunication on lines, radio controlled switches, aerials, meters…	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-103	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Telecommunication equipment/devices for operating a network utility and/or electricity generation facility such as telecommunication on lines, radio controlled switches, aerials, meters…	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-104	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Pipes and cables for the conveyance of water, wastewater, stormwater, electricity, gas and telecommunications…	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-104	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Pipes and cables for the conveyance of water, wastewater, stormwater, electricity, gas and telecommunications…	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-105	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Pipes and cable bridges for the conveyance of water, wastewater, stormwater, electricity gas and telecommunications.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-105	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Pipes and cable bridges for the conveyance of water, wastewater, stormwater, electricity gas and telecommunications.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-106	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Network utilities and electricity generation facilities (including upgrades) inside existing buildings'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-106	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Network utilities and electricity generation facilities (including upgrades) inside existing buildings'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-106	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Network utilities and electricity generation facilities (including upgrades) inside existing buildings'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-107	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a discretionary activity status in every zone: 'Network utilities and electricity generation facilities, and ancillary activities, not otherwise provided for'.	728	WEL Networks Limited	Support
2745-107	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a discretionary activity status in every zone: 'Network utilities and electricity generation facilities, and ancillary activities, not otherwise provided for'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-107	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a discretionary activity status in every zone: 'Network utilities and electricity generation facilities, and ancillary activities, not otherwise provided for'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-107	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a discretionary activity status in every zone: 'Network utilities and electricity generation facilities, and ancillary activities, not otherwise provided for'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-108	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Tree trimming or tree alteration for the purposes of complying with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003, or that is allowed for under the Telecommunications Act 2001.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-108	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Tree trimming or tree alteration for the purposes of complying with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003, or that is allowed for under the Telecommunications Act 2001.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-109	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Earthworks that is ancillary to any activity listed in this table and is no greater than 2500m3 or 2500m2'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-109	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Earthworks that is ancillary to any activity listed in this table and is no greater than 2500m3 or 2500m2'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-110	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Trenchless methods including drilling, thrusting, and boring for the purpose of any activity listed in this table'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-110	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Trenchless methods including drilling, thrusting, and boring for the purpose of any activity listed in this table'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-111	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the follwing to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Vegetation clearance that is ancillary to any activity listed in this table'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-111	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the follwing to the activity table with a permitted activity status in every zone: 'Vegetation clearance that is ancillary to any activity listed in this table'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-112	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: '~~Distributions s~~ Substations'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-112	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: '~~Distributions s~~ Substations'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-113	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table: 'Pole mounted transformer that conveys a voltage of up to and including 22kV that is not a minor infrastructure upgrade *industrial zones. Activity status to be permitted with the exception of the following zones which will be restricted discretionary: Residential, Māori Purpose, Retirement Village, Centre, Mixed Use, Airport, Major Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility, Business Park, Public Open Space and Cemetery zones.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-113	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table: 'Pole mounted transformer that conveys a voltage of up to and including 22kV that is not a minor infrastructure upgrade *industrial zones. Activity status to be permitted with the exception of the following zones which will be restricted discretionary: Residential, Māori Purpose, Retirement Village, Centre, Mixed Use, Airport, Major Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility, Business Park, Public Open Space and Cemetery zones.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-114	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Overhead electricity lines up to and including 110kV that is not a Minor Infrastructure Upgrade… *2 Heavy Industry zone Industrial zones. Amend the discretionary activity statuses for this activity to restricted discretionary (with no presumption of non-notification) and the restricted discretionary activity status to restricted discretionary(with no presumption of non-notification).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-114	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Overhead electricity lines up to and including 110kV that is not a Minor Infrastructure Upgrade… *2 Heavy Industry zone Industrial zones. Amend the discretionary activity statuses for this activity to restricted discretionary (with no presumption of non-notification) and the restricted discretionary activity status to restricted discretionary(with no presumption of non-notification).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-115	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of: Overhead electricity lines greater than 110kV to be permitted in the Future Urban and all industrial zones. Amend the activity status in the Roads and Unformed Roads, and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary. Amend the activity status in the Rural zones and Quarry zone from discretionary to restricted discretionary. Amend the activity status in the Future Urban zone from discretionary to permitted. Amend the activity status in the Heavy Industry zone from discretionary to permitted.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-115	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of: Overhead electricity lines greater than 110kV to be permitted in the Future Urban and all industrial zones. Amend the activity status in the Roads and Unformed Roads, and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary. Amend the activity status in the Rural zones and Quarry zone from discretionary to restricted discretionary. Amend the activity status in the Future Urban zone from discretionary to permitted. Amend the activity status in the Heavy Industry zone from discretionary to permitted.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-116	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for: 'Aboveground gas distribution regulatory stations in the Residential, Māori Purpose, Retirement Village, Centre, Mixed Use, Airport, Major Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility and Business Park Zones' from restricted discretionary to permitted	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-116	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for: 'Aboveground gas distribution regulatory stations in the Residential, Māori Purpose, Retirement Village, Centre, Mixed Use, Airport, Major Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility and Business Park Zones' from restricted discretionary to permitted	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-117	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for 'Aboveground gas and petroleum product transmission regulator or pump stations in the Light and Heavy Industry zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-117	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for 'Aboveground gas and petroleum product transmission regulator or pump stations in the Light and Heavy Industry zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-118	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Underground gas distribution pipelines at a gauge pressure not exceeding 2000 kilopascals, including any aerial crossings of streams or other low-lying areas using bridges~~,~~ or any other structures, ~~streams~~ and ancillary underground equipment and fittings	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-118	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Underground gas distribution pipelines at a gauge pressure not exceeding 2000 kilopascals, including any aerial crossings of streams or other low-lying areas using bridges~~,~~ or any other structures, ~~streams~~ and ancillary underground equipment and fittings	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-119	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Underground gas and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals including any aerial crossings of streams or other low lying areas using bridges or any other structures, ~~or other low lying areas using bridges or any other structures,~~ and ancillary underground equipment and fittings. Amend the activity status for this activity in all zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-119	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Underground gas and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals including any aerial crossings of streams or other low lying areas using bridges or any other structures, ~~or other low lying areas using bridges or any other structures,~~ and ancillary underground equipment and fittings. Amend the activity status for this activity in all zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-120	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a controlled activity in all zones: 'Aboveground gas distribution pipelines at a gauge pressure not exceeding 2000 kilopascals'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-120	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a controlled activity in all zones: 'Aboveground gas distribution pipelines at a gauge pressure not exceeding 2000 kilopascals'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-121	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a restricted discretionary activity in all zones: 'Aboveground gas transmission pipelines and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-121	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a restricted discretionary activity in all zones: 'Aboveground gas transmission pipelines and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-122	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Antennas attached to a replacement utility structure that do not comply with Regulation 7 of the NESTF ~~Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunications Facilities) Regulations 2008~~ '.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-122	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Antennas attached to a replacement utility structure that do not comply with Regulation 7 of the NESTF ~~Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunications Facilities) Regulations 2008~~ '.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-122	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Antennas attached to a replacement utility structure that do not comply with Regulation 7 of the NESTF ~~Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunications Facilities) Regulations 2008~~ '.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-123	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for 'Antennas attached to a building and/or structure where the face of the antenna does note exceed 1.2m2 or 1.2m in diameter for dish antennas (excludes private television antennas and satellite dishes)' in the Residential and Māori Purpose zones from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary (with no presumption of non-notification).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-123	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for 'Antennas attached to a building and/or structure where the face of the antenna does note exceed 1.2m2 or 1.2m in diameter for dish antennas (excludes private television antennas and satellite dishes)' in the Residential and Māori Purpose zones from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary (with no presumption of non-notification).	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-123	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for 'Antennas attached to a building and/or structure where the face of the antenna does note exceed 1.2m2 or 1.2m in diameter for dish antennas (excludes private television antennas and satellite dishes)' in the Residential and Māori Purpose zones from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary (with no presumption of non-notification).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-124	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Masts and attached antennas' in the Local Centre, Neighbourhood Centre, Public Open Space and Cemetery zones from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary (with no presumption of non-notification)	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-124	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Masts and attached antennas' in the Local Centre, Neighbourhood Centre, Public Open Space and Cemetery zones from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary (with no presumption of non-notification)	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-125	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Telecommunication Cabinets outside of roads and strategic transport corridors ~~except that this only applies to cabinets in a Strategic Transport Corridor which are part of a rail corridor~~ '. Amend the activity status in the Strategic Transport Corridor zone from permitted to not applicable.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-125	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Telecommunication Cabinets outside of roads and strategic transport corridors ~~except that this only applies to cabinets in a Strategic Transport Corridor which are part of a rail corridor~~ '. Amend the activity status in the Strategic Transport Corridor zone from permitted to not applicable.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-125	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Telecommunication Cabinets outside of roads and strategic transport corridors ~~except that this only applies to cabinets in a Strategic Transport Corridor which are part of a rail corridor~~ '. Amend the activity status in the Strategic Transport Corridor zone from permitted to not applicable.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-126	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Telecommunication cabinets in roads and Strategic Transport Corridors that do not meet the permitted standards in the ~~Resource Management (NESTF) Regulations 2998~~ NESTF'. Amend the activity status in the Strategic Transport Corridor zone from restricted discretionary to permitted.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-126	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Telecommunication cabinets in roads and Strategic Transport Corridors that do not meet the permitted standards in the ~~Resource Management (NESTF) Regulations 2998~~ NESTF'. Amend the activity status in the Strategic Transport Corridor zone from restricted discretionary to permitted.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-126	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Telecommunication cabinets in roads and Strategic Transport Corridors that do not meet the permitted standards in the ~~Resource Management (NESTF) Regulations 2998~~ NESTF'. Amend the activity status in the Strategic Transport Corridor zone from restricted discretionary to permitted.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-127	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Connections from buildings, structures and sites to telecommunication lines, including to and from an overhead lines network'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-127	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Connections from buildings, structures and sites to telecommunication lines, including to and from an overhead lines network'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-128	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Overhead telecommunication lines, that is not a Minor Infrastructure Upgrade…' Amend the activity status in the Residential, Māori Purpose, Retirement Village, Centres, Mixed Use, Airport, Major Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility, Business Park, Public Open Space and Cemetery zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary with no presumption of non-notification. Amend the activity status in the Light and Heavy Industry zones from restricted discretionary to permitted. Amend the activity status in the General Business zone from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary with no presumption of non-notification.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-128	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Overhead telecommunication lines, that is not a Minor Infrastructure Upgrade…' Amend the activity status in the Residential, Māori Purpose, Retirement Village, Centres, Mixed Use, Airport, Major Recreation Facility, Healthcare Facility, Business Park, Public Open Space and Cemetery zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary with no presumption of non-notification. Amend the activity status in the Light and Heavy Industry zones from restricted discretionary to permitted. Amend the activity status in the General Business zone from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary with no presumption of non-notification.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-129	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a permitted activity in all zones: 'Installation and operation of equipment inside telephone exchanges'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-129	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a permitted activity in all zones: 'Installation and operation of equipment inside telephone exchanges'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-130	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Underground pipelines and ancillary structures (including aboveground ancillary structures associated with underground pipelines) for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-130	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: 'Underground pipelines and ancillary structures (including aboveground ancillary structures associated with underground pipelines) for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-131	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a permitted activity in all zones: 'Aboveground pipelines and ancillary structures for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-131	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a permitted activity in all zones: 'Aboveground pipelines and ancillary structures for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-132	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a restricted discretionary activity in all zones: 'Aboveground pipelines and ancillary structures for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater, not otherwise provided for above'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-132	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add the following to the activity table as a restricted discretionary activity in all zones: 'Aboveground pipelines and ancillary structures for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater, not otherwise provided for above'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-133	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Water, wastewater and stormwater pump stations	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-133	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Water, wastewater and stormwater pump stations	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-134	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Water wastewater and stormwater ~~S~~ storage tanks	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-134	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Water wastewater and stormwater ~~S~~ storage tanks	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-135	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Ventilation facilities, drop shafts (soakholes) and manholesVentilation drop shafts	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-135	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table to read: Ventilation facilities, drop shafts (soakholes) and manholesVentilation drop shafts	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-136	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'small-scale electricity Generation' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-136	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'small-scale electricity Generation' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-137	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Community-scale electricity generation' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-137	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Community-scale electricity generation' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-138	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Large-scale wind Farms' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary. Amend the activity status of 'Large-scale wind Farms' in the Rural, Future Urban, Quarry, Industrial and General Business zones from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary with no presumption for non-notification.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-138	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Large-scale wind Farms' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary. Amend the activity status of 'Large-scale wind Farms' in the Rural, Future Urban, Quarry, Industrial and General Business zones from restricted discretionary to restricted discretionary with no presumption for non-notification.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support
2745-139	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Research and exploratory-scale investigations for renewable electricity generation activities' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-139	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Research and exploratory-scale investigations for renewable electricity generation activities' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-140	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Other electricity generating facilities' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-140	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of 'Other electricity generating facilities' in the Roads and Unformed Roads and Strategic Transport Corridor zones from not applicable to discretionary.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-141	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-141	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-141	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-142	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Significant Ecological Area overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-142	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Significant Ecological Area overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-142	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Significant Ecological Area overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-143	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	J6.2.1 Activity table & J6.1.2 Notification		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-143	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	J6.2.1 Activity table & J6.1.2 Notification		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-144	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character overlays areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-144	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character overlays areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-144	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character overlays areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-145	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-145	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-146	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-146	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-146	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-147	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-147	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-148	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Museum Viewshaft overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-148	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Museum Viewshaft overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-148	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Museum Viewshaft overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-149	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-149	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-150	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Ridgeline Protection overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-150	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Ridgeline Protection overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-150	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Ridgeline Protection overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-151	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-151	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-152	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Local Public Views overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-152	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Local Public Views overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-152	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Local Public Views overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-153	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-153	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-154	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Historic Heritage overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-154	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Historic Heritage overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-154	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Historic Heritage overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-155	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-155	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-156	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-156	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-156	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-157	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-157	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-158	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Sites and Places of Value to Mana Whenua overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-158	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Sites and Places of Value to Mana Whenua overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-158	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Sites and Places of Value to Mana Whenua overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-159	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character Business	J3.1.1-5 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-159	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character Business	J3.1.1-5 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-160	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Business overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-160	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Business overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-160	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Business overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-161	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.1-7 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-161	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.1-7 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-162	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Isthmus A, B and C overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-162	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Isthmus A, B and C overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-162	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Isthmus A, B and C overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-163	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-163	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-164	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Residential North Shore overlay for activities grouped under the sub headings: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-164	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Residential North Shore overlay for activities grouped under the sub headings: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-164	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table for significant infrastructure in the Special Character Residential North Shore overlay for activities grouped under the sub headings: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-165	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character - General	J3.5.1 - J3.5.6 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-165	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character - General	J3.5.1 - J3.5.6 Rules	Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-166	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character General overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-166	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character General overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-166	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in the Special Character General overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', 'Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', 'Telecommunications', 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-167	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.2 - Roads	Add a new rule 7 in the preamble to the activity table to read: '(7) For this activity table, unless otherwise specified, reference to an activity includes its investigation construction, operation, maintenance (including repair) and upgrade.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-167	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.2 - Roads	Add a new rule 7 in the preamble to the activity table to read: '(7) For this activity table, unless otherwise specified, reference to an activity includes its investigation construction, operation, maintenance (including repair) and upgrade.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-168	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.2 Notification	Delete and replace the notification provisions for the activity tables (including new table 1.1A) to state that Controlled and Restricted Discretionary activities are to be processed without public or limited notification or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties unless specific exemptions apply (including; identified specific activities and development control infringements), which are to be subject to RMA notification tests; and if special circumstances exist. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 88/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-168	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.2 Notification	Delete and replace the notification provisions for the activity tables (including new table 1.1A) to state that Controlled and Restricted Discretionary activities are to be processed without public or limited notification or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties unless specific exemptions apply (including; identified specific activities and development control infringements), which are to be subject to RMA notification tests; and if special circumstances exist. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 88/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-169	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new rule about determining the overall activity status, so that: (1) bundling will not apply between the regional and district plan sections or between the operative or proposed plan; and (2) that in the case linear networks, the application should be assessed in terms of the activity status applying in that location. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 88/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-169	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new rule about determining the overall activity status, so that: (1) bundling will not apply between the regional and district plan sections or between the operative or proposed plan; and (2) that in the case linear networks, the application should be assessed in terms of the activity status applying in that location. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 88/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-170	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete and replace the rule at '3. Development controls' to provide that permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activities that do not comply with one or more land use or development to be a restricted discretionary activity and cross references to the general rules. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 88/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-170	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete and replace the rule at '3. Development controls' to provide that permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activities that do not comply with one or more land use or development to be a restricted discretionary activity and cross references to the general rules. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 88/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-171	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.1(1) Temporary network utilities, to change the timing reference in the rule from disestablishment of temporary works to refer to the completion of the works, make reference to electricity generation facilities and make specific reference to the 'National Code of Practice for Utilities Working in Transport Corridors (2001). Refer to full submission and suggested wording [page 89/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-171	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.1(1) Temporary network utilities, to change the timing reference in the rule from disestablishment of temporary works to refer to the completion of the works, make reference to electricity generation facilities and make specific reference to the 'National Code of Practice for Utilities Working in Transport Corridors (2001). Refer to full submission and suggested wording [page 89/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-172	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.1(2) Building area, to change the name of the rule to 'Infrastructure footprint' increasing the size of structures in roads and strategic transport corridors from 6m2 to 10m2, providing maximum areas for network utility structures in residential zones of 20m2 and 30m2 in all other zones, except that electricity and telecommunication support structures (and pipelines) have no maximum limit and in the case of the Industrial and Business zones maximum area is governed by the relevant development controls. Refer to full submission and suggested wording [page 89/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-172	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.1(2) Building area, to change the name of the rule to 'Infrastructure footprint' increasing the size of structures in roads and strategic transport corridors from 6m2 to 10m2, providing maximum areas for network utility structures in residential zones of 20m2 and 30m2 in all other zones, except that electricity and telecommunication support structures (and pipelines) have no maximum limit and in the case of the Industrial and Business zones maximum area is governed by the relevant development controls. Refer to full submission and suggested wording [page 89/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-173	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.1(3) Height, retain 2.5m maximum height in roads and strategic transport corridors and increase the height in all other zones and overlays to 3.5m except that in the case of Industrial and Business zones the relevant development controls apply, and for substations and telephone exchanges within a building, where the relevant zone building envelope rules apply. Refer to full submission and suggested wording [page 89/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-173	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.1(3) Height, retain 2.5m maximum height in roads and strategic transport corridors and increase the height in all other zones and overlays to 3.5m except that in the case of Industrial and Business zones the relevant development controls apply, and for substations and telephone exchanges within a building, where the relevant zone building envelope rules apply. Refer to full submission and suggested wording [page 89/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-174	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete 3.1.2(1), (2) and (3) about Temporary network utilities, Building area and Height, and include these matters with the amended development controls at 3.1.1. Refer to full submission and suggested wording [page 90/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-174	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete 3.1.2(1), (2) and (3) about Temporary network utilities, Building area and Height, and include these matters with the amended development controls at 3.1.1. Refer to full submission and suggested wording [page 90/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-175	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.2(4) Yards, to exclude electricity and telecommunication support structures in the road or strategic transport corridor from the 1 metre setback from any site zoned Residential, Retirement Village or Māori Purpose. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 90/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-175	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.2(4) Yards, to exclude electricity and telecommunication support structures in the road or strategic transport corridor from the 1 metre setback from any site zoned Residential, Retirement Village or Māori Purpose. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 90/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-175	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend 3.1.2(4) Yards, to exclude electricity and telecommunication support structures in the road or strategic transport corridor from the 1 metre setback from any site zoned Residential, Retirement Village or Māori Purpose. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 90/252].	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2745-176	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule '5. Electricity transmission and distribution (Electromagnetic fields)' which will require network utilities to comply with the International Commission on Non-ionising Radiation Protection Guidelines and the recommendations from the World Health Organisation monograph Environmental Health Criteria. Utilities that do not comply with these standards will be a Non-complying Activity. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 91/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-176	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule '5. Electricity transmission and distribution (Electromagnetic fields)' which will require network utilities to comply with the International Commission on Non-ionising Radiation Protection Guidelines and the recommendations from the World Health Organisation monograph Environmental Health Criteria. Utilities that do not comply with these standards will be a Non-complying Activity. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 91/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-176	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule '5. Electricity transmission and distribution (Electromagnetic fields)' which will require network utilities to comply with the International Commission on Non-ionising Radiation Protection Guidelines and the recommendations from the World Health Organisation monograph Environmental Health Criteria. Utilities that do not comply with these standards will be a Non-complying Activity. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 91/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-177	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule '6. Ancillary Earthworks permitted standards for network utilities' which involve meeting best practice standards for erosion and sediment control measures and other standards about discharges, managing land stability, types of fill, access arrangements, dust and accidental discovery protocols for archaeological sites and soil contamination. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 91/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-177	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule '6. Ancillary Earthworks permitted standards for network utilities' which involve meeting best practice standards for erosion and sediment control measures and other standards about discharges, managing land stability, types of fill, access arrangements, dust and accidental discovery protocols for archaeological sites and soil contamination. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 91/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-178	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete and replace the clause at '3.1.3 Specific activities' as follows: 'The development controls below apply to specific activities. Where a development control below provides a different standard to that provided in 3.1.1, the standard below shall apply.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-178	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete and replace the clause at '3.1.3 Specific activities' as follows: 'The development controls below apply to specific activities. Where a development control below provides a different standard to that provided in 3.1.1, the standard below shall apply.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-178	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete and replace the clause at '3.1.3 Specific activities' as follows: 'The development controls below apply to specific activities. Where a development control below provides a different standard to that provided in 3.1.1, the standard below shall apply.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-179	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(a) about the standards for minor realignments including reconfiguration or relocation for (i) electricity, gas or telecommunications must be within 2 metres except that in the case of road widening or for safety reasons must be within 5 metres of the alignment or location; and (ii) water, wastewater and stormwater must be within 2 metres of the alignment or location. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-179	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(a) about the standards for minor realignments including reconfiguration or relocation for (i) electricity, gas or telecommunications must be within 2 metres except that in the case of road widening or for safety reasons must be within 5 metres of the alignment or location; and (ii) water, wastewater and stormwater must be within 2 metres of the alignment or location. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-179	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(a) about the standards for minor realignments including reconfiguration or relocation for (i) electricity, gas or telecommunications must be within 2 metres except that in the case of road widening or for safety reasons must be within 5 metres of the alignment or location; and (ii) water, wastewater and stormwater must be within 2 metres of the alignment or location. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-180	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(b) about the standards for alterations and additions to overhead electricity or telecommunication lines, including new lines and equipment. The identified standards limit minor upgrading in terms of specified standards for number of wires/conductors, the length of cross arms, the diameter of lines and volume of switches and transformers. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-180	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(b) about the standards for alterations and additions to overhead electricity or telecommunication lines, including new lines and equipment. The identified standards limit minor upgrading in terms of specified standards for number of wires/conductors, the length of cross arms, the diameter of lines and volume of switches and transformers. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-180	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(b) about the standards for alterations and additions to overhead electricity or telecommunication lines, including new lines and equipment. The identified standards limit minor upgrading in terms of specified standards for number of wires/conductors, the length of cross arms, the diameter of lines and volume of switches and transformers. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-181	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(c) about the standards for the addition or replacement of earthwires and underground earthgrids and above-ground conductors on poles. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-181	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(c) about the standards for the addition or replacement of earthwires and underground earthgrids and above-ground conductors on poles. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-181	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(c) about the standards for the addition or replacement of earthwires and underground earthgrids and above-ground conductors on poles. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-182	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(d) about the standards for replacing or adding poles. The standards limit minor upgrading to: (i) specifying the extent of change for the diameter of the pole; (ii) a maximum 25 metre height limit for the pole; and (iii) that the replacement pole must be within 2 metres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-182	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(d) about the standards for replacing or adding poles. The standards limit minor upgrading to: (i) specifying the extent of change for the diameter of the pole; (ii) a maximum 25 metre height limit for the pole; and (iii) that the replacement pole must be within 2 metres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-182	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(d) about the standards for replacing or adding poles. The standards limit minor upgrading to: (i) specifying the extent of change for the diameter of the pole; (ii) a maximum 25 metre height limit for the pole; and (iii) that the replacement pole must be within 2 metres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-183	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(e) about the standards for modifications of a pole. The standards limit minor upgrading to: (i) the extent of change for the diameter of the pole; (ii) the maximum height of the pole to 25 metres; and (iii) that the replacement pole must be within 2 metres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-183	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(e) about the standards for modifications of a pole. The standards limit minor upgrading to: (i) the extent of change for the diameter of the pole; (ii) the maximum height of the pole to 25 metres; and (iii) that the replacement pole must be within 2 metres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-183	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(e) about the standards for modifications of a pole. The standards limit minor upgrading to: (i) the extent of change for the diameter of the pole; (ii) the maximum height of the pole to 25 metres; and (iii) that the replacement pole must be within 2 metres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-184	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(f) about the standards for the installation of new mid-span electricity poles to addresses clearances as set out in the electrical code of practice. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-184	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(f) about the standards for the installation of new mid-span electricity poles to addresses clearances as set out in the electrical code of practice. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-184	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(f) about the standards for the installation of new mid-span electricity poles to addresses clearances as set out in the electrical code of practice. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-185	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(g) about allowing changes in power carrying or operating capacity, efficiency or security of electricity lines, gas distribution and telecommunication lines if they meet all other controls in clauses (c) - (f). Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-185	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(g) about allowing changes in power carrying or operating capacity, efficiency or security of electricity lines, gas distribution and telecommunication lines if they meet all other controls in clauses (c) - (f). Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-185	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(g) about allowing changes in power carrying or operating capacity, efficiency or security of electricity lines, gas distribution and telecommunication lines if they meet all other controls in clauses (c) - (f). Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-186	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(h) about the standards for the alteration or replacement of water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standards limit minor upgrading in terms of the width, length and/or height of structures, enabling an increase of 10 percent and the footprint of the original structure enabling an increase of 5 per cent to the height. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-186	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(h) about the standards for the alteration or replacement of water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standards limit minor upgrading in terms of the width, length and/or height of structures, enabling an increase of 10 percent and the footprint of the original structure enabling an increase of 5 per cent to the height. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-186	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(h) about the standards for the alteration or replacement of water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standards limit minor upgrading in terms of the width, length and/or height of structures, enabling an increase of 10 percent and the footprint of the original structure enabling an increase of 5 per cent to the height. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 92/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-187	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(i) about the standards for the replacement of above ground water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standard limits minor upgrading to pipes not exceeding 300 millimetres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-187	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(i) about the standards for the replacement of above ground water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standard limits minor upgrading to pipes not exceeding 300 millimetres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-187	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(i) about the standards for the replacement of above ground water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standard limits minor upgrading to pipes not exceeding 300 millimetres. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-188	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(j) about the standards for the replacement of underground water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standard limits minor upgrading to pipes so the diameter must not increase more than 50 per cent. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-188	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(j) about the standards for the replacement of underground water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standard limits minor upgrading to pipes so the diameter must not increase more than 50 per cent. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-188	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(j) about the standards for the replacement of underground water, wastewater or stormwater structures. The standard limits minor upgrading to pipes so the diameter must not increase more than 50 per cent. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-189	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(k) that minor upgrading cannot include a new or relocated outfall structure. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-189	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(k) that minor upgrading cannot include a new or relocated outfall structure. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-189	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(k) that minor upgrading cannot include a new or relocated outfall structure. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-190	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(l) that minor upgrading cannot increase the potential for flooding or erosion. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-190	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(l) that minor upgrading cannot increase the potential for flooding or erosion. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-190	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(l) that minor upgrading cannot increase the potential for flooding or erosion. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-191	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(m) about the standards for the replacement of an antenna with another antenna. The standards limit minor upgrading so there is no change in the maximum dimension of the antenna or if it is a dish antenna limit it to an increase of 20 per cent and overall height must not increase or increase only by the change in the new antenna size. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-191	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(m) about the standards for the replacement of an antenna with another antenna. The standards limit minor upgrading so there is no change in the maximum dimension of the antenna or if it is a dish antenna limit it to an increase of 20 per cent and overall height must not increase or increase only by the change in the new antenna size. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-191	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(m) about the standards for the replacement of an antenna with another antenna. The standards limit minor upgrading so there is no change in the maximum dimension of the antenna or if it is a dish antenna limit it to an increase of 20 per cent and overall height must not increase or increase only by the change in the new antenna size. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-192	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(n) to provide for service connections as minor upgrading. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-192	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(n) to provide for service connections as minor upgrading. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-192	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule at '3.1.3 Specific activities' about minor infrastructure upgrading, clause 1(n) to provide for service connections as minor upgrading. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-193	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule for Standalone pipe and cable bridges, with standards limiting (a) the length to 25 metres and (b) a maximum 1 metre width or diameter. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-193	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule for Standalone pipe and cable bridges, with standards limiting (a) the length to 25 metres and (b) a maximum 1 metre width or diameter. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-194	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule for 'Aboveground pipelines and ancillary structures for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater' with standard limiting above ground length to 30 metres and (b) a maximum 300 millimetre diameter. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-194	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule for 'Aboveground pipelines and ancillary structures for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater' with standard limiting above ground length to 30 metres and (b) a maximum 300 millimetre diameter. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-195	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete rules 3.1.3(2) and (3) under subheading 'Electricity transmission and distribution Electromagnetic fields'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-195	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete rules 3.1.3(2) and (3) under subheading 'Electricity transmission and distribution Electromagnetic fields'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-196	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend rule 3.1.3(4) under the heading 'Substations' to clarify that the noise standards should not be exceeded, removing reference to '~~when measured in a residential zone;~~ ' with the noise level measured at the boundary of the substation site or in rural zones, 1 metre from the facade of the closest residential building existing at the time the substation was constructed. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-196	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend rule 3.1.3(4) under the heading 'Substations' to clarify that the noise standards should not be exceeded, removing reference to '~~when measured in a residential zone;~~ ' with the noise level measured at the boundary of the substation site or in rural zones, 1 metre from the facade of the closest residential building existing at the time the substation was constructed. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-197	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under the sub heading 'Substations' to enable the underlying zone noise controls to be used in the event it is more permissive than the amended Rule 1.3(4). Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-197	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under the sub heading 'Substations' to enable the underlying zone noise controls to be used in the event it is more permissive than the amended Rule 1.3(4). Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 93/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-198	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under the sub heading 'Substations' to provide for substations that are not 'minor utility structures' with the following standards: (i) in all zones the size of the footprint is limited to 10m2, excluding the plinth, unless it is within a permitted or consented building; (ii) in the case of roads, substations must not be located above ground in the legal road carriageway, or where they will impede motorists ability to see traffic signals or pedestrian crossings, or where they will impede pedestrians use of the footpath. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 94/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-198	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under the sub heading 'Substations' to provide for substations that are not 'minor utility structures' with the following standards: (i) in all zones the size of the footprint is limited to 10m2, excluding the plinth, unless it is within a permitted or consented building; (ii) in the case of roads, substations must not be located above ground in the legal road carriageway, or where they will impede motorists ability to see traffic signals or pedestrian crossings, or where they will impede pedestrians use of the footpath. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 94/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-199	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Pole mounted transformers' specifying a maximum dimension for minor utility structures mounted on poles, being 2m3. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 94/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-199	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Pole mounted transformers' specifying a maximum dimension for minor utility structures mounted on poles, being 2m3. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 94/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-200	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend rule 3.13(5) to read: '(5) Antennas attached to buildings, excluding provision for smart meters, lighting rods…'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-200	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend rule 3.13(5) to read: '(5) Antennas attached to buildings, excluding provision for smart meters, lighting rods…'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-200	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend rule 3.13(5) to read: '(5) Antennas attached to buildings, excluding provision for smart meters, lighting rods…'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-201	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend the rules under the sub heading 'Maximum number of antennas' to clarify that: (i) the rules do not apply to antennas mounted on the fascia, below the roofline or to 'GPS antennas'; and (ii) that 'Ancillary radio frequency units' are not subject to these rules. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 95/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-201	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend the rules under the sub heading 'Maximum number of antennas' to clarify that: (i) the rules do not apply to antennas mounted on the fascia, below the roofline or to 'GPS antennas'; and (ii) that 'Ancillary radio frequency units' are not subject to these rules. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 95/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-201	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend the rules under the sub heading 'Maximum number of antennas' to clarify that: (i) the rules do not apply to antennas mounted on the fascia, below the roofline or to 'GPS antennas'; and (ii) that 'Ancillary radio frequency units' are not subject to these rules. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 95/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-202	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Small-scale electricity generation' to provide an additional 250 millimetres for solar panels on existing roofs. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 96/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-202	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Small-scale electricity generation' to provide an additional 250 millimetres for solar panels on existing roofs. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 96/252].	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2745-202	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Small-scale electricity generation' to provide an additional 250 millimetres for solar panels on existing roofs. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 96/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-202	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Small-scale electricity generation' to provide an additional 250 millimetres for solar panels on existing roofs. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 96/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-203	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend Rule 3.1.3(16) to limit the number of wind turbine towers for community scale electricity generation to five and add a table specifying for different zones the height of the towers and the maximum rotor diameter. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 96/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-203	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend Rule 3.1.3(16) to limit the number of wind turbine towers for community scale electricity generation to five and add a table specifying for different zones the height of the towers and the maximum rotor diameter. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 96/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-203	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend Rule 3.1.3(16) to limit the number of wind turbine towers for community scale electricity generation to five and add a table specifying for different zones the height of the towers and the maximum rotor diameter. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 96/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-204	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete Rule 3.1.3(19) and its sub heading about the setback for wind turbine towers.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-204	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Delete Rule 3.1.3(19) and its sub heading about the setback for wind turbine towers.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-205	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Communications associated with network utilities and electricity generation facilities not covered by NESTF' which specifies the standards which apply in instances on communication equipment not covered by the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 97/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-205	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Communications associated with network utilities and electricity generation facilities not covered by NESTF' which specifies the standards which apply in instances on communication equipment not covered by the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 97/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-205	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add a new rule under a new sub heading 'Communications associated with network utilities and electricity generation facilities not covered by NESTF' which specifies the standards which apply in instances on communication equipment not covered by the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 97/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-206	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 4.1 Matters of control, to, where referenced, change to 'significant visual effects', delete 'landscaping and fencing' and add matters for controlled activities in the overlays, which relate to significant adverse effects on the overall values relevant to identifying the area as an overlay and the functional requirements, network and community benefits of the infrastructure in the area. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 98/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-206	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 4.1 Matters of control, to, where referenced, change to 'significant visual effects', delete 'landscaping and fencing' and add matters for controlled activities in the overlays, which relate to significant adverse effects on the overall values relevant to identifying the area as an overlay and the functional requirements, network and community benefits of the infrastructure in the area. Refer to the full submission for details and suggested wording [page 98/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-207	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend the Controlled Activity assessment criteria, criterion 4.2(1)(a) as follows: 'significant adverse visual effects (including cumulative adverse effects) on the existing character of an area, should be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-207	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend the Controlled Activity assessment criteria, criterion 4.2(1)(a) as follows: 'significant adverse visual effects (including cumulative adverse effects) on the existing character of an area, should be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-207	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend the Controlled Activity assessment criteria, criterion 4.2(1)(a) as follows: 'significant adverse visual effects (including cumulative adverse effects) on the existing character of an area, should be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-208	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Delete from the Controlled Activity assessment criteria, criterion 4.2(5) about landscaping and fencing to mitigate visual impact and managing health and safety hazards.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-208	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Delete from the Controlled Activity assessment criteria, criterion 4.2(5) about landscaping and fencing to mitigate visual impact and managing health and safety hazards.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-209	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add new criteria to the Controlled Activity assessment criteria, about activities in the overlays considering the overall values or the area, reason/purpose for the overlay, the need for the infrastructure, minimising impacts, adverse effects arising if consent is refused, positive effects and benefits, and instance where there are multiple overlays taking this into account. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 99/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-209	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add new criteria to the Controlled Activity assessment criteria, about activities in the overlays considering the overall values or the area, reason/purpose for the overlay, the need for the infrastructure, minimising impacts, adverse effects arising if consent is refused, positive effects and benefits, and instance where there are multiple overlays taking this into account. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 99/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-209	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add new criteria to the Controlled Activity assessment criteria, about activities in the overlays considering the overall values or the area, reason/purpose for the overlay, the need for the infrastructure, minimising impacts, adverse effects arising if consent is refused, positive effects and benefits, and instance where there are multiple overlays taking this into account. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 99/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-210	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, to include a new '1A' for general matters applying to all restricted discretionary infrastructure applications, about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-210	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, to include a new '1A' for general matters applying to all restricted discretionary infrastructure applications, about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-210	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, to include a new '1A' for general matters applying to all restricted discretionary infrastructure applications, about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-211	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (1), to clarify the circumstances related to overhead lines and pole mounted transformers, and narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and the potential to 'significantly constrain future development' deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-211	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (1), to clarify the circumstances related to overhead lines and pole mounted transformers, and narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and the potential to 'significantly constrain future development' deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-211	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (1), to clarify the circumstances related to overhead lines and pole mounted transformers, and narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and the potential to 'significantly constrain future development' deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-212	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (2), about Aboveground gas distribution regulator stations, transmission substations, telecommunication cabinets that do not meet the permitted standard in the Resource Management Regulations 2008 and above ground reservoirs, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and to 'significant noise effects' deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-212	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (2), about Aboveground gas distribution regulator stations, transmission substations, telecommunication cabinets that do not meet the permitted standard in the Resource Management Regulations 2008 and above ground reservoirs, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and to 'significant noise effects' deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-212	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (2), about Aboveground gas distribution regulator stations, transmission substations, telecommunication cabinets that do not meet the permitted standard in the Resource Management Regulations 2008 and above ground reservoirs, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and to 'significant noise effects' deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 100/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-213	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add a new matter to 5.1 Matters of discretion, as follows: '3. Underground gas and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals' with matters restricted to the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-213	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add a new matter to 5.1 Matters of discretion, as follows: '3. Underground gas and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals' with matters restricted to the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-213	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add a new matter to 5.1 Matters of discretion, as follows: '3. Underground gas and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals' with matters restricted to the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-214	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (3), about antennas attached to buildings, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-214	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (3), about antennas attached to buildings, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-214	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (3), about antennas attached to buildings, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-215	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (4), about amateur radio configurations, to delete matter (c) as follows: '~~measures required to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects~~ '. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-215	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (4), about amateur radio configurations, to delete matter (c) as follows: '~~measures required to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects~~ '. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-215	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (4), about amateur radio configurations, to delete matter (c) as follows: '~~measures required to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects~~ '. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-216	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (5), about aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-216	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (5), about aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-216	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (5), about aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater, to narrow the matters to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other matters. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 101/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-217	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (6), about water and wastewater treatment plants to include 'aboveground reservoir' and to narrow the matters to 'significant' visual, noise and odour effects rather than all such effects and deleting the matters (c), (d), (e), (k) and (l) covered by the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 102/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-217	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (6), about water and wastewater treatment plants to include 'aboveground reservoir' and to narrow the matters to 'significant' visual, noise and odour effects rather than all such effects and deleting the matters (c), (d), (e), (k) and (l) covered by the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 102/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-217	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (6), about water and wastewater treatment plants to include 'aboveground reservoir' and to narrow the matters to 'significant' visual, noise and odour effects rather than all such effects and deleting the matters (c), (d), (e), (k) and (l) covered by the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 102/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-218	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (7), about community scale electricity generation and large-scale wind farms, to narrow the matters to 'significant' visual and noise effects rather than all such effects and deleting the matters (c), (d), (e), (k) and (i) covered by the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 102/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-218	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (7), about community scale electricity generation and large-scale wind farms, to narrow the matters to 'significant' visual and noise effects rather than all such effects and deleting the matters (c), (d), (e), (k) and (i) covered by the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 102/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-218	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (7), about community scale electricity generation and large-scale wind farms, to narrow the matters to 'significant' visual and noise effects rather than all such effects and deleting the matters (c), (d), (e), (k) and (i) covered by the new matter, clause '1A' being about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 102/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-219	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (8), about the construction of new roads, to narrow the matters to significant dust, noise and vibration effects rather than all such effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-219	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (8), about the construction of new roads, to narrow the matters to significant dust, noise and vibration effects rather than all such effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-220	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (9)(f), about pedestrian overpasses and underpasses, to narrow the matters to significant wind effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-220	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion, clause (9)(f), about pedestrian overpasses and underpasses, to narrow the matters to significant wind effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-221	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add to 5.1 Matters of discretion, a new clause, identifying the matters relevant to restricted discretionary activities in the overlays, including: (i) significant adverse effects on values relevant to the overlay area; (ii) the functional requirements/network benefits of providing the infrastructure within the overlay; and, (iii) the benefits to that community in the area to be served. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-221	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add to 5.1 Matters of discretion, a new clause, identifying the matters relevant to restricted discretionary activities in the overlays, including: (i) significant adverse effects on values relevant to the overlay area; (ii) the functional requirements/network benefits of providing the infrastructure within the overlay; and, (iii) the benefits to that community in the area to be served. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-221	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add to 5.1 Matters of discretion, a new clause, identifying the matters relevant to restricted discretionary activities in the overlays, including: (i) significant adverse effects on values relevant to the overlay area; (ii) the functional requirements/network benefits of providing the infrastructure within the overlay; and, (iii) the benefits to that community in the area to be served. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-222	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add new criteria '1A' to 5.2 Assessment criteria for Restricted Discretionary Activities about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-222	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add new criteria '1A' to 5.2 Assessment criteria for Restricted Discretionary Activities about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-222	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add new criteria '1A' to 5.2 Assessment criteria for Restricted Discretionary Activities about general infrastructure performance including technical and operational constraints, its benefits and the functional need to locate the infrastructure in that location proposed. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 103/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-223	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (1), for overhead electricity and telecommunication lines,to include overhead electricity lines greater than 110kV, and narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' cumulative effects on character and the potential to 'significantly constrain future development' deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 104/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-223	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (1), for overhead electricity and telecommunication lines,to include overhead electricity lines greater than 110kV, and narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' cumulative effects on character and the potential to 'significantly constrain future development' deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 104/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-223	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (1), for overhead electricity and telecommunication lines,to include overhead electricity lines greater than 110kV, and narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' cumulative effects on character and the potential to 'significantly constrain future development' deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 104/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-224	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (2), about Aboveground gas distribution regulator stations, transmission substations, telecommunication cabinets that do not meet the permitted standard in the Resource Management Regulations 2008 and above ground reservoirs, to narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' cumulative adverse effects on character, 'significant noise effects' and the integrity of the infrastructure and its network deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 105/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-224	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (2), about Aboveground gas distribution regulator stations, transmission substations, telecommunication cabinets that do not meet the permitted standard in the Resource Management Regulations 2008 and above ground reservoirs, to narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' cumulative adverse effects on character, 'significant noise effects' and the integrity of the infrastructure and its network deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 105/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-224	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (2), about Aboveground gas distribution regulator stations, transmission substations, telecommunication cabinets that do not meet the permitted standard in the Resource Management Regulations 2008 and above ground reservoirs, to narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' cumulative adverse effects on character, 'significant noise effects' and the integrity of the infrastructure and its network deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 105/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-225	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add new criteria to 5.2 Assessment criteria, as follows: '3. Underground gas and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals' with matters restricted to significant visual effects and cumulative adverse effects on character.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-225	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add new criteria to 5.2 Assessment criteria, as follows: '3. Underground gas and petroleum product transmission pipelines at a gauge pressure exceeding 2000 kilopascals' with matters restricted to significant visual effects and cumulative adverse effects on character.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-226	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, to delete clause (3)(b)(i), about the number antennas and potential adverse effects on visual amenity values on an area. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 105/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-226	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, to delete clause (3)(b)(i), about the number antennas and potential adverse effects on visual amenity values on an area. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 105/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-226	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, to delete clause (3)(b)(i), about the number antennas and potential adverse effects on visual amenity values on an area. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 105/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-227	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, to amend clause (4)(a), about Amateur radio configurations, as follows: 'Refer to the assessment criteria in 1a, 2b ~~and j~~ above'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-227	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, to amend clause (4)(a), about Amateur radio configurations, as follows: 'Refer to the assessment criteria in 1a, 2b ~~and j~~ above'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-228	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (5)(a), about Aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater, to narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-228	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (5)(a), about Aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater, to narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-228	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (5)(a), about Aboveground pipelines and fittings for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater, to narrow the criteria to 'significant visual effects' and deleting all other criteria. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-229	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (6), about Water and wastewater treatment plants to add Water reservoirs, to delete the cross reference to (1)(g) about electrical interference and to narrow the criterion about odour effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-229	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (6), about Water and wastewater treatment plants to add Water reservoirs, to delete the cross reference to (1)(g) about electrical interference and to narrow the criterion about odour effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-229	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (6), about Water and wastewater treatment plants to add Water reservoirs, to delete the cross reference to (1)(g) about electrical interference and to narrow the criterion about odour effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-230	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (7), about Community-scale electricity generation and large-scale wind farms, to include cross references to additional criteria including visual effects, noise and separation from existing dwellings. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-230	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (7), about Community-scale electricity generation and large-scale wind farms, to include cross references to additional criteria including visual effects, noise and separation from existing dwellings. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-230	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause (7), about Community-scale electricity generation and large-scale wind farms, to include cross references to additional criteria including visual effects, noise and separation from existing dwellings. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-231	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause 10(a)(i), about pedestrian overpasses and underpasses to narrow the criteria to the consideration of 'significant' adverse effects on street level activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-231	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause 10(a)(i), about pedestrian overpasses and underpasses to narrow the criteria to the consideration of 'significant' adverse effects on street level activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 106/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-232	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause 10(b) second bullet point, second point, about pedestrian overpasses and underpasses to narrow the criteria to the consideration of not 'significantly' obstruct views or visually prominent features. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 107/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-232	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause 10(b) second bullet point, second point, about pedestrian overpasses and underpasses to narrow the criteria to the consideration of not 'significantly' obstruct views or visually prominent features. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 107/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-233	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause 10(e)(i) about pedestrian overpasses and underpasses to narrow the criteria to the consideration of not 'significantly' detract from identified views/sightlines. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 107/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-233	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2 Assessment criteria, clause 10(e)(i) about pedestrian overpasses and underpasses to narrow the criteria to the consideration of not 'significantly' detract from identified views/sightlines. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 107/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-234	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add to 5.2 Assessment criteria, a new clause, identifying the criteria relevant to restricted discretionary activities in the overlays, including:the values of the overlay area; teh adverse effects on the values; minimising impact for infrastructure that cannot avoid the overlay; the functional requirements/network benefits of providing the infrastructure within the overlay; the benefits to that community in the area to be served. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 108/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-234	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add to 5.2 Assessment criteria, a new clause, identifying the criteria relevant to restricted discretionary activities in the overlays, including:the values of the overlay area; teh adverse effects on the values; minimising impact for infrastructure that cannot avoid the overlay; the functional requirements/network benefits of providing the infrastructure within the overlay; the benefits to that community in the area to be served. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 108/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-234	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Add to 5.2 Assessment criteria, a new clause, identifying the criteria relevant to restricted discretionary activities in the overlays, including:the values of the overlay area; teh adverse effects on the values; minimising impact for infrastructure that cannot avoid the overlay; the functional requirements/network benefits of providing the infrastructure within the overlay; the benefits to that community in the area to be served. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 108/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-235	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend Development control infringements Matters of discretion 6.1(1) to: (i) clarify the matter providing also for investigation, construction and upgrading in roads and including zones; (ii) where referenced to narrow the matter to 'significant visual effects'; (iii) changing the term from 'Building area' to 'Infrastructure Footprint'; (iv) where referenced changing this matter as follows: 'Function and amenity of the streetscape (in roads only)'. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 108/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-235	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend Development control infringements Matters of discretion 6.1(1) to: (i) clarify the matter providing also for investigation, construction and upgrading in roads and including zones; (ii) where referenced to narrow the matter to 'significant visual effects'; (iii) changing the term from 'Building area' to 'Infrastructure Footprint'; (iv) where referenced changing this matter as follows: 'Function and amenity of the streetscape (in roads only)'. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 108/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-235	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend Development control infringements Matters of discretion 6.1(1) to: (i) clarify the matter providing also for investigation, construction and upgrading in roads and including zones; (ii) where referenced to narrow the matter to 'significant visual effects'; (iii) changing the term from 'Building area' to 'Infrastructure Footprint'; (iv) where referenced changing this matter as follows: 'Function and amenity of the streetscape (in roads only)'. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 108/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-236	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend Development control infringements Matters of discretion 6.1(2) for Yards to: (i) limit the matter so it does not apply in roads; (ii) where referenced, to narrow the matter to 'significant visual effects'; and (iii) delete criteria about height in relation to boundary and measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 109/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-236	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend Development control infringements Matters of discretion 6.1(2) for Yards to: (i) limit the matter so it does not apply in roads; (ii) where referenced, to narrow the matter to 'significant visual effects'; and (iii) delete criteria about height in relation to boundary and measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 109/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-236	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend Development control infringements Matters of discretion 6.1(2) for Yards to: (i) limit the matter so it does not apply in roads; (ii) where referenced, to narrow the matter to 'significant visual effects'; and (iii) delete criteria about height in relation to boundary and measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 109/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-237	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 6.2(1) to: (i) clarify the criteria providing also for investigation, construction and upgrading in roads and including zones; (ii) where referenced to narrow the matter to 'significant visual effects'; (iii) where referenced changing the criterion as follows: 'Function and amenity of the streetscape (in roads only)'; (iv) add the criteria for yard infringements cross reference other criteria for significant visual effects, measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects and design and scale. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 108/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-237	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 6.2(1) to: (i) clarify the criteria providing also for investigation, construction and upgrading in roads and including zones; (ii) where referenced to narrow the matter to 'significant visual effects'; (iii) where referenced changing the criterion as follows: 'Function and amenity of the streetscape (in roads only)'; (iv) add the criteria for yard infringements cross reference other criteria for significant visual effects, measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects and design and scale. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 108/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-238	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Delete Development control infringements Assessment criteria 6.2(2) criteria about Operation, repair, and maintenance and development of network utilities and electricity generation facilities in zones. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 110/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-238	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Delete Development control infringements Assessment criteria 6.2(2) criteria about Operation, repair, and maintenance and development of network utilities and electricity generation facilities in zones. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 110/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-238	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Delete Development control infringements Assessment criteria 6.2(2) criteria about Operation, repair, and maintenance and development of network utilities and electricity generation facilities in zones. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 110/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-239	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Add a new activity for Network Utilities and road networks: 'Earthworks not meeting general controls 2.1.1' as a controlled activity in all zones to the activity table.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-239	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Add a new activity for Network Utilities and road networks: 'Earthworks not meeting general controls 2.1.1' as a controlled activity in all zones to the activity table.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-240	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the activity description 'Earthworks up to 2500m2 or 2500m3 ~~for installation~~ other than for maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-240	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the activity description 'Earthworks up to 2500m2 or 2500m3 ~~for installation~~ other than for maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-241	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the heading in the activity table: ' ~~General~~ Earthworks not expressly above'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-241	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the heading in the activity table: ' ~~General~~ Earthworks not expressly above'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-242	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the activity description 'Discharge of treated sediment laden water from any earthworks allowed by a resource consent, under a regional land use consent in the above tables'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-242	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the activity description 'Discharge of treated sediment laden water from any earthworks allowed by a resource consent, under a regional land use consent in the above tables'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-243	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Add a new activity 'Earthworks up to and including 1000m3 or 1000m2 *Except that the applicable limit shall be 25m3 or 100m2 for new network utilities or 5m3 or 25m2 for works relating to existing network utilities' [in the Costal Protection Yard and Riparian Yards and Sites of Significance to Mana Whenua] as a permitted activity in all overlays in the activity table (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-243	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Add a new activity 'Earthworks up to and including 1000m3 or 1000m2 *Except that the applicable limit shall be 25m3 or 100m2 for new network utilities or 5m3 or 25m2 for works relating to existing network utilities' [in the Costal Protection Yard and Riparian Yards and Sites of Significance to Mana Whenua] as a permitted activity in all overlays in the activity table (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-244	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the activity description 'Earthworks up to 2500m2 or 2500m3 to add to the following 'excluding maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-244	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the activity description 'Earthworks up to 2500m2 or 2500m3 to add to the following 'excluding maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-245	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the activity description Earthworks greater than 2500m2 or 2500m3 to add to the following 'except for maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-245	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the activity description Earthworks greater than 2500m2 or 2500m3 to add to the following 'except for maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-246	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Add a new activity 'Earthworks within existing roads' as a permitted activity in all overlays in the activity table (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-246	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Add a new activity 'Earthworks within existing roads' as a permitted activity in all overlays in the activity table (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-247	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the heading in the activity table: 'General Earthworks not expressly above in this table'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-247	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the heading in the activity table: 'General Earthworks not expressly above in this table'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-248	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Add a new activity 'Earthworks up to and including 10m3 or 100m2 for new network utilities or 5m3 or 25m2 for works relating to existing network utilities' as a permiited activity in all types of feature (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-248	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Add a new activity 'Earthworks up to and including 10m3 or 100m2 for new network utilities or 5m3 or 25m2 for works relating to existing network utilities' as a permiited activity in all types of feature (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-249	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Amend the activity table as follows: 'Earthworks up to 2500m2 or 2500m3 ~~for installation~~ except for maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-249	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Amend the activity table as follows: 'Earthworks up to 2500m2 or 2500m3 ~~for installation~~ except for maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-250	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Amend the activity table as follows: 'Earthworks greater than 2500m2 or 2500m3 except for maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-250	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Amend the activity table as follows: 'Earthworks greater than 2500m2 or 2500m3 except for maintenance, repair, service connections and minor infrastructure upgrading' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-251	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Amend the activity table as follows: '~~General~~ Notwithstanding the above, earthworks to the level permitted in the Earthworks section of this activity table below' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-251	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Amend the activity table as follows: '~~General~~ Notwithstanding the above, earthworks to the level permitted in the Earthworks section of this activity table below' (under the heading 'Network utilities and road networks')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-252	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Amend the heading in the activity table: '~~General~~ Earthworks not expressly either permitted or requiring resource consent above in this table'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-252	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.1.3 Activity table - ONFs		Amend the heading in the activity table: '~~General~~ Earthworks not expressly either permitted or requiring resource consent above in this table'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-253	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend the heading of 2.1.1 'General controls applying the network utility operations'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-253	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend the heading of 2.1.1 'General controls applying the network utility operations'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-253	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend the heading of 2.1.1 'General controls applying the network utility operations'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-254	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '1. Best practice erosion and sediment control measures must be implemented for the duration of the earthworks. Those measures must be installed prior to the commencement of earthworks and maintained until the site is stabilised against erosion. Best practice in Auckland is generally deemed to be compliance with Auckland Council Technical Publication 90 Erosion and Sediment Control Guideline for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region or an approved sediment management plan.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-254	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '1. Best practice erosion and sediment control measures must be implemented for the duration of the earthworks. Those measures must be installed prior to the commencement of earthworks and maintained until the site is stabilised against erosion. Best practice in Auckland is generally deemed to be compliance with Auckland Council Technical Publication 90 Erosion and Sediment Control Guideline for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region or an approved sediment management plan.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-255	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '2. Dewatering of trenches and other excavations must be done in accordance with best practice and must not result in a discharge of untreated sediment laden water to any stormwater reticulation system or water body.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-255	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '2. Dewatering of trenches and other excavations must be done in accordance with best practice and must not result in a discharge of untreated sediment laden water to any stormwater reticulation system or water body.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-256	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '3. Works must not result in any instability of land or structures at or beyond the boundary of the property where the earthworks occurs.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-256	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '3. Works must not result in any instability of land or structures at or beyond the boundary of the property where the earthworks occurs.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-257	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '4. The earthworks must not alter the configuration of an overland flow path i.e. the works must maintain the same route of the overland flow path, maintain the same entry and exit point at the site boundary, and not alter the volume and velocity of water flow. Earth and other material stockpiles and must not be stored within an overland flow path unless provided for under alternative consent or approved sediment management plan.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-257	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '4. The earthworks must not alter the configuration of an overland flow path i.e. the works must maintain the same route of the overland flow path, maintain the same entry and exit point at the site boundary, and not alter the volume and velocity of water flow. Earth and other material stockpiles and must not be stored within an overland flow path unless provided for under alternative consent or approved sediment management plan.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-258	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '5. Only cleanfill material may be imported and utilised as part of the earthworks or as provided via other statutory provisions and approvals.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-258	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '5. Only cleanfill material may be imported and utilised as part of the earthworks or as provided via other statutory provisions and approvals.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-259	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '6. Access to public footpaths, berms, private properties, public services/utilities, or public reserves must not be obstructed unless necessary to prevent harm to the public or an approved traffic management plan.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-259	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '6. Access to public footpaths, berms, private properties, public services/utilities, or public reserves must not be obstructed unless necessary to prevent harm to the public or an approved traffic management plan.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls')	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-260	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '7. Measures must be implemented to ensure that any discharge of dust beyond the boundary of the site is avoided or limited such that it does not cause nuisance.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-260	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '7. Measures must be implemented to ensure that any discharge of dust beyond the boundary of the site is avoided or limited such that it does not cause nuisance.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-261	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '8. Archaeological and soil contamination accidental discovery protocols shall be implemented.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-261	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Add a new permitted activity control for network utility operations: '8. Archaeological and soil contamination accidental discovery protocols shall be implemented.' (to 2.1.1 'General Controls').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-262	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Retain recognition of the need to strengthen infrastructure and amend the last paragraph in A1.3 'Our growing population', to delete the undefined terms '~~social and physical~~' (infrastructure).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-262	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Retain recognition of the need to strengthen infrastructure and amend the last paragraph in A1.3 'Our growing population', to delete the undefined terms '~~social and physical~~' (infrastructure).	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
2745-262	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Retain recognition of the need to strengthen infrastructure and amend the last paragraph in A1.3 'Our growing population', to delete the undefined terms '~~social and physical~~' (infrastructure).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-263	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Retain and amend A1.4 'Our urban environment', to specifically refer to 'significant infrastructure', in the first paragraph. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 122/252]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-263	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Retain and amend A1.4 'Our urban environment', to specifically refer to 'significant infrastructure', in the first paragraph. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 122/252]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-264	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Add a new paragraph to A1.5 'Or rural and coastal environment' to recognise the need for these areas to be appropriately services by network utility infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 122/252]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-264	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Add a new paragraph to A1.5 'Or rural and coastal environment' to recognise the need for these areas to be appropriately services by network utility infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 122/252]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-265	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Retain and amend 3.1 'Auckland Plan', to include a new third bullet point to recognise the provision of infrastructure as one of the Unitary Plan's roles in implementing the Auckland Plan. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 123/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-265	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Retain and amend 3.1 'Auckland Plan', to include a new third bullet point to recognise the provision of infrastructure as one of the Unitary Plan's roles in implementing the Auckland Plan. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 123/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-265	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Retain and amend 3.1 'Auckland Plan', to include a new third bullet point to recognise the provision of infrastructure as one of the Unitary Plan's roles in implementing the Auckland Plan. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 123/252].	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2745-266	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Retain and amend the second and third paragraphs in 3.4 'Quality compact Auckland' to recognise that infrastructure needs to be enabled if the vision of a quality compact city is to be achieved. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 123-123/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-266	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Retain and amend the second and third paragraphs in 3.4 'Quality compact Auckland' to recognise that infrastructure needs to be enabled if the vision of a quality compact city is to be achieved. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 123-123/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-267	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.2 Area based planning tools	Retain 4.2 'Area based planning tools' subject to ensuring that the provisions giving effect to these tools provide for network utility infrastructure, including ensuring there is capacity, as part of the plan development and approval.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-267	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.2 Area based planning tools	Retain 4.2 'Area based planning tools' subject to ensuring that the provisions giving effect to these tools provide for network utility infrastructure, including ensuring there is capacity, as part of the plan development and approval.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-268	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Retain 4.3 'Legal effect of Unitary Plan rules' and review PAUP provisions as necessary to ensure the correct rules are identified as having immediate legal effect.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-268	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Retain 4.3 'Legal effect of Unitary Plan rules' and review PAUP provisions as necessary to ensure the correct rules are identified as having immediate legal effect.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-269	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Amend 4.4 'Identifying the regional policy statement, regional plan, regional coastal plan and district plan provisions within the Unitary Plan' to retain the first sentence of the second paragraph (relating to the content of Part 1 of the PAUP) and delete the rest of second paragraph (relating to certain RPS provisions being applicable at the regional and district plan level). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-269	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Amend 4.4 'Identifying the regional policy statement, regional plan, regional coastal plan and district plan provisions within the Unitary Plan' to retain the first sentence of the second paragraph (relating to the content of Part 1 of the PAUP) and delete the rest of second paragraph (relating to certain RPS provisions being applicable at the regional and district plan level). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-269	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Amend 4.4 'Identifying the regional policy statement, regional plan, regional coastal plan and district plan provisions within the Unitary Plan' to retain the first sentence of the second paragraph (relating to the content of Part 1 of the PAUP) and delete the rest of second paragraph (relating to certain RPS provisions being applicable at the regional and district plan level). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-270	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Add a new section, A4.5, to expressly recognise the need for an overall judgement approach to be taken to objectives and policies, both at the RPS and regional and district plan levels respectively (consistent with the approach taken by the Canterbury Regional Air Land and Water Plan) and that no single objective or policy should be read in isolation. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-270	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Add a new section, A4.5, to expressly recognise the need for an overall judgement approach to be taken to objectives and policies, both at the RPS and regional and district plan levels respectively (consistent with the approach taken by the Canterbury Regional Air Land and Water Plan) and that no single objective or policy should be read in isolation. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-270	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Add a new section, A4.5, to expressly recognise the need for an overall judgement approach to be taken to objectives and policies, both at the RPS and regional and district plan levels respectively (consistent with the approach taken by the Canterbury Regional Air Land and Water Plan) and that no single objective or policy should be read in isolation. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-271	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.1 Structure of the Unitary Plan	Add a new provision at the start of Chapter B RPS and Part 2 Regional objectives and policies and district objectives and policies, to acknowledge the need for objectives and policies to be considered together within the separate planning levels. [Alternative relief to including this provision in a new section A4.5] Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-271	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.1 Structure of the Unitary Plan	Add a new provision at the start of Chapter B RPS and Part 2 Regional objectives and policies and district objectives and policies, to acknowledge the need for objectives and policies to be considered together within the separate planning levels. [Alternative relief to including this provision in a new section A4.5] Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support
2745-271	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.1 Structure of the Unitary Plan	Add a new provision at the start of Chapter B RPS and Part 2 Regional objectives and policies and district objectives and policies, to acknowledge the need for objectives and policies to be considered together within the separate planning levels. [Alternative relief to including this provision in a new section A4.5] Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-271	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.1 Structure of the Unitary Plan	Add a new provision at the start of Chapter B RPS and Part 2 Regional objectives and policies and district objectives and policies, to acknowledge the need for objectives and policies to be considered together within the separate planning levels. [Alternative relief to including this provision in a new section A4.5] Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 125/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-272	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for and growth intensification are managed and ensuring the process, and its multiple steps, will work in practice for network utility operators. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-272	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for and growth intensification are managed and ensuring the process, and its multiple steps, will work in practice for network utility operators. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-272	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for and growth intensification are managed and ensuring the process, and its multiple steps, will work in practice for network utility operators. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-273	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.2 A quality built environment] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-273	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.2 A quality built environment] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-273	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.2 A quality built environment] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-274	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.3 Development capacity and supply of land for urban development] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for and growth and intensification are managed and ensuring the process, and its multiple steps, will work in practice for network utility operators. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-274	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.3 Development capacity and supply of land for urban development] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for and growth and intensification are managed and ensuring the process, and its multiple steps, will work in practice for network utility operators. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2733	Hugh Green Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-274	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.3 Development capacity and supply of land for urban development] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for and growth and intensification are managed and ensuring the process, and its multiple steps, will work in practice for network utility operators. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-274	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.3 Development capacity and supply of land for urban development] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for and growth and intensification are managed and ensuring the process, and its multiple steps, will work in practice for network utility operators. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-275	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-275	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-275	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-276	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.6 Public open space and recreation facilities	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.6 Public open space and recreation] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-276	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.6 Public open space and recreation facilities	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.6 Public open space and recreation] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-276	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.6 Public open space and recreation facilities	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.6 Public open space and recreation] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-277	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.7 Social infrastructure	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.7 Social infrastructure] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-277	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.7 Social infrastructure	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.7 Social infrastructure] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-277	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.7 Social infrastructure	Amend B2 'Enabling quality urban growth' [B2.7 Social infrastructure] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-278	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-278	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-278	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-279	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-279	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-279	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-280	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.3 Transport] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-280	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.3 Transport] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-280	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend B3 'Enabling economic well-being' [B3.3 Transport] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-281	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.1 Historic heritage	Amend B4 'Protecting our historic heritage, historic character and natural heritage' [B4.1 Historic Heritage] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. Also amend objectives and policies to balance protecting historic heritage with provision of infrastructure. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-281	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.1 Historic heritage	Amend B4 'Protecting our historic heritage, historic character and natural heritage' [B4.1 Historic Heritage] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. Also amend objectives and policies to balance protecting historic heritage with provision of infrastructure. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-282	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Amend B4 'Protecting our historic heritage, historic character and natural heritage' [B4.2 Special character] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-282	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Amend B4 'Protecting our historic heritage, historic character and natural heritage' [B4.2 Special character] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-283	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Amend B4 'Protecting our historic heritage, historic character and natural heritage' [B4.3 Natural Heritage] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. Also amend objectives and policies to allow infrastructure to locate in natural heritage areas and reflect the competing interests of development and natural heritage.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-283	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Amend B4 'Protecting our historic heritage, historic character and natural heritage' [B4.3 Natural Heritage] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS. Also amend objectives and policies to allow infrastructure to locate in natural heritage areas and reflect the competing interests of development and natural heritage.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-284	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.3 Freshwater and geothermal water] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-284	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.3 Freshwater and geothermal water] to ensure that significant infrastructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-285	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.4 Land- hazardous substances	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.4 Land-hazardous substances] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-285	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.4 Land- hazardous substances	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.4 Land-hazardous substances] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-285	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.4 Land- hazardous substances	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.4 Land-hazardous substances] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-286	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.5 Land - contaminated	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.5 Land-contaminated] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-286	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.5 Land - contaminated	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.5 Land-contaminated] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-286	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.5 Land - contaminated	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.5 Land-contaminated] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-287	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.7 Natural hazards	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.7 Natural hazards] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-287	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.7 Natural hazards	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.7 Natural hazards] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-287	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.7 Natural hazards	Amend B6 'Sustainably managing our resources' [B6.7 Natural hazards] to ensure that significant infratsructure, including network utilities, are recognised and provided for. Recognition should be given to the role network utilities play in underpinning the eight issues identified in the RPS.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-288	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.1 Historic heritage	Amend the RPS accurately map the location of heritage places,	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-288	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.1 Historic heritage	Amend the RPS accurately map the location of heritage places,	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support
2745-288	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.1 Historic heritage	Amend the RPS accurately map the location of heritage places,	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-289	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend the explanation, objectives and policies to recognise and provide for utilities including: (i) the need for network utilities to maintain clearance for health, safety and operational reasons (e.g under the Electricity Hazards from Trees Regulations 2003 and Telecommunications Act 2001) (ii) clarifying that many publicly owned trees are located in roads, public open spaces and shared spaces are used for various activities. There needs to be an appropriate management approach with trees in roads and public open spaces that enables utility work around trees while maintaining the values associated with trees.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-289	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend the explanation, objectives and policies to recognise and provide for utilities including: (i) the need for network utilities to maintain clearance for health, safety and operational reasons (e.g under the Electricity Hazards from Trees Regulations 2003 and Telecommunications Act 2001) (ii) clarifying that many publicly owned trees are located in roads, public open spaces and shared spaces are used for various activities. There needs to be an appropriate management approach with trees in roads and public open spaces that enables utility work around trees while maintaining the values associated with trees.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-290	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise that values associated with biodiversity needs to be considered against the need to provide network utility infrastructure and that it will not always be posible to avoid these areas.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-290	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise that values associated with biodiversity needs to be considered against the need to provide network utility infrastructure and that it will not always be posible to avoid these areas.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
2745-290	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise that values associated with biodiversity needs to be considered against the need to provide network utility infrastructure and that it will not always be posible to avoid these areas.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-291	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Amend the whole of B5 'Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including amending objectives and policies to balance these issues with the provision of infrastructure and to provide certainty regarding when a cultural impact assessment is appropriate. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-291	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Amend the whole of B5 'Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including amending objectives and policies to balance these issues with the provision of infrastructure and to provide certainty regarding when a cultural impact assessment is appropriate. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-292	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Coastal	B7 Strategic	Amend the whole of B7 'Sustainably managing our coastal environment', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including ensuring that any assessment regarding protecting and managing the coastal environment is considered against the need to provide network utilities. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-292	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Coastal	B7 Strategic	Amend the whole of B7 'Sustainably managing our coastal environment', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including ensuring that any assessment regarding protecting and managing the coastal environment is considered against the need to provide network utilities. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose
2745-292	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Coastal	B7 Strategic	Amend the whole of B7 'Sustainably managing our coastal environment', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including ensuring that any assessment regarding protecting and managing the coastal environment is considered against the need to provide network utilities. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-293	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Rural	B8 Strategic	Amend the whole of B8 'Sustainably managing our rural environment', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including reviewing the manner in which growth and intensification are to be managed and ensuring that the process will work in practice for network utility operators. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-293	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Rural	B8 Strategic	Amend the whole of B8 'Sustainably managing our rural environment', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including reviewing the manner in which growth and intensification are to be managed and ensuring that the process will work in practice for network utility operators. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-294	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Climate change		Amend the whole of B9 'Responding to climate change', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including ensuring that any assessment of the need to respond to climate change is considered against the need to provide network utilities. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-294	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Climate change		Amend the whole of B9 'Responding to climate change', to recognise and provide for network utilities, including ensuring that any assessment of the need to respond to climate change is considered against the need to provide network utilities. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting objective and policy framework addressing significant infrastructure]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-295	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add a new bullet point [Cross-boundary issue]: 'Infrastructure Linkages'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-295	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add a new bullet point [Cross-boundary issue]: 'Infrastructure Linkages'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-296	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add two new items (7 and 8) under the heading 'Processes for dealing with cross boundary issues' to include processes that would aid in the cross boundary issues relevant to infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 132/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-296	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add two new items (7 and 8) under the heading 'Processes for dealing with cross boundary issues' to include processes that would aid in the cross boundary issues relevant to infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 132/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-297	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B10 RPS - Methods, Table 1	Amend to ensure methods, results and monitoring provisions are included for network utilities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-297	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B10 RPS - Methods, Table 1	Amend to ensure methods, results and monitoring provisions are included for network utilities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-298	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B10 RPS - Methods, Table 1	Amend to ensure anticipated results for network utilities are included to co-ordinate land use and infrastructure planning.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-298	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B10 RPS - Methods, Table 1	Amend to ensure anticipated results for network utilities are included to co-ordinate land use and infrastructure planning.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-299	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend to ensure these read as environmental results (rather than monitoring methods)	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-299	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend to ensure these read as environmental results (rather than monitoring methods)	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-300	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.1 Structure of the Unitary Plan	Add a new set of strategic objectives and policies to chapter C to provide an overview of the resource management policy framework for the Auckland region in its entirety. Refer to full submission for further detail [page 133/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-300	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.1 Structure of the Unitary Plan	Add a new set of strategic objectives and policies to chapter C to provide an overview of the resource management policy framework for the Auckland region in its entirety. Refer to full submission for further detail [page 133/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-301	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend to include specific provisions addressing significant infrastructure, identify which objectives and policies are district or regional and to address any gaps in the policy framework. Refer to full submission for further detail [page 134/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-301	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend to include specific provisions addressing significant infrastructure, identify which objectives and policies are district or regional and to address any gaps in the policy framework. Refer to full submission for further detail [page 134/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-301	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend to include specific provisions addressing significant infrastructure, identify which objectives and policies are district or regional and to address any gaps in the policy framework. Refer to full submission for further detail [page 134/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-302	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.3 Use of designations within the road corridor		Retain, subject to amending objective 1 (relating to when it is appropriate to designate the road corridor), to clarify the intent to recognise existing and future infrastructure. Refer to full submission for suggested wording [page 135/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-302	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.3 Use of designations within the road corridor		Retain, subject to amending objective 1 (relating to when it is appropriate to designate the road corridor), to clarify the intent to recognise existing and future infrastructure. Refer to full submission for suggested wording [page 135/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-303	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.3 Use of designations within the road corridor		Retain, subject to amending policy 1 (relating to when it is appropriate to designate the road corridor), to clarify the intent to recognise existing and future infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 135/245].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-303	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.3 Use of designations within the road corridor		Retain, subject to amending policy 1 (relating to when it is appropriate to designate the road corridor), to clarify the intent to recognise existing and future infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 135/245].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-304	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Auckland wide - Māori Land	C2.1 Background, objectives and policies	Retain, subject to amendments to this section to allow for the occupation, development and use of this land for significant infrastructure if the adverse effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated. (In particular, objective 4 and policy 8 which specifically refer to significant infrastructure).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-304	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Auckland wide - Māori Land	C2.1 Background, objectives and policies	Retain, subject to amendments to this section to allow for the occupation, development and use of this land for significant infrastructure if the adverse effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated. (In particular, objective 4 and policy 8 which specifically refer to significant infrastructure).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-305	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Auckland wide - Treaty settlement land	C2.2 Background, objectives and policies	Retain, subject to amendments to this section to allow for the occupation, development and use of this land for significant infrastructure if the adverse effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated. (In particular, objective 5 and policy 9 which specifically refer to significant infrastructure).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-305	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Auckland wide - Treaty settlement land	C2.2 Background, objectives and policies	Retain, subject to amendments to this section to allow for the occupation, development and use of this land for significant infrastructure if the adverse effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated. (In particular, objective 5 and policy 9 which specifically refer to significant infrastructure).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-306	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Retain, subject to amendments to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: (i) clearly identifying the areas to be protected; (ii) recognising that an assessment of effects on historic heritage will not be required for all resource consents: (iii) and avoiding all adverse effects in relation to provision of infrastructure is not practicable.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-306	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Retain, subject to amendments to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: (i) clearly identifying the areas to be protected; (ii) recognising that an assessment of effects on historic heritage will not be required for all resource consents: (iii) and avoiding all adverse effects in relation to provision of infrastructure is not practicable.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-307	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend whole section to recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure, to ensure there is a balance to protecting street trees with other uses and developments. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-307	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend whole section to recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure, to ensure there is a balance to protecting street trees with other uses and developments. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	1812	The Tree Council	Oppose in Part
2745-307	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend whole section to recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure, to ensure there is a balance to protecting street trees with other uses and developments. [As an alternative to adding a new issue and supporting framework for significant infrastructure.]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-308	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend objective 3 (enabling the efficient maintenance and upgrading of utilities) to be phrased less like a policy and remove reference to 'net loss in the values of trees', and add a new objective to specifically address the regulatory requirements of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 137-138/245].	728	WEL Networks Limited	Support
2745-308	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend objective 3 (enabling the efficient maintenance and upgrading of utilities) to be phrased less like a policy and remove reference to 'net loss in the values of trees', and add a new objective to specifically address the regulatory requirements of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 137-138/245].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-308	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend objective 3 (enabling the efficient maintenance and upgrading of utilities) to be phrased less like a policy and remove reference to 'net loss in the values of trees', and add a new objective to specifically address the regulatory requirements of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 137-138/245].	1812	The Tree Council	Oppose in Part
2745-308	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend objective 3 (enabling the efficient maintenance and upgrading of utilities) to be phrased less like a policy and remove reference to 'net loss in the values of trees', and add a new objective to specifically address the regulatory requirements of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 137-138/245].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-309	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend policy 1 to ensure that all network utility activities are addressed and add a new policy to specifically address the regulatory requirements of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 138/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-309	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend policy 1 to ensure that all network utility activities are addressed and add a new policy to specifically address the regulatory requirements of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 138/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-310	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend the first paragraph to apply to the maintenance and operation of network utilities generally, in particular underground services (rather than reference 'major infrastructure projects'). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 138/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-310	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend the first paragraph to apply to the maintenance and operation of network utilities generally, in particular underground services (rather than reference 'major infrastructure projects'). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 138/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-311	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Add a new objective and policy to enable earthworks required for infrastructure while ensuring adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 139/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-311	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Add a new objective and policy to enable earthworks required for infrastructure while ensuring adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 139/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	2633	Murphys Development Limited	Support
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	2737	Rayonier New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	3059	Hancock Forest Management (New Zealand) Limited	Support in Part
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	3486	Karaka and Drury Consultant Limited	Support
2745-312	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 2(e) and policy 4 (relating to maintaining cultural and spiritual values of Mana Whenua and managing impacts on Mana Whenua cultural heritage) [preferred relief] or amend these policies to ensure these values are considered along with the need to provide for network utility infrastructure [alternate relief].	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
2745-313	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 5(a) and (b), under 'Discharge policies' (relating to the Macroinvertebrate Community Index).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-313	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 5(a) and (b), under 'Discharge policies' (relating to the Macroinvertebrate Community Index).	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-313	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 5(a) and (b), under 'Discharge policies' (relating to the Macroinvertebrate Community Index).	2633	Murphys Development Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-313	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 5(a) and (b), under 'Discharge policies' (relating to the Macroinvertebrate Community Index).	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2745-313	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 5(a) and (b), under 'Discharge policies' (relating to the Macroinvertebrate Community Index).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-313	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 5(a) and (b), under 'Discharge policies' (relating to the Macroinvertebrate Community Index).	3486	Karaka and Drury Consultant Limited	Support
2745-313	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Delete policy 5(a) and (b), under 'Discharge policies' (relating to the Macroinvertebrate Community Index).	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
2745-314	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Amend objective 1 (relating to vegetation in sensitive environments and areas of contiguous native vegetation cover) to recognise network utilities are a reasonable use. Refer to full submission for suggested wording [page 140/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-314	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Amend objective 1 (relating to vegetation in sensitive environments and areas of contiguous native vegetation cover) to recognise network utilities are a reasonable use. Refer to full submission for suggested wording [page 140/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-315	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Amend all policies to explicitly recognise existing and new network utilities and to ensure that consistent terminology is used in relation to network utilities. Alternatively include a new policy to provide for existing network utilities within areas of existing vegetation. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 140/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-315	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Amend all policies to explicitly recognise existing and new network utilities and to ensure that consistent terminology is used in relation to network utilities. Alternatively include a new policy to provide for existing network utilities within areas of existing vegetation. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 140/252].	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose
2745-315	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Amend all policies to explicitly recognise existing and new network utilities and to ensure that consistent terminology is used in relation to network utilities. Alternatively include a new policy to provide for existing network utilities within areas of existing vegetation. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 140/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-316	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Retain objective 1 (which recognises the need to protect human health while also enabling activities now and in the future, including network utilities).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-316	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Retain objective 1 (which recognises the need to protect human health while also enabling activities now and in the future, including network utilities).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-317	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 1 to identify land containing elevated levels of contaminant that have a 'potential significant adverse impacts on the environment'. Refer to page 141/252 of the submission for details.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-317	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 1 to identify land containing elevated levels of contaminant that have a 'potential significant adverse impacts on the environment'. Refer to page 141/252 of the submission for details.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-318	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2(b) to delete the requirement to protect the environment to a level appropriate for existing and proposed land uses and insert reference to 'applicable guidelines' for protecting the environment. Refer to page 141/252 of the submission for details.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-318	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 2(b) to delete the requirement to protect the environment to a level appropriate for existing and proposed land uses and insert reference to 'applicable guidelines' for protecting the environment. Refer to page 141/252 of the submission for details.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-319	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 3(c) [Reference to National Environmental Standards (NES) for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health 2012]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-319	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 3(c) [Reference to National Environmental Standards (NES) for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health 2012]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-320	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4, by (i) deleting the reference to 'Petroleum Guidelines October 2011', and referring to 'Guidelines for Assessing and Managing Petroleum Hydrocarbon Contaminated Sites in new Zealand'; (ii) referencing 'Ministry for Environment Contaminated Land Management'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-320	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	C5.6 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 4, by (i) deleting the reference to 'Petroleum Guidelines October 2011', and referring to 'Guidelines for Assessing and Managing Petroleum Hydrocarbon Contaminated Sites in new Zealand'; (ii) referencing 'Ministry for Environment Contaminated Land Management'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-321	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	C5.7 Background, objectives and policies	Retain objective 1, which recognises the need to manage the risk of hazardous facilities and recognises the benefit of these facilities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-321	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	C5.7 Background, objectives and policies	Retain objective 1, which recognises the need to manage the risk of hazardous facilities and recognises the benefit of these facilities.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
2745-321	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	C5.7 Background, objectives and policies	Retain objective 1, which recognises the need to manage the risk of hazardous facilities and recognises the benefit of these facilities.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-321	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	C5.7 Background, objectives and policies	Retain objective 1, which recognises the need to manage the risk of hazardous facilities and recognises the benefit of these facilities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-322	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	C5.7 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 2 (which requires proposals to demonstrate how risks have been avoided or adequately mitigated), to recognise the benefit that a hazardous facility may provide. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 142/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-322	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	C5.7 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 2 (which requires proposals to demonstrate how risks have been avoided or adequately mitigated), to recognise the benefit that a hazardous facility may provide. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 142/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-322	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Hazardous substances & Industrial or Trade Activities (ITA)	Hazardous substances	C5.7 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 2 (which requires proposals to demonstrate how risks have been avoided or adequately mitigated), to recognise the benefit that a hazardous facility may provide. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 142/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-323	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the whole section (specifically policy 16 regarding new infrastructure in areas at risk of coastal inundation and sea level rise) to ensure that all forms of infrastructure and their functional requirements are recognised and provided for. Refer to the full submission for specific wording [page 142/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-323	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the whole section (specifically policy 16 regarding new infrastructure in areas at risk of coastal inundation and sea level rise) to ensure that all forms of infrastructure and their functional requirements are recognised and provided for. Refer to the full submission for specific wording [page 142/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-324	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend to combine C5.12 'Natural hazards' with C5.13 'Flooding'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-324	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend to combine C5.12 'Natural hazards' with C5.13 'Flooding'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-324	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend to combine C5.12 'Natural hazards' with C5.13 'Flooding'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-325	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the whole section (and specifically amend policies 15 and 17 and delete policy 16, regarding infrastructure) to ensure all forms of infrastructure are recognised and provided for and adverse effects are avoided. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 143/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-325	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the whole section (and specifically amend policies 15 and 17 and delete policy 16, regarding infrastructure) to ensure all forms of infrastructure are recognised and provided for and adverse effects are avoided. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 143/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-326	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 16, regarding the location, design and management of significant infrastructure, that are considered lifeline utilities, to ensure their continued operation is not disrupted by a 0.5 per cent AEP flood event.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-326	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 16, regarding the location, design and management of significant infrastructure, that are considered lifeline utilities, to ensure their continued operation is not disrupted by a 0.5 per cent AEP flood event.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-326	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 16, regarding the location, design and management of significant infrastructure, that are considered lifeline utilities, to ensure their continued operation is not disrupted by a 0.5 per cent AEP flood event.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-327	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 17 as follows: 'Enable the construction and maintenance of flood mitigation works to reduce flood risk to people, property, infrastructure and the environment, including, where appropropriate, stream bank and watercourse stabilisation and enhancement works.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-327	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 17 as follows: 'Enable the construction and maintenance of flood mitigation works to reduce flood risk to people, property, infrastructure and the environment, including, where appropropriate, stream bank and watercourse stabilisation and enhancement works.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-327	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 17 as follows: 'Enable the construction and maintenance of flood mitigation works to reduce flood risk to people, property, infrastructure and the environment, including, where appropropriate, stream bank and watercourse stabilisation and enhancement works.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-328	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend whole section, to recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure to ensure relevant regional activities relating to network utilities are provided for and allow infrastructure to locate in these areas if it cannot locate elsewhere. Refer to full submission for suggested wording [page 143/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-328	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend whole section, to recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure to ensure relevant regional activities relating to network utilities are provided for and allow infrastructure to locate in these areas if it cannot locate elsewhere. Refer to full submission for suggested wording [page 143/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-329	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain, subject to amendments to recognise and provide for infrastructure, including retaining reference to the need to consider adequate infrastructure requirements and limitations when considering both urban and rural subdivision (in the Background section).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-329	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain, subject to amendments to recognise and provide for infrastructure, including retaining reference to the need to consider adequate infrastructure requirements and limitations when considering both urban and rural subdivision (in the Background section).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-330	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain objective 3, which supports recognition of infrastructure begin integrated and planned prior to a subdivision commencing.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-330	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain objective 3, which supports recognition of infrastructure begin integrated and planned prior to a subdivision commencing.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-331	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2(b) as follows: 'Require earthworks required by subdivision to:...(b) provide for building platforms and infrastructure at the time of subdivision ~~to reduce significant post-subdivision earthworks~~ '.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-331	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2(b) as follows: 'Require earthworks required by subdivision to:...(b) provide for building platforms and infrastructure at the time of subdivision ~~to reduce significant post-subdivision earthworks~~ '.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-332	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 4(a) as follows: 'Subdivision design should respond to the natural landscapes by: (a) avoiding building platforms or, where practicable, infrastructure on identified or dominant ridgelines in Rural, Large Lot or Rural and Coastal Settlement zones...'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-332	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 4(a) as follows: 'Subdivision design should respond to the natural landscapes by: (a) avoiding building platforms or, where practicable, infrastructure on identified or dominant ridgelines in Rural, Large Lot or Rural and Coastal Settlement zones...'.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-332	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 4(a) as follows: 'Subdivision design should respond to the natural landscapes by: (a) avoiding building platforms or, where practicable, infrastructure on identified or dominant ridgelines in Rural, Large Lot or Rural and Coastal Settlement zones...'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-333	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 6(d) as follows: 'Require subdivisions to provide servicing: (d) so that natural gas, power and telecommunications services are reticulated underground to each site in urban areas wherever practicable.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-333	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 6(d) as follows: 'Require subdivisions to provide servicing: (d) so that natural gas, power and telecommunications services are reticulated underground to each site in urban areas wherever practicable.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-334	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8(a) as follows: 'Require all new sites capable of containing a building, in areas with no reticulated stormwater or wastewater network, to be of a size and shape that provides for: (a) the treatment and disposal of stormwater in a way that does not lead to significant adverse off-site effects including degraded water quality, erosion, land instability, creation or exacerbation of flooding...'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-334	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 8(a) as follows: 'Require all new sites capable of containing a building, in areas with no reticulated stormwater or wastewater network, to be of a size and shape that provides for: (a) the treatment and disposal of stormwater in a way that does not lead to significant adverse off-site effects including degraded water quality, erosion, land instability, creation or exacerbation of flooding...'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-335	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 as follows: 'Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure, including network utilities in subdivision design.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-335	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 10 as follows: 'Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure, including network utilities in subdivision design.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-336	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new policy as follows: '(15) Enable subdivision for network utility purposes, while avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects.'	728	WEL Networks Limited	Support
2745-336	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new policy as follows: '(15) Enable subdivision for network utility purposes, while avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-336	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new policy as follows: '(15) Enable subdivision for network utility purposes, while avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-337	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 23 (regarding design for roads and access), under 'Urban subdivision of a parent site over 1ha with 15 or more proposed sites', to ensure potential conflicts between street tree planting and infrastructure is addressed. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 146/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-337	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 23 (regarding design for roads and access), under 'Urban subdivision of a parent site over 1ha with 15 or more proposed sites', to ensure potential conflicts between street tree planting and infrastructure is addressed. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 146/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-338	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain policy 30 (regarding identification of appropriate receiver areas), under 'Rural subdivision', to the extent that it recognises and provides for infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-338	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain policy 30 (regarding identification of appropriate receiver areas), under 'Rural subdivision', to the extent that it recognises and provides for infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-339	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective which allows for and recognises the need for certain network utility activities generating noise and vibration to occur at night. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 146/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-339	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective which allows for and recognises the need for certain network utility activities generating noise and vibration to occur at night. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 146/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-340	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Retain policies about temporary activities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-340	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Retain policies about temporary activities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-341	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new policy to give effect to objective 4 (regarding reverse sensitivity) and a proposed objective (which allows for and recognises the need for certain network utility activities generating noise and vibration to occur at night). [no specific wording provided]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-341	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new policy to give effect to objective 4 (regarding reverse sensitivity) and a proposed objective (which allows for and recognises the need for certain network utility activities generating noise and vibration to occur at night). [no specific wording provided]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose
2745-341	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new policy to give effect to objective 4 (regarding reverse sensitivity) and a proposed objective (which allows for and recognises the need for certain network utility activities generating noise and vibration to occur at night). [no specific wording provided]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-342	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	C7.6 Vehicles on beaches		Amend policy 1 (locations to avoid vehicle use), to ensure consistent use of terminology with regard to infrastructure and to recognise that there may be a need for vehicles to access these areas for new, as well as existing infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 147/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-342	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	C7.6 Vehicles on beaches		Amend policy 1 (locations to avoid vehicle use), to ensure consistent use of terminology with regard to infrastructure and to recognise that there may be a need for vehicles to access these areas for new, as well as existing infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 147/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-343	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Amend policy 3(e) (regarding measures to maximise sustainable design outcomes), to read : 'renewable energy generation at the site or at a community scale'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-343	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Amend policy 3(e) (regarding measures to maximise sustainable design outcomes), to read : 'renewable energy generation at the site or at a community scale'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-344	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	D5.1 Zone description, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives and policies to specifically recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-344	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	D5.1 Zone description, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives and policies to specifically recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-345	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Use, activities, development and occupation	D5.1.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 3 (regarding enabling occupation for use and development), to recognise that not all uses that have a functional requirement to be located in the CMA require public access to be restricted. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 148/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-345	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Use, activities, development and occupation	D5.1.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 3 (regarding enabling occupation for use and development), to recognise that not all uses that have a functional requirement to be located in the CMA require public access to be restricted. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 148/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-346	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend to ensure that the Auckland-wide rules (including H.1.1 'Network utilities and energy') are recognised in the zone description and amend the policies to ensure there is adequate consideration given to the public benefit considerations, such as provision of network utilities when assessing Category A and B buildings and features. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-346	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend to ensure that the Auckland-wide rules (including H.1.1 'Network utilities and energy') are recognised in the zone description and amend the policies to ensure there is adequate consideration given to the public benefit considerations, such as provision of network utilities when assessing Category A and B buildings and features. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-347	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise that effects can not always be avoided and if appropriate to provide that they may be remedied or mitigated and to ensure network utility infrastructure (both overhead and underground) is provided for and enabled. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-347	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise that effects can not always be avoided and if appropriate to provide that they may be remedied or mitigated and to ensure network utility infrastructure (both overhead and underground) is provided for and enabled. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-348	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise that effects can not always be avoided and if appropriate to provide that they may be remedied or mitigated and to network utility infrastructure (both overhead and underground) is provided for and enabled. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-348	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise that effects can not always be avoided and if appropriate to provide that they may be remedied or mitigated and to network utility infrastructure (both overhead and underground) is provided for and enabled. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-349	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Retain policy 1 (regarding controlling the location and scale of buildings), on the basis that this policy does not affect network utility activities. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-349	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Retain policy 1 (regarding controlling the location and scale of buildings), on the basis that this policy does not affect network utility activities. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-350	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Amend policy 2, to read: 'Manage development on sites ~~within the viewshafts to avoid~~ that are subject to the adverse physical and visual effects on the viewshaft including adverse cumulative effects on the viewshaft'. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-350	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Amend policy 2, to read: 'Manage development on sites ~~within the viewshafts to avoid~~ that are subject to the adverse physical and visual effects on the viewshaft including adverse cumulative effects on the viewshaft'. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-351	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise and provide for infrastructure and recognise that for major infrastructure projects 'enhancement' of values or avoidance or earthworks is not always practicable (eg Policy 2 (a) and (h)). [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-351	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives and policies to recognise and provide for infrastructure and recognise that for major infrastructure projects 'enhancement' of values or avoidance or earthworks is not always practicable (eg Policy 2 (a) and (h)). [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-352	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend to clarify the application of policies (for example clarifying the consideration of alternative methods and how mitigation that is 'compatible with Mana Whenua values' will be determined (eg Policy 2(d) and (e)). [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-352	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend to clarify the application of policies (for example clarifying the consideration of alternative methods and how mitigation that is 'compatible with Mana Whenua values' will be determined (eg Policy 2(d) and (e)). [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-353	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Add a new policy and implementation method that recognises and encourages the establishment of forums and working groups to engage with Mana Whenua. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-353	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Add a new policy and implementation method that recognises and encourages the establishment of forums and working groups to engage with Mana Whenua. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-354	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Amend to recognise and provide for infrastructure, including a new policy and implementation method that recognises and encourages the establishment of forums and working groups to engage with Mana Whenua. Retain the direction in policy 1 which targets cultural impact assessments at confirmed sites and places of value or significance that are likely to be disturbed of adversely affected.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-354	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Amend to recognise and provide for infrastructure, including a new policy and implementation method that recognises and encourages the establishment of forums and working groups to engage with Mana Whenua. Retain the direction in policy 1 which targets cultural impact assessments at confirmed sites and places of value or significance that are likely to be disturbed of adversely affected.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-355	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-355	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-356	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Add a new policy and implementation method that recognises and encourages the establishment of forums and working groups to engage with Mana Whenua. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-356	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Add a new policy and implementation method that recognises and encourages the establishment of forums and working groups to engage with Mana Whenua. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-357	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	E6.1 Objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1 to read: 'Notable trees and notable groups of trees are retained, where practicable'. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-357	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	E6.1 Objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1 to read: 'Notable trees and notable groups of trees are retained, where practicable'. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1812	The Tree Council	Oppose in Part
2745-357	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	E6.1 Objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1 to read: 'Notable trees and notable groups of trees are retained, where practicable'. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-358	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	E6.1 Objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3(d) to read: 'the extent to which the ~~pruning~~ trimming, vegetation alteration or vegetation removal is necessary to accommodate necessary infrastructure or permitted development on the site'. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-358	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	E6.1 Objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3(d) to read: 'the extent to which the ~~pruning~~ trimming, vegetation alteration or vegetation removal is necessary to accommodate necessary infrastructure or permitted development on the site'. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1812	The Tree Council	Oppose in Part
2745-358	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	E6.1 Objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3(d) to read: 'the extent to which the ~~pruning~~ trimming, vegetation alteration or vegetation removal is necessary to accommodate necessary infrastructure or permitted development on the site'. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-359	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	E6.1 Objectives and policies	Retain Policy 3(j).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-359	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	E6.1 Objectives and policies	Retain Policy 3(j).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-360	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.4 Natural Stream Management Area	Amend the section to enable infrastructure in all areas. Specifically, amend policy 4 to recognise the requirements of infrastructure, which may have a functional requirement to locate in particular areas. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 152-153/252]. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-360	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.4 Natural Stream Management Area	Amend the section to enable infrastructure in all areas. Specifically, amend policy 4 to recognise the requirements of infrastructure, which may have a functional requirement to locate in particular areas. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 152-153/252]. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
2745-360	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.4 Natural Stream Management Area	Amend the section to enable infrastructure in all areas. Specifically, amend policy 4 to recognise the requirements of infrastructure, which may have a functional requirement to locate in particular areas. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 152-153/252]. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-361	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.9 Management Areas Wetland Appendix 5.3	Amend the section, specifically policy 6, to recognise that it will not always be practicable to avoid disturbance or vegetation clearance while maintaining infrastructure including significant infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 153/252]. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-361	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.9 Management Areas Wetland Appendix 5.3	Amend the section, specifically policy 6, to recognise that it will not always be practicable to avoid disturbance or vegetation clearance while maintaining infrastructure including significant infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 153/252]. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2745-361	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.9 Management Areas Wetland Appendix 5.3	Amend the section, specifically policy 6, to recognise that it will not always be practicable to avoid disturbance or vegetation clearance while maintaining infrastructure including significant infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 153/252]. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-362	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.9 Management Areas Wetland Appendix 5.3	Add a new objective to correspond with policy 3 [to the Wetland Management Areas overlay], which allows for activities related to maintenance, upgrading or replacement of existing and new infrastructure. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-362	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.9 Management Areas Wetland Appendix 5.3	Add a new objective to correspond with policy 3 [to the Wetland Management Areas overlay], which allows for activities related to maintenance, upgrading or replacement of existing and new infrastructure. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2745-362	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.9 Management Areas Wetland Appendix 5.3	Add a new objective to correspond with policy 3 [to the Wetland Management Areas overlay], which allows for activities related to maintenance, upgrading or replacement of existing and new infrastructure. [As an alternative to providing for all significant infrastructure in Section H1.1]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-363	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts General Content	Precincts General Content		Amend all sections of Chapter F 'Precinct objectives and policies' to use terminology consistent with the rest of the PAUP, in relation to network utility infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-363	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts General Content	Precincts General Content		Amend all sections of Chapter F 'Precinct objectives and policies' to use terminology consistent with the rest of the PAUP, in relation to network utility infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-364	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Add a new bullet point to the first paragraph in G1.2 'Activities', to recognise that a primary function of the Council in relation to regional plans is to expressly permit activities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 154/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-364	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Add a new bullet point to the first paragraph in G1.2 'Activities', to recognise that a primary function of the Council in relation to regional plans is to expressly permit activities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 154/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-365	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 'Activities', first paragraph under the heading 'Permitted activities', to identify that permitted activities include those that Council has expressly provided for to avoid the need to obtain regional consents. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 155/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-365	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 'Activities', first paragraph under the heading 'Permitted activities', to identify that permitted activities include those that Council has expressly provided for to avoid the need to obtain regional consents. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 155/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-366	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 'Activities', first paragraph under the heading 'Certificates of compliance', to identify that certificate of compliance can be sought in relation to compliance with parts of the Unitary Plan. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 155/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-366	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 'Activities', first paragraph under the heading 'Certificates of compliance', to identify that certificate of compliance can be sought in relation to compliance with parts of the Unitary Plan. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 155/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-367	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 'Activities', paragraph under the heading 'Controlled activities', to add a reference to national environmental standards.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-367	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 'Activities', paragraph under the heading 'Controlled activities', to add a reference to national environmental standards.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-367	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 'Activities', paragraph under the heading 'Controlled activities', to add a reference to national environmental standards.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-368	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	G1.3 Designations		Amend the first paragraph to include all network utilities rather than refer to 'transmission lines or an infrastructure system' (with regard to what a public work or project could relate to). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 155/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-368	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	G1.3 Designations		Amend the first paragraph to include all network utilities rather than refer to 'transmission lines or an infrastructure system' (with regard to what a public work or project could relate to). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 155/252].	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support in Part
2745-368	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	G1.3 Designations		Amend the first paragraph to include all network utilities rather than refer to 'transmission lines or an infrastructure system' (with regard to what a public work or project could relate to). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 155/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-369	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', first paragraph under the heading 'Types of resource consent', to separate the reference to 'regional/district plan' and add and explanation on the difference between regional and district rules (or a cross reference to where this is discussed in the PAUP. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 156/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-369	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', first paragraph under the heading 'Types of resource consent', to separate the reference to 'regional/district plan' and add and explanation on the difference between regional and district rules (or a cross reference to where this is discussed in the PAUP. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 156/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-370	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', paragraphs under the heading 'Making a resource consent application', to state that activities will not be bundled between district and regional plans (including coastal plans) and where a linear network utility triggers a requirement for consent in certain locations that application is assessed in relation to just that location or locations. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 156-157/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-370	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', paragraphs under the heading 'Making a resource consent application', to state that activities will not be bundled between district and regional plans (including coastal plans) and where a linear network utility triggers a requirement for consent in certain locations that application is assessed in relation to just that location or locations. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 156-157/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-371	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', paragraph under the heading 'Applications across sites with multiple zones', or add a paragraph under a new heading 'Applications in site(s) with overlays', to clarify that if an overlay affects only part of a site, the overlay controls should not be applied to activities on the remainder of the site. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 157/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-371	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', paragraph under the heading 'Applications across sites with multiple zones', or add a paragraph under a new heading 'Applications in site(s) with overlays', to clarify that if an overlay affects only part of a site, the overlay controls should not be applied to activities on the remainder of the site. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 157/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-372	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', to add a new section 'Matters for Discretion'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-372	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', to add a new section 'Matters for Discretion'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-373	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', to delete the third paragraph under the heading 'Assessment criteria', which refers to the assessment criteria not being an exhaustive list and allowing Council to consider any relevant criteria or policy in the PAUP which relates to a matter of control/ discretion. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 157/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-373	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', to delete the third paragraph under the heading 'Assessment criteria', which refers to the assessment criteria not being an exhaustive list and allowing Council to consider any relevant criteria or policy in the PAUP which relates to a matter of control/ discretion. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 157/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-374	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', to add (at the end) a new section 'Expiry of a resource consent' with associated explanation regarding the distinction between lapsing and expiring consents, the use of expiry dates for regional consent and renewal.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-374	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', to add (at the end) a new section 'Expiry of a resource consent' with associated explanation regarding the distinction between lapsing and expiring consents, the use of expiry dates for regional consent and renewal.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-375	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', to add a new section to recognise and provide for global or blanket resource consent applications.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-375	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 'Applying for a resource consent', to add a new section to recognise and provide for global or blanket resource consent applications.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-376	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 'Fees and charges' to clarify that no application fee applies if the activity is a network utility for 'proposed new development', for heritage places or for small scale renewable energy generation. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 158-159/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-376	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 'Fees and charges' to clarify that no application fee applies if the activity is a network utility for 'proposed new development', for heritage places or for small scale renewable energy generation. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 158-159/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-377	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain 1.10 'Legal effect of Unitary Plan rules'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-377	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Retain 1.10 'Legal effect of Unitary Plan rules'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-378	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to all proposals that cross multiple zones, overlays or precincts and to recognise that it is not appropriate to consider regional and district matters together for the purpose of the determining overall activity status. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-378	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to all proposals that cross multiple zones, overlays or precincts and to recognise that it is not appropriate to consider regional and district matters together for the purpose of the determining overall activity status. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-379	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend 2.1(1)(a) to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to all proposals that cross multiple zones, overlays or precincts. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-379	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend 2.1(1)(a) to clarify that it may not be appropriate to apply the most restrictive activity status to all proposals that cross multiple zones, overlays or precincts. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-380	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend 2.1(2) to clarify that the rules in H1.1 Infrastructure take precedence where more enabling, when determining the activity status where the same matter is controlled by more than one rule. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-380	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend 2.1(2) to clarify that the rules in H1.1 Infrastructure take precedence where more enabling, when determining the activity status where the same matter is controlled by more than one rule. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-381	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Add new 2.1(3) to state activities will be bundled and the most onerous activity status apply except that state that activities will not be bundled between district and regional plans (including coastal plans) and where a linear network utility triggers a requirement for consent in certain locations the application is assessed in relation to just that location or locations. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-381	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Add new 2.1(3) to state activities will be bundled and the most onerous activity status apply except that state that activities will not be bundled between district and regional plans (including coastal plans) and where a linear network utility triggers a requirement for consent in certain locations the application is assessed in relation to just that location or locations. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-381	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Add new 2.1(3) to state activities will be bundled and the most onerous activity status apply except that state that activities will not be bundled between district and regional plans (including coastal plans) and where a linear network utility triggers a requirement for consent in certain locations the application is assessed in relation to just that location or locations. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 159-161/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-382	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend to provide that any activity that is not specifically listed as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary, non-complying or prohibited activity is a discretionary activity. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 161/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-382	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend to provide that any activity that is not specifically listed as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary, non-complying or prohibited activity is a discretionary activity. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 161/252].	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2745-382	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend to provide that any activity that is not specifically listed as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary, non-complying or prohibited activity is a discretionary activity. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 161/252].	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2745-382	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend to provide that any activity that is not specifically listed as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary, non-complying or prohibited activity is a discretionary activity. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 161/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-383	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend to provide for network utility and energy related activities not specifically listed in H1 'Infrastructure' as discretionary activities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-383	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend to provide for network utility and energy related activities not specifically listed in H1 'Infrastructure' as discretionary activities.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-383	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend to provide for network utility and energy related activities not specifically listed in H1 'Infrastructure' as discretionary activities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-384	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Amend to remove 'of the RMA that makes notification desirable' from the end of (1).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-384	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Amend to remove 'of the RMA that makes notification desirable' from the end of (1).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-385	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Amend G2.5 'Accidental discovery protocols' under the heading 'Historic heritage' to include provision to operate under an approved accidental discovery protocol, limit the requirements for works to cease to the immediate area of the discovery and to provide certainty on the process and time frame in which works may recommence. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 161-162/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-385	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Amend G2.5 'Accidental discovery protocols' under the heading 'Historic heritage' to include provision to operate under an approved accidental discovery protocol, limit the requirements for works to cease to the immediate area of the discovery and to provide certainty on the process and time frame in which works may recommence. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 161-162/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-386	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.5(2) 'Accidental discovery protocols' under the heading 'Mana Whenua cultural heritage' to include provision to operate under an approved accidental discovery protocol, limit the requirements for works to cease to the immediate area of the discovery and to provide certainty on the process and time frame in which works may recommence. specific requirement to contact police where skeletal remains are found. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 162-164/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-386	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.5(2) 'Accidental discovery protocols' under the heading 'Mana Whenua cultural heritage' to include provision to operate under an approved accidental discovery protocol, limit the requirements for works to cease to the immediate area of the discovery and to provide certainty on the process and time frame in which works may recommence. specific requirement to contact police where skeletal remains are found. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 162-164/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-387	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.5 'Accidental discovery protocols' under the heading 'Mana Whenua cultural heritage' clause (4) as follows: 'A development that does not comply with the above accidental discovery protocol, or a Council or HPT approved accidental discovery protocol is a discretionary activity.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-387	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.5 'Accidental discovery protocols' under the heading 'Mana Whenua cultural heritage' clause (4) as follows: 'A development that does not comply with the above accidental discovery protocol, or a Council or HPT approved accidental discovery protocol is a discretionary activity.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-388	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend to clarify that works can proceed where there is an already approved accidental discovery protocol, clarify that the 'site owner' or 'project manager' rather than 'site manager' can implement the protocols and insert a new clause for steps to be taken in event of a discovery as follows: '(b) seek advice from a contaminated land specialist (CLS) including on how to contain any potential discharge appropriately...'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 164-165/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-388	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend to clarify that works can proceed where there is an already approved accidental discovery protocol, clarify that the 'site owner' or 'project manager' rather than 'site manager' can implement the protocols and insert a new clause for steps to be taken in event of a discovery as follows: '(b) seek advice from a contaminated land specialist (CLS) including on how to contain any potential discharge appropriately...'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 164-165/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-389	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend 2.6 'Framework Plans' under the heading 'Introduction' to remove the reference to framework or structure plans being required by the RPS in paragraph six, and to better provide for and recognise the need to incorporate and coordinate infrastructure issues in paragraphs eight and nine. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 165/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-389	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend 2.6 'Framework Plans' under the heading 'Introduction' to remove the reference to framework or structure plans being required by the RPS in paragraph six, and to better provide for and recognise the need to incorporate and coordinate infrastructure issues in paragraphs eight and nine. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 165/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-390	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend 2.6 'Framework Plans', (2)(b) and (e) under the heading 'Activity status and notification' to allow for more than one framework plan to be considered [(2)(b)] and to require confirmation from the network utility that there is capacity and a description of any works required as a result of the framework plan [(2)(e)].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-390	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend 2.6 'Framework Plans', (2)(b) and (e) under the heading 'Activity status and notification' to allow for more than one framework plan to be considered [(2)(b)] and to require confirmation from the network utility that there is capacity and a description of any works required as a result of the framework plan [(2)(e)].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-391	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend 2.6 'Framework Plans' under the heading 'Matters of discretion' and 'Assessment criteria' to ensure that infrastructure servicing is appropriately provided for and retain matter of discretion (6)(a) and assessment criteria (8) insofar as they refer to infrastructure or network utilities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-391	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend 2.6 'Framework Plans' under the heading 'Matters of discretion' and 'Assessment criteria' to ensure that infrastructure servicing is appropriately provided for and retain matter of discretion (6)(a) and assessment criteria (8) insofar as they refer to infrastructure or network utilities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-392	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.1(2) to be limited to applications on the site, removing the requirements for sites adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places and to remove the requirement to provide cultural impact assessments for restricted discretionary activities or delete the provisions in their entirety. Refer to suggested wording in full submission [pages 167-168/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-392	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.1(2) to be limited to applications on the site, removing the requirements for sites adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places and to remove the requirement to provide cultural impact assessments for restricted discretionary activities or delete the provisions in their entirety. Refer to suggested wording in full submission [pages 167-168/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-393	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	G2.7.1 Gen. info. req. H5.5 Spec. info. req.	Amend 2.7.1(22) as follows: 'Demonstrate how the ~~R~~ requirements of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) are to be complied with.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-393	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	G2.7.1 Gen. info. req. H5.5 Spec. info. req.	Amend 2.7.1(22) as follows: 'Demonstrate how the ~~R~~ requirements of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) are to be complied with.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-394	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2 'Design statements', first paragraph, to clarify that network utilities are excluded from the need to provide design statements by adding the following sentence at the end of the paragraph: 'Network Utility projects are excluded from the requirement to provide a design statement.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-394	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2 'Design statements', first paragraph, to clarify that network utilities are excluded from the need to provide design statements by adding the following sentence at the end of the paragraph: 'Network Utility projects are excluded from the requirement to provide a design statement.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-395	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(1) 'Context analysis' (of Design statements), to add recognition of existing and planned infrastructure following consultation with the network utility operator. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 169/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-395	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(1) 'Context analysis' (of Design statements), to add recognition of existing and planned infrastructure following consultation with the network utility operator. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 169/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-396	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2.1 'Context analysis' , under the heading ' Streetscape character', to delete in the purpose, reference to 'positive aspects' of the streetscape character that a proposal should repsond to, and add an additional information requirement about identifying 'existing aboveground network utilities'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 169-170/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-396	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2.1 'Context analysis' , under the heading ' Streetscape character', to delete in the purpose, reference to 'positive aspects' of the streetscape character that a proposal should repsond to, and add an additional information requirement about identifying 'existing aboveground network utilities'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 169-170/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-397	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(1) 'Context analysis', under the heading 'Neighbourhood character', to better recognise and provide for existing aboveground network utility infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 170/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-397	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(1) 'Context analysis', under the heading 'Neighbourhood character', to better recognise and provide for existing aboveground network utility infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 170/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-398	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(1) 'Context analysis' , under the heading 'Urban structure', to better recognise and provide for existing aboveground network utility infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 171/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-398	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(1) 'Context analysis' , under the heading 'Urban structure', to better recognise and provide for existing aboveground network utility infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 171/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-399	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(2) 'Design response', under the heading 'Concept design', to add 'network utility infrastructure connections' as a new information requirement. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 171/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-399	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(2) 'Design response', under the heading 'Concept design', to add 'network utility infrastructure connections' as a new information requirement. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 171/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-400	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(2) 'Design response', under the heading 'Landscape', to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including to add 'existing and proposed network above ground utility infrastructure' as a new information requirement. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 172/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-400	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend 2.7.2(2) 'Design response', under the heading 'Landscape', to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including to add 'existing and proposed network above ground utility infrastructure' as a new information requirement. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 172/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-401	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend 2.7.3(1)(e) 'Framework plans', as follows: 'e. the location of stormwater, wastewater and water supply, gas, electricity and telecommunications infrastructure'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-401	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.6 Framework plans & G2.7.3 Framework plans	Amend 2.7.3(1)(e) 'Framework plans', as follows: 'e. the location of stormwater, wastewater and water supply, gas, electricity and telecommunications infrastructure'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-402	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4 'Cultural impact assessment' (1-4), under 'When an assessment is required'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-402	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4 'Cultural impact assessment' (1-4), under 'When an assessment is required'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-403	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4(1),(2) and (4) to specify that the requirements only apply to discretionary or non-complying resource consent applications	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-403	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4(1),(2) and (4) to specify that the requirements only apply to discretionary or non-complying resource consent applications	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-404	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4(2)(b), regarding Reserve management plans, areas, and uses or activities identified within a reserve management plan. Alternatively limit its application to particular areas/values identified within a Reserve Management Plan.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-404	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4(2)(b), regarding Reserve management plans, areas, and uses or activities identified within a reserve management plan. Alternatively limit its application to particular areas/values identified within a Reserve Management Plan.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-405	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4(2)(d) regarding Deeds of Settlement and Treaty Settlement legislation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-405	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4(2)(d) regarding Deeds of Settlement and Treaty Settlement legislation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-406	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4(4) or amend this section so that it applies to discretionary or non-complying activity applications that will (or are likely to) have adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-406	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4(4) or amend this section so that it applies to discretionary or non-complying activity applications that will (or are likely to) have adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-407	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4(4) by deleting a wide range of activities that require a cultural impact assessment (including diversion, taking or using of water, activities in river beds, land disturbance or vegetation clearance and construction of significant infrastructure). See page 173-174/252 of submission for details.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-407	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4(4) by deleting a wide range of activities that require a cultural impact assessment (including diversion, taking or using of water, activities in river beds, land disturbance or vegetation clearance and construction of significant infrastructure). See page 173-174/252 of submission for details.	2156	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Support
2745-407	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4(4) by deleting a wide range of activities that require a cultural impact assessment (including diversion, taking or using of water, activities in river beds, land disturbance or vegetation clearance and construction of significant infrastructure). See page 173-174/252 of submission for details.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-408	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete G2.7.4 'Cultural impact assessment' (4-7), under 'Information requirements'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-408	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete G2.7.4 'Cultural impact assessment' (4-7), under 'Information requirements'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-409	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4 under 'Information requirements', to set out a timeframe for a response to be received / CIA to be prepared and provided (e.g. 10 working days). Where this is not met then the application can proceed on the basis that a CIA is not required unless otherwise indicated in writing by Mana Whenua. Where Mana Whenua indicate a CIA is required, then provide an additional timeframe within which this must be provided by (to be consistent with s37A of the RMA, suggest this is not more than twice the original timeframe i.e. within 20 working days).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-409	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4 under 'Information requirements', to set out a timeframe for a response to be received / CIA to be prepared and provided (e.g. 10 working days). Where this is not met then the application can proceed on the basis that a CIA is not required unless otherwise indicated in writing by Mana Whenua. Where Mana Whenua indicate a CIA is required, then provide an additional timeframe within which this must be provided by (to be consistent with s37A of the RMA, suggest this is not more than twice the original timeframe i.e. within 20 working days).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-410	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4 under 'Information requirements', such that where there are multiple Mana Whenua groups with interests, only one combined CIA reflecting the cultural impacts of the all Mana Whenua is required.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-410	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4 under 'Information requirements', such that where there are multiple Mana Whenua groups with interests, only one combined CIA reflecting the cultural impacts of the all Mana Whenua is required.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-411	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4 'Cultural impact assessment' (10), under 'Request for additional information'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-411	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete 2.7.4 'Cultural impact assessment' (10), under 'Request for additional information'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-412	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete 2.7.5 'Natural hazards'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-412	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete 2.7.5 'Natural hazards'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-412	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete 2.7.5 'Natural hazards'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-413	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Amend to remove the requirement for a report by a suitably qualified engineer, clarify that the report should be commensurate with the scale and type of the application and should be limited to land subject to natural hazards. Clarify that this rule does not relate to maintenance and repair or minor infrastructure upgrading of network utilities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 176/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-413	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Amend to remove the requirement for a report by a suitably qualified engineer, clarify that the report should be commensurate with the scale and type of the application and should be limited to land subject to natural hazards. Clarify that this rule does not relate to maintenance and repair or minor infrastructure upgrading of network utilities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 176/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-414	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete 2.7.8 'Historic heritage'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-414	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete 2.7.8 'Historic heritage'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-415	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Amend 2.7.8 'Historic heritage', (3) and (4) under 'Heritage impact assessment' to replace the word 'adjacent' with 'immediately adjoining' and add new rules to limit the assessment to the type and scale of the activity, to exclude network utility connections to heritage places and allow an assessment prepared as part of an Historic Places Trust approval process to be used. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 176-177/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-415	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Amend 2.7.8 'Historic heritage', (3) and (4) under 'Heritage impact assessment' to replace the word 'adjacent' with 'immediately adjoining' and add new rules to limit the assessment to the type and scale of the activity, to exclude network utility connections to heritage places and allow an assessment prepared as part of an Historic Places Trust approval process to be used. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 176-177/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-416	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Retain the inclusion of a dedicated rules section in the PAUP addressing network utility operations.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-416	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Retain the inclusion of a dedicated rules section in the PAUP addressing network utility operations.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Oppose
2745-416	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Retain the inclusion of a dedicated rules section in the PAUP addressing network utility operations.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-417	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.1 Activity table H1.2.2 Notification	Retain H1.2 'Transport', subject to amendments to recognise and provide for significant infrastructure, including network utility operations.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-417	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.1 Activity table H1.2.2 Notification	Retain H1.2 'Transport', subject to amendments to recognise and provide for significant infrastructure, including network utility operations.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-418	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Retain H3.1 'Trees in streets and public open spaces', subject to any amendments needed to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-418	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Retain H3.1 'Trees in streets and public open spaces', subject to any amendments needed to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-419	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the activity table to clarify that network utilities are able to undertake bio-security, dead wood removal and emergency tree works [as permitted activities].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-419	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the activity table to clarify that network utilities are able to undertake bio-security, dead wood removal and emergency tree works [as permitted activities].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-420	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the first activity description under 'Network Utilities' in the Activity table to read: 'Works on trees undertaken by a network utility operator or council or its agent and carried out in accordance with the ~~an approved~~ Corridor Access Request ~~(Trees in Streets~~) process'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-420	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the first activity description under 'Network Utilities' in the Activity table to read: 'Works on trees undertaken by a network utility operator or council or its agent and carried out in accordance with the ~~an approved~~ Corridor Access Request ~~(Trees in Streets~~) process'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-421	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the second activity description under 'Network Utilities' in the Activity table to read: 'Works on trees undertaken by a network utility operator or council or its agent and not carried out in accordance with the ~~an approved~~ Corridor Access Request ~~(Trees in Streets)~~ process'; Amend the activity status to restricted discretionary (rather than discretionary).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-421	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the second activity description under 'Network Utilities' in the Activity table to read: 'Works on trees undertaken by a network utility operator or council or its agent and not carried out in accordance with the ~~an approved~~ Corridor Access Request ~~(Trees in Streets)~~ process'; Amend the activity status to restricted discretionary (rather than discretionary).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-422	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	New		Add a definition for 'Works on trees'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-422	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	New		Add a definition for 'Works on trees'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-423	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the third activity description under 'Network Utilities' in the Activity table to read: 'Tree trimming, tree alteration or tree removal carried out by a network utility operator for operation, maintenance (including repair) and upgrades'; Provide for this activity as a permitted activity in open space zones (rather than as a discretionary activity).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-423	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the third activity description under 'Network Utilities' in the Activity table to read: 'Tree trimming, tree alteration or tree removal carried out by a network utility operator for operation, maintenance (including repair) and upgrades'; Provide for this activity as a permitted activity in open space zones (rather than as a discretionary activity).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-424	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Add a new activity 'All other tree trimming, tree alteration or removal carried out by a network utility operator' as a restricted discretionary activity in public open space in the Activity table, under 'Network Utilities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-424	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Add a new activity 'All other tree trimming, tree alteration or removal carried out by a network utility operator' as a restricted discretionary activity in public open space in the Activity table, under 'Network Utilities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-425	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the fourth activity description under 'Network Utilities' in the Activity table to read: 'Tree removal carried out by a network utility operator not otherwise expressly provided for in the Corridor Access request process'; Provide for this activity as a restricted discretionary activity in streets and public open spaces (rather than as a discretionary activity).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-425	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the fourth activity description under 'Network Utilities' in the Activity table to read: 'Tree removal carried out by a network utility operator not otherwise expressly provided for in the Corridor Access request process'; Provide for this activity as a restricted discretionary activity in streets and public open spaces (rather than as a discretionary activity).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-426	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Air Quality	H4.1 Auckland wide rules	Combustion activities	Retain the Activity table in relation to combustion activities, subject to appropriate development controls for permitted activities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-426	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Air Quality	H4.1 Auckland wide rules	Combustion activities	Retain the Activity table in relation to combustion activities, subject to appropriate development controls for permitted activities.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2745-426	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Air Quality	H4.1 Auckland wide rules	Combustion activities	Retain the Activity table in relation to combustion activities, subject to appropriate development controls for permitted activities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-427	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Air Quality	H4.1 Auckland wide rules	H4.1.2 Notification and H4.1.3 General controls	Amend 4.1.2 'Notification' to provide that where restricted discretionary activities relate to a network utility activity permitted under section H1 'Infrastructure', in which case the notification rule in that section applies. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 187/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-427	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Air Quality	H4.1 Auckland wide rules	H4.1.2 Notification and H4.1.3 General controls	Amend 4.1.2 'Notification' to provide that where restricted discretionary activities relate to a network utility activity permitted under section H1 'Infrastructure', in which case the notification rule in that section applies. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 187/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-428	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Amend the earthworks activity table H4.2.1.1 to better reflect [identify] those rules that should be given immediate legal effect [rather than all rules in the table].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-428	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.3 Legal Effect/A4.4 Identifying RPS, RP, RCP and DP provisions	Amend the earthworks activity table H4.2.1.1 to better reflect [identify] those rules that should be given immediate legal effect [rather than all rules in the table].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-429	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend the objectives and policies to better reflect [identify] those rules that should be given immediate legal effect [rather than identify all rules in the activity table]. Clarify objectives and policies (and associated rules) that seek soil conservation outcomes.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-429	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	C5.2 Background, objectives and policies		Amend the objectives and policies to better reflect [identify] those rules that should be given immediate legal effect [rather than identify all rules in the activity table]. Clarify objectives and policies (and associated rules) that seek soil conservation outcomes.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-430	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend 2.1.1(16) 'General controls' (for permitted activities), to read: ' To prevent the spread of Kauri Dieback disease, vehicle and equipment hygiene techniques must be adopted so that no soil from earthworks within 30m of a New Zealand kauri tree in an area of contiguous native vegetation is transported off site.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-430	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Earthworks	H4.2.2 Controls		Amend 2.1.1(16) 'General controls' (for permitted activities), to read: ' To prevent the spread of Kauri Dieback disease, vehicle and equipment hygiene techniques must be adopted so that no soil from earthworks within 30m of a New Zealand kauri tree in an area of contiguous native vegetation is transported off site.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-431	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend the activity table, third row under the heading 'Riparian' to read: 'Vegetation alteration or removal within ~~30m of~~ urban lake management areas'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-431	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend the activity table, third row under the heading 'Riparian' to read: 'Vegetation alteration or removal within ~~30m of~~ urban lake management areas'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-432	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend the activity descriptions under the heading 'Coastal' to remove reference to 'tree alteration or removal of any native tree over 3m in height' so that there are no protections for individual trees near to MHWS. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 188-189/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-432	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend the activity descriptions under the heading 'Coastal' to remove reference to 'tree alteration or removal of any native tree over 3m in height' so that there are no protections for individual trees near to MHWS. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 188-189/252].	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2745-432	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend the activity descriptions under the heading 'Coastal' to remove reference to 'tree alteration or removal of any native tree over 3m in height' so that there are no protections for individual trees near to MHWS. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 188-189/252].	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-432	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend the activity descriptions under the heading 'Coastal' to remove reference to 'tree alteration or removal of any native tree over 3m in height' so that there are no protections for individual trees near to MHWS. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 188-189/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-433	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Retain 'Vegetation alteration or removal not listed in Activity Table - Vegetation Management all zones or Activity Table - Vegetation Management in overlays' as a permitted activity, under the heading 'Permitted and controlled activities'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-433	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Retain 'Vegetation alteration or removal not listed in Activity Table - Vegetation Management all zones or Activity Table - Vegetation Management in overlays' as a permitted activity, under the heading 'Permitted and controlled activities'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-434	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend activity 'Vegetation alteration or removal for the operation, repair and maintenance or minor infrastructure upgrading of an existing network utility' to ensure that clearing (such as tree trimming) and any other works are provided for.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-434	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend activity 'Vegetation alteration or removal for the operation, repair and maintenance or minor infrastructure upgrading of an existing network utility' to ensure that clearing (such as tree trimming) and any other works are provided for.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-435	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Amend activity 'Any vegetation alteration or removal' to provide for vegetation clearance and any other works on vegetation required associated with a network utility as a permitted activity.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-435	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Amend activity 'Any vegetation alteration or removal' to provide for vegetation clearance and any other works on vegetation required associated with a network utility as a permitted activity.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-436	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.1.2 Activity table - Vegetation management in overlays (except SEAs)		Retain the activity of 'Vegetation alteration or removal for the operation, repair and maintenance or minor infrastructure upgrading of an existing network' as a permitted activity but amend to ensure that clearing and any other works are provided for.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-436	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.1.2 Activity table - Vegetation management in overlays (except SEAs)		Retain the activity of 'Vegetation alteration or removal for the operation, repair and maintenance or minor infrastructure upgrading of an existing network' as a permitted activity but amend to ensure that clearing and any other works are provided for.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-437	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.2-4 Controls and Assessment		Amend 4.3.2 to better provide for network utility operations, and in particular, clarify the controls under 2.6 'Vegetation alteration or removal of any vegetation for the operation, maintenance and repair or minor infrastructure upgrading of an existing network utility' in relation to the control and extent of areas which the thresholds apply to and who can undertake works. Amend thresholds to be a percentage of vegetation cover for Large SEAs. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 190/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-437	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.2-4 Controls and Assessment		Amend 4.3.2 to better provide for network utility operations, and in particular, clarify the controls under 2.6 'Vegetation alteration or removal of any vegetation for the operation, maintenance and repair or minor infrastructure upgrading of an existing network utility' in relation to the control and extent of areas which the thresholds apply to and who can undertake works. Amend thresholds to be a percentage of vegetation cover for Large SEAs. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 190/252].	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-437	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.2-4 Controls and Assessment		Amend 4.3.2 to better provide for network utility operations, and in particular, clarify the controls under 2.6 'Vegetation alteration or removal of any vegetation for the operation, maintenance and repair or minor infrastructure upgrading of an existing network utility' in relation to the control and extent of areas which the thresholds apply to and who can undertake works. Amend thresholds to be a percentage of vegetation cover for Large SEAs. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 190/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-438	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	H4.5.1 Activity table		Retain 4.5 'Contaminated land', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-438	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	H4.5.1 Activity table		Retain 4.5 'Contaminated land', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-439	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	H4.5.2 Controls/H4.5.3 & H4.5.4 Assessment		Amend control 2.1.1 'Discharges of contaminants from intrusive investigations (including sampling soil) that involve chemical testing or monitoring (excluding soil fertility testing) and from disturbing soil on land containing elevated levels of contaminants' to exclude intrusive sampling permitted under the National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health 2012. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 190-191/252]	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-439	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Contaminated Land	H4.5.2 Controls/H4.5.3 & H4.5.4 Assessment		Amend control 2.1.1 'Discharges of contaminants from intrusive investigations (including sampling soil) that involve chemical testing or monitoring (excluding soil fertility testing) and from disturbing soil on land containing elevated levels of contaminants' to exclude intrusive sampling permitted under the National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health 2012. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 190-191/252]	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-440	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Retain 4.11 'Natural hazards', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators and the need for network utilities to be located in these areas.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-440	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Retain 4.11 'Natural hazards', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators and the need for network utilities to be located in these areas.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-441	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Amend the activity status for 'New buildings, structures and infrastructure on land which may be subject to natural hazards' from restricted discretionary so that Infrastructure on land subject to natural hazards is provided for separately as a Permitted activity, subject to appropriate controls.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-441	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Amend the activity status for 'New buildings, structures and infrastructure on land which may be subject to natural hazards' from restricted discretionary so that Infrastructure on land subject to natural hazards is provided for separately as a Permitted activity, subject to appropriate controls.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2745-441	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Amend the activity status for 'New buildings, structures and infrastructure on land which may be subject to natural hazards' from restricted discretionary so that Infrastructure on land subject to natural hazards is provided for separately as a Permitted activity, subject to appropriate controls.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-442	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Amend to provide an exemption for infrastructure within formed roads and strategic transport corridors (from 'New buildings, structures and infrastructure on land which may be subject to natural hazards' as restricted discretionary activities).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-442	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Amend to provide an exemption for infrastructure within formed roads and strategic transport corridors (from 'New buildings, structures and infrastructure on land which may be subject to natural hazards' as restricted discretionary activities).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-442	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Amend to provide an exemption for infrastructure within formed roads and strategic transport corridors (from 'New buildings, structures and infrastructure on land which may be subject to natural hazards' as restricted discretionary activities).	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2745-443	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Amend the permitted activity control heading to read: '2.1 Permitted activities - development in coastal inundation areas'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-443	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Amend the permitted activity control heading to read: '2.1 Permitted activities - development in coastal inundation areas'.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-443	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Amend the permitted activity control heading to read: '2.1 Permitted activities - development in coastal inundation areas'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-444	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Amend 2.2(1) Controls - Restricted discretionary activities, to clarify the application of this rule excludes maintenance, repair or minor upgrading of a network utility and a report only needs to be prepared by a suitably qualified person, not an engineer. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 192/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-444	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Amend 2.2(1) Controls - Restricted discretionary activities, to clarify the application of this rule excludes maintenance, repair or minor upgrading of a network utility and a report only needs to be prepared by a suitably qualified person, not an engineer. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 192/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose
2745-444	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Amend 2.2(1) Controls - Restricted discretionary activities, to clarify the application of this rule excludes maintenance, repair or minor upgrading of a network utility and a report only needs to be prepared by a suitably qualified person, not an engineer. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 192/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-445	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Retain H4.12 'Flooding', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-445	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Retain H4.12 'Flooding', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-446	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend to combine H4.12 Flooding with H4.11 'Natural hazards'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-446	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend to combine H4.12 Flooding with H4.11 'Natural hazards'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-446	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend to combine H4.12 Flooding with H4.11 'Natural hazards'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-447	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend the activity description 'Fences that do obstruct flood flows and walls over 0.5m in height' in the Activity table, under the heading 'Development within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', to exclude walls and fences required to mitigate effects of flooding on infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 192/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-447	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend the activity description 'Fences that do obstruct flood flows and walls over 0.5m in height' in the Activity table, under the heading 'Development within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', to exclude walls and fences required to mitigate effects of flooding on infrastructure. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 192/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-448	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend the activity description 'Below ground infrastructure, except septic tanks and other forms of wastewater treatment and disposal systems' in the Activity table under the heading 'Infrastructure within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', to include reference to 'associated at grade or above ground structures'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 192-193/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-448	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend the activity description 'Below ground infrastructure, except septic tanks and other forms of wastewater treatment and disposal systems' in the Activity table under the heading 'Infrastructure within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', to include reference to 'associated at grade or above ground structures'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 192-193/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-449	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend the Activity Table as follows 'Maintenance, ~~and~~ repair and minor infrastructure upgrading of infrastructure, including flood mitigation and land drainage works'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-449	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend the Activity Table as follows 'Maintenance, ~~and~~ repair and minor infrastructure upgrading of infrastructure, including flood mitigation and land drainage works'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-450	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Add a new permitted activity in the Activity table, under the heading 'Infrastructure within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', for 'Electricity and Telecommunications support structures and masts and telecommunication cabinets within all roads and the Strategic Transport Network'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 193/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-450	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Add a new permitted activity in the Activity table, under the heading 'Infrastructure within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', for 'Electricity and Telecommunications support structures and masts and telecommunication cabinets within all roads and the Strategic Transport Network'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 193/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose
2745-450	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Add a new permitted activity in the Activity table, under the heading 'Infrastructure within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', for 'Electricity and Telecommunications support structures and masts and telecommunication cabinets within all roads and the Strategic Transport Network'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 193/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-451	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend 'Above ground infrastructure involving structures that occupy less than or equal to 25m2 of ground surface area' (restricted discretionary) in the Activity table, under the heading 'Infrastructure within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', to split into two activities as either permitted (for minor infrastructure and structures with less than 10m2 of ground surface areas with appropriate standards added) or restricted discretionary (for structures less than 25m2). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 193/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-451	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend 'Above ground infrastructure involving structures that occupy less than or equal to 25m2 of ground surface area' (restricted discretionary) in the Activity table, under the heading 'Infrastructure within the 1 per cent AEP flood plain', to split into two activities as either permitted (for minor infrastructure and structures with less than 10m2 of ground surface areas with appropriate standards added) or restricted discretionary (for structures less than 25m2). Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 193/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-452	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete activity 'Any flood-vulnerable infrastructure involving structures placed within the 0.5 per cent AEP flood plain' in the Activity table, under the heading 'Infrastructure outside of the 1 per cent AEP flood plain and within the 0.5 per cent flood plain'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-452	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete activity 'Any flood-vulnerable infrastructure involving structures placed within the 0.5 per cent AEP flood plain' in the Activity table, under the heading 'Infrastructure outside of the 1 per cent AEP flood plain and within the 0.5 per cent flood plain'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-452	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete activity 'Any flood-vulnerable infrastructure involving structures placed within the 0.5 per cent AEP flood plain' in the Activity table, under the heading 'Infrastructure outside of the 1 per cent AEP flood plain and within the 0.5 per cent flood plain'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-453	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete reference to flood vulnerable infrastructure throughout [the PAUP].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-453	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete reference to flood vulnerable infrastructure throughout [the PAUP].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-453	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete reference to flood vulnerable infrastructure throughout [the PAUP].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-454	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Retain permitted activity status for and amend 'Infrastructure, including maintenance and minor upgrading, road construction, maintenance and resurfacing' in the. Activity table, under the heading 'Activities within overland flow paths', to include reference to 'repair' and 'infrastructure'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 194/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-454	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Retain permitted activity status for and amend 'Infrastructure, including maintenance and minor upgrading, road construction, maintenance and resurfacing' in the. Activity table, under the heading 'Activities within overland flow paths', to include reference to 'repair' and 'infrastructure'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 194/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-454	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Retain permitted activity status for and amend 'Infrastructure, including maintenance and minor upgrading, road construction, maintenance and resurfacing' in the. Activity table, under the heading 'Activities within overland flow paths', to include reference to 'repair' and 'infrastructure'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 194/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-455	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of 'less vulnerable activities' to read: Activities not defined as vulnerable activities. Excludes informal recreation and leisure and organised sports and recreation, farming and network utilities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-455	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend definition of 'less vulnerable activities' to read: Activities not defined as vulnerable activities. Excludes informal recreation and leisure and organised sports and recreation, farming and network utilities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-456	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Add a new activity to the Activity table, under the heading 'Activities in Flood Prone Areas', for network utilities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-456	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Add a new activity to the Activity table, under the heading 'Activities in Flood Prone Areas', for network utilities.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-456	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Add a new activity to the Activity table, under the heading 'Activities in Flood Prone Areas', for network utilities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-457	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Retain 4.13 'Lakes, rivers, streams and wetland management', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-457	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Retain 4.13 'Lakes, rivers, streams and wetland management', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-458	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new rule to the activity table to expressly provide for works undertaken in ephemeral streams as permitted activities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 195/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-458	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new rule to the activity table to expressly provide for works undertaken in ephemeral streams as permitted activities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 195/252].	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-458	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new rule to the activity table to expressly provide for works undertaken in ephemeral streams as permitted activities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 195/252].	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2745-458	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new rule to the activity table to expressly provide for works undertaken in ephemeral streams as permitted activities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 195/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-458	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new rule to the activity table to expressly provide for works undertaken in ephemeral streams as permitted activities. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 195/252].	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
2745-459	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new controlled activity rule to the activity table to provide for 'demolition or removal of existing structures that do not meet permitted activity controls'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-459	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new controlled activity rule to the activity table to provide for 'demolition or removal of existing structures that do not meet permitted activity controls'.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-459	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new controlled activity rule to the activity table to provide for 'demolition or removal of existing structures that do not meet permitted activity controls'.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2745-459	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new controlled activity rule to the activity table to provide for 'demolition or removal of existing structures that do not meet permitted activity controls'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-459	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new controlled activity rule to the activity table to provide for 'demolition or removal of existing structures that do not meet permitted activity controls'.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
2745-460	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Retain 'Use of existing structures' as a permitted activity (in the activity table under, 'Existing structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-460	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Retain 'Use of existing structures' as a permitted activity (in the activity table under, 'Existing structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-461	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating to 'Use of existing structures' [under control 2.4 'Existing structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'], to clarify which controls apply and that they are relevant to the potential effects.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-461	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating to 'Use of existing structures' [under control 2.4 'Existing structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'], to clarify which controls apply and that they are relevant to the potential effects.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-461	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating to 'Use of existing structures' [under control 2.4 'Existing structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'], to clarify which controls apply and that they are relevant to the potential effects.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-462	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Retain 'Structure solely under the bed including drilling and tunnelling' as a permitted activity (in the activity table under, 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-462	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Retain 'Structure solely under the bed including drilling and tunnelling' as a permitted activity (in the activity table under, 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-463	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating to structures solely under the bed [under control 2.5 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'] to clarify which controls apply and that the controls are relevant to the potential effects.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-463	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating to structures solely under the bed [under control 2.5 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'] to clarify which controls apply and that the controls are relevant to the potential effects.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-463	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating to structures solely under the bed [under control 2.5 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'] to clarify which controls apply and that the controls are relevant to the potential effects.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-464	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Retain 'Cables, ducts, lines or pipelines on existing structures' as a permitted activity (in the activity table under, 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-464	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Retain 'Cables, ducts, lines or pipelines on existing structures' as a permitted activity (in the activity table under, 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-465	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating cables, ducts, lines or pipelines on existing structures [under control 2.5 "New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'] to clarify which controls apply and that the controls are relevant to the potential effects.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-465	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating cables, ducts, lines or pipelines on existing structures [under control 2.5 "New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'] to clarify which controls apply and that the controls are relevant to the potential effects.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2745-465	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Amend the permitted activity controls relating cables, ducts, lines or pipelines on existing structures [under control 2.5 "New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'] to clarify which controls apply and that the controls are relevant to the potential effects.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-466	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new permitted activity (under 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'), for: New cables or lines that cross over a watercourse which do not involve support structures in the watercourse'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-466	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Add a new permitted activity (under 'New structures and the associated bed disturbance or depositing any substance, diversion of water and incidental temporary damming of water'), for: New cables or lines that cross over a watercourse which do not involve support structures in the watercourse'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-467	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14 Introduction	Retain H4.14 'Stormwater management', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-467	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14 Introduction	Retain H4.14 'Stormwater management', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-468	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.1 Introduction & activity table	Retain H4.17 Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-468	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.1 Introduction & activity table	Retain H4.17 Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-469	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.1 Introduction & activity table	Add a new permitted activity for the: 'Temporarily taking, using and diverting and discharging surface water for installation, construction, maintenance, construction or upgrading of a network utility', in all zones, high-use stream management areas and wetland management areas.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-469	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.1 Introduction & activity table	Add a new permitted activity for the: 'Temporarily taking, using and diverting and discharging surface water for installation, construction, maintenance, construction or upgrading of a network utility', in all zones, high-use stream management areas and wetland management areas.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-470	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.1 Introduction & activity table	Add a new restricted discretionary activity for: 'Temporarily taking, using and diverting and discharging surface water for installation, construction, maintenance, construction or upgrading of a network utility not meeting permitted activity controls', in all zones, high-use stream management areas and wetland management areas.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-470	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.1 Introduction & activity table	Add a new restricted discretionary activity for: 'Temporarily taking, using and diverting and discharging surface water for installation, construction, maintenance, construction or upgrading of a network utility not meeting permitted activity controls', in all zones, high-use stream management areas and wetland management areas.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-471	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.1 Introduction & activity table	Amend the activity descriptions under 'Diversion of groundwater' to read: The diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation (including, trench) or, tunnel ~~up to 1m diameter, or thrust bore~~ . … The diversion of ground water caused by any excavation (including trench) or tunnel ~~up to 1m diameter, or thrust bore~~ that does not meet the permitted activity controls or is not otherwise provided for'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-471	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.1 Introduction & activity table	Amend the activity descriptions under 'Diversion of groundwater' to read: The diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation (including, trench) or, tunnel ~~up to 1m diameter, or thrust bore~~ . … The diversion of ground water caused by any excavation (including trench) or tunnel ~~up to 1m diameter, or thrust bore~~ that does not meet the permitted activity controls or is not otherwise provided for'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-472	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.2 - H4.17.3 Notification & controls	Amend permitted activity rule 3.1.4 to read: 'Diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation (including trench) or tunnel ~~up to 1m in diameter, or thrust bore~~ ' … (6) The distance from the edge of any excavation that extends below natural ground level, including any staging of the same proposal, must not be less than 50m from any...'. Add the following to rule 3.1.4: '(9) For tunnels which cause diversion of groundwater, the tunnel must be less than 1 metre in diameter'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-472	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.2 - H4.17.3 Notification & controls	Amend permitted activity rule 3.1.4 to read: 'Diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation (including trench) or tunnel ~~up to 1m in diameter, or thrust bore~~ ' … (6) The distance from the edge of any excavation that extends below natural ground level, including any staging of the same proposal, must not be less than 50m from any...'. Add the following to rule 3.1.4: '(9) For tunnels which cause diversion of groundwater, the tunnel must be less than 1 metre in diameter'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-473	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.2 - H4.17.3 Notification & controls	Add a new permitted activity control to read: '3.1.8 Temporary take, use, diversion and discharge of surface water for the installation, construction, maintenance, construction or upgrading of a network utility. (1) The take and use of water does not exceed 15l/s amd 100m3/day. (2) The take and use is for no longer than two months. (3) The take or diversion ceases when the flow is at or below the guideline flow in Table 1 of Appendix 5.2. (4) Fish are prevented from entering the water intake.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-473	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Taking, using, damming and diversion of water and drilling	H4.17.2 - H4.17.3 Notification & controls	Add a new permitted activity control to read: '3.1.8 Temporary take, use, diversion and discharge of surface water for the installation, construction, maintenance, construction or upgrading of a network utility. (1) The take and use of water does not exceed 15l/s amd 100m3/day. (2) The take and use is for no longer than two months. (3) The take or diversion ceases when the flow is at or below the guideline flow in Table 1 of Appendix 5.2. (4) Fish are prevented from entering the water intake.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-474	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Other discharge of contaminants H4.18 Auckland wide rules		Retain 4.18 'Other discharges of contaminants', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-474	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Other discharge of contaminants H4.18 Auckland wide rules		Retain 4.18 'Other discharges of contaminants', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-475	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Other discharge of contaminants H4.18 Auckland wide rules		Amend the activity descriptions in the activity table, relating to the discharge of washwater or wastewater (from stormwater or wastewater network works), so that both descriptions provide for 'construction, repair, maintenance, upgrade or removal'. [Activity descriptions in the first row, eighth bullet point and in the eleventh row, third bullet point]. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 199/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-475	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Water	Other discharge of contaminants H4.18 Auckland wide rules		Amend the activity descriptions in the activity table, relating to the discharge of washwater or wastewater (from stormwater or wastewater network works), so that both descriptions provide for 'construction, repair, maintenance, upgrade or removal'. [Activity descriptions in the first row, eighth bullet point and in the eleventh row, third bullet point]. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 199/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-476	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain rules on 'Subdivision', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-476	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain rules on 'Subdivision', subject to amendments to ensure that the rules recognise and provide for works undertaken by network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-477	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.1 Activity Table All zones except Rural	Add a new activity to 'Activity table 1 - General', to provide for 'Subdivision for a network utility' as a permitted activity in all zones (with consequential amendments and deletions to other activity tables).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-477	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.1 Activity Table All zones except Rural	Add a new activity to 'Activity table 1 - General', to provide for 'Subdivision for a network utility' as a permitted activity in all zones (with consequential amendments and deletions to other activity tables).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-478	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new rule to enable network utility operators to apply for resource consent for subdivision and the network utility operation in tandem, with subdivision consent granted but 224C certificate not issued until the network utility operation consent is approved.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-478	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new rule to enable network utility operators to apply for resource consent for subdivision and the network utility operation in tandem, with subdivision consent granted but 224C certificate not issued until the network utility operation consent is approved.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-479	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend 2.1(1)(a) to read: (a) Where a framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan has been approved, all subsequent subdivision (except where the purpose of the sites is a network utility) must be in accordance…	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-479	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend 2.1(1)(a) to read: (a) Where a framework, structure, concept and/or precinct plan has been approved, all subsequent subdivision (except where the purpose of the sites is a network utility) must be in accordance…	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-480	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend 2.1(3)(a) to read: (a) All proposed sites (except where the purpose of the site is a network utility) must be provided with legal…	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-480	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend 2.1(3)(a) to read: (a) All proposed sites (except where the purpose of the site is a network utility) must be provided with legal…	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-481	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend 2.1(4)(a) to read: '(a) All proposed sites (except where the purpose of the site is a network utility) capable of containing… each building must be designed and located do that provision is made for … (v) natural gas'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-481	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend 2.1(4)(a) to read: '(a) All proposed sites (except where the purpose of the site is a network utility) capable of containing… each building must be designed and located do that provision is made for … (v) natural gas'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-482	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend 2.2.1(2)(a) to read: '(a) ~~The network utility must be a permitted activity or have all necessary resource consents or notices of requirement approved~~ Subdivided site must be used for a network utility'. Delete rule 2.2.1(2)(b).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-482	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.2.1 & H5.2.2 Development controls	Amend 2.2.1(2)(a) to read: '(a) ~~The network utility must be a permitted activity or have all necessary resource consents or notices of requirement approved~~ Subdivided site must be used for a network utility'. Delete rule 2.2.1(2)(b).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-483	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Retain H5.3 'Assessment - controlled activities', specifically the matters of control that recognise infrastructure in the subdivision process (Table 11 'Matters of Control, rows seven, eight and eleven).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-483	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Retain H5.3 'Assessment - controlled activities', specifically the matters of control that recognise infrastructure in the subdivision process (Table 11 'Matters of Control, rows seven, eight and eleven).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-484	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend 5.3 'Assessment - controlled activities', to ensure infrastructure is appropriately provided for. Specifically amend 3.2(10) (In Table 12 ' Controlled activity assessment criteria'), relating to the provision of infrastructure consistent with the requirements of Auckland Transport and Watercare, to clearly identify the relevant standards and codes.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-484	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend 5.3 'Assessment - controlled activities', to ensure infrastructure is appropriately provided for. Specifically amend 3.2(10) (In Table 12 ' Controlled activity assessment criteria'), relating to the provision of infrastructure consistent with the requirements of Auckland Transport and Watercare, to clearly identify the relevant standards and codes.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-484	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend 5.3 'Assessment - controlled activities', to ensure infrastructure is appropriately provided for. Specifically amend 3.2(10) (In Table 12 ' Controlled activity assessment criteria'), relating to the provision of infrastructure consistent with the requirements of Auckland Transport and Watercare, to clearly identify the relevant standards and codes.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-485	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete restricted discretionary matter of discretion 4.1(4), relating to subdivision for network utility in the Future Urban Zone (under H5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities). [Submitter has requested that all subdivision for a network utility be permitted].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-485	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete restricted discretionary matter of discretion 4.1(4), relating to subdivision for network utility in the Future Urban Zone (under H5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities). [Submitter has requested that all subdivision for a network utility be permitted].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-486	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Retain the matters of discretion (rows 14-19) under the heading 'Infrastructure and servicing' in Table 13 ' Matters of discretion' (under 5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-486	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Retain the matters of discretion (rows 14-19) under the heading 'Infrastructure and servicing' in Table 13 ' Matters of discretion' (under 5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-487	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 4.2(4), relating to subdivision for a network utility (under 5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities). [Submitter has requested that all subdivision for a network utility be permitted].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-487	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Delete restricted discretionary assessment criteria 4.2(4), relating to subdivision for a network utility (under 5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities). [Submitter has requested that all subdivision for a network utility be permitted].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-488	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria (row 46) under the heading 'Infrastructure and servicing' in Table 14 'Restricted discretionary activity assessment criteria', relating to the provision of infrastructure consistent with the requirements of Auckland Transport and Watercare, to clearly identify the relevant standards and codes. (5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-488	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria (row 46) under the heading 'Infrastructure and servicing' in Table 14 'Restricted discretionary activity assessment criteria', relating to the provision of infrastructure consistent with the requirements of Auckland Transport and Watercare, to clearly identify the relevant standards and codes. (5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-488	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria (row 46) under the heading 'Infrastructure and servicing' in Table 14 'Restricted discretionary activity assessment criteria', relating to the provision of infrastructure consistent with the requirements of Auckland Transport and Watercare, to clearly identify the relevant standards and codes. (5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-489	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria (row 56) under the heading 'Site suitability' in Table 14 'Restricted discretionary activity assessment criteria', relating to the design and layout of subdivision on land subject to a hazard, to clarify the intent of this assessment criteria. (5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-489	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend assessment criteria (row 56) under the heading 'Site suitability' in Table 14 'Restricted discretionary activity assessment criteria', relating to the design and layout of subdivision on land subject to a hazard, to clarify the intent of this assessment criteria. (5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-490	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Retain assessment criteria (row 57) under the heading 'Site suitability' in Table 14 'Restricted discretionary activity assessment criteria', relating to compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practise (NZECP34:2001). (5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-490	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Retain assessment criteria (row 57) under the heading 'Site suitability' in Table 14 'Restricted discretionary activity assessment criteria', relating to compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practise (NZECP34:2001). (5.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-491	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	G2.7.1 Gen. info. req. H5.5 Spec. info. req.	Amend 5.5 'Special information requirements' to read: A design statement is required for the activities specified in the table below (excluding the creation of sites for network utilities). The design statement…'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-491	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	G2.7.1 Gen. info. req. H5.5 Spec. info. req.	Amend 5.5 'Special information requirements' to read: A design statement is required for the activities specified in the table below (excluding the creation of sites for network utilities). The design statement…'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-492	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Retain H6.1 'Lighting'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-492	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Retain H6.1 'Lighting'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-493	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Retain 6.2 'Noise and vibration', subject to clarification that noise from substations is not addressed in this section (but is addressed in H1.1 'Network utilities and energy').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-493	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Retain 6.2 'Noise and vibration', subject to clarification that noise from substations is not addressed in this section (but is addressed in H1.1 'Network utilities and energy').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-494	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Amend 6.5 'Temporary activities', such that all temporary project signage required for health and safety and communicating the purpose of the project and project updates to the public is provided for.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-494	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Amend 6.5 'Temporary activities', such that all temporary project signage required for health and safety and communicating the purpose of the project and project updates to the public is provided for.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-495	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Cross plan matters		Retain all sections in Chapter I 'Zone rules', subject to changes requested to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-495	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Cross plan matters		Retain all sections in Chapter I 'Zone rules', subject to changes requested to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-496	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	Introduction	Amend I6 'Coastal - General Marine zone', to relocate rules affecting network utilities to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-496	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	Introduction	Amend I6 'Coastal - General Marine zone', to relocate rules affecting network utilities to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-497	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	Introduction	Amend whole section of I.6 to add 'occupation by network utilities' to the activity table as a permitted activity.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-497	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	Introduction	Amend whole section of I.6 to add 'occupation by network utilities' to the activity table as a permitted activity.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-498	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	Introduction	Amend whole section of I.6 to provide for all activities in section H1.1 'Network Utilities' and all other network utility related activities, in the General Coastal Marine zone activity table to link the activity status for these activities to the effects generated and to ensure that all network utility related activities are expressly provided as permitted.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-498	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	Introduction	Amend whole section of I.6 to provide for all activities in section H1.1 'Network Utilities' and all other network utility related activities, in the General Coastal Marine zone activity table to link the activity status for these activities to the effects generated and to ensure that all network utility related activities are expressly provided as permitted.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-499	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	Introduction	Amend whole section of I.6 to amend to ensure occupation, use, disturbance and so on [of network utilities] are assessed separately and are based on the effects generated.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-499	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	Introduction	Amend whole section of I.6 to amend to ensure occupation, use, disturbance and so on [of network utilities] are assessed separately and are based on the effects generated.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-500	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Depositing and disposal of material include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.2 Activity table	Amend the activity table rules such that work associated with constructing, upgrading, repairing and maintaining infrastructure is exempt from these provisions.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-500	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Depositing and disposal of material include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.2 Activity table	Amend the activity table rules such that work associated with constructing, upgrading, repairing and maintaining infrastructure is exempt from these provisions.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-501	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Depositing and disposal of material include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.2 Activity table	Amend the activity table such that any incidental deposition for network utility structures is dealt with in the specific rules for 'infrastructure CMA structures' [Activity table 1.10 CMA structures] or include rules for 'deposition ancillary to network utility infrastructure' where the activity status is no more restrictive than discretionary, in any overlay or for any volume.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-501	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Depositing and disposal of material include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.2 Activity table	Amend the activity table such that any incidental deposition for network utility structures is dealt with in the specific rules for 'infrastructure CMA structures' [Activity table 1.10 CMA structures] or include rules for 'deposition ancillary to network utility infrastructure' where the activity status is no more restrictive than discretionary, in any overlay or for any volume.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-502	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Retain provision for 'Pruning, vegetation alteration or vegetation removal for routine operation, repairs and maintenance within 3m of existing buildings and structures, excluding mangrove, seagrass or salt marsh removal' as a Permitted activity.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-502	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Retain provision for 'Pruning, vegetation alteration or vegetation removal for routine operation, repairs and maintenance within 3m of existing buildings and structures, excluding mangrove, seagrass or salt marsh removal' as a Permitted activity.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-503	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Amend the activity table such that work associated with constructing, upgrading, repairing and maintaining infrastructure is exempt from these provisions and incidental disturbance is dealt with in specific rules for 'infrastructure CMA structures'. Alternatively include rules for 'deposition ancillary to network utility infrastructure' where the activity status is no more restrictive than discretionary, in any overlay or for any volume.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-503	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Amend the activity table such that work associated with constructing, upgrading, repairing and maintaining infrastructure is exempt from these provisions and incidental disturbance is dealt with in specific rules for 'infrastructure CMA structures'. Alternatively include rules for 'deposition ancillary to network utility infrastructure' where the activity status is no more restrictive than discretionary, in any overlay or for any volume.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-504	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Use, activities, development and occupation	I6.1.9 Activity table	Amend row 17 of the activity table relating to when vehicle use of the foreshore and seabed is a permitted activity, to include use by network utility operators (for maintenance, repair and minor infrastructure upgrading) as a permitted activity in all areas. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 207/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-504	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Use, activities, development and occupation	I6.1.9 Activity table	Amend row 17 of the activity table relating to when vehicle use of the foreshore and seabed is a permitted activity, to include use by network utility operators (for maintenance, repair and minor infrastructure upgrading) as a permitted activity in all areas. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 207/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-505	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Use, activities, development and occupation	I6.1.9 Activity table	Add a new activity to provide for the 'use of new and existing infrastructure' as a permitted activity in all zones.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-505	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Use, activities, development and occupation	I6.1.9 Activity table	Add a new activity to provide for the 'use of new and existing infrastructure' as a permitted activity in all zones.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-506	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Amend the activity description in row 12 to read: 'Cables located within ~~prohibited Anchorage~~ cable protection areas'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-506	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Amend the activity description in row 12 to read: 'Cables located within ~~prohibited Anchorage~~ cable protection areas'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-507	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Amend references across the PAUP of 'prohibited anchorage areas' to 'cable protection areas'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-507	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Amend references across the PAUP of 'prohibited anchorage areas' to 'cable protection areas'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-508	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Delete or amend the activity 'Infrastructure CMA structures not existing at 23 October 2001' (row 10).Amend the activity to clarify that the intent of the provision is to provide for new infrastructure CMA structures not otherwise provided for and alter activity status to restricted discretionary. Delete the rule if the intent is to retrospectively require resource consent for structures constructed after 23 October 2001.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-508	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Delete or amend the activity 'Infrastructure CMA structures not existing at 23 October 2001' (row 10).Amend the activity to clarify that the intent of the provision is to provide for new infrastructure CMA structures not otherwise provided for and alter activity status to restricted discretionary. Delete the rule if the intent is to retrospectively require resource consent for structures constructed after 23 October 2001.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-509	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Amend to ensure there is provision made in the rules for the occupation of all infrastructure CMA structures, with the same activity status for occupation and the activity status relating to the structure itself.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-509	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Amend to ensure there is provision made in the rules for the occupation of all infrastructure CMA structures, with the same activity status for occupation and the activity status relating to the structure itself.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-510	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Add 'network utility structures, underground cables and pipes, and pipes/cables attached to existing bridges' to the activity table as a permitted activity	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-510	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	CMA Structures, occupation and use	I6.1.10 Activity table	Add 'network utility structures, underground cables and pipes, and pipes/cables attached to existing bridges' to the activity table as a permitted activity	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-511	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.2 Land use and water use controls	Amend control 2.1(2) 'Noise' so that the construction noise related to infrastructure projects is exempt from the underwater noise rule.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-511	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.2 Land use and water use controls	Amend control 2.1(2) 'Noise' so that the construction noise related to infrastructure projects is exempt from the underwater noise rule.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-512	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.2 Land use and water use controls	Retain control 2.12 'Pruning, vegetation alteration or removal for routine operation, repairs and maintenance within 3m of existing buildings and structures, excluding mangrove, seagrass or salt marsh removal', subject to any amendments necessary to provide clearance around network utility infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-512	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.2 Land use and water use controls	Retain control 2.12 'Pruning, vegetation alteration or removal for routine operation, repairs and maintenance within 3m of existing buildings and structures, excluding mangrove, seagrass or salt marsh removal', subject to any amendments necessary to provide clearance around network utility infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-513	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Retain control 3.8 'Minor infrastructure upgrading' [subject to any amendments necessary to address other matters raised by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-513	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Retain control 3.8 'Minor infrastructure upgrading' [subject to any amendments necessary to address other matters raised by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-514	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Retain control 3.9 'Occupation of the CMCA by infrastructure structures' [subject to any amendments necessary to address other matters raised by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-514	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Retain control 3.9 'Occupation of the CMCA by infrastructure structures' [subject to any amendments necessary to address other matters raised by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-515	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Retain control 3.10 'Cables located within prohibited anchorage areas' [subject to any amendments necessary to address other matters raised by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-515	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Retain control 3.10 'Cables located within prohibited anchorage areas' [subject to any amendments necessary to address other matters raised by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-516	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Retain controls 3.1(1), 3.1(2) and 3.1(3) 'General controls - all permitted activities' relating to safe navigation, removal of excess building and construction items, and restoration of visible substrate disturbance.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-516	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Retain controls 3.1(1), 3.1(2) and 3.1(3) 'General controls - all permitted activities' relating to safe navigation, removal of excess building and construction items, and restoration of visible substrate disturbance.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-517	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Amend control 3.1(4) 'General controls - all permitted activities' relating to the requirement for written advice to be given to the council at least 10 working days prior to the work starting unless otherwise stated, to provide an exclusion for maintenance and repair of network utility infrastructure, or delete.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-517	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Amend control 3.1(4) 'General controls - all permitted activities' relating to the requirement for written advice to be given to the council at least 10 working days prior to the work starting unless otherwise stated, to provide an exclusion for maintenance and repair of network utility infrastructure, or delete.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-518	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Amend control 3.2(3) 'Maintenance, repair and reconstruction of existing lawful CMA structures or buildings' to read: 'The work must not change the area occupied by the structure by more than 15% of the original authorized area.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-518	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.3 Development controls	Amend control 3.2(3) 'Maintenance, repair and reconstruction of existing lawful CMA structures or buildings' to read: 'The work must not change the area occupied by the structure by more than 15% of the original authorized area.'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-519	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Airport	Overlay E1.2/J1.2 Aircraft Noise		Amend the rules relating to subdivision in the activity tables, to exempt subdivision for network utilities either through a general comment or by amending the activity descriptions to 'subdivision (except subdivision associated with a network utility)... '.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-519	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Airport	Overlay E1.2/J1.2 Aircraft Noise		Amend the rules relating to subdivision in the activity tables, to exempt subdivision for network utilities either through a general comment or by amending the activity descriptions to 'subdivision (except subdivision associated with a network utility)... '.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-520	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	Overlay E1.4 /J1.4 Electricity Transmission Corridor	J1.4 Rules and mapping	Retain the overlay subject to relocation of rules about network utilities to Section H1 Infrastructure and clarification that the overlay: (i) does not provide any additional permitted activity standards; and (ii) provides for the structure and its investigation, construction, operation and maintenance (including repair) and upgrading.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-520	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	Overlay E1.4 /J1.4 Electricity Transmission Corridor	J1.4 Rules and mapping	Retain the overlay subject to relocation of rules about network utilities to Section H1 Infrastructure and clarification that the overlay: (i) does not provide any additional permitted activity standards; and (ii) provides for the structure and its investigation, construction, operation and maintenance (including repair) and upgrading.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-521	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend the provisions to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: minor network utilities such as aerials and solar panels as permitted activities; providing for network utility activities as discretionary activities in and around scheduled features and buildings; maintenance and upgrading as a permitted activity subject to appropriate controls; and the deletion of special information requirement in the case of infrastructure works. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 213/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-521	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend the provisions to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: minor network utilities such as aerials and solar panels as permitted activities; providing for network utility activities as discretionary activities in and around scheduled features and buildings; maintenance and upgrading as a permitted activity subject to appropriate controls; and the deletion of special information requirement in the case of infrastructure works. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 213/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-521	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Overlay E2 description, objectives and policies	Amend the provisions to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: minor network utilities such as aerials and solar panels as permitted activities; providing for network utility activities as discretionary activities in and around scheduled features and buildings; maintenance and upgrading as a permitted activity subject to appropriate controls; and the deletion of special information requirement in the case of infrastructure works. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 213/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-522	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character Business	J3.1.1-5 Rules	Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure activities. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 213-214/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-522	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character Business	J3.1.1-5 Rules	Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for network utility infrastructure activities. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 213-214/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-523	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: deleting or amending rules to provide infrastructure installation and upgrading; deleting or amending development controls related to height to provide for structures exceeding height controls as a restricted discretionary activity; and deleting or amending development controls related to Minor Infrastructure Upgrading. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 214/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-523	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: deleting or amending rules to provide infrastructure installation and upgrading; deleting or amending development controls related to height to provide for structures exceeding height controls as a restricted discretionary activity; and deleting or amending development controls related to Minor Infrastructure Upgrading. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 214/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-524	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: amending the development controls relating to building location and height to exclude lines and antennas, provided there is no overall increase in height; buildings and structure visible in front of the sea or sky are not assessed as Non-complying Activities or, in the case of masts and attached antennas, as Discretionary Activities. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 214-215/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-524	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: amending the development controls relating to building location and height to exclude lines and antennas, provided there is no overall increase in height; buildings and structure visible in front of the sea or sky are not assessed as Non-complying Activities or, in the case of masts and attached antennas, as Discretionary Activities. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 214-215/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	2904	Jonathan Green	Support in Part
2745-524	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: amending the development controls relating to building location and height to exclude lines and antennas, provided there is no overall increase in height; buildings and structure visible in front of the sea or sky are not assessed as Non-complying Activities or, in the case of masts and attached antennas, as Discretionary Activities. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 214-215/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-525	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-525	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-526	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: reducing the buffer from 50 metres to 20 metres; enabling all 'construction, replacement, upgrading and operation' Minor infrastructure upgrading and archaeological investigations that involve limited ground disturbance as permitted activities; deleting requirements for supervision by a mandated Mana Whenua and enabling limited earthworks for testing, maintenance and repair. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 215-217/245]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-526	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: reducing the buffer from 50 metres to 20 metres; enabling all 'construction, replacement, upgrading and operation' Minor infrastructure upgrading and archaeological investigations that involve limited ground disturbance as permitted activities; deleting requirements for supervision by a mandated Mana Whenua and enabling limited earthworks for testing, maintenance and repair. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 215-217/245]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-527	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend Rule 2.4(1)(c) as follows: 'Not result in any clearly discernable change to the height, location or size of existing utility structures'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-527	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend Rule 2.4(1)(c) as follows: 'Not result in any clearly discernable change to the height, location or size of existing utility structures'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-528	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Retain Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion, 3(3) as follows: 'The purpose and necessity for the works and any alternatives considered'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-528	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Retain Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion, 3(3) as follows: 'The purpose and necessity for the works and any alternatives considered'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-529	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Add to 3. Assessment criteria, a new criterion, that addresses the purpose and necessity of the works. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 216/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-529	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Add to 3. Assessment criteria, a new criterion, that addresses the purpose and necessity of the works. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 216/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-530	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Amend the planning maps to clearly and precisely identify all sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-530	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Amend the planning maps to clearly and precisely identify all sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-531	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Add a notification rule to clarify that any restricted discretionary resource consent application required under this section shall be non-notified	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-531	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Add a notification rule to clarify that any restricted discretionary resource consent application required under this section shall be non-notified	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-532	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: reducing the buffer from 50 metres to 20 metres; enabling all 'construction, replacement, upgrading and operation', Minor infrastructure upgrading and archaeological investigations that involve limited ground disturbance as permitted activities; deleting requirements for supervision by a mandated Mana Whenua and enabling limited earthworks for testing, maintenance. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 217-218/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-532	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure including: reducing the buffer from 50 metres to 20 metres; enabling all 'construction, replacement, upgrading and operation', Minor infrastructure upgrading and archaeological investigations that involve limited ground disturbance as permitted activities; deleting requirements for supervision by a mandated Mana Whenua and enabling limited earthworks for testing, maintenance. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 217-218/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-533	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Amend Rule 2.4(1)(c) as follows: 'Not result in any clearly discernable change to the height, location or size of existing utility structures'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-533	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Amend Rule 2.4(1)(c) as follows: 'Not result in any clearly discernable change to the height, location or size of existing utility structures'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-534	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Retain Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion, [3(1)(c)] as follows: 'The purpose and necessity for the works and any alternatives considered'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-534	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Retain Restricted Discretionary Matters of discretion, [3(1)(c)] as follows: 'The purpose and necessity for the works and any alternatives considered'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-535	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Add to 3. Assessment criteria, a new criterion, that addresses the purpose and necessity of the works. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 218/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-535	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Add to 3. Assessment criteria, a new criterion, that addresses the purpose and necessity of the works. Refer to the full submission for further detail [page 218/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-536	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Amend the planning maps to clearly and precisely identify all sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-536	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Amend the planning maps to clearly and precisely identify all sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-537	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Add a notification rule to clarify that any restricted discretionary resource consent application required under this section shall be non-notified	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-537	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Add a notification rule to clarify that any restricted discretionary resource consent application required under this section shall be non-notified	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-538	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Features (ONF) Rules	J6.1 Rules		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: enabling minor infrastructure upgrading as a Permitted Activity; and, enabling network utility structures with more specific and permissive rules for the full range of network utility structures. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 218-219/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-538	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Features (ONF) Rules	J6.1 Rules		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: enabling minor infrastructure upgrading as a Permitted Activity; and, enabling network utility structures with more specific and permissive rules for the full range of network utility structures. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 218-219/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-539	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	J6.2.1 Activity table & J6.1.2 Notification		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: enabling minor infrastructure upgrading as a Permitted Activity; and, enabling network utility structures with more specific and permissive rules for the full range of network utility structures. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 218-219/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-539	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	J6.2.1 Activity table & J6.1.2 Notification		Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: enabling minor infrastructure upgrading as a Permitted Activity; and, enabling network utility structures with more specific and permissive rules for the full range of network utility structures. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 218-219/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-540	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend the provisions to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: amendments to provide for new network utility structures and upgrades that penetrate the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as permitted activities with appropriate controls. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 219-220/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-540	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend the provisions to better recognise and provide for infrastructure, including: amendments to provide for new network utility structures and upgrades that penetrate the floor of a volcanic viewshaft as permitted activities with appropriate controls. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 219-220/252]. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-541	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Rule 2(1) Notification to exempt applications for network utilities that are Non-complying Activities from public notification. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 220/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-541	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Rule 2(1) Notification to exempt applications for network utilities that are Non-complying Activities from public notification. Refer to the full submission for further detail [pages 220/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-542	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-542	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend the rules to better recognise and provide for infrastructure. [Changes requested are in the event that the overlay rules relating to network utility infrastructure are not relocated to H1.1 'Network utilities and energy' as requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-543	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend the activity table explanation to provide greater certainty about what is meant by 'on or around notable trees or notable groups of trees' (consider referring to defined term 'protected root zone).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-543	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend the activity table explanation to provide greater certainty about what is meant by 'on or around notable trees or notable groups of trees' (consider referring to defined term 'protected root zone).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-544	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend the activity table to recognise and provide for 'works on trees required under the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 and Telecommunications Act 2001' as permitted activities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-544	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend the activity table to recognise and provide for 'works on trees required under the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 and Telecommunications Act 2001' as permitted activities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-545	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend the activity table, such that tree alteration or removal for network utility operators is a restricted discretionary activity.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-545	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend the activity table, such that tree alteration or removal for network utility operators is a restricted discretionary activity.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-546	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Retain 'emergency tree works' as a permitted activity.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-546	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Retain 'emergency tree works' as a permitted activity.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-547	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend control 2.1 'Tree trimming', such that these are realistic and capable of meaningful enforcement, including removing the annual limit for network utilities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-547	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	J6.4 Rules	Amend control 2.1 'Tree trimming', such that these are realistic and capable of meaningful enforcement, including removing the annual limit for network utilities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-548	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts General Content	Precincts General Content		Retain Chapter K, subject to amendments to recognise and provide for infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-548	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts General Content	Precincts General Content		Retain Chapter K, subject to amendments to recognise and provide for infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-549	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Amend 1.2 'Greenfield Urban' to the extent it affects network utility operations, including amendments to clarify how these areas will be progressed in conjunction with the network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-549	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Amend 1.2 'Greenfield Urban' to the extent it affects network utility operations, including amendments to clarify how these areas will be progressed in conjunction with the network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-550	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Amend the activity table to provide for network utilities as permitted activities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-550	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Amend the activity table to provide for network utilities as permitted activities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-551	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Amend 4.1(1)(k) 'Matters of discretion' (for restricted discretionary activities), regarding staging development to align with the provision of infrastructure, to better recognise all forms of infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-551	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Amend 4.1(1)(k) 'Matters of discretion' (for restricted discretionary activities), regarding staging development to align with the provision of infrastructure, to better recognise all forms of infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-552	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Amend 5.1(8) 'Matters of discretion' (for discretionary activities), regarding provision of infrastructure services, to align with the provision of infrastructure, to better recognise the full range of infrastructure services.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-552	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Amend 5.1(8) 'Matters of discretion' (for discretionary activities), regarding provision of infrastructure services, to align with the provision of infrastructure, to better recognise the full range of infrastructure services.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-553	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Retain 5.1(9) 'Matters of discretion' (for discretionary activities), regarding the proper documentation of structure plans and the requirement for consultation with infrastructure providers.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-553	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Greenfield urban		Retain 5.1(9) 'Matters of discretion' (for discretionary activities), regarding the proper documentation of structure plans and the requirement for consultation with infrastructure providers.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-554	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Integrated Development		Amend 1.3 'Integrated Development' to clarify how these areas will be progressed in conjunction with the network utility operators.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-554	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Integrated Development		Amend 1.3 'Integrated Development' to clarify how these areas will be progressed in conjunction with the network utility operators.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-555	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Integrated Development		Amend the activity table to add a new Restricted Discretionary activity under a new heading 'Framework Plan' as follows: 'A framework plan, amendments to an approved framework plan, or a replacement framework plan complying with clause 3.1 below'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-555	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Integrated Development		Amend the activity table to add a new Restricted Discretionary activity under a new heading 'Framework Plan' as follows: 'A framework plan, amendments to an approved framework plan, or a replacement framework plan complying with clause 3.1 below'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-556	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Integrated Development		Amend the activity table to add a new Non-complying activity under a new heading 'Framework Plan' as follows: 'A framework plan, amendments to an approved framework plan, or a replacement framework plan not complying with clause 3.1 below'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-556	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Integrated Development		Amend the activity table to add a new Non-complying activity under a new heading 'Framework Plan' as follows: 'A framework plan, amendments to an approved framework plan, or a replacement framework plan not complying with clause 3.1 below'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-557	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Retain Part 4 'Definitions' [subject to changes requested by the submitter].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-557	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Retain Part 4 'Definitions' [subject to changes requested by the submitter].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-558	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Retain reference to 'the definitions do not apply to the designations section', under 'Interpreting the definitions'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-558	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Retain reference to 'the definitions do not apply to the designations section', under 'Interpreting the definitions'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-559	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Amend the 'Abbreviations and acronyms' section to include all abbreviations and acronyms used in the PAUP (as currently a number are undefined e.g. SSMW - Sites of significance to Mana Whenua and NSMA - Natural Stream Management Areas).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-559	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Amend the 'Abbreviations and acronyms' section to include all abbreviations and acronyms used in the PAUP (as currently a number are undefined e.g. SSMW - Sites of significance to Mana Whenua and NSMA - Natural Stream Management Areas).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-560	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Aerials' [subject to amendments to the definition of Antenna].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-560	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Aerials' [subject to amendments to the definition of Antenna].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-560	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Aerials' [subject to amendments to the definition of Antenna].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-561	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Antenna' to specifically include within the definition 'aerials operated by a Network Utility Operator' and specifically exclude from the definition aerials not operated by a network utility operator. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 226/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-561	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Antenna' to specifically include within the definition 'aerials operated by a Network Utility Operator' and specifically exclude from the definition aerials not operated by a network utility operator. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 226/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-561	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Antenna' to specifically include within the definition 'aerials operated by a Network Utility Operator' and specifically exclude from the definition aerials not operated by a network utility operator. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 226/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-562	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add a new activity for aerials operated by a Network Utility Operator.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-562	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Add a new activity for aerials operated by a Network Utility Operator.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-563	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add new development controls for aerials operated by a Network Utility Operator.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-563	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add new development controls for aerials operated by a Network Utility Operator.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-563	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Add new development controls for aerials operated by a Network Utility Operator.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-564	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Approved works arborist' to read: 'An approved arborist ~~drawn from a list which is compiled and managed by the council~~ is a suitably qualified arborist'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-564	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Approved works arborist' to read: 'An approved arborist ~~drawn from a list which is compiled and managed by the council~~ is a suitably qualified arborist'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-565	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete the definition of 'Best practicable option' and rely on the RMA definition, but where referred to in the context of network utilities add as an additional objective the need to ensure continuity of supply and/or minimise widespread disruption to customers.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-565	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete the definition of 'Best practicable option' and rely on the RMA definition, but where referred to in the context of network utilities add as an additional objective the need to ensure continuity of supply and/or minimise widespread disruption to customers.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-566	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Buildings' to clarify the exclusions for buildings in the CMA, as follows: '~~Exclusions for buildings in the CMA.~~ The following in the CMA are not considered to be buildings:…network utilities' Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 227/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-566	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Buildings' to clarify the exclusions for buildings in the CMA, as follows: '~~Exclusions for buildings in the CMA.~~ The following in the CMA are not considered to be buildings:…network utilities' Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 227/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-567	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'CMA structures', subject to amendments necessary to clarify how this definition will apply to network utility structures in the CMA.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-567	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'CMA structures', subject to amendments necessary to clarify how this definition will apply to network utility structures in the CMA.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-568	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Community-scale energy generation' subject to amendments to distinguish between pure community-scale electricity generation and small scale electricity generation undertaken by electricity distributors.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-568	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Community-scale energy generation' subject to amendments to distinguish between pure community-scale electricity generation and small scale electricity generation undertaken by electricity distributors.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-568	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Community-scale energy generation' subject to amendments to distinguish between pure community-scale electricity generation and small scale electricity generation undertaken by electricity distributors.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-569	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Contiguous vegetation' to provide greater clarity.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-569	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Contiguous vegetation' to provide greater clarity.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-570	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Cultural impact assessment' subject to amendments to clarify that 'involvement' does not necessarily mean 'prepared by' [Mana Whenua]. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 230-231/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-570	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Cultural impact assessment' subject to amendments to clarify that 'involvement' does not necessarily mean 'prepared by' [Mana Whenua]. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 230-231/252].	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Oppose in Part
2745-570	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Cultural impact assessment' subject to amendments to clarify that 'involvement' does not necessarily mean 'prepared by' [Mana Whenua]. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 230-231/252].	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Oppose in Part
2745-570	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Cultural impact assessment' subject to amendments to clarify that 'involvement' does not necessarily mean 'prepared by' [Mana Whenua]. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 230-231/252].	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Oppose in Part
2745-570	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Cultural impact assessment' subject to amendments to clarify that 'involvement' does not necessarily mean 'prepared by' [Mana Whenua]. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 230-231/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-570	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Cultural impact assessment' subject to amendments to clarify that 'involvement' does not necessarily mean 'prepared by' [Mana Whenua]. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 230-231/252].	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Oppose in Part
2745-571	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Earthworks' to (i) add an explanatory note regarding network utility operations and the calculation of the volume and area of earthworks; (ii) to clarify that thrusting, drilling and boring is only considered earthworks if more than 250mm in diameter; and (iii) to delete 'replacing' from the list of what earthworks includes. Refer to the full submission or suggested wording [page 231/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-571	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Earthworks' to (i) add an explanatory note regarding network utility operations and the calculation of the volume and area of earthworks; (ii) to clarify that thrusting, drilling and boring is only considered earthworks if more than 250mm in diameter; and (iii) to delete 'replacing' from the list of what earthworks includes. Refer to the full submission or suggested wording [page 231/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-572	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete the definition of 'Flood vulnerable infrastructure' (or amend to reflect other similar definitions).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-572	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete the definition of 'Flood vulnerable infrastructure' (or amend to reflect other similar definitions).	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-572	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete the definition of 'Flood vulnerable infrastructure' (or amend to reflect other similar definitions).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-573	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Gas distribution regulator stations'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-573	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Gas distribution regulator stations'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-574	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Geological features' by replacing the current definition with the definition from the operative Auckland Isthmus District Plan so that it means: 'Any feature that would normally come within the scope of professional interest in the field of geology, together with the land under the surface of which that feature is located'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-574	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Geological features' by replacing the current definition with the definition from the operative Auckland Isthmus District Plan so that it means: 'Any feature that would normally come within the scope of professional interest in the field of geology, together with the land under the surface of which that feature is located'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-575	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Gross floor area' subject to amendments to clarify that the exclusions also cover 'non-habitable floor space associated with network utility infrastructure plan and equipment'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-575	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Gross floor area' subject to amendments to clarify that the exclusions also cover 'non-habitable floor space associated with network utility infrastructure plan and equipment'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-576	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Groundwater diversion' to be titled 'Diversion of groundwater'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-576	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Groundwater diversion' to be titled 'Diversion of groundwater'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-577	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'height'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-577	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'height'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-578	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Infrastructure' subject to amendments to distinguish between different types of infrastructure and subject to the definition of Significant infrastructure (i.e. network utilities and social infrastructure).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-578	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Infrastructure' subject to amendments to distinguish between different types of infrastructure and subject to the definition of Significant infrastructure (i.e. network utilities and social infrastructure).	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-578	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Infrastructure' subject to amendments to distinguish between different types of infrastructure and subject to the definition of Significant infrastructure (i.e. network utilities and social infrastructure).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-579	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Large scale wind farms' subject to amendments to include a threshold for distinguishing 'large scale' wind farms from other smaller wind farms.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-579	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Large scale wind farms' subject to amendments to include a threshold for distinguishing 'large scale' wind farms from other smaller wind farms.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-579	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain 'Large scale wind farms' subject to amendments to include a threshold for distinguishing 'large scale' wind farms from other smaller wind farms.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-580	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Less vulnerable activities' to include network utilities.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-580	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Less vulnerable activities' to include network utilities.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-580	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Less vulnerable activities' to include network utilities.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-581	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Mana Whenua' to delete the sentence 'Defined as tangata whenua in the RMA'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-581	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Mana Whenua' to delete the sentence 'Defined as tangata whenua in the RMA'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-582	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace 'Minor infrastructure upgrading' with the following: 'in respect of network utilities, all minor changes to network utilities, including unless specified in H.1.1 Network utilities and energy activity table; minor realignments; alterations, additions or modifications to all infrastructure; and an increase in the power carrying or operating capacity, efficiency or security of electricity lines, gas pipelines and telecommunication lines; and replacement of water, wastewater and stormwater;'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-582	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace 'Minor infrastructure upgrading' with the following: 'in respect of network utilities, all minor changes to network utilities, including unless specified in H.1.1 Network utilities and energy activity table; minor realignments; alterations, additions or modifications to all infrastructure; and an increase in the power carrying or operating capacity, efficiency or security of electricity lines, gas pipelines and telecommunication lines; and replacement of water, wastewater and stormwater;'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-582	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace 'Minor infrastructure upgrading' with the following: 'in respect of network utilities, all minor changes to network utilities, including unless specified in H.1.1 Network utilities and energy activity table; minor realignments; alterations, additions or modifications to all infrastructure; and an increase in the power carrying or operating capacity, efficiency or security of electricity lines, gas pipelines and telecommunication lines; and replacement of water, wastewater and stormwater;'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-583	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Minor utility structure' to include fittings, foundations or other underground ancillary structures. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 236/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-583	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Minor utility structure' to include fittings, foundations or other underground ancillary structures. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 236/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-584	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Network utilities' to replace the current definition with the RMA definition and include within the definition 'buildings for substations and ancillary underground structures or facilities'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 236-237/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-584	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Network utilities' to replace the current definition with the RMA definition and include within the definition 'buildings for substations and ancillary underground structures or facilities'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 236-237/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-584	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Network utilities' to replace the current definition with the RMA definition and include within the definition 'buildings for substations and ancillary underground structures or facilities'. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [pages 236-237/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-585	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Potentially contaminated' to be consistent with the land covered by the National Environmental Standard or the definition of 'contaminated land' in the RMA.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-585	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Potentially contaminated' to be consistent with the land covered by the National Environmental Standard or the definition of 'contaminated land' in the RMA.	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2745-585	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Potentially contaminated' to be consistent with the land covered by the National Environmental Standard or the definition of 'contaminated land' in the RMA.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-586	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Add a definition for 'Prohibited anchorage areas' which covers those areas that are protected under the Submarine Cable and Pipeline Protection order. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 237/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-586	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Add a definition for 'Prohibited anchorage areas' which covers those areas that are protected under the Submarine Cable and Pipeline Protection order. Refer to the full submission for suggested wording [page 237/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-587	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Reverse sensitivity'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-587	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Reverse sensitivity'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-588	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Road', subject to network utilities being covered by the definition in H1.1 'Network utilities and energy'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-588	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Road', subject to network utilities being covered by the definition in H1.1 'Network utilities and energy'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-589	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	New		Add a new definition for 'Service connections': 'Service Connection means a dedicated low capacity wire, cable or conductor that is the final connection between a [Network] and a customer's property, including risers, droppers, and aerial spans'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-589	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	New		Add a new definition for 'Service connections': 'Service Connection means a dedicated low capacity wire, cable or conductor that is the final connection between a [Network] and a customer's property, including risers, droppers, and aerial spans'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-590	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Significant infrastructure' to add a new bullet point: 'network utility operations (as defined by the RMA)'.	728	WEL Networks Limited	Support
2745-590	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Significant infrastructure' to add a new bullet point: 'network utility operations (as defined by the RMA)'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-590	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Significant infrastructure' to add a new bullet point: 'network utility operations (as defined by the RMA)'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-590	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Significant infrastructure' to add a new bullet point: 'network utility operations (as defined by the RMA)'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-591	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Small-scale energy generation'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-591	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Small-scale energy generation'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-592	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Substations'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-592	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Substations'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-593	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Vegetation alteration or removal', subject to tree trimming being excluded.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-593	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of 'Vegetation alteration or removal', subject to tree trimming being excluded.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-594	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	New		Add a new definition for 'works on trees' [as used in H3.1 'Trees in streets and public open spaces'].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-594	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Definitions	New		Add a new definition for 'works on trees' [as used in H3.1 'Trees in streets and public open spaces'].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-595	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the activity table to replace 'Works on trees undertaken' with 'Tree trimming and tree alteration carried out'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-595	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Amend the activity table to replace 'Works on trees undertaken' with 'Tree trimming and tree alteration carried out'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-596	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Cross plan matters		Amend all Appendices, to ensure locations and extent of areas are clearly marked by GPS and the information is updated to remove sites that no longer warrant protection.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-596	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Cross plan matters		Amend all Appendices, to ensure locations and extent of areas are clearly marked by GPS and the information is updated to remove sites that no longer warrant protection.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-597	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	App. 1.1-2 Structure Plan req. & Metropolitan Urban Area 2010	Amend Appendix 1 'Structure plan requirements and Metropolitan Urban Area 2010', to appropriately recognise and provide for infrastructure.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-597	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	RPS	Urban growth	App. 1.1-2 Structure Plan req. & Metropolitan Urban Area 2010	Amend Appendix 1 'Structure plan requirements and Metropolitan Urban Area 2010', to appropriately recognise and provide for infrastructure.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-598	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Editorial and Part 6		Retain Part 6, Attachment 2 'Urban design guidelines', subject to clarification that this guideline does not apply to network utilities, or amend to include specific exemption.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-598	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Editorial and Part 6		Retain Part 6, Attachment 2 'Urban design guidelines', subject to clarification that this guideline does not apply to network utilities, or amend to include specific exemption.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-599	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Delete 7.4 'Guidance regarding designations'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-599	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Delete 7.4 'Guidance regarding designations'.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support in Part
2745-599	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Delete 7.4 'Guidance regarding designations'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-599	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Delete 7.4 'Guidance regarding designations'.	3265	Minister of Police	Support
2745-599	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Delete 7.4 'Guidance regarding designations'.	3266	Minister for Courts	Support
2745-599	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Part 7 Designations - Using Part 7		Delete 7.4 'Guidance regarding designations'.	3272	Auckland University of Technology	Support
2745-600	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Add functionality to the e-plan map legend, so that the items in the legend can be selected to see a summary of the purpose of the item and cross references to the content in the PAUP and clarify the use of 'historic heritage' as an overlay grouping.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-600	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Add functionality to the e-plan map legend, so that the items in the legend can be selected to see a summary of the purpose of the item and cross references to the content in the PAUP and clarify the use of 'historic heritage' as an overlay grouping.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-601	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Amend the e-plan to allow for printing at a range of scales.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-601	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Amend the e-plan to allow for printing at a range of scales.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-602	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Amend the e-plan maps to allow for only district level or only regional level rules to be selected.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-602	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Amend the e-plan maps to allow for only district level or only regional level rules to be selected.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-603	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Amend the e-plan maps to include reference numbers for registered sites of historic heritage and Mana Whenua visible when selecting symbols, to be consistent with designation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-603	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Amend the e-plan maps to include reference numbers for registered sites of historic heritage and Mana Whenua visible when selecting symbols, to be consistent with designation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-604	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Amend the the e-plan maps to include hyper-links from the maps to the correct section and subsection of the rules.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-604	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Eplan		Amend the the e-plan maps to include hyper-links from the maps to the correct section and subsection of the rules.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-605	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8800 Otara Substation	Retain designation 8800 Otara Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-605	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8800 Otara Substation	Retain designation 8800 Otara Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-606	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8801 Pakuranga Substation	Retain 8801 Pakuranga Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-606	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8801 Pakuranga Substation	Retain 8801 Pakuranga Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-607	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8802 Wiri Substation	Retain 8802 Wiri Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-607	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8802 Wiri Substation	Retain 8802 Wiri Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-608	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8803 Bairds Substation	Retain 8803 Bairds Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-608	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8803 Bairds Substation	Retain 8803 Bairds Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-609	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8804 Mangere East Substation	Retain 8804 Mangere East Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-609	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8804 Mangere East Substation	Retain 8804 Mangere East Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-610	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8805 Flat Bush Substation	Retain 8805 Flat Bush Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-610	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8805 Flat Bush Substation	Retain 8805 Flat Bush Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-611	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8806 South Howick Substation	Retain 8806 South Howick Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-611	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8806 South Howick Substation	Retain 8806 South Howick Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-612	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8807 Maraetai Substation	Retain 8807 Maraetai Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-612	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8807 Maraetai Substation	Retain 8807 Maraetai Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-613	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8808 Manurewa Substation	Retain 8808 Manurewa Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-613	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8808 Manurewa Substation	Retain 8808 Manurewa Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-614	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8809 Greenmount Substation	Retain 8809 Greenmount Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-614	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8809 Greenmount Substation	Retain 8809 Greenmount Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-615	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8810 Clendon Substation	Retain 8810 Clendon Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-615	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8810 Clendon Substation	Retain 8810 Clendon Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-616	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8811 Howick Substation	Retain 8811 Howick Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-616	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8811 Howick Substation	Retain 8811 Howick Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-617	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8812 Mangere Central Substation	Retain 8812 Mangere Central Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-617	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8812 Mangere Central Substation	Retain 8812 Mangere Central Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-618	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8813 Newmarket Substation	Retain 8813 Newmarket Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-618	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8813 Newmarket Substation	Retain 8813 Newmarket Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-619	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8814 Rosebank Substation	Retain 8814 Rosebank Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-619	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8814 Rosebank Substation	Retain 8814 Rosebank Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-620	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8815 Mt Albert Substation	Retain 8815 Mt Albert Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-620	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8815 Mt Albert Substation	Retain 8815 Mt Albert Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-621	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 867B New North Road, Mount Albert (Vector Ltd designation 8815 'Mt Albert Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-621	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 867B New North Road, Mount Albert (Vector Ltd designation 8815 'Mt Albert Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-622	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8816 Remuera Substation	Retain 8816 Remuera Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-622	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8816 Remuera Substation	Retain 8816 Remuera Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-623	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 2-4 Minto Road, Remuera (Vector Ltd designation 8816 'Remuera Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-623	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 2-4 Minto Road, Remuera (Vector Ltd designation 8816 'Remuera Substation'), from the overlay.	2235	Remuera Heritage Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2745-623	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 2-4 Minto Road, Remuera (Vector Ltd designation 8816 'Remuera Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-624	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8817 St Johns Substation	Retain 8817 St Johns Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-624	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8817 St Johns Substation	Retain 8817 St Johns Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-625	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8818 Freemans Bay Substation	Retain 8818 Freemans Bay Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-625	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8818 Freemans Bay Substation	Retain 8818 Freemans Bay Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-626	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 98 Franklin Road, Freemans Bay (Vector Ltd designation 8818 'Freemans Bay Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-626	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 98 Franklin Road, Freemans Bay (Vector Ltd designation 8818 'Freemans Bay Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-627	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8819 Newton Substation	Retain 8819 Newton Substation	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-627	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8819 Newton Substation	Retain 8819 Newton Substation	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-628	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8820 Parnell Substation	Retain 8820 Parnell Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-628	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8820 Parnell Substation	Retain 8820 Parnell Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-629	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8821 Orakei Substation	Retain 8821 Orakei Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-629	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8821 Orakei Substation	Retain 8821 Orakei Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-630	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8822 St Heliers Substation	Retain 8822 St Heliers Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-630	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8822 St Heliers Substation	Retain 8822 St Heliers Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-631	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8823 Point Chevalier Substation	Retain 8823 Point Chevalier Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-631	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8823 Point Chevalier Substation	Retain 8823 Point Chevalier Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-632	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 1094 Great North Road, Point Chevalier (Vector Ltd designation 8823 'Point Chevalier Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-632	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 1094 Great North Road, Point Chevalier (Vector Ltd designation 8823 'Point Chevalier Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-633	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8824 Kingsland Substation	Retain 8824 Kingsland Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-633	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8824 Kingsland Substation	Retain 8824 Kingsland Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-634	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 1A Central Road, Kingsland (Vector Ltd designation 8824 'Kingsland Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-634	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 1A Central Road, Kingsland (Vector Ltd designation 8824 'Kingsland Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-635	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8825 Glen Innes Substation	Retain 8825 Glen Innes Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-635	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8825 Glen Innes Substation	Retain 8825 Glen Innes Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-636	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8826 Balmoral Substation	Retain 8826 Balmoral Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-636	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8826 Balmoral Substation	Retain 8826 Balmoral Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-637	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Remove 250 Balmoral Road, Sandringham (Vector Ltd designation 8826 'Balmoralt Substation'), from the schedule [ID 2581].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-637	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Remove 250 Balmoral Road, Sandringham (Vector Ltd designation 8826 'Balmoralt Substation'), from the schedule [ID 2581].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-638	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1611 Road Widening - Balmoral Road	Remove the road widening designation from 250 Balmoral Road, Sandringham (Vector Ltd designation 8826 'Balmoral Substation'), so as to not limit development of the site for substation purposes.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-638	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1611 Road Widening - Balmoral Road	Remove the road widening designation from 250 Balmoral Road, Sandringham (Vector Ltd designation 8826 'Balmoral Substation'), so as to not limit development of the site for substation purposes.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-639	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8827 Avondale Substation	Retain 8827 Avondale Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-639	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8827 Avondale Substation	Retain 8827 Avondale Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-640	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8828 Sandringham Substation	Retain 8828 Sandringham Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-640	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8828 Sandringham Substation	Retain 8828 Sandringham Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-641	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8829 McNab Substation	Retain 8829 McNab Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-641	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8829 McNab Substation	Retain 8829 McNab Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-642	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8830 The Drive Substation	Retain 8830 The Drive Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-642	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8830 The Drive Substation	Retain 8830 The Drive Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-643	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Remove the volcanic viewshaft contour from 62-66 The Drive, Epsom (Vector Ltd designation 8830 The Drive Substation).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-643	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Remove the volcanic viewshaft contour from 62-66 The Drive, Epsom (Vector Ltd designation 8830 The Drive Substation).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-644	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8831 Penrose Portal	Retain 8831 Penrose Portal.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-644	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8831 Penrose Portal	Retain 8831 Penrose Portal.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-645	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8832 Mt Wellington Substation	Retain 8832 Mt Wellington Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-645	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8832 Mt Wellington Substation	Retain 8832 Mt Wellington Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-646	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8833 White Swan Substation	Retain 8833 White Swan Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-646	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8833 White Swan Substation	Retain 8833 White Swan Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-647	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8834 Rockfield Substation	Retain 8834 Rockfield Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-647	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8834 Rockfield Substation	Retain 8834 Rockfield Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-648	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 66-70 Rockfield Road, Penrose (Vector Ltd designation 8843 'Rockfield Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-648	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 66-70 Rockfield Road, Penrose (Vector Ltd designation 8843 'Rockfield Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-649	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8835 Onehunga Substation	Retain 8835 Onehunga Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-649	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8835 Onehunga Substation	Retain 8835 Onehunga Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-650	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 77 Selwyn Street and Arthur Street intersection, Onehunga (Vector Ltd designation 8835 'Onehunga Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-650	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 77 Selwyn Street and Arthur Street intersection, Onehunga (Vector Ltd designation 8835 'Onehunga Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-651	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1683 Road Widening - Selwyn Street	Remove the road widening designation from 77 Selwyn Street and Arthur Street intersection, Onehunga (Vector Ltd designation 8835 'Onehunga Substation'), so as to not limit development of the site for substation purposes.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-651	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1683 Road Widening - Selwyn Street	Remove the road widening designation from 77 Selwyn Street and Arthur Street intersection, Onehunga (Vector Ltd designation 8835 'Onehunga Substation'), so as to not limit development of the site for substation purposes.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-652	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8836 Te Papapa Substation	Retain 8836 Te Papapa Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-652	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8836 Te Papapa Substation	Retain 8836 Te Papapa Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-653	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8837 Westfield Substation	Retain 8837 Westfield Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-653	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8837 Westfield Substation	Retain 8837 Westfield Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-654	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1677 Road Widening - Great South Road	Remove the road widening designation from 805 Great South Road, Mount Wellington (Vector Ltd designation 8837 'Westfield Substation'), so as to not limit development of the site for substation purposes.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-654	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1677 Road Widening - Great South Road	Remove the road widening designation from 805 Great South Road, Mount Wellington (Vector Ltd designation 8837 'Westfield Substation'), so as to not limit development of the site for substation purposes.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-655	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8838 Carbine Substation	Retain 8838 Carbine Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-655	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8838 Carbine Substation	Retain 8838 Carbine Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-656	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8839 Hans Substation	Retain 8839 Hans Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-656	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8839 Hans Substation	Retain 8839 Hans Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-657	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8840 Liverpool Substation	Retain 8840 Liverpool Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-657	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8840 Liverpool Substation	Retain 8840 Liverpool Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-658	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8841 Penrose to Hobson Street Tunnel	Retain 8841 Penrose to Hobson Street Tunnel.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-658	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8841 Penrose to Hobson Street Tunnel	Retain 8841 Penrose to Hobson Street Tunnel.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-659	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8842 110kV Electricity Line	Retain 8842 110kV Electricity Line, and amend the lapse date (which currently states 'Given effect to') to reflect the 15 year lapse date noted in Condition 4.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-659	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8842 110kV Electricity Line	Retain 8842 110kV Electricity Line, and amend the lapse date (which currently states 'Given effect to') to reflect the 15 year lapse date noted in Condition 4.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-660	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8843 Glenvar Substation	Retain 8843 Glenvar Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-660	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8843 Glenvar Substation	Retain 8843 Glenvar Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-661	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove the SEA [ID 8201] from 375 Glenvar Road, Torbay (Vector Ltd designation 8843 'Glenvar Substation').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-661	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove the SEA [ID 8201] from 375 Glenvar Road, Torbay (Vector Ltd designation 8843 'Glenvar Substation').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-662	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8844 McLeod Substation	Retain 8844 McLeod Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-662	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8844 McLeod Substation	Retain 8844 McLeod Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-663	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8845 Woodford Substation	Retain 8845 Woodford Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-663	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8845 Woodford Substation	Retain 8845 Woodford Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-664	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8846 New Lynn Substation	Retain 8846 New Lynn Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-664	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8846 New Lynn Substation	Retain 8846 New Lynn Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-665	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8847 Brickworks Substation	Retain 8847 Brickworks Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-665	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8847 Brickworks Substation	Retain 8847 Brickworks Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-666	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8848 Waikaukau Substation	Retain 8848 Waikaukau Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-666	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8848 Waikaukau Substation	Retain 8848 Waikaukau Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-667	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8849 Henderson Substation	Retain 8849 Henderson Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-667	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8849 Henderson Substation	Retain 8849 Henderson Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-668	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8850 Keeling Road Substation	Retain 8850 Keeling Road Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-668	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8850 Keeling Road Substation	Retain 8850 Keeling Road Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-669	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8851 Titirangi Substation	Retain 8851 Titirangi Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-669	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8851 Titirangi Substation	Retain 8851 Titirangi Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-670	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 515 South Titirangi Road, Titirangi (Vector Ltd designation 8851 'Titirangi Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-670	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 515 South Titirangi Road, Titirangi (Vector Ltd designation 8851 'Titirangi Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-671	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove the SEA [ID 5539] from 515 South Titirangi Road, Titirangi (Vector Ltd designation 8851 'Titirangi Substation').	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-671	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove the SEA [ID 5539] from 515 South Titirangi Road, Titirangi (Vector Ltd designation 8851 'Titirangi Substation').	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-672	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8852 Oratia Substation	Retain 8852 Oratia Substation and delete duplication Condition 12. [Applies to designation 8853 'Ranui Substation']	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-672	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8852 Oratia Substation	Retain 8852 Oratia Substation and delete duplication Condition 12. [Applies to designation 8853 'Ranui Substation']	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-673	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8853 Ranui Substation	Retain 8853 Ranui Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-673	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8853 Ranui Substation	Retain 8853 Ranui Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-674	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8854 Sabulite Substation	Retain 8854 Sabulite Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-674	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8854 Sabulite Substation	Retain 8854 Sabulite Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-675	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8855 Te Atatu Substation	Retain 8855 Te Atatu Substation and amend to correct the boundary of the site (with respect to NZTA designation 6738).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-675	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8855 Te Atatu Substation	Retain 8855 Te Atatu Substation and amend to correct the boundary of the site (with respect to NZTA designation 6738).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-676	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8856 Hobsonville Substation	Retain 8856 Hobsonville Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-676	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8856 Hobsonville Substation	Retain 8856 Hobsonville Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-677	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8857 Swanson Substation	Retain 8857 Swanson Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-677	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8857 Swanson Substation	Retain 8857 Swanson Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-678	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8858 Triangle Substation	Retain 8858 Triangle Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-678	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8858 Triangle Substation	Retain 8858 Triangle Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-679	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8859 Atkinson Road Substation	Retain 8859 Atkinson Road Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-679	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8859 Atkinson Road Substation	Retain 8859 Atkinson Road Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-680	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8860 Simpson Road Substation	Retain 8860 Simpson Road Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-680	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8860 Simpson Road Substation	Retain 8860 Simpson Road Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-681	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8861 Laingholm Substation	Retain 8861 Laingholm Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-681	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8861 Laingholm Substation	Retain 8861 Laingholm Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-682	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8862 Takanini Substation	Retain 8862 Takanini Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-682	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8862 Takanini Substation	Retain 8862 Takanini Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-683	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8863 Papakura Substation	Retain 8863 Papkura Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-683	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8863 Papakura Substation	Retain 8863 Papkura Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-684	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8864 Red Beach Substation	Retain 8864 Red Beach Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-684	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8864 Red Beach Substation	Retain 8864 Red Beach Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-685	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8865 Kaukapakapa Substation	Retain 8865 Kaukapakapa Substation [subject to amendment].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-685	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8865 Kaukapakapa Substation	Retain 8865 Kaukapakapa Substation [subject to amendment].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-686	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8865 Kaukapakapa Substation	Amend the lapse date, consistent with other designations that have not been given effect to: '29 July 2021 or five years from being operative in the Unitary Plan, whichever is the latter unless given effect to prior'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-686	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8865 Kaukapakapa Substation	Amend the lapse date, consistent with other designations that have not been given effect to: '29 July 2021 or five years from being operative in the Unitary Plan, whichever is the latter unless given effect to prior'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-687	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8866 Sandspit Substation	Retain 8866 Sandspit Substation [subject to amendments].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-687	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8866 Sandspit Substation	Retain 8866 Sandspit Substation [subject to amendments].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-688	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8866 Sandspit Substation	Amend Condition 2, to replace 'Rodney District Council' with 'Auckland Council'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-688	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8866 Sandspit Substation	Amend Condition 2, to replace 'Rodney District Council' with 'Auckland Council'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-689	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8867 McKinnon Substation	Retain 8867 McKinnon Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-689	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8867 McKinnon Substation	Retain 8867 McKinnon Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-690	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8868 Bush Road Substation	Retain 8868 Bush Road Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-690	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8868 Bush Road Substation	Retain 8868 Bush Road Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-691	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8869 Browns Bay Substation	Retain 8869 Browns Bay Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-691	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8869 Browns Bay Substation	Retain 8869 Browns Bay Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-692	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8870 Belmont Substation	Retain 8870 Belmont Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-692	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8870 Belmont Substation	Retain 8870 Belmont Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-693	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8871 Birkdale Substation	Retain 8871 Birkdale Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-693	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8871 Birkdale Substation	Retain 8871 Birkdale Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-694	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8872 Balmain Substation	Retain 8872 Balmain Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-694	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8872 Balmain Substation	Retain 8872 Balmain Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-695	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8873 Quay Substation	Retain 8873 Quay Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-695	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8873 Quay Substation	Retain 8873 Quay Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-696	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8874 Hobson Substation	Retain 8874 Hobson Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-696	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8874 Hobson Substation	Retain 8874 Hobson Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-697	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8875 East Coast Road Substation	Retain 8875 East Coast Road Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-697	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8875 East Coast Road Substation	Retain 8875 East Coast Road Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-698	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8876 James Street Substation	Retain 8876 James Street Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-698	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8876 James Street Substation	Retain 8876 James Street Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-699	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8877 Greenhithe Substation	Retain 8877 Greenhithe Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-699	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8877 Greenhithe Substation	Retain 8877 Greenhithe Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-700	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8878 Hauraki Substation	Retain 8878 Hauraki Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-700	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8878 Hauraki Substation	Retain 8878 Hauraki Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-701	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8878 Hauraki Substation	Remove 1B Jutland Road, Takapuna (Vector Ltd designation 8878 'Hauraki Substation'), from the overlay.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-701	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8878 Hauraki Substation	Remove 1B Jutland Road, Takapuna (Vector Ltd designation 8878 'Hauraki Substation'), from the overlay.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-702	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8879 Highbury Substation	Retain 8879 Highbury Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-702	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8879 Highbury Substation	Retain 8879 Highbury Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-703	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8880 Milford Substation	Retain 8880 Milford Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-703	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8880 Milford Substation	Retain 8880 Milford Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-704	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8881 Northcote Substation	Retain 8881 Northcote Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-704	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8881 Northcote Substation	Retain 8881 Northcote Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-705	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8882 Sunset Road Substation	Retain 8882 Sunset Road Substation [Submitter requests clarification regarding adjacent Auckland Transport designations].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-705	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8882 Sunset Road Substation	Retain 8882 Sunset Road Substation [Submitter requests clarification regarding adjacent Auckland Transport designations].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-706	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1420 Constellation Bus Station	Clarify that this designation does not affect the site of Vector Ltd designation 8882 'Sunset Road Substation' at 228 Sunset Road, Mairangi Bay (unclear in the Property Summary results for this site).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-706	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1420 Constellation Bus Station	Clarify that this designation does not affect the site of Vector Ltd designation 8882 'Sunset Road Substation' at 228 Sunset Road, Mairangi Bay (unclear in the Property Summary results for this site).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-707	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1428 Constellation Drive Station	Clarify that this designation does not affect the site of Vector Ltd designation 8882 'Sunset Road Substation' at 228 Sunset Road, Mairangi Bay (unclear in the Property Summary results for this site).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-707	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1428 Constellation Drive Station	Clarify that this designation does not affect the site of Vector Ltd designation 8882 'Sunset Road Substation' at 228 Sunset Road, Mairangi Bay (unclear in the Property Summary results for this site).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-708	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8883 Hillcrest Substation	Retain 8883 Hillcrest Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-708	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8883 Hillcrest Substation	Retain 8883 Hillcrest Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-709	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8884 Torbay Substation	Retain 8884 Torbay Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-709	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8884 Torbay Substation	Retain 8884 Torbay Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-710	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8885 Wairau Valley Substation	Retain 8885 Wairau Valley Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-710	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8885 Wairau Valley Substation	Retain 8885 Wairau Valley Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-711	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Delete the 'indicative stream' shown on the site, from the PAUP maps.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-711	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Delete the 'indicative stream' shown on the site, from the PAUP maps.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-712	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8886 Waiake Substation	Retain 8886 Waiake Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-712	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8886 Waiake Substation	Retain 8886 Waiake Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-713	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8887 Forrest Hill Substation	Retain 8887 Forrest Hill Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-713	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8887 Forrest Hill Substation	Retain 8887 Forrest Hill Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-714	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8888 Takapuna Substation	Retain 8888 Takapuna Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-714	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8888 Takapuna Substation	Retain 8888 Takapuna Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-715	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8889 Tomarata Substation	Retain 8889 Tomarata Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-715	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8889 Tomarata Substation	Retain 8889 Tomarata Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-716	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8890 Wellsford Substation	Retain 8890 Wellsford Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-716	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8890 Wellsford Substation	Retain 8890 Wellsford Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-717	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8891 Omaha Substation	Retain 8891 Omaha Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-717	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8891 Omaha Substation	Retain 8891 Omaha Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-718	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8891 Omaha Substation	Amend Condition 4 (operational noise), to provide for a 'High background noise level' of 55dBA, Mon-Sat 6am-6pm (rather than 50dBA).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-718	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8891 Omaha Substation	Amend Condition 4 (operational noise), to provide for a 'High background noise level' of 55dBA, Mon-Sat 6am-6pm (rather than 50dBA).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-719	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8892 Warkworth Substation	Retain 8892 Warkworth Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-719	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8892 Warkworth Substation	Retain 8892 Warkworth Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-720	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8893 Snells Beach Substation	Retain 8893 Snells Beach Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-720	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8893 Snells Beach Substation	Retain 8893 Snells Beach Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-720	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8893 Snells Beach Substation	Retain 8893 Snells Beach Substation.	3278	Manikum Investments	Oppose in Part
2745-721	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8894 Orewa Substation	Retain 8894 Orewa Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-721	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8894 Orewa Substation	Retain 8894 Orewa Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-722	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8895 Spur Road Substation	Retain 8895 Spur Road Substation	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-722	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8895 Spur Road Substation	Retain 8895 Spur Road Substation	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-723	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8896 Manly Substation	Retain 8896 Manly Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-723	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8896 Manly Substation	Retain 8896 Manly Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-724	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8897 Gulf Harbour Substation	Retain 8897 Gulf Harbour Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-724	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8897 Gulf Harbour Substation	Retain 8897 Gulf Harbour Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-725	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8898 Helensville Substation	Retain 8898 Helensville Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-725	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8898 Helensville Substation	Retain 8898 Helensville Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-726	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8898 Helensville Substation	Amend the address to '56 Rautawhiri and 143 Awaroa Roads, Helensville' [rather than '139-143 Awaroa Road and 52-56 Rautawhiri Road, Helensville'].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-726	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8898 Helensville Substation	Amend the address to '56 Rautawhiri and 143 Awaroa Roads, Helensville' [rather than '139-143 Awaroa Road and 52-56 Rautawhiri Road, Helensville'].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-727	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8899 Horseshoe Bush Substation	Retain 8899 Horseshoe Bush Road Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-727	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8899 Horseshoe Bush Substation	Retain 8899 Horseshoe Bush Road Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-728	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8900 Coatesville Substation	Retain 8900 Coatesville Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-728	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8900 Coatesville Substation	Retain 8900 Coatesville Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-729	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8901 Waimauku Substation	Retain 8901 Waimauku Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-729	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8901 Waimauku Substation	Retain 8901 Waimauku Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-730	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8902 Riverhead Substation	Retain 8902 Riverhead Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-730	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8902 Riverhead Substation	Retain 8902 Riverhead Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-731	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8903 Ponsonby Substation	Retain 8903 Ponsonby Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-731	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8903 Ponsonby Substation	Retain 8903 Ponsonby Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-732	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8904 Communications Hut (Radio)	Retain 8904 Communications Hut (Radio).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-732	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8904 Communications Hut (Radio)	Retain 8904 Communications Hut (Radio).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-733	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8904 Communications Hut (Radio)	Amend the PAUP maps to ensure the extent of the designation is clearly identified.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-733	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8904 Communications Hut (Radio)	Amend the PAUP maps to ensure the extent of the designation is clearly identified.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-734	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8905 Rosedale Substation	Retain 8905 Rosedale Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-734	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	8905 Rosedale Substation	Retain 8905 Rosedale Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-735	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8906 Hillsborough Substation	Retain R8906 Hillsborough Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-735	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8906 Hillsborough Substation	Retain R8906 Hillsborough Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-736	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8907 Nicholas Street Substation	Retain R8907 Nicholas Street Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-736	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8907 Nicholas Street Substation	Retain R8907 Nicholas Street Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-737	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8908 Waitakere Substation	Retain R8908 Waitakere Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-737	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8908 Waitakere Substation	Retain R8908 Waitakere Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-738	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8909 Warkworth Substation	Retain R8909 Warkworth Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-738	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8909 Warkworth Substation	Retain R8909 Warkworth Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-739	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8910 Westgate Substation	Retain R8910 Westgate Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-739	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8910 Westgate Substation	Retain R8910 Westgate Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-740	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8911 Clevedon Substation	Retain R8911 Clevedon Substation.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-740	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Ltd	R8911 Clevedon Substation	Retain R8911 Clevedon Substation.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-741	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9100 Taupaki to Kaukapakapa Gas Pipeline	Retain 9100 Taupaki to Kaukapakapa Gas Pipeline.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-741	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9100 Taupaki to Kaukapakapa Gas Pipeline	Retain 9100 Taupaki to Kaukapakapa Gas Pipeline.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-742	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9101 Taupaki to Topuni Gas Pipeline	Retain 9101 Taupaki to Topuni Gas Pipeline.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-742	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9101 Taupaki to Topuni Gas Pipeline	Retain 9101 Taupaki to Topuni Gas Pipeline.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-743	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9102 East Tamaki to Taupaki Gas Pipeline	Retain 9102 East Tamaki to Taupaki Gas Pipeline.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-743	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9102 East Tamaki to Taupaki Gas Pipeline	Retain 9102 East Tamaki to Taupaki Gas Pipeline.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-744	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipeline	Retain 9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipline [subject to amendments].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-744	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipeline	Retain 9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipline [subject to amendments].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-745	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipeline	Amend the lapse date: 'Five Ten years from being operative in the Unitary Plan unless given effect to prior'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-745	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipeline	Amend the lapse date: 'Five Ten years from being operative in the Unitary Plan unless given effect to prior'	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-746	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipeline	Add clause 1.4 'Lapsing Period - Pursuant to Section 184(1)(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991, the period during which the designation shall not lapse, unless given effects to, shall be ten years commencing from the date the designation is included in the Unitary Plan'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-746	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipeline	Add clause 1.4 'Lapsing Period - Pursuant to Section 184(1)(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991, the period during which the designation shall not lapse, unless given effects to, shall be ten years commencing from the date the designation is included in the Unitary Plan'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-747	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipeline	Amend Condition 1.5(a) to correct a typographical error, replace 'calender' with 'calendar'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-747	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Vector Gas Ltd	9104 Pukekohe to East Tamaki Gas Pipeline	Amend Condition 1.5(a) to correct a typographical error, replace 'calender' with 'calendar'.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-748	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1405 Public off-street parking - 8 Percy Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-748	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1405 Public off-street parking - 8 Percy Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-749	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1430 Car Park - Sir Peter Blake Parade	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-749	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1430 Car Park - Sir Peter Blake Parade	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-750	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1457 Car Park - College Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-750	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1457 Car Park - College Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-751	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1458 Car Park - Swanson Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-751	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1458 Car Park - Swanson Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-752	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1460 Car Park - Harrison Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-752	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1460 Car Park - Harrison Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-753	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1461 Car Park - Channel View Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-753	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1461 Car Park - Channel View Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-754	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1462 Car Park - Hurstmere Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-754	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1462 Car Park - Hurstmere Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-755	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1463 Car Park - Clarence Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-755	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1463 Car Park - Clarence Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-756	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1464 Car Park - Trading Place	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-756	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1464 Car Park - Trading Place	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-757	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1465 Car Park - Hurstmere Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-757	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1465 Car Park - Hurstmere Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-758	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1466 Car Park - Glenmall Place	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-758	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1466 Car Park - Glenmall Place	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-759	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1557 - Car Park - Fanshawe Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-759	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1557 - Car Park - Fanshawe Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-760	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1559 Car Park - Graham Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-760	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1559 Car Park - Graham Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-761	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1568 Park & Ride - Onehunga Train Station	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-761	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1568 Park & Ride - Onehunga Train Station	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-761	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1568 Park & Ride - Onehunga Train Station	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-762	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1803 Road - Mahia Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-762	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1803 Road - Mahia Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-763	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1804 Car Park - Brampton Court Car Park	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-763	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1804 Car Park - Brampton Court Car Park	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-764	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1811 Car Park - Moore Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-764	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1811 Car Park - Moore Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-765	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1813 Car Park - Wallace Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-765	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1813 Car Park - Wallace Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-766	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1814 Car Park - Osterley Way (AMI)	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-766	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1814 Car Park - Osterley Way (AMI)	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-767	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1815 Car Park - Butler Avenue	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-767	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1815 Car Park - Butler Avenue	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-768	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1816 Car Park - Charles Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-768	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1816 Car Park - Charles Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-769	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1817 Car Park - Davies Avenue	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-769	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1817 Car Park - Davies Avenue	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-770	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1818 Car Park - Kolmar Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-770	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1818 Car Park - Kolmar Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-771	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1819 Car Park - Moore Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-771	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1819 Car Park - Moore Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-772	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1820 Car Park - Maich Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-772	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1820 Car Park - Maich Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-773	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1821 Car Park - O'Shannessy Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-773	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1821 Car Park - O'Shannessy Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-774	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1823 Car Park - Coles Crescent	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-774	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1823 Car Park - Coles Crescent	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-775	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1824 Car Park - Eric Baker Place	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-775	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1824 Car Park - Eric Baker Place	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-776	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1826 Car Park - Newbury Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-776	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1826 Car Park - Newbury Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-777	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1825 Car Park - Kew Lane	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-777	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1825 Car Park - Kew Lane	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-778	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1827 Car Park - Shirley Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-778	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1827 Car Park - Shirley Road	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-779	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1828 Car Park - Wellington Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-779	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1828 Car Park - Wellington Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-780	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1830 Car Park - Wellington Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-780	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1830 Car Park - Wellington Street	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-781	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1831 Car Park - Mangere Town Centre	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-781	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	R1831 Car Park - Mangere Town Centre	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-782	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Minister for Courts	R4103 Henderson Courthouse	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-782	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Minister for Courts	R4103 Henderson Courthouse	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-782	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Minister for Courts	R4103 Henderson Courthouse	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3266	Minister for Courts	Oppose in Part
2745-783	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Council	R431 Regional Parkland at Pakiri	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-783	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Council	R431 Regional Parkland at Pakiri	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-784	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Council	R432 Te Arai Regional Park	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-784	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Auckland Council	R432 Te Arai Regional Park	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-785	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	New Zealand Railways Corporation	R6307 Manukau Rail Link	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-785	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	New Zealand Railways Corporation	R6307 Manukau Rail Link	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-785	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	New Zealand Railways Corporation	R6307 Manukau Rail Link	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-786	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	New Zealand Transport Agency	6738 State Highway 16 - Te Atatu	Amend to reflect the correct boundary of the Vector substation site (designation 8855).	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-786	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	New Zealand Transport Agency	6738 State Highway 16 - Te Atatu	Amend to reflect the correct boundary of the Vector substation site (designation 8855).	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2745-786	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	New Zealand Transport Agency	6738 State Highway 16 - Te Atatu	Amend to reflect the correct boundary of the Vector substation site (designation 8855).	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-787	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	R8529 Mangere	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-787	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	R8529 Mangere	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-787	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	R8529 Mangere	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-788	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	R8532 Highbrook Business Park Underground Electricity Transmission Cable	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-788	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	R8532 Highbrook Business Park Underground Electricity Transmission Cable	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-788	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Designations	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	R8532 Highbrook Business Park Underground Electricity Transmission Cable	Amend the designation to clarify that Vector Ltd is entitled to appropriate access to the site and to undertake inspections, all repair and maintenance and minor infrastructure upgrading works within the land subject to this designation, without the need to obtain prior written approval from the designation holder. (Subject to Vector Ltd giving notice to the requiring authority and meeting any necessary health and safety requirements.	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-789	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 7 as follows: 'Require ~~new or major upgrades to~~ electricity and telecommunications reticulation lines to be located underground in newly developed or redeveloped urban areas unless there are adverse outcomes for the network and its service and resilience in doing so.' Refer to submission page 67/252 [Annexure C].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-789	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 7 as follows: 'Require ~~new or major upgrades to~~ electricity and telecommunications reticulation lines to be located underground in newly developed or redeveloped urban areas unless there are adverse outcomes for the network and its service and resilience in doing so.' Refer to submission page 67/252 [Annexure C].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-790	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend the introductory clause at 3.1.1 Operation, repair, maintenance and development of network utilities in roads, as follows: 'These controls only apply to activities in Activity Table 1.1 and 1.1A ~~that are not listed as specific activities in section 3.1.3~~ Additional standards may also apply to specific activities as set out in 3.13. Permitted activities must comply with the following controls:'Refer to submission page 89/252 [Annexure D].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-790	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.3 Development controls	Amend the introductory clause at 3.1.1 Operation, repair, maintenance and development of network utilities in roads, as follows: 'These controls only apply to activities in Activity Table 1.1 and 1.1A ~~that are not listed as specific activities in section 3.1.3~~ Additional standards may also apply to specific activities as set out in 3.13. Permitted activities must comply with the following controls:'Refer to submission page 89/252 [Annexure D].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-791	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Retain Objective 9 about temporary activities which may exceed permitted noise standards for short periods of time. Refer to submission page 146/252 [Annexure F].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-791	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Retain Objective 9 about temporary activities which may exceed permitted noise standards for short periods of time. Refer to submission page 146/252 [Annexure F].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-792	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Delete the first bullet point in fifth paragraph, under the heading 'Making a resource consent application' or amend as follows: 'optional pre-application meetings with the council'. Refer to submission page 156/252 [Annexure F].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-792	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Delete the first bullet point in fifth paragraph, under the heading 'Making a resource consent application' or amend as follows: 'optional pre-application meetings with the council'. Refer to submission page 156/252 [Annexure F].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2745-793	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Features (ONF) Rules	J6.1 Rules		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-793	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Features (ONF) Rules	J6.1 Rules		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-793	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Outstanding Natural Features (ONF) Rules	J6.1 Rules		Delete all rules relating to significant infrastructure (including network utilities) and relocate to Chapter H1.1 Infrastructure. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-794	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Outstanding Natural Features overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', Telecommunications, 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-794	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Outstanding Natural Features overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', Telecommunications, 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2745-794	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Add a new activity table 1.1A for significant infrastructure in Outstanding Natural Features overlay areas to provide for the same wide range of activities as in Table 1.1 including: 'General infrastructure', 'Electricity transmission and distribution', Liquid fuels and gas transmission and distribution', Telecommunications, 'Amateur radio', 'Water, wastewater and stormwater structures' and 'Electricity generation'. Activities are generally proposed to be permitted or restricted discretionary activities. Refer to the full submission and suggested wording [page 81/252].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2745-795	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for 'Water treatment plants' in Roads and Unformed roads, and the Strategic Transport Corridor and Green Infrastructure Corridor zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary. Refer submission page 79/245 [Annexure D].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
2745-795	Vector Limited and Vector Gas Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status for 'Water treatment plants' in Roads and Unformed roads, and the Strategic Transport Corridor and Green Infrastructure Corridor zones from discretionary to restricted discretionary. Refer submission page 79/245 [Annexure D].	3006	Genesis Energy Limited	Support in Part
2746-1	Jeremy and Margaret Fowler	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Albany properties 34A Kewa Road and 149, 155, 157 and 161 Lonely Track Road from Large Lot to a higher density zone [inferring this from submission].	1399	Dynamic Enterprise Limited	Support
2747-1	Joy Hogan	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Delete the Sites and Places of Value to Mana Whenua overlay from 58B Walton St, Red Beach.			
2748-1	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lot 1 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak] to General Business.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-1	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lot 1 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak] to General Business.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-1	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lot 1 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak] to General Business.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-1	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lot 1 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak] to General Business.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-1	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lot 1 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak] to General Business.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-2	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Use zone for Lots 2 and 3 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-2	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Use zone for Lots 2 and 3 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-2	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Use zone for Lots 2 and 3 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-2	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Use zone for Lots 2 and 3 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-2	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Use zone for Lots 2 and 3 at 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-3	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the overlay from 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-3	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the overlay from 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-3	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the overlay from 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-3	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the overlay from 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-3	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the overlay from 691 Mt Albert Road [Royal Oak].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-4	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Local Centre zone for The Warehouse, Balmoral.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-4	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Local Centre zone for The Warehouse, Balmoral.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-4	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Local Centre zone for The Warehouse, Balmoral.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-4	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Local Centre zone for The Warehouse, Balmoral.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-4	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Local Centre zone for The Warehouse, Balmoral.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-5	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 178 Balmoral Road [from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings] to Local Centre.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-5	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 178 Balmoral Road [from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings] to Local Centre.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-5	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 178 Balmoral Road [from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings] to Local Centre.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-5	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 178 Balmoral Road [from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings] to Local Centre.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-5	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 178 Balmoral Road [from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings] to Local Centre.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-6	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from The Warehouse, Balmoral.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-6	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from The Warehouse, Balmoral.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-6	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from The Warehouse, Balmoral.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-6	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from The Warehouse, Balmoral.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-6	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from The Warehouse, Balmoral.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-7	The Warehouse Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Remove the overlay from 16 Rocklands Avenues [Balmoral].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-7	The Warehouse Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Remove the overlay from 16 Rocklands Avenues [Balmoral].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-7	The Warehouse Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Remove the overlay from 16 Rocklands Avenues [Balmoral].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-7	The Warehouse Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Remove the overlay from 16 Rocklands Avenues [Balmoral].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-7	The Warehouse Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Remove the overlay from 16 Rocklands Avenues [Balmoral].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-8	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete the Additional Zone Height Control, Balmoral so that the maximum permitted [building] height applying to the Balmoral Local Centre zone is increased to 16.5m.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-8	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete the Additional Zone Height Control, Balmoral so that the maximum permitted [building] height applying to the Balmoral Local Centre zone is increased to 16.5m.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-8	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete the Additional Zone Height Control, Balmoral so that the maximum permitted [building] height applying to the Balmoral Local Centre zone is increased to 16.5m.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-8	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete the Additional Zone Height Control, Balmoral so that the maximum permitted [building] height applying to the Balmoral Local Centre zone is increased to 16.5m.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-8	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete the Additional Zone Height Control, Balmoral so that the maximum permitted [building] height applying to the Balmoral Local Centre zone is increased to 16.5m.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-9	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 100 Pah Road, Royal Oak, from Light Industry to General Business.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-9	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 100 Pah Road, Royal Oak, from Light Industry to General Business.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-9	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 100 Pah Road, Royal Oak, from Light Industry to General Business.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-9	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 100 Pah Road, Royal Oak, from Light Industry to General Business.	3361	New Zealand Health Association Limited trading as Sanitarium Health and Wellbeing Company	Oppose in Part
2748-9	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 100 Pah Road, Royal Oak, from Light Industry to General Business.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-9	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 100 Pah Road, Royal Oak, from Light Industry to General Business.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-10	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak, from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings to General Business.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-10	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak, from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings to General Business.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-10	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak, from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings to General Business.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-10	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak, from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings to General Business.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-10	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak, from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings to General Business.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-11	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 16 Rocklands Avenue [from Single House, to] Local Centre.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-11	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 16 Rocklands Avenue [from Single House, to] Local Centre.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-11	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 16 Rocklands Avenue [from Single House, to] Local Centre.	2768	Fairmont Investment Corporation Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-11	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 16 Rocklands Avenue [from Single House, to] Local Centre.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-11	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 16 Rocklands Avenue [from Single House, to] Local Centre.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-11	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 16 Rocklands Avenue [from Single House, to] Local Centre.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-12	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 178 Balmoral Road, Balmoral.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-12	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 178 Balmoral Road, Balmoral.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-12	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 178 Balmoral Road, Balmoral.	2768	Fairmont Investment Corporation Limited	Support
2748-12	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 178 Balmoral Road, Balmoral.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-12	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 178 Balmoral Road, Balmoral.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-12	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 178 Balmoral Road, Balmoral.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-13	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the Liquidamber tree and Phoenix palm from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	148	Peter Waddell	Support
2748-13	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the Liquidamber tree and Phoenix palm from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-13	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the Liquidamber tree and Phoenix palm from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-13	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the Liquidamber tree and Phoenix palm from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-13	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the Liquidamber tree and Phoenix palm from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-13	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the Liquidamber tree and Phoenix palm from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-14	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the five Gingko trees from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	148	Peter Waddell	Support
2748-14	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the five Gingko trees from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-14	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the five Gingko trees from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-14	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the five Gingko trees from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-14	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the five Gingko trees from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-14	The Warehouse Limited	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove the five Gingko trees from the Schedule of notable trees (ID 516)	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-15	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 100 Pah Road and 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-15	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 100 Pah Road and 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-15	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 100 Pah Road and 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-15	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 100 Pah Road and 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-15	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 100 Pah Road and 677, 677A and 679 Mt Albert Road, Royal Oak.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-16	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue from Light Industry to General Business.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-16	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue from Light Industry to General Business.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-16	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue from Light Industry to General Business.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-16	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue from Light Industry to General Business.	2959	Lunn Avenue Properties Limited	Support
2748-16	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue from Light Industry to General Business.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support
2748-16	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue from Light Industry to General Business.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-16	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue from Light Industry to General Business.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-16	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue from Light Industry to General Business.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	2925	McDonalds Restaurants (NZ) Limited	Support
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	2959	Lunn Avenue Properties Limited	Support in Part
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	3051	The Strand Trust	Support
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	3199	New Zealand Institute of Architects	Oppose in Part
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	3235	Urban Design Forum	Oppose in Part
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-17	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Lunn Avenue commercial areas, i.e. on both sides of the road between Harding Avenue and Ngahue Drive/Abbotts Way, [from Light Industry] to General Business.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-18	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete the Height Sensitive Area [Volcanic viewshaft and height sensitive area - W26 Mt Wellington, Extent of volcanic viewshafts; and, Mt Wellington, Blanket Height Sensitive Areas] overlay at 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-18	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete the Height Sensitive Area [Volcanic viewshaft and height sensitive area - W26 Mt Wellington, Extent of volcanic viewshafts; and, Mt Wellington, Blanket Height Sensitive Areas] overlay at 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-18	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete the Height Sensitive Area [Volcanic viewshaft and height sensitive area - W26 Mt Wellington, Extent of volcanic viewshafts; and, Mt Wellington, Blanket Height Sensitive Areas] overlay at 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-18	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete the Height Sensitive Area [Volcanic viewshaft and height sensitive area - W26 Mt Wellington, Extent of volcanic viewshafts; and, Mt Wellington, Blanket Height Sensitive Areas] overlay at 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-18	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete the Height Sensitive Area [Volcanic viewshaft and height sensitive area - W26 Mt Wellington, Extent of volcanic viewshafts; and, Mt Wellington, Blanket Height Sensitive Areas] overlay at 87 and 101 Lunn Avenue.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-19	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 66 and 80 Broadway, and 11 - 15 Railway Street, Newmarket [from Mixed Use] to Metropolitan Centre.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-19	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 66 and 80 Broadway, and 11 - 15 Railway Street, Newmarket [from Mixed Use] to Metropolitan Centre.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-19	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 66 and 80 Broadway, and 11 - 15 Railway Street, Newmarket [from Mixed Use] to Metropolitan Centre.	3199	New Zealand Institute of Architects	Support
2748-19	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 66 and 80 Broadway, and 11 - 15 Railway Street, Newmarket [from Mixed Use] to Metropolitan Centre.	3235	Urban Design Forum	Support
2748-19	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 66 and 80 Broadway, and 11 - 15 Railway Street, Newmarket [from Mixed Use] to Metropolitan Centre.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-19	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 66 and 80 Broadway, and 11 - 15 Railway Street, Newmarket [from Mixed Use] to Metropolitan Centre.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-19	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 66 and 80 Broadway, and 11 - 15 Railway Street, Newmarket [from Mixed Use] to Metropolitan Centre.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-20	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the Key Retail Frontage overlay from Broadway[, Newmarket] north of the railway bridge.	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2748-20	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the Key Retail Frontage overlay from Broadway[, Newmarket] north of the railway bridge.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-20	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the Key Retail Frontage overlay from Broadway[, Newmarket] north of the railway bridge.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-20	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the Key Retail Frontage overlay from Broadway[, Newmarket] north of the railway bridge.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-20	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the Key Retail Frontage overlay from Broadway[, Newmarket] north of the railway bridge.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-20	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the Key Retail Frontage overlay from Broadway[, Newmarket] north of the railway bridge.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-21	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Railway Avenue and Leek Street [Newmarket].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-21	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Railway Avenue and Leek Street [Newmarket].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-21	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Railway Avenue and Leek Street [Newmarket].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-21	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Railway Avenue and Leek Street [Newmarket].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-21	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Railway Avenue and Leek Street [Newmarket].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-22	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Business Park zone for properties access from The Warehouse Way.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-22	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Business Park zone for properties access from The Warehouse Way.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-22	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Business Park zone for properties access from The Warehouse Way.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-22	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Business Park zone for properties access from The Warehouse Way.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-22	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Business Park zone for properties access from The Warehouse Way.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-23	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the objectives and policies of the zone, to positively support office development in the zone, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2748-23	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the objectives and policies of the zone, to positively support office development in the zone, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132]	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-23	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the objectives and policies of the zone, to positively support office development in the zone, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132]	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-23	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the objectives and policies of the zone, to positively support office development in the zone, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-23	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the objectives and policies of the zone, to positively support office development in the zone, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132]	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-23	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the objectives and policies of the zone, to positively support office development in the zone, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132]	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-24	The Warehouse Limited	Precincts - North	Akoranga		Amend the objectives and policies of the Precinct [in F5.1], to remove the limitation on floor area, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-24	The Warehouse Limited	Precincts - North	Akoranga		Amend the objectives and policies of the Precinct [in F5.1], to remove the limitation on floor area, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-24	The Warehouse Limited	Precincts - North	Akoranga		Amend the objectives and policies of the Precinct [in F5.1], to remove the limitation on floor area, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-24	The Warehouse Limited	Precincts - North	Akoranga		Amend the objectives and policies of the Precinct [in F5.1], to remove the limitation on floor area, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-24	The Warehouse Limited	Precincts - North	Akoranga		Amend the objectives and policies of the Precinct [in F5.1], to remove the limitation on floor area, as stated in the submission [refer page 18/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-25	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Remove the overlay at 26 The Warehouse Way, Akoranga.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-25	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Remove the overlay at 26 The Warehouse Way, Akoranga.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-25	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Remove the overlay at 26 The Warehouse Way, Akoranga.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-25	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Remove the overlay at 26 The Warehouse Way, Akoranga.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-25	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Remove the overlay at 26 The Warehouse Way, Akoranga.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-26	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain General Business at Wairau Park [Link Drive (South) and Croftfield Lane].	1692	Harvey Norman Properties (NZ) Limited and Harvey Norman Stores (NZ) Pty Limited	Support
2748-26	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain General Business at Wairau Park [Link Drive (South) and Croftfield Lane].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-26	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain General Business at Wairau Park [Link Drive (South) and Croftfield Lane].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-26	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain General Business at Wairau Park [Link Drive (South) and Croftfield Lane].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-26	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain General Business at Wairau Park [Link Drive (South) and Croftfield Lane].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-26	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain General Business at Wairau Park [Link Drive (South) and Croftfield Lane].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-27	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the Metropolitan Centre at Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-27	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the Metropolitan Centre at Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-27	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the Metropolitan Centre at Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	2919	Argosy Property Limited	Support
2748-27	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the Metropolitan Centre at Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-27	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the Metropolitan Centre at Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-27	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the Metropolitan Centre at Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-28	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-28	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-28	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-28	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-28	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the General Commercial Frontage overlay from Cavendish Drive [between Lambie Drive and Great South Road], Manukau.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-29	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the General Business at 124 Manukau Road [Pukekohe].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-29	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the General Business at 124 Manukau Road [Pukekohe].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-29	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the General Business at 124 Manukau Road [Pukekohe].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-29	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the General Business at 124 Manukau Road [Pukekohe].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-29	The Warehouse Limited	Zoning	South		Retain the General Business at 124 Manukau Road [Pukekohe].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	2940	A G Dryden Limited	Support
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	3199	New Zealand Institute of Architects	Oppose in Part
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	3235	Urban Design Forum	Oppose in Part
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-30	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay to apply it to additional arterial roads [including sections of Great North Road, New North Road, Great South Road and Ti Rakau Drive] as stated in submission [page 28/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	2940	A G Dryden Limited	Support
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-31	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add a suite of rules to [implement] the overlay.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-32	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend the Introduction, last bullet point in the second paragraph, as follows: 'new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve ~~high~~ a level of amenity appropriate to the location and functional attributes of the activity, efficiency and compact urban form.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-32	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend the Introduction, last bullet point in the second paragraph, as follows: 'new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve ~~high~~ a level of amenity appropriate to the location and functional attributes of the activity, efficiency and compact urban form.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-32	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend the Introduction, last bullet point in the second paragraph, as follows: 'new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve ~~high~~ a level of amenity appropriate to the location and functional attributes of the activity, efficiency and compact urban form.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-32	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend the Introduction, last bullet point in the second paragraph, as follows: 'new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve ~~high~~ a level of amenity appropriate to the location and functional attributes of the activity, efficiency and compact urban form.'	3023	Carter Holt Harvey Limited	Support
2748-32	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend the Introduction, last bullet point in the second paragraph, as follows: 'new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve ~~high~~ a level of amenity appropriate to the location and functional attributes of the activity, efficiency and compact urban form.'	3028	Wilson Hellaby Group of Companies	Support
2748-32	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend the Introduction, last bullet point in the second paragraph, as follows: 'new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve ~~high~~ a level of amenity appropriate to the location and functional attributes of the activity, efficiency and compact urban form.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-32	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend the Introduction, last bullet point in the second paragraph, as follows: 'new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve ~~high~~ a level of amenity appropriate to the location and functional attributes of the activity, efficiency and compact urban form.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-32	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend the Introduction, last bullet point in the second paragraph, as follows: 'new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve ~~high~~ a level of amenity appropriate to the location and functional attributes of the activity, efficiency and compact urban form.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-33	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(e) as follows: 'adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy to a significant extent.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-33	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(e) as follows: 'adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy to a significant extent.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-33	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(e) as follows: 'adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy to a significant extent.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-33	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(e) as follows: 'adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy to a significant extent.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-33	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(e) as follows: 'adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy to a significant extent.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-33	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(e) as follows: 'adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy to a significant extent.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-34	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(i), as follows: '~~any strategic or~~ significant adverse effects, including cumulative effects, of non-centre commercial activities on the functions and roles of the city centre, metropolitan and town centres'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-34	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(i), as follows: '~~any strategic or~~ significant adverse effects, including cumulative effects, of non-centre commercial activities on the functions and roles of the city centre, metropolitan and town centres'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-34	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(i), as follows: '~~any strategic or~~ significant adverse effects, including cumulative effects, of non-centre commercial activities on the functions and roles of the city centre, metropolitan and town centres'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-34	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(i), as follows: '~~any strategic or~~ significant adverse effects, including cumulative effects, of non-centre commercial activities on the functions and roles of the city centre, metropolitan and town centres'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-34	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(i), as follows: '~~any strategic or~~ significant adverse effects, including cumulative effects, of non-centre commercial activities on the functions and roles of the city centre, metropolitan and town centres'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-34	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(i), as follows: '~~any strategic or~~ significant adverse effects, including cumulative effects, of non-centre commercial activities on the functions and roles of the city centre, metropolitan and town centres'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-35	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(ii) as follows: 'community social and economic well-being and ~~accessibility~~ their convenient access to commercial activities'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-35	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(ii) as follows: 'community social and economic well-being and ~~accessibility~~ their convenient access to commercial activities'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-35	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(ii) as follows: 'community social and economic well-being and ~~accessibility~~ their convenient access to commercial activities'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-35	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(ii) as follows: 'community social and economic well-being and ~~accessibility~~ their convenient access to commercial activities'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-35	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(ii) as follows: 'community social and economic well-being and ~~accessibility~~ their convenient access to commercial activities'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-35	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(ii) as follows: 'community social and economic well-being and ~~accessibility~~ their convenient access to commercial activities'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-36	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(iii) as follows: 'the efficient use and provision of land and infrastructure ~~so that the effects of commercial development do not undermine the infrastructural capacity for other development provided for in the area~~ '.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-36	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(iii) as follows: 'the efficient use and provision of land and infrastructure ~~so that the effects of commercial development do not undermine the infrastructural capacity for other development provided for in the area~~ '.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-36	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(iii) as follows: 'the efficient use and provision of land and infrastructure ~~so that the effects of commercial development do not undermine the infrastructural capacity for other development provided for in the area~~ '.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-36	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(iii) as follows: 'the efficient use and provision of land and infrastructure ~~so that the effects of commercial development do not undermine the infrastructural capacity for other development provided for in the area~~ '.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-36	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(iii) as follows: 'the efficient use and provision of land and infrastructure ~~so that the effects of commercial development do not undermine the infrastructural capacity for other development provided for in the area~~ '.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-36	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(iii) as follows: 'the efficient use and provision of land and infrastructure ~~so that the effects of commercial development do not undermine the infrastructural capacity for other development provided for in the area~~ '.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-37	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(v), as follows: 'the impacts of the development on the efficient use of any scarce industrial land, in particular opportunities for employment ~~for~~ in land extensive industrial activities'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-37	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(v), as follows: 'the impacts of the development on the efficient use of any scarce industrial land, in particular opportunities for employment ~~for~~ in land extensive industrial activities'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-37	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(v), as follows: 'the impacts of the development on the efficient use of any scarce industrial land, in particular opportunities for employment ~~for~~ in land extensive industrial activities'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-37	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(v), as follows: 'the impacts of the development on the efficient use of any scarce industrial land, in particular opportunities for employment ~~for~~ in land extensive industrial activities'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-37	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(v), as follows: 'the impacts of the development on the efficient use of any scarce industrial land, in particular opportunities for employment ~~for~~ in land extensive industrial activities'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-37	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 7(a)(v), as follows: 'the impacts of the development on the efficient use of any scarce industrial land, in particular opportunities for employment ~~for~~ in land extensive industrial activities'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-38	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 9, as follows; 'Enable sufficient supply of land for industrial activities, particularly land-extensive industrial activities, and heavy industry, where the scale and intensity of effects anticipated in those zones can be accommodated and managed.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-38	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 9, as follows; 'Enable sufficient supply of land for industrial activities, particularly land-extensive industrial activities, and heavy industry, where the scale and intensity of effects anticipated in those zones can be accommodated and managed.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-38	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 9, as follows; 'Enable sufficient supply of land for industrial activities, particularly land-extensive industrial activities, and heavy industry, where the scale and intensity of effects anticipated in those zones can be accommodated and managed.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-38	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 9, as follows; 'Enable sufficient supply of land for industrial activities, particularly land-extensive industrial activities, and heavy industry, where the scale and intensity of effects anticipated in those zones can be accommodated and managed.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-38	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 9, as follows; 'Enable sufficient supply of land for industrial activities, particularly land-extensive industrial activities, and heavy industry, where the scale and intensity of effects anticipated in those zones can be accommodated and managed.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-38	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 9, as follows; 'Enable sufficient supply of land for industrial activities, particularly land-extensive industrial activities, and heavy industry, where the scale and intensity of effects anticipated in those zones can be accommodated and managed.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-39	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 11, first clause, as follows: 'Provide for the efficient use of scarce industrial land in suitable locations and avoid incompatible activities by:...".	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-40	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Add additional locations for overlay to provide sufficient development locations.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-41	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay description, second paragraph, third bullet point, as follows: 'maintain the safety and efficiency of the road network ~~and promote integrated transport~~ '.	805	Lincoln Junction Limited	Support
2748-41	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay description, second paragraph, third bullet point, as follows: 'maintain the safety and efficiency of the road network ~~and promote integrated transport~~ '.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-41	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay description, second paragraph, third bullet point, as follows: 'maintain the safety and efficiency of the road network ~~and promote integrated transport~~ '.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-41	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay description, second paragraph, third bullet point, as follows: 'maintain the safety and efficiency of the road network ~~and promote integrated transport~~ '.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-41	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay description, second paragraph, third bullet point, as follows: 'maintain the safety and efficiency of the road network ~~and promote integrated transport~~ '.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-41	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay description, second paragraph, third bullet point, as follows: 'maintain the safety and efficiency of the road network ~~and promote integrated transport~~ '.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-41	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend the overlay description, second paragraph, third bullet point, as follows: 'maintain the safety and efficiency of the road network ~~and promote integrated transport~~ '.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-42	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete the overlay description, third paragraph: '~~The overlay only relates to those sites that have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-42	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete the overlay description, third paragraph: '~~The overlay only relates to those sites that have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-42	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete the overlay description, third paragraph: '~~The overlay only relates to those sites that have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-42	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete the overlay description, third paragraph: '~~The overlay only relates to those sites that have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-42	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete the overlay description, third paragraph: '~~The overlay only relates to those sites that have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-42	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete the overlay description, third paragraph: '~~The overlay only relates to those sites that have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-42	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete the overlay description, third paragraph: '~~The overlay only relates to those sites that have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-42	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete the overlay description, third paragraph: '~~The overlay only relates to those sites that have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	805	Lincoln Junction Limited	Support
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-43	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Amend Objective 3, as follows: 'A range of commercial activities that ~~minimises adverse effects on~~ are compatible with existing development in the surrounding area.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-44	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete Policy 1, as follows: '~~1. Apply the overlay only to those sites which have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-44	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete Policy 1, as follows: '~~1. Apply the overlay only to those sites which have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-44	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete Policy 1, as follows: '~~1. Apply the overlay only to those sites which have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-44	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete Policy 1, as follows: '~~1. Apply the overlay only to those sites which have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-44	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete Policy 1, as follows: '~~1. Apply the overlay only to those sites which have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-44	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete Policy 1, as follows: '~~1. Apply the overlay only to those sites which have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-44	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete Policy 1, as follows: '~~1. Apply the overlay only to those sites which have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-44	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay E4.5 Identified Growth Corridor		Delete Policy 1, as follows: '~~1. Apply the overlay only to those sites which have direct frontage to the identified growth corridor.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-45	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend the Introduction, sixth paragraph, as follows; '...A good standard of amenity is expected in the General Business zone, recognising that some activities that establish in the zone may attract reasonable numbers of people~~, although many activities within this zone are likely to be accessed by car~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-45	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend the Introduction, sixth paragraph, as follows; '...A good standard of amenity is expected in the General Business zone, recognising that some activities that establish in the zone may attract reasonable numbers of people~~, although many activities within this zone are likely to be accessed by car~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-45	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend the Introduction, sixth paragraph, as follows; '...A good standard of amenity is expected in the General Business zone, recognising that some activities that establish in the zone may attract reasonable numbers of people~~, although many activities within this zone are likely to be accessed by car~~ .'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-45	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend the Introduction, sixth paragraph, as follows; '...A good standard of amenity is expected in the General Business zone, recognising that some activities that establish in the zone may attract reasonable numbers of people~~, although many activities within this zone are likely to be accessed by car~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-45	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend the Introduction, sixth paragraph, as follows; '...A good standard of amenity is expected in the General Business zone, recognising that some activities that establish in the zone may attract reasonable numbers of people~~, although many activities within this zone are likely to be accessed by car~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-45	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend the Introduction, sixth paragraph, as follows; '...A good standard of amenity is expected in the General Business zone, recognising that some activities that establish in the zone may attract reasonable numbers of people~~, although many activities within this zone are likely to be accessed by car~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-46	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3, as follows: 'Require development to be of a quality and design that positively contributes to the public realm and~~, along key streets within centres,~~ maximises pedestrian amenity, movement, safety and convenience for people of all ages and abilities.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-46	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3, as follows: 'Require development to be of a quality and design that positively contributes to the public realm and~~, along key streets within centres,~~ maximises pedestrian amenity, movement, safety and convenience for people of all ages and abilities.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-46	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3, as follows: 'Require development to be of a quality and design that positively contributes to the public realm and~~, along key streets within centres,~~ maximises pedestrian amenity, movement, safety and convenience for people of all ages and abilities.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-46	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3, as follows: 'Require development to be of a quality and design that positively contributes to the public realm and~~, along key streets within centres,~~ maximises pedestrian amenity, movement, safety and convenience for people of all ages and abilities.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-46	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3, as follows: 'Require development to be of a quality and design that positively contributes to the public realm and~~, along key streets within centres,~~ maximises pedestrian amenity, movement, safety and convenience for people of all ages and abilities.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-46	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 3, as follows: 'Require development to be of a quality and design that positively contributes to the public realm and~~, along key streets within centres,~~ maximises pedestrian amenity, movement, safety and convenience for people of all ages and abilities.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-47	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 4, as follows: '~~Require a percentage of residential development and encourage all other development to provide equal physical access and use for people of all ages and abilities.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-47	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 4, as follows: '~~Require a percentage of residential development and encourage all other development to provide equal physical access and use for people of all ages and abilities.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-47	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 4, as follows: '~~Require a percentage of residential development and encourage all other development to provide equal physical access and use for people of all ages and abilities.~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-47	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 4, as follows: '~~Require a percentage of residential development and encourage all other development to provide equal physical access and use for people of all ages and abilities.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-47	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 4, as follows: '~~Require a percentage of residential development and encourage all other development to provide equal physical access and use for people of all ages and abilities.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-47	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 4, as follows: '~~Require a percentage of residential development and encourage all other development to provide equal physical access and use for people of all ages and abilities.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-48	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5, as follows: '~~Require the design of buildings to contribute positively to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces.~~ '	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-48	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5, as follows: '~~Require the design of buildings to contribute positively to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-48	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5, as follows: '~~Require the design of buildings to contribute positively to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-48	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5, as follows: '~~Require the design of buildings to contribute positively to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces.~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-48	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5, as follows: '~~Require the design of buildings to contribute positively to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-48	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5, as follows: '~~Require the design of buildings to contribute positively to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-48	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5, as follows: '~~Require the design of buildings to contribute positively to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-49	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to avoid or mitigate adverse impact on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-50	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11, as follows: 'Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and streets~~ .'	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2748-50	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11, as follows: 'Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and streets~~ .'	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2748-50	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11, as follows: 'Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and streets~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-50	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11, as follows: 'Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and streets~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-50	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11, as follows: 'Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and streets~~ .'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-50	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11, as follows: 'Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and streets~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-50	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11, as follows: 'Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and streets~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-50	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11, as follows: 'Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and streets~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-51	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add a new Policy 12, as follows: 'Balance the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres with the functional requirements of these activities and the need to achieve a quality built environment.'	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2748-51	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add a new Policy 12, as follows: 'Balance the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres with the functional requirements of these activities and the need to achieve a quality built environment.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-51	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add a new Policy 12, as follows: 'Balance the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres with the functional requirements of these activities and the need to achieve a quality built environment.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-51	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add a new Policy 12, as follows: 'Balance the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres with the functional requirements of these activities and the need to achieve a quality built environment.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-51	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add a new Policy 12, as follows: 'Balance the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres with the functional requirements of these activities and the need to achieve a quality built environment.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-51	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add a new Policy 12, as follows: 'Balance the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres with the functional requirements of these activities and the need to achieve a quality built environment.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-51	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add a new Policy 12, as follows: 'Balance the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres with the functional requirements of these activities and the need to achieve a quality built environment.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-52	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7(b)(iv), as follows: 'avoid new vehicle crossings where practicable.' as stated in the submission [refer page 44/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-52	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7(b)(iv), as follows: 'avoid new vehicle crossings where practicable.' as stated in the submission [refer page 44/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-52	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7(b)(iv), as follows: 'avoid new vehicle crossings where practicable.' as stated in the submission [refer page 44/132].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-52	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7(b)(iv), as follows: 'avoid new vehicle crossings where practicable.' as stated in the submission [refer page 44/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-52	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7(b)(iv), as follows: 'avoid new vehicle crossings where practicable.' as stated in the submission [refer page 44/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-52	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7(b)(iv), as follows: 'avoid new vehicle crossings where practicable.' as stated in the submission [refer page 44/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-53	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Support the development of ~~public~~ efficient transport, pedestrian and cycle networks and the ability to change transport modes.'	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-53	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Support the development of ~~public~~ efficient transport, pedestrian and cycle networks and the ability to change transport modes.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-53	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Support the development of ~~public~~ efficient transport, pedestrian and cycle networks and the ability to change transport modes.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-53	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Support the development of ~~public~~ efficient transport, pedestrian and cycle networks and the ability to change transport modes.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-53	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Support the development of ~~public~~ efficient transport, pedestrian and cycle networks and the ability to change transport modes.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-53	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Support the development of ~~public~~ efficient transport, pedestrian and cycle networks and the ability to change transport modes.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-53	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Support the development of ~~public~~ efficient transport, pedestrian and cycle networks and the ability to change transport modes.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-54	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9, as follows: 'Encourage the location of supermarkets and department stores within metropolitan centres by balancing the functional requirements of supermarkets, malls and department stores with the benefits these activities bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-54	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9, as follows: 'Encourage the location of supermarkets and department stores within metropolitan centres by balancing the functional requirements of supermarkets, malls and department stores with the benefits these activities bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-54	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9, as follows: 'Encourage the location of supermarkets and department stores within metropolitan centres by balancing the functional requirements of supermarkets, malls and department stores with the benefits these activities bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities.~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-54	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9, as follows: 'Encourage the location of supermarkets and department stores within metropolitan centres by balancing the functional requirements of supermarkets, malls and department stores with the benefits these activities bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-54	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9, as follows: 'Encourage the location of supermarkets and department stores within metropolitan centres by balancing the functional requirements of supermarkets, malls and department stores with the benefits these activities bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-54	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9, as follows: 'Encourage the location of supermarkets and department stores within metropolitan centres by balancing the functional requirements of supermarkets, malls and department stores with the benefits these activities bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-55	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6, as follows: 'Encourage supermarkets and department stores within town centres by balancing the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment, with the functional requirements of these activities. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities .~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-55	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6, as follows: 'Encourage supermarkets and department stores within town centres by balancing the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment, with the functional requirements of these activities. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities .~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-55	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6, as follows: 'Encourage supermarkets and department stores within town centres by balancing the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment, with the functional requirements of these activities. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities .~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-55	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6, as follows: 'Encourage supermarkets and department stores within town centres by balancing the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment, with the functional requirements of these activities. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities .~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-55	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6, as follows: 'Encourage supermarkets and department stores within town centres by balancing the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment, with the functional requirements of these activities. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities .~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-55	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6, as follows: 'Encourage supermarkets and department stores within town centres by balancing the benefits supermarkets, malls and department stores bring to the function, role and viability of centres, and the need to achieve a quality built environment, with the functional requirements of these activities. ~~recognising: (a) the positive contribution these activities make to centre viability and function, and (b) designs that positively contribute to the streetscape and character of their surroundings, having regard to the functional requirements of these activities .~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-56	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, second paragraph, as follows: 'The zone provides for the local convenience needs of surrounding residential areas, including local retail, commercial services, offices, food and beverage, and ~~smaller-scale~~ supermarkets. ~~The zone discourages single large-scale commercial activity that would prevent a mix of activities within the local centre.~~ The expansion of local centres...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-56	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, second paragraph, as follows: 'The zone provides for the local convenience needs of surrounding residential areas, including local retail, commercial services, offices, food and beverage, and ~~smaller-scale~~ supermarkets. ~~The zone discourages single large-scale commercial activity that would prevent a mix of activities within the local centre.~~ The expansion of local centres...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-56	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, second paragraph, as follows: 'The zone provides for the local convenience needs of surrounding residential areas, including local retail, commercial services, offices, food and beverage, and ~~smaller-scale~~ supermarkets. ~~The zone discourages single large-scale commercial activity that would prevent a mix of activities within the local centre.~~ The expansion of local centres...'.	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-56	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, second paragraph, as follows: 'The zone provides for the local convenience needs of surrounding residential areas, including local retail, commercial services, offices, food and beverage, and ~~smaller-scale~~ supermarkets. ~~The zone discourages single large-scale commercial activity that would prevent a mix of activities within the local centre.~~ The expansion of local centres...'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-56	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, second paragraph, as follows: 'The zone provides for the local convenience needs of surrounding residential areas, including local retail, commercial services, offices, food and beverage, and ~~smaller-scale~~ supermarkets. ~~The zone discourages single large-scale commercial activity that would prevent a mix of activities within the local centre.~~ The expansion of local centres...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-56	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, second paragraph, as follows: 'The zone provides for the local convenience needs of surrounding residential areas, including local retail, commercial services, offices, food and beverage, and ~~smaller-scale~~ supermarkets. ~~The zone discourages single large-scale commercial activity that would prevent a mix of activities within the local centre.~~ The expansion of local centres...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-56	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, second paragraph, as follows: 'The zone provides for the local convenience needs of surrounding residential areas, including local retail, commercial services, offices, food and beverage, and ~~smaller-scale~~ supermarkets. ~~The zone discourages single large-scale commercial activity that would prevent a mix of activities within the local centre.~~ The expansion of local centres...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-57	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'A network of local centres that enable commercial activity which primarily services local convenience needs and provides residential living opportunities.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-57	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'A network of local centres that enable commercial activity which primarily services local convenience needs and provides residential living opportunities.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-57	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'A network of local centres that enable commercial activity which primarily services local convenience needs and provides residential living opportunities.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-57	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'A network of local centres that enable commercial activity which primarily services local convenience needs and provides residential living opportunities.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-57	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'A network of local centres that enable commercial activity which primarily services local convenience needs and provides residential living opportunities.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-57	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'A network of local centres that enable commercial activity which primarily services local convenience needs and provides residential living opportunities.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-58	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 4.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-58	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 4.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-58	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 4.	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-58	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 4.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-58	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 4.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-58	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 4.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-58	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.5 Local Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 4.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-59	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the Description, by deleting the fourth paragraph, as follows: '~~Some street frontages within the zone are subject to a Key Retail Frontage or General Commercial Frontage overlay. Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity within the centre. General commercial streets play a supporting role. Development fronting these streets is expected to reinforce this function. Rules for the overlay are incorporated in the zone rules~~ .'	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2748-59	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the Description, by deleting the fourth paragraph, as follows: '~~Some street frontages within the zone are subject to a Key Retail Frontage or General Commercial Frontage overlay. Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity within the centre. General commercial streets play a supporting role. Development fronting these streets is expected to reinforce this function. Rules for the overlay are incorporated in the zone rules~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-59	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the Description, by deleting the fourth paragraph, as follows: '~~Some street frontages within the zone are subject to a Key Retail Frontage or General Commercial Frontage overlay. Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity within the centre. General commercial streets play a supporting role. Development fronting these streets is expected to reinforce this function. Rules for the overlay are incorporated in the zone rules~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-59	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the Description, by deleting the fourth paragraph, as follows: '~~Some street frontages within the zone are subject to a Key Retail Frontage or General Commercial Frontage overlay. Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity within the centre. General commercial streets play a supporting role. Development fronting these streets is expected to reinforce this function. Rules for the overlay are incorporated in the zone rules~~ .'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-59	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the Description, by deleting the fourth paragraph, as follows: '~~Some street frontages within the zone are subject to a Key Retail Frontage or General Commercial Frontage overlay. Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity within the centre. General commercial streets play a supporting role. Development fronting these streets is expected to reinforce this function. Rules for the overlay are incorporated in the zone rules~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-59	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the Description, by deleting the fourth paragraph, as follows: '~~Some street frontages within the zone are subject to a Key Retail Frontage or General Commercial Frontage overlay. Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity within the centre. General commercial streets play a supporting role. Development fronting these streets is expected to reinforce this function. Rules for the overlay are incorporated in the zone rules~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-59	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the Description, by deleting the fourth paragraph, as follows: '~~Some street frontages within the zone are subject to a Key Retail Frontage or General Commercial Frontage overlay. Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity within the centre. General commercial streets play a supporting role. Development fronting these streets is expected to reinforce this function. Rules for the overlay are incorporated in the zone rules~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-60	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones.'	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-60	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-60	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-60	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones.'	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-60	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-60	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-60	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-60	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-61	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Objective 4, as follows: '~~Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity, with identified general commercial streets supporting this role .~~ '	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-61	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Objective 4, as follows: '~~Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity, with identified general commercial streets supporting this role .~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-61	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Objective 4, as follows: '~~Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity, with identified general commercial streets supporting this role .~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-61	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Objective 4, as follows: '~~Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity, with identified general commercial streets supporting this role .~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-61	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Objective 4, as follows: '~~Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity, with identified general commercial streets supporting this role .~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-61	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Objective 4, as follows: '~~Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity, with identified general commercial streets supporting this role .~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-61	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Objective 4, as follows: '~~Key retail streets are the focal point of pedestrian activity, with identified general commercial streets supporting this role .~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-62	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the Mixed Use zone in ~~a limited number of~~ suitable locations within a close walk of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and rapid and frequent services network.'	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Support
2748-62	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the Mixed Use zone in ~~a limited number of~~ suitable locations within a close walk of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and rapid and frequent services network.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-62	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the Mixed Use zone in ~~a limited number of~~ suitable locations within a close walk of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and rapid and frequent services network.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-62	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the Mixed Use zone in ~~a limited number of~~ suitable locations within a close walk of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and rapid and frequent services network.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-62	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the Mixed Use zone in ~~a limited number of~~ suitable locations within a close walk of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and rapid and frequent services network.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-62	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the Mixed Use zone in ~~a limited number of~~ suitable locations within a close walk of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and rapid and frequent services network.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-62	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the Mixed Use zone in ~~a limited number of~~ suitable locations within a close walk of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and rapid and frequent services network.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-63	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, first clause as follows: '~~Limit larger retail and office activities and p~~Provide for a range of commercial activities:...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-64	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(a), as follows: 'that will not significantly diminish the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones...'.	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-64	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(a), as follows: 'that will not significantly diminish the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-64	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(a), as follows: 'that will not significantly diminish the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-64	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(a), as follows: 'that will not significantly diminish the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones...'.	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-64	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(a), as follows: 'that will not significantly diminish the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones...'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-64	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(a), as follows: 'that will not significantly diminish the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-64	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(a), as follows: 'that will not significantly diminish the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-64	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(a), as follows: 'that will not significantly diminish the vitality and viability of the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-65	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 5, which sets out the matters for the frontage overlay.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-65	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 5, which sets out the matters for the frontage overlay.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-65	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 5, which sets out the matters for the frontage overlay.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-65	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 5, which sets out the matters for the frontage overlay.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-65	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 5, which sets out the matters for the frontage overlay.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-65	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.7 Mixed Use zone desc, obs & pols		Delete Policy 5, which sets out the matters for the frontage overlay.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-66	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, first paragraph as follows: "This zone provides for business activities that may ~~not~~ be less appropriate for, or are unable to locate in, centres...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-66	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, first paragraph as follows: "This zone provides for business activities that may ~~not~~ be less appropriate for, or are unable to locate in, centres...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-66	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, first paragraph as follows: "This zone provides for business activities that may ~~not~~ be less appropriate for, or are unable to locate in, centres...'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-66	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, first paragraph as follows: "This zone provides for business activities that may ~~not~~ be less appropriate for, or are unable to locate in, centres...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-66	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, first paragraph as follows: "This zone provides for business activities that may ~~not~~ be less appropriate for, or are unable to locate in, centres...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-66	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the zone description, first paragraph as follows: "This zone provides for business activities that may ~~not~~ be less appropriate for, or are unable to locate in, centres...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-67	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, first paragraph as follows: 'Large format retail is preferred in centres but it is recognised that this is not always possible or desirable due to the functional requirements of this activity'.	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2748-67	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, first paragraph as follows: 'Large format retail is preferred in centres but it is recognised that this is not always possible or desirable due to the functional requirements of this activity'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-67	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, first paragraph as follows: 'Large format retail is preferred in centres but it is recognised that this is not always possible or desirable due to the functional requirements of this activity'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-67	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, first paragraph as follows: 'Large format retail is preferred in centres but it is recognised that this is not always possible or desirable due to the functional requirements of this activity'.	2719	Soft Technology JR Limited	Support
2748-67	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, first paragraph as follows: 'Large format retail is preferred in centres but it is recognised that this is not always possible or desirable due to the functional requirements of this activity'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-67	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, first paragraph as follows: 'Large format retail is preferred in centres but it is recognised that this is not always possible or desirable due to the functional requirements of this activity'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-67	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, first paragraph as follows: 'Large format retail is preferred in centres but it is recognised that this is not always possible or desirable due to the functional requirements of this activity'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-67	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, first paragraph as follows: 'Large format retail is preferred in centres but it is recognised that this is not always possible or desirable due to the functional requirements of this activity'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-68	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description first paragraph, as follows: '~~These~~ Large format retail activities are appropriate in the General Business zone only when this does not adversely affect the vitality and viability of...'.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-68	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description first paragraph, as follows: '~~These~~ Large format retail activities are appropriate in the General Business zone only when this does not adversely affect the vitality and viability of...'.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-68	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description first paragraph, as follows: '~~These~~ Large format retail activities are appropriate in the General Business zone only when this does not adversely affect the vitality and viability of...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-68	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description first paragraph, as follows: 'These Large format retail activities are appropriate in the General Business zone only when this does not adversely affect the vitality and viability of...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-68	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description first paragraph, as follows: 'These Large format retail activities are appropriate in the General Business zone only when this does not adversely affect the vitality and viability of...'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-68	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description first paragraph, as follows: 'These Large format retail activities are appropriate in the General Business zone only when this does not adversely affect the vitality and viability of...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-68	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description first paragraph, as follows: 'These Large format retail activities are appropriate in the General Business zone only when this does not adversely affect the vitality and viability of...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-68	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description first paragraph, as follows: 'These Large format retail activities are appropriate in the General Business zone only when this does not adversely affect the vitality and viability of...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-69	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: '...Small The establishment of small retail activities are not appropriate in the zone should be limited as the presence of these activities, in combination with large format retail, will can effectively create an unplanned centre...'.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-69	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: '...Small The establishment of small retail activities are not appropriate in the zone should be limited as the presence of these activities, in combination with large format retail, will can effectively create an unplanned centre...'.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-69	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: '...Small The establishment of small retail activities are not appropriate in the zone should be limited as the presence of these activities, in combination with large format retail, will can effectively create an unplanned centre...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-69	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: '...Small The establishment of small retail activities are not appropriate in the zone should be limited as the presence of these activities, in combination with large format retail, will can effectively create an unplanned centre...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-69	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: '...Small The establishment of small retail activities are not appropriate in the zone should be limited as the presence of these activities, in combination with large format retail, will can effectively create an unplanned centre...'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-69	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: '...Small The establishment of small retail activities are not appropriate in the zone should be limited as the presence of these activities, in combination with large format retail, will can effectively create an unplanned centre...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-69	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: '...Small The establishment of small retail activities are not appropriate in the zone should be limited as the presence of these activities, in combination with large format retail, will can effectively create an unplanned centre...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-69	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: '...Small The establishment of small retail activities are not appropriate in the zone should be limited as the presence of these activities, in combination with large format retail, will can effectively create an unplanned centre...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-70	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: 'Residential activity is also not envisaged due to the potential presence of light industrial activities and the need to preserve land for out-of-centre commercial opportunities.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-70	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: 'Residential activity is also not envisaged due to the potential presence of light industrial activities and the need to preserve land for out-of-centre commercial opportunities.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-70	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: 'Residential activity is also not envisaged due to the potential presence of light industrial activities and the need to preserve land for out-of-centre commercial opportunities.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-70	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: 'Residential activity is also not envisaged due to the potential presence of light industrial activities and the need to preserve land for out-of-centre commercial opportunities.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-70	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: 'Residential activity is also not envisaged due to the potential presence of light industrial activities and the need to preserve land for out-of-centre commercial opportunities.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-70	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, second paragraph, as follows: 'Residential activity is also not envisaged due to the potential presence of light industrial activities and the need to preserve land for out-of-centre commercial opportunities.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-71	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, third paragraph, as follows: 'The zone is located in areas close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones or along identified growth corridors, or in other areas where there is good transport access and exposure to customers...'.	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2748-71	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, third paragraph, as follows: 'The zone is located in areas close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones or along identified growth corridors, or in other areas where there is good transport access and exposure to customers...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-71	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, third paragraph, as follows: 'The zone is located in areas close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones or along identified growth corridors, or in other areas where there is good transport access and exposure to customers...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-71	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, third paragraph, as follows: 'The zone is located in areas close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones or along identified growth corridors, or in other areas where there is good transport access and exposure to customers...'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-71	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, third paragraph, as follows: 'The zone is located in areas close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones or along identified growth corridors, or in other areas where there is good transport access and exposure to customers...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-71	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, third paragraph, as follows: 'The zone is located in areas close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones or along identified growth corridors, or in other areas where there is good transport access and exposure to customers...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-71	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, third paragraph, as follows: 'The zone is located in areas close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones or along identified growth corridors, or in other areas where there is good transport access and exposure to customers...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-72	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, by deleting the final sentence of the third paragraph, as follows: '...~~The design of development within this zone is expected to contribute to an active street edge.~~ ' .	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-72	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, by deleting the final sentence of the third paragraph, as follows: '...~~The design of development within this zone is expected to contribute to an active street edge.~~ ' .	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-72	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, by deleting the final sentence of the third paragraph, as follows: '...~~The design of development within this zone is expected to contribute to an active street edge.~~ ' .	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-72	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, by deleting the final sentence of the third paragraph, as follows: '...~~The design of development within this zone is expected to contribute to an active street edge.~~ ' .	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-72	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, by deleting the final sentence of the third paragraph, as follows: '...~~The design of development within this zone is expected to contribute to an active street edge.~~ ' .	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-72	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the description, by deleting the final sentence of the third paragraph, as follows: '...~~The design of development within this zone is expected to contribute to an active street edge.~~ ' .	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-73	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Additional employment opportunities exist in a limited number of areas which are located along identified growth corridors or close to City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones, or in other areas with good transport access and exposure to customers, while ensuring activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of these centres.'	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2748-73	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Additional employment opportunities exist in a limited number of areas which are located along identified growth corridors or close to City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones, or in other areas with good transport access and exposure to customers, while ensuring activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of these centres.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-73	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Additional employment opportunities exist in a limited number of areas which are located along identified growth corridors or close to City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones, or in other areas with good transport access and exposure to customers, while ensuring activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of these centres.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-73	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Additional employment opportunities exist in a limited number of areas which are located along identified growth corridors or close to City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones, or in other areas with good transport access and exposure to customers, while ensuring activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of these centres.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-73	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Additional employment opportunities exist in a limited number of areas which are located along identified growth corridors or close to City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones, or in other areas with good transport access and exposure to customers, while ensuring activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of these centres.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-73	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Additional employment opportunities exist in a limited number of areas which are located along identified growth corridors or close to City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones, or in other areas with good transport access and exposure to customers, while ensuring activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of these centres.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-73	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'Additional employment opportunities exist in a limited number of areas which are located along identified growth corridors or close to City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones, or in other areas with good transport access and exposure to customers, while ensuring activities within the zone do not significantly detract from the vitality and viability of these centres.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-74	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the General Business zone, Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the zone adjacent or close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and along identified growth corridors, and in other appropriate areas.'	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2748-74	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the General Business zone, Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the zone adjacent or close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and along identified growth corridors, and in other appropriate areas.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-74	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the General Business zone, Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the zone adjacent or close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and along identified growth corridors, and in other appropriate areas.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-74	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the General Business zone, Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the zone adjacent or close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and along identified growth corridors, and in other appropriate areas.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-74	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the General Business zone, Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the zone adjacent or close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and along identified growth corridors, and in other appropriate areas.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-74	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the General Business zone, Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the zone adjacent or close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and along identified growth corridors, and in other appropriate areas.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-74	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the General Business zone, Policy 1, as follows: 'Locate the zone adjacent or close to the City Centre, Metropolitan and Town Centre zones and along identified growth corridors, and in other appropriate areas.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-75	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4, as follows: 'Avoid Limit small-scale retail activities locating within the zone except for commercial services and food and beverage activities.'	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-75	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4, as follows: 'Avoid Limit small-scale retail activities locating within the zone except for commercial services and food and beverage activities.'	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-75	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4, as follows: 'Avoid Limit small-scale retail activities locating within the zone except for commercial services and food and beverage activities.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-75	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4, as follows: 'Avoid Limit small-scale retail activities locating within the zone except for commercial services and food and beverage activities.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-75	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4, as follows: 'Avoid Limit small-scale retail activities locating within the zone except for commercial services and food and beverage activities.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-75	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4, as follows: 'Avoid Limit small-scale retail activities locating within the zone except for commercial services and food and beverage activities.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-75	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4, as follows: 'Avoid Limit small-scale retail activities locating within the zone except for commercial services and food and beverage activities.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-75	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4, as follows: 'Avoid Limit small-scale retail activities locating within the zone except for commercial services and food and beverage activities.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-76	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require a good standard of design ~~given the location of the zone close to centres and along growth corridors~~ while acknowledging the functional requirements of the activities provided for in the zone.'	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-76	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require a good standard of design ~~given the location of the zone close to centres and along growth corridors~~ while acknowledging the functional requirements of the activities provided for in the zone.'	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-76	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require a good standard of design ~~given the location of the zone close to centres and along growth corridors~~ while acknowledging the functional requirements of the activities provided for in the zone.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-76	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require a good standard of design ~~given the location of the zone close to centres and along growth corridors~~ while acknowledging the functional requirements of the activities provided for in the zone.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-76	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require a good standard of design ~~given the location of the zone close to centres and along growth corridors~~ while acknowledging the functional requirements of the activities provided for in the zone.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-76	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require a good standard of design ~~given the location of the zone close to centres and along growth corridors~~ while acknowledging the functional requirements of the activities provided for in the zone.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-76	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require a good standard of design ~~given the location of the zone close to centres and along growth corridors~~ while acknowledging the functional requirements of the activities provided for in the zone.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-76	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7, as follows: 'Require a good standard of design ~~given the location of the zone close to centres and along growth corridors~~ while acknowledging the functional requirements of the activities provided for in the zone.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-77	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Manage development so that it does not ~~adversely affect~~ generate significant adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-77	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Manage development so that it does not ~~adversely affect~~ generate significant adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-77	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Manage development so that it does not ~~adversely affect~~ generate significant adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-77	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Manage development so that it does not ~~adversely affect~~ generate significant adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-77	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Manage development so that it does not ~~adversely affect~~ generate significant adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-77	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8, as follows: 'Manage development so that it does not ~~adversely affect~~ generate significant adverse effects on the safe and efficient operation of the transport network.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-78	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, as follows: 'Avoid activities that create reverse sensitivity effects and constrain the ~~the~~ establishment and operation of light industrial activities.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-78	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, as follows: 'Avoid activities that create reverse sensitivity effects and constrain the ~~the~~ establishment and operation of light industrial activities.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-78	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, as follows: 'Avoid activities that create reverse sensitivity effects and constrain the ~~the~~ establishment and operation of light industrial activities.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-78	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, as follows: 'Avoid activities that create reverse sensitivity effects and constrain the ~~the~~ establishment and operation of light industrial activities.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-78	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, as follows: 'Avoid activities that create reverse sensitivity effects and constrain the ~~the~~ establishment and operation of light industrial activities.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-78	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2, as follows: 'Avoid activities that create reverse sensitivity effects and constrain the ~~the~~ establishment and operation of light industrial activities.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	805	Lincoln Junction Limited	Support
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-79	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3, as follows: '~~Limit~~ Enable the following retail activities in the zone ~~to~~ : (a) convenience retail that serves the local worker population (b) trade suppliers, service stations, motor vehicle sales and garden centres.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-80	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 200m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-80	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 200m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-80	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 200m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-80	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 200m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-80	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 200m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-80	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 200m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-81	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-81	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-81	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-81	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-81	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-81	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail up to 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-82	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail greater than 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-82	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail greater than 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-82	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail greater than 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-82	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail greater than 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-82	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail greater than 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-82	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the activity table, activity class, as follows: ' Retail greater than 450m^2 GFA per ~~site~~ premises' as stated in the submission.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-83	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street as follows: '(2) Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1~~(b) and 1(c)~~ above.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-83	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street as follows: '(2) Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1~~(b) and 1(c)~~ above.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-83	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street as follows: '(2) Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1~~(b) and 1(c)~~ above.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-83	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street as follows: '(2) Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1~~(b) and 1(c)~~ above.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-83	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street as follows: '(2) Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1~~(b) and 1(c)~~ above.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-83	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street as follows: '(2) Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1~~(b) and 1(c)~~ above.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-84	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street, by deleting clause (4)(b) as follows: 'A new building must adjoin at least 50 per cent of the site frontage in the following areas:…(b) ~~General Business zone~~ …'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-84	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street, by deleting clause (4)(b) as follows: 'A new building must adjoin at least 50 per cent of the site frontage in the following areas:…(b) ~~General Business zone~~ …'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-84	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street, by deleting clause (4)(b) as follows: 'A new building must adjoin at least 50 per cent of the site frontage in the following areas:…(b) ~~General Business zone~~ …'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-84	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street, by deleting clause (4)(b) as follows: 'A new building must adjoin at least 50 per cent of the site frontage in the following areas:…(b) ~~General Business zone~~ …'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-84	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street, by deleting clause (4)(b) as follows: 'A new building must adjoin at least 50 per cent of the site frontage in the following areas:…(b) ~~General Business zone~~ …'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-84	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6 Buildings fronting the street, by deleting clause (4)(b) as follows: 'A new building must adjoin at least 50 per cent of the site frontage in the following areas:…(b) ~~General Business zone~~ …'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-85	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.9 Glazing by deleting clause 2(c)(vi), as follows: 'The ground floor of a building must have clear glazing for: (a) at least 50 per cent of its width and 50 per cent of its height where it fronts a street or public open space (b)at least 30 per cent of its width and 75 per cent of its height where it fronts a public open space which is on the side or rear boundary (c) this rule applies in the following areas:…~~(vi) General Business zone~~ …'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-85	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.9 Glazing by deleting clause 2(c)(vi), as follows: 'The ground floor of a building must have clear glazing for: (a) at least 50 per cent of its width and 50 per cent of its height where it fronts a street or public open space (b)at least 30 per cent of its width and 75 per cent of its height where it fronts a public open space which is on the side or rear boundary (c) this rule applies in the following areas:…~~(vi) General Business zone~~ …'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-85	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.9 Glazing by deleting clause 2(c)(vi), as follows: 'The ground floor of a building must have clear glazing for: (a) at least 50 per cent of its width and 50 per cent of its height where it fronts a street or public open space (b)at least 30 per cent of its width and 75 per cent of its height where it fronts a public open space which is on the side or rear boundary (c) this rule applies in the following areas:…~~(vi) General Business zone~~ …'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-85	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.9 Glazing by deleting clause 2(c)(vi), as follows: 'The ground floor of a building must have clear glazing for: (a) at least 50 per cent of its width and 50 per cent of its height where it fronts a street or public open space (b)at least 30 per cent of its width and 75 per cent of its height where it fronts a public open space which is on the side or rear boundary (c) this rule applies in the following areas:…~~(vi) General Business zone~~ …'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-85	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.9 Glazing by deleting clause 2(c)(vi), as follows: 'The ground floor of a building must have clear glazing for: (a) at least 50 per cent of its width and 50 per cent of its height where it fronts a street or public open space (b)at least 30 per cent of its width and 75 per cent of its height where it fronts a public open space which is on the side or rear boundary (c) this rule applies in the following areas:…~~(vi) General Business zone~~ …'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-85	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.9 Glazing by deleting clause 2(c)(vi), as follows: 'The ground floor of a building must have clear glazing for: (a) at least 50 per cent of its width and 50 per cent of its height where it fronts a street or public open space (b)at least 30 per cent of its width and 75 per cent of its height where it fronts a public open space which is on the side or rear boundary (c) this rule applies in the following areas:…~~(vi) General Business zone~~ …'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-86	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.13 Verandahs, clause (3), by adding a new sub clause, as follows: '3. Lighting levels required under verandahs may be met by one or more of the following methods, providing it also complies with the Auckland-wide lighting rules: (a) Providing a glazed canopy and utilising ambient street lighting ~~(a)~~ (b) providing lighting beneath a verandah…'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-86	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.13 Verandahs, clause (3), by adding a new sub clause, as follows: '3. Lighting levels required under verandahs may be met by one or more of the following methods, providing it also complies with the Auckland-wide lighting rules: (a) Providing a glazed canopy and utilising ambient street lighting ~~(a)~~ (b) providing lighting beneath a verandah…'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-86	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.13 Verandahs, clause (3), by adding a new sub clause, as follows: '3. Lighting levels required under verandahs may be met by one or more of the following methods, providing it also complies with the Auckland-wide lighting rules: (a) Providing a glazed canopy and utilising ambient street lighting ~~(a)~~ (b) providing lighting beneath a verandah…'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-86	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.13 Verandahs, clause (3), by adding a new sub clause, as follows: '3. Lighting levels required under verandahs may be met by one or more of the following methods, providing it also complies with the Auckland-wide lighting rules: (a) Providing a glazed canopy and utilising ambient street lighting ~~(a)~~ (b) providing lighting beneath a verandah…'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-86	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.13 Verandahs, clause (3), by adding a new sub clause, as follows: '3. Lighting levels required under verandahs may be met by one or more of the following methods, providing it also complies with the Auckland-wide lighting rules: (a) Providing a glazed canopy and utilising ambient street lighting ~~(a)~~ (b) providing lighting beneath a verandah…'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-86	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.13 Verandahs, clause (3), by adding a new sub clause, as follows: '3. Lighting levels required under verandahs may be met by one or more of the following methods, providing it also complies with the Auckland-wide lighting rules: (a) Providing a glazed canopy and utilising ambient street lighting ~~(a)~~ (b) providing lighting beneath a verandah…'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-87	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' first column, as follows: '3m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone ~~and~~ or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-87	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' first column, as follows: '3m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone ~~and~~ or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-87	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' first column, as follows: '3m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone ~~and~~ or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-87	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' first column, as follows: '3m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone ~~and~~ or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-87	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' first column, as follows: '3m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone ~~and~~ or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-87	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' first column, as follows: '3m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone ~~and~~ or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-88	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' second column, as follows: '5m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-88	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' second column, as follows: '5m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-88	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' second column, as follows: '5m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-88	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' second column, as follows: '5m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-88	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' second column, as follows: '5m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-88	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.15 Yards, Table 4, in the row entitled 'Rear' second column, as follows: '5m where the rear boundary adjoins a residential zone or public open space~~s~~ zone'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-89	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.19 Wind, under the sub-heading 'Derivation of wind environment control graph', as follows: '...c = a variable ~~dependant~~ dependent on the boundary being defined:...'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-89	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.19 Wind, under the sub-heading 'Derivation of wind environment control graph', as follows: '...c = a variable ~~dependant~~ dependent on the boundary being defined:...'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-89	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.19 Wind, under the sub-heading 'Derivation of wind environment control graph', as follows: '...c = a variable ~~dependant~~ dependent on the boundary being defined:...'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-89	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.19 Wind, under the sub-heading 'Derivation of wind environment control graph', as follows: '...c = a variable ~~dependant~~ dependent on the boundary being defined:...'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-89	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.19 Wind, under the sub-heading 'Derivation of wind environment control graph', as follows: '...c = a variable ~~dependant~~ dependent on the boundary being defined:...'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-89	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.19 Wind, under the sub-heading 'Derivation of wind environment control graph', as follows: '...c = a variable ~~dependant~~ dependent on the boundary being defined:...'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-90	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(a)(i), as follows: 'The intensity and scale of the land use activity, in particular, the number of people involved and traffic generated by the activity, should be compatible with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-90	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(a)(i), as follows: 'The intensity and scale of the land use activity, in particular, the number of people involved and traffic generated by the activity, should be compatible with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-90	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(a)(i), as follows: 'The intensity and scale of the land use activity, in particular, the number of people involved and traffic generated by the activity, should be compatible with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-90	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(a)(i), as follows: 'The intensity and scale of the land use activity, in particular, the number of people involved and traffic generated by the activity, should be compatible with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-90	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(a)(i), as follows: 'The intensity and scale of the land use activity, in particular, the number of people involved and traffic generated by the activity, should be compatible with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-90	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(a)(i), as follows: 'The intensity and scale of the land use activity, in particular, the number of people involved and traffic generated by the activity, should be compatible with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-91	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iii), as follows: 'Surface parking should be softened with landscaping, including tree planting~~. As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-91	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iii), as follows: 'Surface parking should be softened with landscaping, including tree planting~~. As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-91	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iii), as follows: 'Surface parking should be softened with landscaping, including tree planting~~. As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ .'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-91	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iii), as follows: 'Surface parking should be softened with landscaping, including tree planting~~. As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-91	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iii), as follows: 'Surface parking should be softened with landscaping, including tree planting~~. As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-91	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iii), as follows: 'Surface parking should be softened with landscaping, including tree planting~~. As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-92	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iv), as follows: 'Pedestrian access between parking areas, building entrances/lobbies and the street should provide ~~equal physical~~ access for people of all ages and physical abilities and provide a high level of pedestrian safety.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-92	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iv), as follows: 'Pedestrian access between parking areas, building entrances/lobbies and the street should provide ~~equal physical~~ access for people of all ages and physical abilities and provide a high level of pedestrian safety.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-92	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iv), as follows: 'Pedestrian access between parking areas, building entrances/lobbies and the street should provide ~~equal physical~~ access for people of all ages and physical abilities and provide a high level of pedestrian safety.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-92	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iv), as follows: 'Pedestrian access between parking areas, building entrances/lobbies and the street should provide ~~equal physical~~ access for people of all ages and physical abilities and provide a high level of pedestrian safety.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-92	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iv), as follows: 'Pedestrian access between parking areas, building entrances/lobbies and the street should provide ~~equal physical~~ access for people of all ages and physical abilities and provide a high level of pedestrian safety.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-92	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(iv), as follows: 'Pedestrian access between parking areas, building entrances/lobbies and the street should provide ~~equal physical~~ access for people of all ages and physical abilities and provide a high level of pedestrian safety.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-93	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(v), as follows: '~~Separate vehicle and pedestrian access should be provided within parking areas, and between parking areas, buildings and the street. Shared pedestrian and vehicle access may be appropriate where a lane or street is proposed within a development site. The shared access should prioritise pedestrian movement.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-93	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(v), as follows: '~~Separate vehicle and pedestrian access should be provided within parking areas, and between parking areas, buildings and the street. Shared pedestrian and vehicle access may be appropriate where a lane or street is proposed within a development site. The shared access should prioritise pedestrian movement.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-93	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(v), as follows: '~~Separate vehicle and pedestrian access should be provided within parking areas, and between parking areas, buildings and the street. Shared pedestrian and vehicle access may be appropriate where a lane or street is proposed within a development site. The shared access should prioritise pedestrian movement.~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-93	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(v), as follows: '~~Separate vehicle and pedestrian access should be provided within parking areas, and between parking areas, buildings and the street. Shared pedestrian and vehicle access may be appropriate where a lane or street is proposed within a development site. The shared access should prioritise pedestrian movement.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-93	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(v), as follows: '~~Separate vehicle and pedestrian access should be provided within parking areas, and between parking areas, buildings and the street. Shared pedestrian and vehicle access may be appropriate where a lane or street is proposed within a development site. The shared access should prioritise pedestrian movement.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-93	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.1(c)(v), as follows: '~~Separate vehicle and pedestrian access should be provided within parking areas, and between parking areas, buildings and the street. Shared pedestrian and vehicle access may be appropriate where a lane or street is proposed within a development site. The shared access should prioritise pedestrian movement.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-94	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), first bullet, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] not have ~~a substantial~~ significant adverse effect upon the amenity values, vitality and functions of the city centre, metropolitan centres or town centres having regard to: the activity's proposed size, composition and characteristics; the centre's on-going ability to provide for the future needs of communities.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-94	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), first bullet, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] not have ~~a substantial~~ significant adverse effect upon the amenity values, vitality and functions of the city centre, metropolitan centres or town centres having regard to: the activity's proposed size, composition and characteristics; the centre's on-going ability to provide for the future needs of communities.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-94	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), first bullet, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] not have ~~a substantial~~ significant adverse effect upon the amenity values, vitality and functions of the city centre, metropolitan centres or town centres having regard to: the activity's proposed size, composition and characteristics; the centre's on-going ability to provide for the future needs of communities.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-94	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), first bullet, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] not have ~~a substantial~~ significant adverse effect upon the amenity values, vitality and functions of the city centre, metropolitan centres or town centres having regard to: the activity's proposed size, composition and characteristics; the centre's on-going ability to provide for the future needs of communities.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-94	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), first bullet, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] not have ~~a substantial~~ significant adverse effect upon the amenity values, vitality and functions of the city centre, metropolitan centres or town centres having regard to: the activity's proposed size, composition and characteristics; the centre's on-going ability to provide for the future needs of communities.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-94	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), first bullet, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] not have ~~a substantial~~ significant adverse effect upon the amenity values, vitality and functions of the city centre, metropolitan centres or town centres having regard to: the activity's proposed size, composition and characteristics; the centre's on-going ability to provide for the future needs of communities.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-95	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), second bullet, by deleting it, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] ~~provide a net positive benefit in terms of the community's convenient access to commercial activities and community services, including having regard to whether: an in-centre location would result in adverse environmental effects on the form, function or on-going capacity of that centre; an out-of-centre location is likely to undermine the viability of in-centre community facilities~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-95	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), second bullet, by deleting it, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] ~~provide a net positive benefit in terms of the community's convenient access to commercial activities and community services, including having regard to whether: an in-centre location would result in adverse environmental effects on the form, function or on-going capacity of that centre; an out-of-centre location is likely to undermine the viability of in-centre community facilities~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-95	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), second bullet, by deleting it, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] ~~provide a net positive benefit in terms of the community's convenient access to commercial activities and community services, including having regard to whether: an in-centre location would result in adverse environmental effects on the form, function or on-going capacity of that centre; an out-of-centre location is likely to undermine the viability of in-centre community facilities~~ .'	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-95	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), second bullet, by deleting it, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] ~~provide a net positive benefit in terms of the community's convenient access to commercial activities and community services, including having regard to whether: an in-centre location would result in adverse environmental effects on the form, function or on-going capacity of that centre; an out-of-centre location is likely to undermine the viability of in-centre community facilities~~ .'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-95	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), second bullet, by deleting it, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] ~~provide a net positive benefit in terms of the community's convenient access to commercial activities and community services, including having regard to whether: an in-centre location would result in adverse environmental effects on the form, function or on-going capacity of that centre; an out-of-centre location is likely to undermine the viability of in-centre community facilities~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-95	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), second bullet, by deleting it, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] ~~provide a net positive benefit in terms of the community's convenient access to commercial activities and community services, including having regard to whether: an in-centre location would result in adverse environmental effects on the form, function or on-going capacity of that centre; an out-of-centre location is likely to undermine the viability of in-centre community facilities~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-95	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.2(b)(i), second bullet, by deleting it, as follows: '[Retail and office activities should:] ~~provide a net positive benefit in terms of the community's convenient access to commercial activities and community services, including having regard to whether: an in-centre location would result in adverse environmental effects on the form, function or on-going capacity of that centre; an out-of-centre location is likely to undermine the viability of in-centre community facilities~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-96	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(i), as follows: 'The design of buildings should contribute to the local streetscape and sense of place by responding to the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-96	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(i), as follows: 'The design of buildings should contribute to the local streetscape and sense of place by responding to the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-96	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(i), as follows: 'The design of buildings should contribute to the local streetscape and sense of place by responding to the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-96	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(i), as follows: 'The design of buildings should contribute to the local streetscape and sense of place by responding to the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-96	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(i), as follows: 'The design of buildings should contribute to the local streetscape and sense of place by responding to the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-96	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(i), as follows: 'The design of buildings should contribute to the local streetscape and sense of place by responding to the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the surrounding area.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-97	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iii), as follows: 'Buildings should have clearly defined public frontages that address the street and <u>other</u> public open spaces <u>(excluding service lanes)</u> to positively contribute to the public realm and pedestrian safety.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-97	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iii), as follows: 'Buildings should have clearly defined public frontages that address the street and <u>other</u> public open spaces <u>(excluding service lanes)</u> to positively contribute to the public realm and pedestrian safety.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-97	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iii), as follows: 'Buildings should have clearly defined public frontages that address the street and <u>other</u> public open spaces <u>(excluding service lanes)</u> to positively contribute to the public realm and pedestrian safety.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-97	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iii), as follows: 'Buildings should have clearly defined public frontages that address the street and other public open spaces (excluding service lanes) to positively contribute to the public realm and pedestrian safety.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-97	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iii), as follows: 'Buildings should have clearly defined public frontages that address the street and other public open spaces (excluding service lanes) to positively contribute to the public realm and pedestrian safety.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-97	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iii), as follows: 'Buildings should have clearly defined public frontages that address the street and other public open spaces (excluding service lanes) to positively contribute to the public realm and pedestrian safety.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-98	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iv) by deleting it, as follows: '~~Pedestrian entrances should be located on the street frontage and be clearly identifiable and conveniently accessible from the stree t.~~ '	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-98	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iv) by deleting it, as follows: '~~Pedestrian entrances should be located on the street frontage and be clearly identifiable and conveniently accessible from the stree t.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-98	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iv) by deleting it, as follows: '~~Pedestrian entrances should be located on the street frontage and be clearly identifiable and conveniently accessible from the stree t.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-98	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iv) by deleting it, as follows: '~~Pedestrian entrances should be located on the street frontage and be clearly identifiable and conveniently accessible from the stree t.~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-98	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iv) by deleting it, as follows: '~~Pedestrian entrances should be located on the street frontage and be clearly identifiable and conveniently accessible from the stree t.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-98	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iv) by deleting it, as follows: '~~Pedestrian entrances should be located on the street frontage and be clearly identifiable and conveniently accessible from the stree t.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-98	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(iv) by deleting it, as follows: '~~Pedestrian entrances should be located on the street frontage and be clearly identifiable and conveniently accessible from the stree t.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-99	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(vii), as follows: 'Internal space at all levels within buildings should be designed to ~~maximise~~ provide outlook onto street and public open spaces to the extent practicable.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-99	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(vii), as follows: 'Internal space at all levels within buildings should be designed to ~~maximise~~ provide outlook onto street and public open spaces to the extent practicable.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-99	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(vii), as follows: 'Internal space at all levels within buildings should be designed to ~~maximise~~ provide outlook onto street and public open spaces to the extent practicable.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-99	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(vii), as follows: 'Internal space at all levels within buildings should be designed to ~~maximise~~ provide outlook onto street and public open spaces to the extent practicable.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-99	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(vii), as follows: 'Internal space at all levels within buildings should be designed to ~~maximise~~ provide outlook onto street and public open spaces to the extent practicable.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-99	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(vii), as follows: 'Internal space at all levels within buildings should be designed to ~~maximise~~ provide outlook onto street and public open spaces to the extent practicable.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-100	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xii), first bullet, as follows: '[Buildings should be designed to:] where practicable, avoid long, unrelieved frontages and excessive bulk and scale when viewed from streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes)…'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-100	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xii), first bullet, as follows: '[Buildings should be designed to:] where practicable, avoid long, unrelieved frontages and excessive bulk and scale when viewed from streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes)…'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-100	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xii), first bullet, as follows: '[Buildings should be designed to:] where practicable, avoid long, unrelieved frontages and excessive bulk and scale when viewed from streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes)…'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-100	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xii), first bullet, as follows: '[Buildings should be designed to:] where practicable, avoid long, unrelieved frontages and excessive bulk and scale when viewed from streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes)…'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-100	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xii), first bullet, as follows: '[Buildings should be designed to:] where practicable, avoid long, unrelieved frontages and excessive bulk and scale when viewed from streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes)…'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-100	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xii), first bullet, as follows: '[Buildings should be designed to:] where practicable, avoid long, unrelieved frontages and excessive bulk and scale when viewed from streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes)…'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-101	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xiii), as follows: 'Blank walls should be avoided on all levels of building frontages to streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes).'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-101	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xiii), as follows: 'Blank walls should be avoided on all levels of building frontages to streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes).'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-101	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xiii), as follows: 'Blank walls should be avoided on all levels of building frontages to streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes).'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-101	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xiii), as follows: 'Blank walls should be avoided on all levels of building frontages to streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes).'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-101	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xiii), as follows: 'Blank walls should be avoided on all levels of building frontages to streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes).'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-101	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xiii), as follows: 'Blank walls should be avoided on all levels of building frontages to streets and other public open spaces (except service lanes).'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-102	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xvi), by adding 'where practicable' at the end of the criterion.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-102	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xvi), by adding 'where practicable' at the end of the criterion.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-102	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xvi), by adding 'where practicable' at the end of the criterion.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-102	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xvi), by adding 'where practicable' at the end of the criterion.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-102	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xvi), by adding 'where practicable' at the end of the criterion.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-102	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xvi), by adding 'where practicable' at the end of the criterion.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-103	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xviii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Colour variation and landscaping, without the use of other design techniques, should not be used to mitigate a lack of building articulation or design quality.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-103	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xviii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Colour variation and landscaping, without the use of other design techniques, should not be used to mitigate a lack of building articulation or design quality.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-103	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xviii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Colour variation and landscaping, without the use of other design techniques, should not be used to mitigate a lack of building articulation or design quality.~~ '	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-103	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xviii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Colour variation and landscaping, without the use of other design techniques, should not be used to mitigate a lack of building articulation or design quality.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-103	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xviii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Colour variation and landscaping, without the use of other design techniques, should not be used to mitigate a lack of building articulation or design quality.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-103	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xviii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Colour variation and landscaping, without the use of other design techniques, should not be used to mitigate a lack of building articulation or design quality.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-104	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xxi), as follows: '~~Where provided,~~ For new buildings, the design of any signage should be ~~designed as an integrated part~~ integrated with the design of the building facade.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-104	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xxi), as follows: '~~Where provided,~~ For new buildings, the design of any signage should be ~~designed as an integrated part~~ integrated with the design of the building facade.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-104	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xxi), as follows: '~~Where provided,~~ For new buildings, the design of any signage should be ~~designed as an integrated part~~ integrated with the design of the building facade.'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-104	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xxi), as follows: '~~Where provided,~~ For new buildings, the design of any signage should be ~~designed as an integrated part~~ integrated with the design of the building facade.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-104	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xxi), as follows: '~~Where provided,~~ For new buildings, the design of any signage should be ~~designed as an integrated part~~ integrated with the design of the building facade.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-104	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(a)(xxi), as follows: '~~Where provided,~~ For new buildings, the design of any signage should be ~~designed as an integrated part~~ integrated with the design of the building facade.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-105	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(b)(i), to correct typographical error in the first bullet, as follows: '...to the height of the place, nor~~t~~ does it reduce the development potential ...'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-105	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(b)(i), to correct typographical error in the first bullet, as follows: '...to the height of the place, nor~~t~~ does it reduce the development potential ...'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-105	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(b)(i), to correct typographical error in the first bullet, as follows: '...to the height of the place, nor~~t~~ does it reduce the development potential ...'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-105	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(b)(i), to correct typographical error in the first bullet, as follows: '...to the height of the place, nor~~t~~ does it reduce the development potential ...'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-105	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(b)(i), to correct typographical error in the first bullet, as follows: '...to the height of the place, nor~~t~~ does it reduce the development potential ...'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-105	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment criteria 6.2.5(b)(i), to correct typographical error in the first bullet, as follows: '...to the height of the place, nor~~t~~ does it reduce the development potential ...'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-106	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(e)(ii), first clause as follows: 'The quantity of e~~E~~ arthworks and the use of retaining walls should be minimised ~~and retaining walls avoided where possible~~ to the extent practicable. However, where retaining walls or earthworks are required they should be incorporated as a positive landscape or site feature by:...'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-106	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(e)(ii), first clause as follows: 'The quantity of e~~E~~ arthworks and the use of retaining walls should be minimised ~~and retaining walls avoided where possible~~ to the extent practicable. However, where retaining walls or earthworks are required they should be incorporated as a positive landscape or site feature by:...'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-106	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(e)(ii), first clause as follows: 'The quantity of e~~E~~ arthworks and the use of retaining walls should be minimised ~~and retaining walls avoided where possible~~ to the extent practicable. However, where retaining walls or earthworks are required they should be incorporated as a positive landscape or site feature by:...'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-106	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(e)(ii), first clause as follows: 'The quantity of e~~E~~ arthworks and the use of retaining walls should be minimised ~~and retaining walls avoided where possible~~ to the extent practicable. However, where retaining walls or earthworks are required they should be incorporated as a positive landscape or site feature by:...'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-106	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(e)(ii), first clause as follows: 'The quantity of e~~E~~ arthworks and the use of retaining walls should be minimised ~~and retaining walls avoided where possible~~ to the extent practicable. However, where retaining walls or earthworks are required they should be incorporated as a positive landscape or site feature by:...'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-106	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(e)(ii), first clause as follows: 'The quantity of e~~E~~ arthworks and the use of retaining walls should be minimised ~~and retaining walls avoided where possible~~ to the extent practicable. However, where retaining walls or earthworks are required they should be incorporated as a positive landscape or site feature by:...'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-107	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(h)(i), as follows: 'Where practicable ~~All~~ development should be designed to incorporate water sensitive design principles that use natural systems and processes for stormwater management to minimise adverse effects and protect and enhance the values and functions of natural ecosystems. This may include:...'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-107	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(h)(i), as follows: 'Where practicable ~~All~~ development should be designed to incorporate water sensitive design principles that use natural systems and processes for stormwater management to minimise adverse effects and protect and enhance the values and functions of natural ecosystems. This may include:...'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-107	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(h)(i), as follows: 'Where practicable ~~All~~ development should be designed to incorporate water sensitive design principles that use natural systems and processes for stormwater management to minimise adverse effects and protect and enhance the values and functions of natural ecosystems. This may include:...'	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2748-107	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(h)(i), as follows: 'Where practicable ~~All~~ development should be designed to incorporate water sensitive design principles that use natural systems and processes for stormwater management to minimise adverse effects and protect and enhance the values and functions of natural ecosystems. This may include:...'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-107	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(h)(i), as follows: 'Where practicable ~~All~~ development should be designed to incorporate water sensitive design principles that use natural systems and processes for stormwater management to minimise adverse effects and protect and enhance the values and functions of natural ecosystems. This may include:...'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-107	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.5(h)(i), as follows: 'Where practicable ~~All~~ development should be designed to incorporate water sensitive design principles that use natural systems and processes for stormwater management to minimise adverse effects and protect and enhance the values and functions of natural ecosystems. This may include:...'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-108	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.7(c)(i), as follows: 'If the site is not developed following demolition, the site should be landscaped to provide good standard of visual amenity~~and the site should not be used for temporary or permanent parking~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-108	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.7(c)(i), as follows: 'If the site is not developed following demolition, the site should be landscaped to provide good standard of visual amenity ~~and the site should not be used for temporary or permanent parking~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-108	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.7(c)(i), as follows: 'If the site is not developed following demolition, the site should be landscaped to provide good standard of visual amenity ~~and the site should not be used for temporary or permanent parking~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-108	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.7(c)(i), as follows: 'If the site is not developed following demolition, the site should be landscaped to provide good standard of visual amenity ~~and the site should not be used for temporary or permanent parking~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-108	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Restricted Discretionary Assessment criteria 6.2.7(c)(i), as follows: 'If the site is not developed following demolition, the site should be landscaped to provide good standard of visual amenity ~~and the site should not be used for temporary or permanent parking~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-109	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development Control Infringements Assessment criteria 7.1.1(b), as follows: 'consistency with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the area/zone.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-109	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development Control Infringements Assessment criteria 7.1.1(b), as follows: 'consistency with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the area/zone.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-109	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development Control Infringements Assessment criteria 7.1.1(b), as follows: 'consistency with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the area/zone.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-109	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development Control Infringements Assessment criteria 7.1.1(b), as follows: 'consistency with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the area/zone.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-109	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development Control Infringements Assessment criteria 7.1.1(b), as follows: 'consistency with the ~~planned future~~ form and character of the area/zone.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-110	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(a)(i), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Significant height infringements should be avoided~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-110	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(a)(i), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Significant height infringements should be avoided~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-110	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(a)(i), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Significant height infringements should be avoided~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-110	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(a)(i), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Significant height infringements should be avoided~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-110	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(a)(i), by deleting it, as follows: '~~Significant height infringements should be avoided~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-111	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(b), by deleting it as follows: '~~Effects on the planned future form and character of the area/zone (i) Buildings need to demonstrate that: the bulk or height does not detract from the planned future character of the surrounding area; when located in the Metropolitan or Town centre zones, the infringement is consistent with the Business zones, Policy 3.3.1 or 3.4.1.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-111	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(b), by deleting it as follows: '~~Effects on the planned future form and character of the area/zone (i) Buildings need to demonstrate that: the bulk or height does not detract from the planned future character of the surrounding area; when located in the Metropolitan or Town centre zones, the infringement is consistent with the Business zones, Policy 3.3.1 or 3.4.1.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-111	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(b), by deleting it as follows: '~~Effects on the planned future form and character of the area/zone (i) Buildings need to demonstrate that: the bulk or height does not detract from the planned future character of the surrounding area; when located in the Metropolitan or Town centre zones, the infringement is consistent with the Business zones, Policy 3.3.1 or 3.4.1.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-111	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(b), by deleting it as follows: '~~Effects on the planned future form and character of the area/zone (i) Buildings need to demonstrate that: the bulk or height does not detract from the planned future character of the surrounding area; when located in the Metropolitan or Town centre zones, the infringement is consistent with the Business zones, Policy 3.3.1 or 3.4.1.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-111	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Development control infringements Assessment criteria 7.2.1(b), by deleting it as follows: '~~Effects on the planned future form and character of the area/zone (i) Buildings need to demonstrate that: the bulk or height does not detract from the planned future character of the surrounding area; when located in the Metropolitan or Town centre zones, the infringement is consistent with the Business zones, Policy 3.3.1 or 3.4.1.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-112	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, first sentence, as follows: 'In addition to the assessment criteria for new buildings stated above, the following criteria apply ~~applies~~ to:...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-112	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, first sentence, as follows: 'In addition to the assessment criteria for new buildings stated above, the following criteria apply ~~applies~~ to:...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-112	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, first sentence, as follows: 'In addition to the assessment criteria for new buildings stated above, the following criteria apply ~~applies~~ to:...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-112	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, first sentence, as follows: 'In addition to the assessment criteria for new buildings stated above, the following criteria apply ~~applies~~ to:...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-112	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, first sentence, as follows: 'In addition to the assessment criteria for new buildings stated above, the following criteria apply ~~applies~~ to:...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-113	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, second paragraph, as follows: 'Where the assessment criteria for new buildings above ~~is~~ are inconsistent with any criteria listed above, the criteria below take precedence. Where relevant, the assessment criteria ~~applies~~ apply to development control infringements.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-113	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, second paragraph, as follows: 'Where the assessment criteria for new buildings above ~~is~~ are inconsistent with any criteria listed above, the criteria below take precedence. Where relevant, the assessment criteria ~~applies~~ apply to development control infringements.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-113	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, second paragraph, as follows: 'Where the assessment criteria for new buildings above ~~is~~ are inconsistent with any criteria listed above, the criteria below take precedence. Where relevant, the assessment criteria ~~applies~~ apply to development control infringements.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-113	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, second paragraph, as follows: 'Where the assessment criteria for new buildings above ~~is~~ are inconsistent with any criteria listed above, the criteria below take precedence. Where relevant, the assessment criteria ~~applies~~ apply to development control infringements.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-113	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, introduction, second paragraph, as follows: 'Where the assessment criteria for new buildings above ~~is~~ are inconsistent with any criteria listed above, the criteria below take precedence. Where relevant, the assessment criteria ~~applies~~ apply to development control infringements.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-114	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers 8.1.1(a), by deleting it, as follows: '~~The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2748-114	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers 8.1.1(a), by deleting it, as follows: '~~The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2748-114	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers 8.1.1(a), by deleting it, as follows: '~~The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-114	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers 8.1.1(a), by deleting it, as follows: '~~The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-114	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers 8.1.1(a), by deleting it, as follows: '~~The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-114	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers 8.1.1(a), by deleting it, as follows: '~~The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-114	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers 8.1.1(a), by deleting it, as follows: '~~The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-115	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b), as follows: 'Buildings should address public open space, principal parking areas and in particular the street, by bringing visual activity, pedestrian amenity and activity to these edges. One or more of the following techniques should be used ~~in order of importance~~ , having regard to the operational and functional requirements of the activity and the context of the site:...'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-115	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b), as follows: 'Buildings should address public open space, principal parking areas and in particular the street, by bringing visual activity, pedestrian amenity and activity to these edges. One or more of the following techniques should be used ~~in order of importance~~ , having regard to the operational and functional requirements of the activity and the context of the site:...'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-115	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b), as follows: '(b) Buildings should address public open space, principal parking areas and in particular the street, by bringing visual activity, pedestrian amenity and activity to these edges. One or more of the following techniques should be used ~~in order of importance~~ , having regard to the operational and functional requirements of the activity and the context of the site:...'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-115	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b), as follows: '(b) Buildings should address public open space, principal parking areas and in particular the street, by bringing visual activity, pedestrian amenity and activity to these edges. One or more of the following techniques should be used ~~in order of importance~~ , having regard to the operational and functional requirements of the activity and the context of the site:...'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-115	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b), as follows: '(b) Buildings should address public open space, principal parking areas and in particular the street, by bringing visual activity, pedestrian amenity and activity to these edges. One or more of the following techniques should be used ~~in order of importance~~ , having regard to the operational and functional requirements of the activity and the context of the site:...'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-116	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b)(i), as follows: '(i) sleeving street facing building elevations with smaller scale, active uses (except for the General Business zone)'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-116	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b)(i), as follows: '(i) sleeving street facing building elevations with smaller scale, active uses (except for the General Business zone)'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-116	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b)(i), as follows: '(i) sleeving street facing building elevations with smaller scale, active uses (except for the General Business zone)'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-116	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b)(i), as follows: '(i) sleeving street facing building elevations with smaller scale, active uses (except for the General Business zone)'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-116	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(b)(i), as follows: '(i) sleeving street facing building elevations with smaller scale, active uses (except for the General Business zone)'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-117	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(d), as follows: '(d) Where alterations and additions are proposed to buildings that are set back from the road with parking in front, or it is proposed to demolish and rebuild those buildings, the continuation of this form of site layout is acceptable.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-117	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(d), as follows: '(d) Where alterations and additions are proposed to buildings that are set back from the road with parking in front, or it is proposed to demolish and rebuild those buildings, the continuation of this form of site layout is acceptable.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-117	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(d), as follows: '(d) Where alterations and additions are proposed to buildings that are set back from the road with parking in front, or it is proposed to demolish and rebuild those buildings, the continuation of this form of site layout is acceptable.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-117	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(d), as follows: '(d) Where alterations and additions are proposed to buildings that are set back from the road with parking in front, or it is proposed to demolish and rebuild those buildings, the continuation of this form of site layout is acceptable.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-117	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.1(d), as follows: '(d) Where alterations and additions are proposed to buildings that are set back from the road with parking in front, or it is proposed to demolish and rebuild those buildings, the continuation of this form of site layout is acceptable.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-118	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(e), by deleting it, as follows: '~~High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-118	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(e), by deleting it, as follows: '~~High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-118	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(e), by deleting it, as follows: '~~High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-118	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(e), by deleting it, as follows: '~~High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-118	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(e), by deleting it, as follows: '~~High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-118	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(e), by deleting it, as follows: '~~High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-119	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(ii), as follows: 'have landscaping, including tree planting, of a scale and amount that visually breaks up the parking area. ~~As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ '.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-119	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(ii), as follows: 'have landscaping, including tree planting, of a scale and amount that visually breaks up the parking area. ~~As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ '.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-119	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(ii), as follows: 'have landscaping, including tree planting, of a scale and amount that visually breaks up the parking area. ~~As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ '.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-119	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(ii), as follows: 'have landscaping, including tree planting, of a scale and amount that visually breaks up the parking area. ~~As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ '.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-119	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(ii), as follows: 'have landscaping, including tree planting, of a scale and amount that visually breaks up the parking area. ~~As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ '.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-120	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(iii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2748-120	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(iii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2748-120	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(iii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-120	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(iii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-120	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(iii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-120	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(iii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-120	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(g)(iii), by deleting it, as follows: '~~of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-121	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(h), as follows: '~~Where~~ The separation of customer traffic and service vehicles is desirable and, where practicable, delivery vehicles should enter the site by way of a rear lane or access way which leads directly to loading and storage areas.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-121	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(h), as follows: '~~Where~~ The separation of customer traffic and service vehicles is desirable and, where practicable, delivery vehicles should enter the site by way of a rear lane or access way which leads directly to loading and storage areas.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-121	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(h), as follows: '~~Where~~ The separation of customer traffic and service vehicles is desirable and, where practicable, delivery vehicles should enter the site by way of a rear lane or access way which leads directly to loading and storage areas.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-121	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(h), as follows: '~~Where~~ The separation of customer traffic and service vehicles is desirable and, where practicable, delivery vehicles should enter the site by way of a rear lane or access way which leads directly to loading and storage areas.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-121	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.2(h), as follows: '~~Where~~ The separation of customer traffic and service vehicles is desirable and, where practicable, delivery vehicles should enter the site by way of a rear lane or access way which leads directly to loading and storage areas.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-122	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.3(a), as follows: 'Supermarkets and department stores in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones that do not comply with the street frontage development controls may be granted consent where it ~~has been~~ is demonstrated that~~:~~ the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zones' design policies. ~~(i) the highest possible quality outcome has been proposed; (ii) the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies; (iii) the development is not located on a street that: is subject to a Key Retail Frontage overlay; is an important part of the centre's retail or pedestrian activity; has an existing continuous built edge~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-122	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.3(a), as follows: 'Supermarkets and department stores in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones that do not comply with the street frontage development controls may be granted consent where it ~~has been~~ is demonstrated that~~:~~ the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zones' design policies. ~~(i) the highest possible quality outcome has been proposed; (ii) the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies; (iii) the development is not located on a street that: is subject to a Key Retail Frontage overlay; is an important part of the centre's retail or pedestrian activity; has an existing continuous built edge~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-122	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.3(a), as follows: 'Supermarkets and department stores in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones that do not comply with the street frontage development controls may be granted consent where it ~~has been~~ is demonstrated that~~:~~ the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zones' design policies. ~~(i) the highest possible quality outcome has been proposed; (ii) the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies; (iii) the development is not located on a street that: is subject to a Key Retail Frontage overlay; is an important part of the centre's retail or pedestrian activity; has an existing continuous built edge~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-122	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.3(a), as follows: 'Supermarkets and department stores in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones that do not comply with the street frontage development controls may be granted consent where it ~~has been~~ is demonstrated that~~:~~ the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zones' design policies. ~~(i) the highest possible quality outcome has been proposed; (ii) the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies; (iii) the development is not located on a street that: is subject to a Key Retail Frontage overlay; is an important part of the centre's retail or pedestrian activity; has an existing continuous built edge~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-122	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1.3(a), as follows: 'Supermarkets and department stores in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones that do not comply with the street frontage development controls may be granted consent where it ~~has been~~ is demonstrated that~~:~~ the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zones' design policies. ~~(i) the highest possible quality outcome has been proposed; (ii) the benefits of having that supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies; (iii) the development is not located on a street that: is subject to a Key Retail Frontage overlay; is an important part of the centre's retail or pedestrian activity; has an existing continuous built edge~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-123	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the Mixed Use zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-123	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the Mixed Use zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-123	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the Mixed Use zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-123	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the Mixed Use zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-123	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the Mixed Use zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-124	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the General Business zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-124	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the General Business zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-124	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the General Business zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-124	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the General Business zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-124	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend Integrated retail developments, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers Assessment criteria 8.1, to add additional criteria that apply to the General Business zone, as follows: '(4) Mixed Use and General Business zone (a) Buildings should address the principal car parking area by bringing visual activity and pedestrian amenity and activity to that building edge. One or more of the following techniques described in criteria 1(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv)should be used, having regard to the context of the site; (b) The operational and functional requirements of activities will be taken into account when considering the building design.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-125	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction paragraph 3, last sentence, as follows: 'In addition, transport corridors may also ~~contribute to the locational needs~~ be suitable for the location of other infrastructure ~~networks~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-125	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction paragraph 3, last sentence, as follows: 'In addition, transport corridors may also ~~contribute to the locational needs~~ be suitable for the location of other infrastructure ~~networks~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-125	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction paragraph 3, last sentence, as follows: 'In addition, transport corridors may also ~~contribute to the locational needs~~ be suitable for the location of other infrastructure ~~networks~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-125	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction paragraph 3, last sentence, as follows: 'In addition, transport corridors may also ~~contribute to the locational needs~~ be suitable for the location of other infrastructure ~~networks~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-125	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction paragraph 3, last sentence, as follows: 'In addition, transport corridors may also ~~contribute to the locational needs~~ be suitable for the location of other infrastructure ~~networks~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-126	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction by inserting a new paragraph 5, as follows: 'The transport system serves several clearly identifiable and distinguishable sectors including: commuters, education, business (freight) and leisure. Each of these has differing characteristics in relation to the time of travel, the mode of travel and the nature of the journey. For example, it is more appropriate to emphasise public transport when dealing with commuter travel but this emphasis is less appropriate for leisure travel which may involve multiple destination trips.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-127	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction, final paragraph, as follows: 'As the transport system is both an integrated system and an infrastructure network, the objectives and policies of section 3.2 Significant Infrastructure and Energy must also be considered when addressing matters related to significant transport infrastructure ~~related matters~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-127	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction, final paragraph, as follows: 'As the transport system is both an integrated system and an infrastructure network, the objectives and policies of section 3.2 Significant Infrastructure and Energy must also be considered when addressing matters related to significant transport infrastructure ~~-related matters~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-127	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction, final paragraph, as follows: 'As the transport system is both an integrated system and an infrastructure network, the objectives and policies of section 3.2 Significant Infrastructure and Energy must also be considered when addressing matters related to significant transport infrastructure ~~-related matters~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-127	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction, final paragraph, as follows: 'As the transport system is both an integrated system and an infrastructure network, the objectives and policies of section 3.2 Significant Infrastructure and Energy must also be considered when addressing matters related to significant transport infrastructure ~~-related matters~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-127	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Introduction, final paragraph, as follows: 'As the transport system is both an integrated system and an infrastructure network, the objectives and policies of section 3.2 Significant Infrastructure and Energy must also be considered when addressing matters related to significant transport infrastructure ~~-related matters~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-128	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe transport system that supports the ~~integrated~~ movement of people, goods and services throughout Auckland and to other regions and nations.'	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2748-128	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe transport system that supports the ~~integrated~~ movement of people, goods and services throughout Auckland and to other regions and nations.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-128	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe transport system that supports the ~~integrated~~ movement of people, goods and services throughout Auckland and to other regions and nations.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-128	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe transport system that supports the ~~integrated~~ movement of people, goods and services throughout Auckland and to other regions and nations.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-128	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe transport system that supports the ~~integrated~~ movement of people, goods and services throughout Auckland and to other regions and nations.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-128	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 1, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe transport system that supports the ~~integrated~~ movement of people, goods and services throughout Auckland and to other regions and nations.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-129	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe ~~integrated~~ transport system that ~~is integrated with, and~~ supports, a quality, compact form of urban growth and associated land use.'	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2748-129	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe ~~integrated~~ transport system that ~~is integrated with, and~~ supports, a quality, compact form of urban growth and associated land use.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-129	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe ~~integrated~~ transport system that ~~is integrated with, and~~ supports, a quality, compact form of urban growth and associated land use.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-129	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe ~~integrated~~ transport system that ~~is integrated with, and~~ supports, a quality, compact form of urban growth and associated land use.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-129	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe ~~integrated~~ transport system that ~~is integrated with, and~~ supports, a quality, compact form of urban growth and associated land use.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-129	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 2, as follows: 'An effective, efficient and safe ~~integrated~~ transport system that ~~is integrated with, and~~ supports, a quality, compact form of urban growth and associated land use.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-130	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4, as follows: 'A transport system that facilitates transport choices and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community, while recognising the different transport requirements of the various sectors.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-130	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4, as follows: 'A transport system that facilitates transport choices and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community, while recognising the different transport requirements of the various sectors.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-130	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4, as follows: 'A transport system that facilitates transport choices and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community, while recognising the different transport requirements of the various sectors.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-130	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4, as follows: 'A transport system that facilitates transport choices and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community, while recognising the different transport requirements of the various sectors.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-130	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4, as follows: 'A transport system that facilitates transport choices and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community, while recognising the different transport requirements of the various sectors.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-131	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Delete Policy 5.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-131	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Delete Policy 5.	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-131	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Delete Policy 5.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-131	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Delete Policy 5.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-131	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Delete Policy 5.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-131	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Delete Policy 5.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-131	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Delete Policy 5.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-132	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9 first clause, as follows: 'Improve the integration of land use ~~with~~ and transport planning by:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 82/132].	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2748-132	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9 first clause, as follows: 'Improve the integration of land use ~~with~~ and transport planning by:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 82/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-132	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9 first clause, as follows: 'Improve the integration of land use ~~with~~ and transport planning by:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 82/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-132	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9 first clause, as follows: 'Improve the integration of land use ~~with~~ and transport planning by:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 82/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-132	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9 first clause, as follows: 'Improve the integration of land use ~~with~~ and transport planning by:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 82/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-132	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9 first clause, as follows: 'Improve the integration of land use ~~with~~ and transport planning by:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 82/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-133	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(a), as follows: 'the delivery of a transport system that is planned, funded, staged to ~~enable~~ support the delivery of quality urban growth as outlined in section 2 - Enabling quality urban growth.'	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2748-133	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(a), as follows: 'the delivery of a transport system that is planned, funded, staged to ~~enable~~ support the delivery of quality urban growth as outlined in section 2 - Enabling quality urban growth.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-133	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(a), as follows: 'the delivery of a transport system that is planned, funded, staged to ~~enable~~ support the delivery of quality urban growth as outlined in section 2 - Enabling quality urban growth.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-133	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(a), as follows: 'the delivery of a transport system that is planned, funded, staged to ~~enable~~ support the delivery of quality urban growth as outlined in section 2 - Enabling quality urban growth.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-133	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(a), as follows: 'the delivery of a transport system that is planned, funded, staged to ~~enable~~ support the delivery of quality urban growth as outlined in section 2 - Enabling quality urban growth.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-133	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(a), as follows: 'the delivery of a transport system that is planned, funded, staged to ~~enable~~ support the delivery of quality urban growth as outlined in section 2 - Enabling quality urban growth.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-134	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b), as follows: 'ensuring activities likely to generate significant numbers of commuter or education related trips ~~numbers~~ support, and can be serviced by the rapid and frequent service network'.	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-134	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b), as follows: 'ensuring activities likely to generate significant numbers of commuter or education related trips ~~numbers~~ support, and can be serviced by the rapid and frequent service network'.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2748-134	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b), as follows: 'ensuring activities likely to generate significant numbers of commuter or education related trips ~~numbers~~ support, and can be serviced by the rapid and frequent service network'.	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-134	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b), as follows: 'ensuring activities likely to generate significant numbers of commuter or education related trips ~~numbers~~ support, and can be serviced by the rapid and frequent service network'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-134	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b), as follows: 'ensuring activities likely to generate significant numbers of commuter or education related trips ~~numbers~~ support, and can be serviced by the rapid and frequent service network'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-134	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b), as follows: 'ensuring activities likely to generate significant numbers of commuter or education related trips ~~numbers~~ support, and can be serviced by the rapid and frequent service network'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-134	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b), as follows: 'ensuring activities likely to generate significant numbers of commuter or education related trips ~~numbers~~ support, and can be serviced by the rapid and frequent service network'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-134	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b), as follows: 'ensuring activities likely to generate significant numbers of commuter or education related trips ~~numbers~~ support, and can be serviced by the rapid and frequent service network'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-135	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(d) by deleting it [albeit amended], as follows: '~~(d) requiring adverse effects on the transport network from~~ proposals for high trip generating developments, located outside of centres and/or not provided for in the Unitary Plan, to demonstrate integration with the transport network and mitigate adverse effects on that network be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2748-135	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(d) by deleting it [albeit amended], as follows: '~~(d) requiring adverse effects on the transport network from~~ proposals for high trip generating developments, located outside of centres and/or not provided for in the Unitary Plan, to demonstrate integration with the transport network and mitigate adverse effects on that network be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-135	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(d) by deleting it [albeit amended], as follows: '~~(d) requiring adverse effects on the transport network from~~ proposals for high trip generating developments, located outside of centres and/or not provided for in the Unitary Plan, to demonstrate integration with the transport network and mitigate adverse effects on that network be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-135	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(d) by deleting it [albeit amended], as follows: '~~(d) requiring adverse effects on the transport network from~~ proposals for high trip generating developments, located outside of centres and/or not provided for in the Unitary Plan, to demonstrate integration with the transport network and mitigate adverse effects on that network be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-135	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(d) by deleting it [albeit amended], as follows: '~~(d) requiring adverse effects on the transport network from~~ proposals for high trip generating developments, located outside of centres and/or not provided for in the Unitary Plan, to demonstrate integration with the transport network and mitigate adverse effects on that network be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-135	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(d) by deleting it [albeit amended], as follows: '~~(d) requiring adverse effects on the transport network from~~ proposals for high trip generating developments, located outside of centres and/or not provided for in the Unitary Plan, to demonstrate integration with the transport network and mitigate adverse effects on that network be avoided, remedied or mitigated.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-136	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a), as follows: '~~developing~~ encouraging the development of an urban form which supports more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-136	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a), as follows: '~~developing~~ encouraging the development of an urban form which supports more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-136	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a), as follows: '~~developing~~ encouraging the development of an urban form which supports more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-136	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a), as follows: '~~developing~~ encouraging the development of an urban form which supports more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-136	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a), as follows: '~~developing~~ encouraging the development of an urban form which supports more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-137	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(b), as follows: 'requiring new roads to incorporate noise mitigation measures to protect sensitive activities from adverse noise effects.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-137	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(b), as follows: 'requiring new roads to incorporate noise mitigation measures to protect sensitive activities from adverse noise effects.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-137	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(b), as follows: 'requiring new roads to incorporate noise mitigation measures to protect sensitive activities from adverse noise effects.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-137	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(b), as follows: 'requiring new roads to incorporate noise mitigation measures to protect sensitive activities from adverse noise effects.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-137	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(b), as follows: 'requiring new roads to incorporate noise mitigation measures to protect sensitive activities from adverse noise effects.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-138	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13, as follows: '~~Support~~ Encourage land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips, especially during peak periods.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-138	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13, as follows: '~~Support~~ Encourage land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips, especially during peak periods.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-138	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13, as follows: '~~Support~~ Encourage land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips, especially during peak periods.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-138	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13, as follows: '~~Support~~ Encourage land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips, especially during peak periods.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-138	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13, as follows: '~~Support~~ Encourage land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips, especially during peak periods.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-139	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 14(b) as follows: 'limiting ~~parking~~ the supply of long term parking in locations served by the rapid and frequent service network'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-139	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 14(b) as follows: 'limiting ~~parking~~ the supply of long term parking in locations served by the rapid and frequent service network'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-139	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 14(b) as follows: 'limiting ~~parking~~ the supply of long term parking in locations served by the rapid and frequent service network'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-139	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 14(b) as follows: 'limiting ~~parking~~ the supply of long term parking in locations served by the rapid and frequent service network'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-139	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 14(b) as follows: 'limiting ~~parking~~ the supply of long term parking in locations served by the rapid and frequent service network'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-140	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Add a new policy, after Policy 14, as follows: 'Provide for a transport system that addresses the differing characteristics and requirements of commuter travel, education related travel, business related travel, travel for leisure activities, travel to accomplish chores and travel incorporating multiple trips.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-141	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, eighth paragraph, as follows: 'Private vehicle travel is the most predominant mode of transportation for many people and destinations in Auckland and is likely to remain so into the foreseeable future. However, effective demand management is required to reduce the need to travel by private vehicle,(including the frequency and length of trips), as is the effective management of ~~parking, particularly~~ long term parking in centres and mixed use zones served by the rapid and frequent service network.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-141	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, eighth paragraph, as follows: 'Private vehicle travel is the most predominant mode of transportation for many people and destinations in Auckland and is likely to remain so into the foreseeable future. However, effective demand management is required to reduce the need to travel by private vehicle,(including the frequency and length of trips), as is the effective management of ~~parking, particularly~~ long term parking in centres and mixed use zones served by the rapid and frequent service network.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-141	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, eighth paragraph, as follows: 'Private vehicle travel is the most predominant mode of transportation for many people and destinations in Auckland and is likely to remain so into the foreseeable future. However, effective demand management is required to reduce the need to travel by private vehicle,(including the frequency and length of trips), as is the effective management of ~~parking, particularly~~ long term parking in centres and mixed use zones served by the rapid and frequent service network.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-141	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, eighth paragraph, as follows: 'Private vehicle travel is the most predominant mode of transportation for many people and destinations in Auckland and is likely to remain so into the foreseeable future. However, effective demand management is required to reduce the need to travel by private vehicle,(including the frequency and length of trips), as is the effective management of ~~parking, particularly~~ long term parking in centres and mixed use zones served by the rapid and frequent service network.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-141	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, eighth paragraph, as follows: 'Private vehicle travel is the most predominant mode of transportation for many people and destinations in Auckland and is likely to remain so into the foreseeable future. However, effective demand management is required to reduce the need to travel by private vehicle,(including the frequency and length of trips), as is the effective management of ~~parking, particularly~~ long term parking in centres and mixed use zones served by the rapid and frequent service network.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-142	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, final paragraph, as follows: 'To meet urban growth, economic and environmental objectives, users of Auckland's transport system require effective alternatives for many private vehicle trips particularly for commuter and education related travel. The continual improvement to Auckland's public transport system is key to achieving this.'	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2748-142	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, final paragraph, as follows: 'To meet urban growth, economic and environmental objectives, users of Auckland's transport system require effective alternatives for many private vehicle trips particularly for commuter and education related travel. The continual improvement to Auckland's public transport system is key to achieving this.'	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-142	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, final paragraph, as follows: 'To meet urban growth, economic and environmental objectives, users of Auckland's transport system require effective alternatives for many private vehicle trips particularly for commuter and education related travel. The continual improvement to Auckland's public transport system is key to achieving this.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-142	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, final paragraph, as follows: 'To meet urban growth, economic and environmental objectives, users of Auckland's transport system require effective alternatives for many private vehicle trips particularly for commuter and education related travel. The continual improvement to Auckland's public transport system is key to achieving this.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-142	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, final paragraph, as follows: 'To meet urban growth, economic and environmental objectives, users of Auckland's transport system require effective alternatives for many private vehicle trips particularly for commuter and education related travel. The continual improvement to Auckland's public transport system is key to achieving this.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-142	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, final paragraph, as follows: 'To meet urban growth, economic and environmental objectives, users of Auckland's transport system require effective alternatives for many private vehicle trips particularly for commuter and education related travel. The continual improvement to Auckland's public transport system is key to achieving this.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-142	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend the Explanation and reasons, final paragraph, as follows: 'To meet urban growth, economic and environmental objectives, users of Auckland's transport system require effective alternatives for many private vehicle trips particularly for commuter and education related travel. The continual improvement to Auckland's public transport system is key to achieving this.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-143	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph, as follows: 'Parking is an essential component of Auckland's transport system as ~~it can have major implications for the convenience, economic viability, design and layout of an area~~ the private motor vehicle is the preferred mode of travel for many activities...'.	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-143	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph, as follows: 'Parking is an essential component of Auckland's transport system as ~~it can have major implications for the convenience, economic viability, design and layout of an area~~ the private motor vehicle is the preferred mode of travel for many activities...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-143	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph, as follows: 'Parking is an essential component of Auckland's transport system as ~~it can have major implications for the convenience, economic viability, design and layout of an area~~ the private motor vehicle is the preferred mode of travel for many activities...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-143	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph, as follows: 'Parking is an essential component of Auckland's transport system as ~~it can have major implications for the convenience, economic viability, design and layout of an area~~ the private motor vehicle is the preferred mode of travel for many activities...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-143	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph, as follows: 'Parking is an essential component of Auckland's transport system as ~~it can have major implications for the convenience, economic viability, design and layout of an area~~ the private motor vehicle is the preferred mode of travel for many activities...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-143	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph, as follows: 'Parking is an essential component of Auckland's transport system as ~~it can have major implications for the convenience, economic viability, design and layout of an area~~ the private motor vehicle is the preferred mode of travel for many activities...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-144	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph as follows: 'It is important that sufficient and conveniently located parking is ~~managed and~~ provided in a manner that supports urban amenity and efficient use of land.'	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2748-144	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph as follows: 'It is important that sufficient and conveniently located parking is ~~managed and~~ provided in a manner that supports urban amenity and efficient use of land.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-144	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph as follows: 'It is important that sufficient and conveniently located parking is ~~managed and~~ provided in a manner that supports urban amenity and efficient use of land.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-144	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph as follows: 'It is important that sufficient and conveniently located parking is ~~managed and~~ provided in a manner that supports urban amenity and efficient use of land.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-144	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph as follows: 'It is important that sufficient and conveniently located parking is ~~managed and~~ provided in a manner that supports urban amenity and efficient use of land.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-144	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, second paragraph as follows: 'It is important that sufficient and conveniently located parking is ~~managed and~~ provided in a manner that supports urban amenity and efficient use of land.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-145	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, third paragraph, to refer only to the city centre zone and city centre fringe, deleting reference to the Metropolitan, Town and Local Centre zones and the Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings zone as stated in the submission [refer page 86/132].	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-145	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, third paragraph, to refer only to the city centre zone and city centre fringe, deleting reference to the Metropolitan, Town and Local Centre zones and the Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings zone as stated in the submission [refer page 86/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-145	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, third paragraph, to refer only to the city centre zone and city centre fringe, deleting reference to the Metropolitan, Town and Local Centre zones and the Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings zone as stated in the submission [refer page 86/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-145	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, third paragraph, to refer only to the city centre zone and city centre fringe, deleting reference to the Metropolitan, Town and Local Centre zones and the Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings zone as stated in the submission [refer page 86/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-145	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, third paragraph, to refer only to the city centre zone and city centre fringe, deleting reference to the Metropolitan, Town and Local Centre zones and the Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings zone as stated in the submission [refer page 86/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-145	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, third paragraph, to refer only to the city centre zone and city centre fringe, deleting reference to the Metropolitan, Town and Local Centre zones and the Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings zone as stated in the submission [refer page 86/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-146	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, first sentence, as follows: 'The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the progressive development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-146	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, first sentence, as follows: 'The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the progressive development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-146	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, first sentence, as follows: 'The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the progressive development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-146	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, first sentence, as follows: 'The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the progressive development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-146	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, first sentence, as follows: 'The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the progressive development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-147	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, by adding the following sentence at the end: 'It may be appropriate at that time for parking maxima to apply to new development in some centres.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-147	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, by adding the following sentence at the end: 'It may be appropriate at that time for parking maxima to apply to new development in some centres.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-147	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, by adding the following sentence at the end: 'It may be appropriate at that time for parking maxima to apply to new development in some centres.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-147	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, by adding the following sentence at the end: 'It may be appropriate at that time for parking maxima to apply to new development in some centres.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-147	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background, sixth paragraph, by adding the following sentence at the end: 'It may be appropriate at that time for parking maxima to apply to new development in some centres.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-148	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background deleting the eighth paragraph, as follows: '~~In addition to the Auckland-wide Transport rules, Auckland Transport's Code of Practice (ATCOP) provides further guidance around parking, loading and access, and it sets out Auckland Transport's engineering standards for the construction of vehicle crossings. NZTA manages access to state highways under the Land Transport Management Act 2003.~~ '	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2748-148	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background deleting the eighth paragraph, as follows: '~~In addition to the Auckland-wide Transport rules, Auckland Transport's Code of Practice (ATCOP) provides further guidance around parking, loading and access, and it sets out Auckland Transport's engineering standards for the construction of vehicle crossings. NZTA manages access to state highways under the Land Transport Management Act 2003.~~ '	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2748-148	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background deleting the eighth paragraph, as follows: '~~In addition to the Auckland-wide Transport rules, Auckland Transport's Code of Practice (ATCOP) provides further guidance around parking, loading and access, and it sets out Auckland Transport's engineering standards for the construction of vehicle crossings. NZTA manages access to state highways under the Land Transport Management Act 2003.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-148	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background deleting the eighth paragraph, as follows: '~~In addition to the Auckland-wide Transport rules, Auckland Transport's Code of Practice (ATCOP) provides further guidance around parking, loading and access, and it sets out Auckland Transport's engineering standards for the construction of vehicle crossings. NZTA manages access to state highways under the Land Transport Management Act 2003.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-148	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background deleting the eighth paragraph, as follows: '~~In addition to the Auckland-wide Transport rules, Auckland Transport's Code of Practice (ATCOP) provides further guidance around parking, loading and access, and it sets out Auckland Transport's engineering standards for the construction of vehicle crossings. NZTA manages access to state highways under the Land Transport Management Act 2003.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-148	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background deleting the eighth paragraph, as follows: '~~In addition to the Auckland-wide Transport rules, Auckland Transport's Code of Practice (ATCOP) provides further guidance around parking, loading and access, and it sets out Auckland Transport's engineering standards for the construction of vehicle crossings. NZTA manages access to state highways under the Land Transport Management Act 2003.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-148	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend the Background deleting the eighth paragraph, as follows: '~~In addition to the Auckland-wide Transport rules, Auckland Transport's Code of Practice (ATCOP) provides further guidance around parking, loading and access, and it sets out Auckland Transport's engineering standards for the construction of vehicle crossings. NZTA manages access to state highways under the Land Transport Management Act 2003.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-149	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 as follows: 'An integrated ~~public transport, walking and cycling network~~ transport system (including public transport, walking and cycling) is provided for.'	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-149	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 as follows: 'An integrated ~~public transport, walking and cycling network~~ transport system (including public transport, walking and cycling) is provided for.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-149	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 as follows: 'An integrated ~~public transport, walking and cycling network~~ transport system (including public transport, walking and cycling) is provided for.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-149	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 as follows: 'An integrated ~~public transport, walking and cycling network~~ transport system (including public transport, walking and cycling) is provided for.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-149	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 as follows: 'An integrated ~~public transport, walking and cycling network~~ transport system (including public transport, walking and cycling) is provided for.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-149	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 as follows: 'An integrated ~~public transport, walking and cycling network~~ transport system (including public transport, walking and cycling) is provided for.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-150	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 first clause, as follows: 'The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, are conveniently located and support:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 87/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-150	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 first clause, as follows: 'The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, are conveniently located and support:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 87/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-150	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 first clause, as follows: 'The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, are conveniently located and support:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 87/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-150	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 first clause, as follows: 'The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, are conveniently located and support:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 87/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-150	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 first clause, as follows: 'The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, are conveniently located and support:...' as stated in the submission [refer page 87/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-151	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(b), as follows: 'the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of the transport network.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-151	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(b), as follows: 'the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of the transport network.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-151	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(b), as follows: 'the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of the transport network.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-151	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(b), as follows: 'the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of the transport network.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-151	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(b), as follows: 'the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of the transport network.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-152	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c), as follows: 'the use of a range of ~~more sustainable~~ transport options including public transport, cycling and walking'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-152	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c), as follows: 'the use of a range of ~~more sustainable~~ transport options including public transport, cycling and walking'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-152	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c), as follows: 'the use of a range of ~~more sustainable~~ transport options including public transport, cycling and walking'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-152	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c), as follows: 'the use of a range of ~~more sustainable~~ transport options including public transport, cycling and walking'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-152	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c), as follows: 'the use of a range of ~~more sustainable~~ transport options including public transport, cycling and walking'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-153	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 adding a new clause after clause (d), as follows: '(e) The operational and functional requirements of businesses and the use of private vehicles by their customers and staff'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-153	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 adding a new clause after clause (d), as follows: '(e) The operational and functional requirements of businesses and the use of private vehicles by their customers and staff'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-153	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 adding a new clause after clause (d), as follows: '(e) The operational and functional requirements of businesses and the use of private vehicles by their customers and staff'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-153	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 adding a new clause after clause (d), as follows: '(e) The operational and functional requirements of businesses and the use of private vehicles by their customers and staff'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-153	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 adding a new clause after clause (d), as follows: '(e) The operational and functional requirements of businesses and the use of private vehicles by their customers and staff'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-154	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 by adding a new clause after clause (e) as follows: 'the continuing availability of on-street parking within residential zones adjacent to centres and other business zoned areas.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-154	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 by adding a new clause after clause (e) as follows: 'the continuing availability of on-street parking within residential zones adjacent to centres and other business zoned areas.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-154	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 by adding a new clause after clause (e) as follows: 'the continuing availability of on-street parking within residential zones adjacent to centres and other business zoned areas.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-154	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 by adding a new clause after clause (e) as follows: 'the continuing availability of on-street parking within residential zones adjacent to centres and other business zoned areas.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-154	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3 by adding a new clause after clause (e) as follows: 'the continuing availability of on-street parking within residential zones adjacent to centres and other business zoned areas.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-155	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5 first clause, as follows: 'Development provides access between the road and activities ~~by~~ having regard to:...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-155	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5 first clause, as follows: 'Development provides access between the road and activities ~~by~~ having regard to:...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-155	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5 first clause, as follows: 'Development provides access between the road and activities ~~by~~ having regard to:...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-155	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5 first clause, as follows: 'Development provides access between the road and activities ~~by~~ having regard to:...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-155	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5 first clause, as follows: 'Development provides access between the road and activities ~~by~~ having regard to:...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-156	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5(b) as follows: 'prioritising pedestrian safety and an appropriate level of amenity along public footpaths'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-156	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5(b) as follows: 'prioritising pedestrian safety and an appropriate level of amenity along public footpaths'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-156	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5(b) as follows: 'prioritising pedestrian safety and an appropriate level of amenity along public footpaths'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-156	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5(b) as follows: 'prioritising pedestrian safety and an appropriate level of amenity along public footpaths'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-156	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 5(b) as follows: 'prioritising pedestrian safety and an appropriate level of amenity along public footpaths'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-157	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 1, and its sub-heading as follows: '~~Traffic Generation [Policy] (1) Require high traffic generating activities or subdivisions which: (a) are proposed outside of the following zones: (i) the City, Metropolitan, Town Centres zones; (ii) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; and (b) do not already require an Integrated Transport Assessment under clause 2.7.9 of the General provisions; (c) to mitigate and manage adverse effects on and integrate with the transport network by measures such as travel planning, providing alternatives to private vehicle trips, staging development, or undertaking improvements to the local transport network.~~ '	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-157	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 1, and its sub-heading as follows: '~~Traffic Generation [Policy] (1) Require high traffic generating activities or subdivisions which: (a) are proposed outside of the following zones: (i) the City, Metropolitan, Town Centres zones; (ii) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; and (b) do not already require an Integrated Transport Assessment under clause 2.7.9 of the General provisions; (c) to mitigate and manage adverse effects on and integrate with the transport network by measures such as travel planning, providing alternatives to private vehicle trips, staging development, or undertaking improvements to the local transport network.~~ '	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-157	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 1, and its sub-heading as follows: '~~Traffic Generation [Policy] (1) Require high traffic generating activities or subdivisions which: (a) are proposed outside of the following zones: (i) the City, Metropolitan, Town Centres zones; (ii) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; and (b) do not already require an Integrated Transport Assessment under clause 2.7.9 of the General provisions; (c) to mitigate and manage adverse effects on and integrate with the transport network by measures such as travel planning, providing alternatives to private vehicle trips, staging development, or undertaking improvements to the local transport network.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-157	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 1, and its sub-heading as follows: '~~Traffic Generation [Policy] (1) Require high traffic generating activities or subdivisions which: (a) are proposed outside of the following zones: (i) the City, Metropolitan, Town Centres zones; (ii) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; and (b) do not already require an Integrated Transport Assessment under clause 2.7.9 of the General provisions; (c) to mitigate and manage adverse effects on and integrate with the transport network by measures such as travel planning, providing alternatives to private vehicle trips, staging development, or undertaking improvements to the local transport network.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-157	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 1, and its sub-heading as follows: '~~Traffic Generation [Policy] (1) Require high traffic generating activities or subdivisions which: (a) are proposed outside of the following zones: (i) the City, Metropolitan, Town Centres zones; (ii) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; and (b) do not already require an Integrated Transport Assessment under clause 2.7.9 of the General provisions; (c) to mitigate and manage adverse effects on and integrate with the transport network by measures such as travel planning, providing alternatives to private vehicle trips, staging development, or undertaking improvements to the local transport network.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-157	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 1, and its sub-heading as follows: '~~Traffic Generation [Policy] (1) Require high traffic generating activities or subdivisions which: (a) are proposed outside of the following zones: (i) the City, Metropolitan, Town Centres zones; (ii) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; and (b) do not already require an Integrated Transport Assessment under clause 2.7.9 of the General provisions; (c) to mitigate and manage adverse effects on and integrate with the transport network by measures such as travel planning, providing alternatives to private vehicle trips, staging development, or undertaking improvements to the local transport network.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-157	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 1, and its sub-heading as follows: '~~Traffic Generation [Policy] (1) Require high traffic generating activities or subdivisions which: (a) are proposed outside of the following zones: (i) the City, Metropolitan, Town Centres zones; (ii) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; and (b) do not already require an Integrated Transport Assessment under clause 2.7.9 of the General provisions; (c) to mitigate and manage adverse effects on and integrate with the transport network by measures such as travel planning, providing alternatives to private vehicle trips, staging development, or undertaking improvements to the local transport network.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-158	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 as follows: 'Limit the supply of ~~on-site~~ long term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, recognise the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling:~~ in the city centre and the city centre fringe areas ~~(a) the City, Metropolitan, Town and Local Centres zones; (b) the City Centre Fringe overlay (as identified on the planning maps); (c) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; (d) the Mixed Use zone~~ .'	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2748-158	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 as follows: 'Limit the supply of ~~on-site~~ long term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, recognise the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling:~~ in the city centre and the city centre fringe areas ~~(a) the City, Metropolitan, Town and Local Centres zones; (b) the City Centre Fringe overlay (as identified on the planning maps); (c) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; (d) the Mixed Use zone~~ .'	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2748-158	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 as follows: 'Limit the supply of ~~on-site~~ long term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, recognise the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling:~~ in the city centre and the city centre fringe areas ~~(a) the City, Metropolitan, Town and Local Centres zones; (b) the City Centre Fringe overlay (as identified on the planning maps); (c) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; (d) the Mixed Use zone~~ .'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-158	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 as follows: 'Limit the supply of ~~on-site~~ long term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, recognise the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling:~~ in the city centre and the city centre fringe areas ~~(a) the City, Metropolitan, Town and Local Centres zones; (b) the City Centre Fringe overlay (as identified on the planning maps); (c) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; (d) the Mixed Use zone~~ .'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-158	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 as follows: 'Limit the supply of ~~on-site~~ long term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, recognise the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling:~~ in the city centre and the city centre fringe areas ~~(a) the City, Metropolitan, Town and Local Centres zones; (b) the City Centre Fringe overlay (as identified on the planning maps); (c) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; (d) the Mixed Use zone~~ .'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-158	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 as follows: 'Limit the supply of ~~on-site~~ long term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, recognise the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling:~~ in the city centre and the city centre fringe areas ~~(a) the City, Metropolitan, Town and Local Centres zones; (b) the City Centre Fringe overlay (as identified on the planning maps); (c) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; (d) the Mixed Use zone~~ .'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-158	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 as follows: 'Limit the supply of ~~on-site~~ long term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, recognise the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling:~~ in the city centre and the city centre fringe areas ~~(a) the City, Metropolitan, Town and Local Centres zones; (b) the City Centre Fringe overlay (as identified on the planning maps); (c) the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone; (d) the Mixed Use zone~~ .'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-159	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 as follows: 'Require activities and development located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above to provide a minimum level of on-site parking in recognition of the more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that ~~a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal~~ there is sufficient parking available on the streets or within public or commercial (non-accessory) parking facilities and there is a very good level of public transport service.'	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-159	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 as follows: 'Require activities and development located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above to provide a minimum level of on-site parking in recognition of the more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that ~~a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal~~ there is sufficient parking available on the streets or within public or commercial (non-accessory) parking facilities and there is a very good level of public transport service.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-159	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 as follows: 'Require activities and development located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above to provide a minimum level of on-site parking in recognition of the more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that ~~a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal~~ there is sufficient parking available on the streets or within public or commercial (non-accessory) parking facilities and there is a very good level of public transport service.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-159	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 as follows: 'Require activities and development located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above to provide a minimum level of on-site parking in recognition of the more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that ~~a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal~~ there is sufficient parking available on the streets or within public or commercial (non-accessory) parking facilities and there is a very good level of public transport service.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-159	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 as follows: 'Require activities and development located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above to provide a minimum level of on-site parking in recognition of the more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that ~~a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal~~ there is sufficient parking available on the streets or within public or commercial (non-accessory) parking facilities and there is a very good level of public transport service.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-159	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 as follows: 'Require activities and development located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above to provide a minimum level of on-site parking in recognition of the more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that ~~a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal~~ there is sufficient parking available on the streets or within public or commercial (non-accessory) parking facilities and there is a very good level of public transport service.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-160	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Add a new policy after Policy 4, as follows: 'Ensure that the parking supply is not unduly restricted for retail activities and other activities for which public transport is not convenient and in locations not well served by public transport.'	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-160	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Add a new policy after Policy 4, as follows: 'Ensure that the parking supply is not unduly restricted for retail activities and other activities for which public transport is not convenient and in locations not well served by public transport.'	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-160	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Add a new policy after Policy 4, as follows: 'Ensure that the parking supply is not unduly restricted for retail activities and other activities for which public transport is not convenient and in locations not well served by public transport.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-160	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Add a new policy after Policy 4, as follows: 'Ensure that the parking supply is not unduly restricted for retail activities and other activities for which public transport is not convenient and in locations not well served by public transport.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-160	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Add a new policy after Policy 4, as follows: 'Ensure that the parking supply is not unduly restricted for retail activities and other activities for which public transport is not convenient and in locations not well served by public transport.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-160	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Add a new policy after Policy 4, as follows: 'Ensure that the parking supply is not unduly restricted for retail activities and other activities for which public transport is not convenient and in locations not well served by public transport.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-160	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Add a new policy after Policy 4, as follows: 'Ensure that the parking supply is not unduly restricted for retail activities and other activities for which public transport is not convenient and in locations not well served by public transport.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-161	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) as follows: 'the proposal and the type of parking proposed e.g. visitor or commuter, short-term or long-term, private or public, will reinforce the efficient use of land or planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan for the site or locality; or...'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-161	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) as follows: 'the proposal and the type of parking proposed e.g. visitor or commuter, short-term or long-term, private or public, will reinforce the efficient use of land or planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan for the site or locality; or...'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-161	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) as follows: 'the proposal and the type of parking proposed e.g. visitor or commuter, short-term or long-term, private or public, will reinforce the efficient use of land or planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan for the site or locality; or...'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-161	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) as follows: 'the proposal and the type of parking proposed e.g. visitor or commuter, short-term or long-term, private or public, will reinforce the efficient use of land or planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan for the site or locality; or...'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-161	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) as follows: 'the proposal and the type of parking proposed e.g. visitor or commuter, short-term or long-term, private or public, will reinforce the efficient use of land or planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan for the site or locality; or...'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-162	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(b)(iv) as follows: 'the type of activities in the surrounding area and their operational and functional requirements. or...' as stated in the submission.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-162	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(b)(iv) as follows: 'the type of activities in the surrounding area and their operational and functional requirements. or...' as stated in the submission.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-162	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(b)(iv) as follows: 'the type of activities in the surrounding area and their operational and functional requirements. or...' as stated in the submission.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-162	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(b)(iv) as follows: 'the type of activities in the surrounding area and their operational and functional requirements. or...' as stated in the submission.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-162	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(b)(iv) as follows: 'the type of activities in the surrounding area and their operational and functional requirements. or...' as stated in the submission.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-163	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(c) as follows: 'any off-site parking is generally in close walking distance of the ~~donor~~ primary site(s) unless it is shown that a greater separation distance is reasonable and practicable.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-163	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(c) as follows: 'any off-site parking is generally in close walking distance of the ~~donor~~ primary site(s) unless it is shown that a greater separation distance is reasonable and practicable.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-163	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(c) as follows: 'any off-site parking is generally in close walking distance of the ~~donor~~ primary site(s) unless it is shown that a greater separation distance is reasonable and practicable.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-163	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(c) as follows: 'any off-site parking is generally in close walking distance of the ~~donor~~ primary site(s) unless it is shown that a greater separation distance is reasonable and practicable.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-163	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 6(c) as follows: 'any off-site parking is generally in close walking distance of the ~~donor~~ primary site(s) unless it is shown that a greater separation distance is reasonable and practicable.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-164	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Discourage the development of long-term parking ~~(non-accessory)~~ in the City Centre zone and City Centre Fringe overlay to:...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-164	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Discourage the development of long-term parking ~~(non-accessory)~~ in the City Centre zone and City Centre Fringe overlay to:...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-164	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Discourage the development of long-term parking ~~(non-accessory)~~ in the City Centre zone and City Centre Fringe overlay to:...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-164	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Discourage the development of long-term parking ~~(non-accessory)~~ in the City Centre zone and City Centre Fringe overlay to:...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-164	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Discourage the development of long-term parking ~~(non-accessory)~~ in the City Centre zone and City Centre Fringe overlay to:...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-165	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 8.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-165	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 8.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-165	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 8.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-165	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 8.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-165	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 8.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-166	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 14(a).	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-166	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 14(a).	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-166	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 14(a).	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-166	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 14(a).	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-166	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 14(a).	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-167	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 14 by adding an additional clause after (c), as follows: 'provide a safe environment for the users of the parking area.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-167	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 14 by adding an additional clause after (c), as follows: 'provide a safe environment for the users of the parking area.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-167	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 14 by adding an additional clause after (c), as follows: 'provide a safe environment for the users of the parking area.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-167	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 14 by adding an additional clause after (c), as follows: 'provide a safe environment for the users of the parking area.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-167	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 14 by adding an additional clause after (c), as follows: 'provide a safe environment for the users of the parking area.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-168	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 15(a).	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-168	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 15(a).	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-168	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 15(a).	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-168	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 15(a).	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-168	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 15(a).	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-169	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 15(a), as follows: ' the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of arterial roads.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-169	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 15(a), as follows: ' the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of arterial roads.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-169	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 15(a), as follows: ' the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of arterial roads.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-169	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 15(a), as follows: ' the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of arterial roads.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-169	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 15(a), as follows: ' the ~~effective,~~ efficient and safe operation of arterial roads.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-170	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(c), as follows: 'provide screening, such as exterior panelling, for the lower levels of any parking building'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-170	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(c), as follows: 'provide screening, such as exterior panelling, for the lower levels of any parking building'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-170	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(c), as follows: 'provide screening, such as exterior panelling, for the lower levels of any parking building'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-170	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(c), as follows: 'provide screening, such as exterior panelling, for the lower levels of any parking building'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-170	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(c), as follows: 'provide screening, such as exterior panelling, for the lower levels of any parking building'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-171	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(f) as follows: 'provide for any buildings to be adapted for other uses or readily dismantled if no longer required for parking...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-171	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(f) as follows: 'provide for any buildings to be adapted for other uses or readily dismantled if no longer required for parking...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-171	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(f) as follows: 'provide for any buildings to be adapted for other uses or readily dismantled if no longer required for parking...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-171	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(f) as follows: 'provide for any buildings to be adapted for other uses or readily dismantled if no longer required for parking...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-171	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(f) as follows: 'provide for any buildings to be adapted for other uses or readily dismantled if no longer required for parking...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-172	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 22, as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Manage vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Key Retail Frontage overlay in the Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones to:...' and an additional sentence after (b), as follows: 'While not compromising the functionality of the activity and specific operational and safety requirements.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-172	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 22, as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Manage vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Key Retail Frontage overlay in the Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones to:...' and an additional sentence after (b), as follows: 'While not compromising the functionality of the activity and specific operational and safety requirements.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-172	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 22, as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Manage vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Key Retail Frontage overlay in the Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones to:...' and an additional sentence after (b), as follows: 'While not compromising the functionality of the activity and specific operational and safety requirements.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-172	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 22, as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Manage vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Key Retail Frontage overlay in the Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones to:...' and an additional sentence after (b), as follows: 'While not compromising the functionality of the activity and specific operational and safety requirements.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-172	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 22, as follows: '~~Avoid~~ Manage vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Key Retail Frontage overlay in the Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones to:...' and an additional sentence after (b), as follows: 'While not compromising the functionality of the activity and specific operational and safety requirements.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-173	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 23.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-173	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 23.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-173	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 23.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-173	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 23.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-173	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 23.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-174	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.2(1)(a)(i) as follows: 'not exceed the maximum rates specified in Table~~s 2-4~~ in the in the locations where these apply'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-174	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.2(1)(a)(i) as follows: 'not exceed the maximum rates specified in Table~~s 2-4~~ in the in the locations where these apply'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-174	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.2(1)(a)(i) as follows: 'not exceed the maximum rates specified in Table~~s 2-4~~ in the in the locations where these apply'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-174	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.2(1)(a)(i) as follows: 'not exceed the maximum rates specified in Table~~s 2-4~~ in the in the locations where these apply'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-174	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.2(1)(a)(i) as follows: 'not exceed the maximum rates specified in Table~~s 2-4~~ in the in the locations where these apply'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-175	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules 3.2(1)(a)(ii) as follows: 'meet the minimum rates specified in Tables 3 and 4 in the locations where these apply'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-175	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules 3.2(1)(a)(ii) as follows: 'meet the minimum rates specified in Tables 3 and 4 in the locations where these apply'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-175	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules 3.2(1)(a)(ii) as follows: 'meet the minimum rates specified in Tables 3 and 4 in the locations where these apply'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-175	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules 3.2(1)(a)(ii) as follows: 'meet the minimum rates specified in Tables 3 and 4 in the locations where these apply'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-175	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules 3.2(1)(a)(ii) as follows: 'meet the minimum rates specified in Tables 3 and 4 in the locations where these apply'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-176	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, first row, first column, as follows: 'Activity/site'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-176	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, first row, first column, as follows: 'Activity/site'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-176	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, first row, first column, as follows: 'Activity/site'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-176	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, first row, first column, as follows: 'Activity/site'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-176	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, first row, first column, as follows: 'Activity/site'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-177	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3 second row, third column, as follows: '~~Maximum~~ Minimum rate'.	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-177	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3 second row, third column, as follows: '~~Maximum~~ Minimum rate'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-177	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3 second row, third column, as follows: '~~Maximum~~ Minimum rate'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-177	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3 second row, third column, as follows: '~~Maximum~~ Minimum rate'.	3051	The Strand Trust	Oppose in Part
2748-177	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3 second row, third column, as follows: '~~Maximum~~ Minimum rate'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-177	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3 second row, third column, as follows: '~~Maximum~~ Minimum rate'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-177	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3 second row, third column, as follows: '~~Maximum~~ Minimum rate'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-178	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, third row, third column, as follows: 'No parking ~~permitted~~ required'.	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2748-178	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, third row, third column, as follows: 'No parking ~~permitted~~ required'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-178	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, third row, third column, as follows: 'No parking ~~permitted~~ required'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-178	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, third row, third column, as follows: 'No parking ~~permitted~~ required'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-178	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, third row, third column, as follows: 'No parking ~~permitted~~ required'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-178	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 3, third row, third column, as follows: 'No parking ~~permitted~~ required'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-179	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 4, second row, third column, as follows: 'No parking required ~~or permitted~~ ' as stated in the submission [refer page 95/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-179	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 4, second row, third column, as follows: 'No parking required ~~or permitted~~ ' as stated in the submission [refer page 95/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-179	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 4, second row, third column, as follows: 'No parking required ~~or permitted~~ ' as stated in the submission [refer page 95/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-179	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 4, second row, third column, as follows: 'No parking required ~~or permitted~~ ' as stated in the submission [refer page 95/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-179	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 4, second row, third column, as follows: 'No parking required ~~or permitted~~ ' as stated in the submission [refer page 95/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-180	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for visitor short-stay cycle parking, as follows: '<~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = Nil required; ≥~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 500m^2 GFA'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-180	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for visitor short-stay cycle parking, as follows: '<~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = Nil required; ≥~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 500m^2 GFA'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-180	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for visitor short-stay cycle parking, as follows: '<~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = Nil required; ≥~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 500m^2 GFA'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-180	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for visitor short-stay cycle parking, as follows: '<~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = Nil required; ≥~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 500m^2 GFA'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-180	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for visitor short-stay cycle parking, as follows: '<~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = Nil required; ≥~~5~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 500m^2 GFA'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-181	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for secure (long-stay) cycle parking, as follows: ' ~~≥500~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 1000m^2 GFA'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-181	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for secure (long-stay) cycle parking, as follows: ' ~~≥500~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 1000m^2 GFA'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-181	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for secure (long-stay) cycle parking, as follows: ' ~~≥500~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 1000m^2 GFA'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-181	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for secure (long-stay) cycle parking, as follows: ' ~~≥500~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 1000m^2 GFA'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-181	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 5, to increase the threshold for secure (long-stay) cycle parking, as follows: ' ~~≥500~~ 1000m^2 GFA = 1 per 1000m^2 GFA'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-182	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 8, bottom row, as follows: '0 degrees (parallel)****; ~~2.1~~ 6.0; ~~6.0~~ 3.4; - 2.1; 3.7; -'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-182	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 8, bottom row, as follows: '0 degrees (parallel)****; ~~2.1~~ 6.0; ~~6.0~~ 3.4; - 2.1; 3.7; -'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-182	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 8, bottom row, as follows: '0 degrees (parallel)****; ~~2.1~~ 6.0; ~~6.0~~ 3.4; - 2.1; 3.7; -'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-182	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 8, bottom row, as follows: '0 degrees (parallel)****; ~~2.1~~ 6.0; ~~6.0~~ 3.4; - 2.1; 3.7; -'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-182	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, Table 8, bottom row, as follows: '0 degrees (parallel)****; ~~2.1~~ 6.0; ~~6.0~~ 3.4; - 2.1; 3.7; -'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-183	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.4.1(1), as follows: 'Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across and part of a site boundary which is subject to: ~~(a)~~ a Vehicle Access Restriction - General in the City Centre zone ~~(b) a Key Retail Frontage overlay~~ ...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-183	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.4.1(1), as follows: 'Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across and part of a site boundary which is subject to: ~~(a)~~ a Vehicle Access Restriction - General in the City Centre zone ~~(b) a Key Retail Frontage overlay~~ ...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-183	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.4.1(1), as follows: 'Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across and part of a site boundary which is subject to: ~~(a)~~ a Vehicle Access Restriction - General in the City Centre zone ~~(b) a Key Retail Frontage overlay~~ ...'.	2797	Monaro Properties Limited and Takapuna Properties Limited	Support
2748-183	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.4.1(1), as follows: 'Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across and part of a site boundary which is subject to: ~~(a)~~ a Vehicle Access Restriction - General in the City Centre zone ~~(b) a Key Retail Frontage overlay~~ ...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-183	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.4.1(1), as follows: 'Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across and part of a site boundary which is subject to: ~~(a)~~ a Vehicle Access Restriction - General in the City Centre zone ~~(b) a Key Retail Frontage overlay~~ ...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-183	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Development control rules, 3.4.1(1), as follows: 'Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across and part of a site boundary which is subject to: ~~(a)~~ a Vehicle Access Restriction - General in the City Centre zone ~~(b) a Key Retail Frontage overlay~~ ...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-184	The Warehouse Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend the Unitary Plan to provide objectives, policies and rules (including assessment criteria) to comprehensively address signage rather than some signs being regulated through bylaws as stated in the submission [refer page 110/132].	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2748-184	The Warehouse Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend the Unitary Plan to provide objectives, policies and rules (including assessment criteria) to comprehensively address signage rather than some signs being regulated through bylaws as stated in the submission [refer page 110/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-184	The Warehouse Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend the Unitary Plan to provide objectives, policies and rules (including assessment criteria) to comprehensively address signage rather than some signs being regulated through bylaws as stated in the submission [refer page 110/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-184	The Warehouse Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend the Unitary Plan to provide objectives, policies and rules (including assessment criteria) to comprehensively address signage rather than some signs being regulated through bylaws as stated in the submission [refer page 110/132].	2925	McDonalds Restaurants (NZ) Limited	Support
2748-184	The Warehouse Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend the Unitary Plan to provide objectives, policies and rules (including assessment criteria) to comprehensively address signage rather than some signs being regulated through bylaws as stated in the submission [refer page 110/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-184	The Warehouse Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend the Unitary Plan to provide objectives, policies and rules (including assessment criteria) to comprehensively address signage rather than some signs being regulated through bylaws as stated in the submission [refer page 110/132].	3403	The Outdoor Media Association of New Zealand (OMANZ)	Support
2748-184	The Warehouse Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend the Unitary Plan to provide objectives, policies and rules (including assessment criteria) to comprehensively address signage rather than some signs being regulated through bylaws as stated in the submission [refer page 110/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-184	The Warehouse Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend the Unitary Plan to provide objectives, policies and rules (including assessment criteria) to comprehensively address signage rather than some signs being regulated through bylaws as stated in the submission [refer page 110/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-185	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Review all of the locations to which the overlay applies to ensure that all the it is appropriately applied.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-185	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Review all of the locations to which the overlay applies to ensure that all the it is appropriately applied.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-185	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Review all of the locations to which the overlay applies to ensure that all the it is appropriately applied.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-185	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Review all of the locations to which the overlay applies to ensure that all the it is appropriately applied.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-185	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Review all of the locations to which the overlay applies to ensure that all the it is appropriately applied.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-186	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the diameter of the circle identifying the overlay to 100m.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-186	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the diameter of the circle identifying the overlay to 100m.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-186	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the diameter of the circle identifying the overlay to 100m.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-186	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the diameter of the circle identifying the overlay to 100m.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-186	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the diameter of the circle identifying the overlay to 100m.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-187	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend the Activity Table so that Earthworks within the overlay is a restricted discretionary activity, as stated in the submission [refer page 112/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-187	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend the Activity Table so that Earthworks within the overlay is a restricted discretionary activity, as stated in the submission [refer page 112/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-187	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend the Activity Table so that Earthworks within the overlay is a restricted discretionary activity, as stated in the submission [refer page 112/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-187	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend the Activity Table so that Earthworks within the overlay is a restricted discretionary activity, as stated in the submission [refer page 112/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-187	The Warehouse Limited	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	J5.1 Rules	Amend the Activity Table so that Earthworks within the overlay is a restricted discretionary activity, as stated in the submission [refer page 112/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-188	The Warehouse Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	2368	New Zealand Steel Limited	Support
2748-188	The Warehouse Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-188	The Warehouse Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-188	The Warehouse Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-188	The Warehouse Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-188	The Warehouse Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-188	The Warehouse Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-189	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity table as follows: 'Impervious areas (other than for a public road) of less than or equal to ~~25~~ 1000m^2 in a SMAF 1 or 2.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-189	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity table as follows: 'Impervious areas (other than for a public road) of less than or equal to ~~25~~ 1000m^2 in a SMAF 1 or 2.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-189	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity table as follows: 'Impervious areas (other than for a public road) of less than or equal to ~~25~~ 1000m^2 in a SMAF 1 or 2.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-189	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity table as follows: 'Impervious areas (other than for a public road) of less than or equal to ~~25~~ 1000m^2 in a SMAF 1 or 2.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-189	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Amend Activity table as follows: 'Impervious areas (other than for a public road) of less than or equal to ~~25~~ 1000m^2 in a SMAF 1 or 2.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-190	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend 3.2.2(1) to refer to 'uncovered parking areas' as stated in the submission [refer page 114/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-190	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend 3.2.2(1) to refer to 'uncovered parking areas' as stated in the submission [refer page 114/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-190	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend 3.2.2(1) to refer to 'uncovered parking areas' as stated in the submission [refer page 114/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-190	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend 3.2.2(1) to refer to 'uncovered parking areas' as stated in the submission [refer page 114/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-190	The Warehouse Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend 3.2.2(1) to refer to 'uncovered parking areas' as stated in the submission [refer page 114/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-191	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Add an additional clause to promote unbundling when it is appropriate to avoid unduly restrictive activity statuses, as stated in the submission [refer page 117/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-191	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Add an additional clause to promote unbundling when it is appropriate to avoid unduly restrictive activity statuses, as stated in the submission [refer page 117/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-191	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Add an additional clause to promote unbundling when it is appropriate to avoid unduly restrictive activity statuses, as stated in the submission [refer page 117/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-191	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Add an additional clause to promote unbundling when it is appropriate to avoid unduly restrictive activity statuses, as stated in the submission [refer page 117/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-191	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Add an additional clause to promote unbundling when it is appropriate to avoid unduly restrictive activity statuses, as stated in the submission [refer page 117/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-192	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Building suppliers by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition: 'A business is not a building supplier if more than 5 per cent of the products offered for sale (as measured by retail floor space) do not fall into one of the categories listed above.' as stated in the submission [refer page 120/132].	668	Bunnings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-192	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Building suppliers by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition: 'A business is not a building supplier if more than 5 per cent of the products offered for sale (as measured by retail floor space) do not fall into one of the categories listed above.' as stated in the submission [refer page 120/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-192	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Building suppliers by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition: 'A business is not a building supplier if more than 5 per cent of the products offered for sale (as measured by retail floor space) do not fall into one of the categories listed above.' as stated in the submission [refer page 120/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-192	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Building suppliers by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition: 'A business is not a building supplier if more than 5 per cent of the products offered for sale (as measured by retail floor space) do not fall into one of the categories listed above.' as stated in the submission [refer page 120/132].	2940	A G Dryden Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-192	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Building suppliers by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition: 'A business is not a building supplier if more than 5 per cent of the products offered for sale (as measured by retail floor space) do not fall into one of the categories listed above.' as stated in the submission [refer page 120/132].	3031	Bates Industrial Finishes Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-192	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Building suppliers by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition: 'A business is not a building supplier if more than 5 per cent of the products offered for sale (as measured by retail floor space) do not fall into one of the categories listed above.' as stated in the submission [refer page 120/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-192	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Building suppliers by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition: 'A business is not a building supplier if more than 5 per cent of the products offered for sale (as measured by retail floor space) do not fall into one of the categories listed above.' as stated in the submission [refer page 120/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-192	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Building suppliers by adding the following sentence at the end of the definition: 'A business is not a building supplier if more than 5 per cent of the products offered for sale (as measured by retail floor space) do not fall into one of the categories listed above.' as stated in the submission [refer page 120/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-193	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Gross floor area, reducing complexity and inclusion of areas which do not produce effects, such as voids, as stated in the submission [refer page 121/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-193	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Gross floor area, reducing complexity and inclusion of areas which do not produce effects, such as voids, as stated in the submission [refer page 121/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-193	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Gross floor area, reducing complexity and inclusion of areas which do not produce effects, such as voids, as stated in the submission [refer page 121/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-193	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Gross floor area, reducing complexity and inclusion of areas which do not produce effects, such as voids, as stated in the submission [refer page 121/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-193	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Gross floor area, reducing complexity and inclusion of areas which do not produce effects, such as voids, as stated in the submission [refer page 121/132].	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-194	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Hazardous facilities, fifth bullet point in the exclusions list, as follows: 'retail outlets selling domestic scale usage of hazardous substances, such as supermarkets, hardware shops, department stores, pharmacies, garden centres'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-194	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Hazardous facilities, fifth bullet point in the exclusions list, as follows: 'retail outlets selling domestic scale usage of hazardous substances, such as supermarkets, hardware shops, department stores, pharmacies, garden centres'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-194	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Hazardous facilities, fifth bullet point in the exclusions list, as follows: 'retail outlets selling domestic scale usage of hazardous substances, such as supermarkets, hardware shops, department stores, pharmacies, garden centres'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-194	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Hazardous facilities, fifth bullet point in the exclusions list, as follows: 'retail outlets selling domestic scale usage of hazardous substances, such as supermarkets, hardware shops, department stores, pharmacies, garden centres'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-194	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Hazardous facilities, fifth bullet point in the exclusions list, as follows: 'retail outlets selling domestic scale usage of hazardous substances, such as supermarkets, hardware shops, department stores, pharmacies, garden centres'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-195	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Identified growth corridors, as follows: '~~A limited number of significant road~~ Road corridors or ~~significant~~ road corridor segments...'.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-195	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Identified growth corridors, as follows: '~~A limited number of significant road~~ Road corridors or ~~significant~~ road corridor segments...'.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-195	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Identified growth corridors, as follows: '~~A limited number of significant road~~ Road corridors or ~~significant~~ road corridor segments...'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-195	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Identified growth corridors, as follows: '~~A limited number of significant road~~ Road corridors or ~~significant~~ road corridor segments...'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-195	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Identified growth corridors, as follows: '~~A limited number of significant road~~ Road corridors or ~~significant~~ road corridor segments...'.	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-195	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Identified growth corridors, as follows: '~~A limited number of significant road~~ Road corridors or ~~significant~~ road corridor segments...'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-195	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Identified growth corridors, as follows: '~~A limited number of significant road~~ Road corridors or ~~significant~~ road corridor segments...'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-195	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Identified growth corridors, as follows: '~~A limited number of significant road~~ Road corridors or ~~significant~~ road corridor segments...'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-196	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Large format retail, first bullet of the exclusion list, as follows: 'food and beverage (except when incorporated within the tenancy as a department or sub tenant)'.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-196	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Large format retail, first bullet of the exclusion list, as follows: 'food and beverage (except when incorporated within the tenancy as a department or sub tenant)'.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2748-196	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Large format retail, first bullet of the exclusion list, as follows: 'food and beverage (except when incorporated within the tenancy as a department or sub tenant)'.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-196	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Large format retail, first bullet of the exclusion list, as follows: 'food and beverage (except when incorporated within the tenancy as a department or sub tenant)'.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-196	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Large format retail, first bullet of the exclusion list, as follows: 'food and beverage (except when incorporated within the tenancy as a department or sub tenant)'.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-196	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Large format retail, first bullet of the exclusion list, as follows: 'food and beverage (except when incorporated within the tenancy as a department or sub tenant)'.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-196	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Large format retail, first bullet of the exclusion list, as follows: 'food and beverage (except when incorporated within the tenancy as a department or sub tenant)'.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-197	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Public places, as follows: 'Has the same meaning as public open spaces.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-197	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Public places, as follows: 'Has the same meaning as public open spaces.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-197	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Public places, as follows: 'Has the same meaning as public open spaces.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-197	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Public places, as follows: 'Has the same meaning as public open spaces.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-197	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Delete and replace the definition of Public places, as follows: 'Has the same meaning as public open spaces.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-198	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Sign, by deleting the last bullet point of the includes list as follows: '~~any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2748-198	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Sign, by deleting the last bullet point of the includes list as follows: '~~any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-198	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Sign, by deleting the last bullet point of the includes list as follows: '~~any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-198	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Sign, by deleting the last bullet point of the includes list as follows: '~~any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-198	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Sign, by deleting the last bullet point of the includes list as follows: '~~any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-198	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Sign, by deleting the last bullet point of the includes list as follows: '~~any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-198	The Warehouse Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Sign, by deleting the last bullet point of the includes list as follows: '~~any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-199	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Delete all references to the Auckland Design Manual.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-199	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Delete all references to the Auckland Design Manual.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-199	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Delete all references to the Auckland Design Manual.	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2748-199	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Delete all references to the Auckland Design Manual.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-199	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Delete all references to the Auckland Design Manual.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-199	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Delete all references to the Auckland Design Manual.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-200	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete all references to the Auckland Transport Code of Practice.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-200	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete all references to the Auckland Transport Code of Practice.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-200	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete all references to the Auckland Transport Code of Practice.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-200	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete all references to the Auckland Transport Code of Practice.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-200	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete all references to the Auckland Transport Code of Practice.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-201	The Warehouse Limited	Residential zones	Housing affordability	C7.8 Background, objectives and policies	Clarify the text so that it is clear affordable housing requirements are not mandatory.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-201	The Warehouse Limited	Residential zones	Housing affordability	C7.8 Background, objectives and policies	Clarify the text so that it is clear affordable housing requirements are not mandatory.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-201	The Warehouse Limited	Residential zones	Housing affordability	C7.8 Background, objectives and policies	Clarify the text so that it is clear affordable housing requirements are not mandatory.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-201	The Warehouse Limited	Residential zones	Housing affordability	C7.8 Background, objectives and policies	Clarify the text so that it is clear affordable housing requirements are not mandatory.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-201	The Warehouse Limited	Residential zones	Housing affordability	C7.8 Background, objectives and policies	Clarify the text so that it is clear affordable housing requirements are not mandatory.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-202	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the text so that any activity not listed in the Unitary Plan is a discretionary activity, as follows: 'Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or prohibited activity is a ~~non complying~~ discretionary activity.'	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2748-202	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the text so that any activity not listed in the Unitary Plan is a discretionary activity, as follows: 'Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or prohibited activity is a ~~non complying~~ discretionary activity.'	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-202	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the text so that any activity not listed in the Unitary Plan is a discretionary activity, as follows: 'Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or prohibited activity is a ~~non complying~~ discretionary activity.'	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-202	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the text so that any activity not listed in the Unitary Plan is a discretionary activity, as follows: 'Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or prohibited activity is a ~~non complying~~ discretionary activity.'	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-202	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the text so that any activity not listed in the Unitary Plan is a discretionary activity, as follows: 'Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or prohibited activity is a ~~non complying~~ discretionary activity.'	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-202	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the text so that any activity not listed in the Unitary Plan is a discretionary activity, as follows: 'Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary or prohibited activity is a ~~non complying~~ discretionary activity.'	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-203	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete the requirement for design statements and context analysis.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-203	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete the requirement for design statements and context analysis.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-203	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete the requirement for design statements and context analysis.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-203	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete the requirement for design statements and context analysis.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-203	The Warehouse Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete the requirement for design statements and context analysis.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2660	I B and G A Midgley	Support
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
2748-204	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend the activity table so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2660	I B and G A Midgley	Support
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
2748-205	The Warehouse Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	C5.14 Background & Objectives	Amend the objectives and policies so that works in an intermittent stream are permitted.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-206	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.1 Activity Table All zones except Rural	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 3 from prohibited to discretionary.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-206	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.1 Activity Table All zones except Rural	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 3 from prohibited to discretionary.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-206	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.1 Activity Table All zones except Rural	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 3 from prohibited to discretionary.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-206	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.1 Activity Table All zones except Rural	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 3 from prohibited to discretionary.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-206	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.1 Activity Table All zones except Rural	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 3 from prohibited to discretionary.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Support
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Support
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Support
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-207	The Warehouse Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend the activity status of Any subdivision not listed in table 5 from prohibited to discretionary.	3777	A Stienstra	Support
2748-208	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the objectives and policies.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-208	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the objectives and policies.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-208	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the objectives and policies.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-208	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the objectives and policies.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-208	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the objectives and policies.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-209	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the rules.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-209	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the rules.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-209	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the rules.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-209	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the rules.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-209	The Warehouse Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete the rules.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-210	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete the objectives and policies or apply only to buildings that have heritage value.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-210	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete the objectives and policies or apply only to buildings that have heritage value.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-210	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete the objectives and policies or apply only to buildings that have heritage value.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-210	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete the objectives and policies or apply only to buildings that have heritage value.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-210	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete the objectives and policies or apply only to buildings that have heritage value.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-211	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the rules and mapping or only apply to buildings that have heritage value.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-211	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the rules and mapping or only apply to buildings that have heritage value.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-211	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the rules and mapping or only apply to buildings that have heritage value.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-211	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the rules and mapping or only apply to buildings that have heritage value.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-211	The Warehouse Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the rules and mapping or only apply to buildings that have heritage value.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-212	The Warehouse Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay to ensure that the mapping reflects 'the most appropriate extent of the place, taking into account the significance of the historic place', rather then the whole site.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2748-212	The Warehouse Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay to ensure that the mapping reflects 'the most appropriate extent of the place, taking into account the significance of the historic place', rather then the whole site.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-212	The Warehouse Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay to ensure that the mapping reflects 'the most appropriate extent of the place, taking into account the significance of the historic place', rather then the whole site.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-212	The Warehouse Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay to ensure that the mapping reflects 'the most appropriate extent of the place, taking into account the significance of the historic place', rather then the whole site.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-212	The Warehouse Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay to ensure that the mapping reflects 'the most appropriate extent of the place, taking into account the significance of the historic place', rather then the whole site.	3350	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Support
2748-212	The Warehouse Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay to ensure that the mapping reflects 'the most appropriate extent of the place, taking into account the significance of the historic place', rather then the whole site.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-212	The Warehouse Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete the Historic Heritage Extent of Place overlay to ensure that the mapping reflects 'the most appropriate extent of the place, taking into account the significance of the historic place', rather then the whole site.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Oppose in Part
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Oppose in Part
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Oppose in Part
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-213	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete all viewshaft provisions from the Unitary Plan as stated in submission.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Oppose in Part
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Oppose in Part
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Oppose in Part
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Oppose in Part
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-214	The Warehouse Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive area provisions.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Oppose in Part
2748-215	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete Blanket height sensitive area provisions.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-215	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete Blanket height sensitive area provisions.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-215	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete Blanket height sensitive area provisions.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-215	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete Blanket height sensitive area provisions.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-215	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete Blanket height sensitive area provisions.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-216	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete mapped extent of volcanic viewshafts.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-216	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete mapped extent of volcanic viewshafts.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-216	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete mapped extent of volcanic viewshafts.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-216	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete mapped extent of volcanic viewshafts.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-216	The Warehouse Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete mapped extent of volcanic viewshafts.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-217	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Delete Local public views provisions.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-217	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Delete Local public views provisions.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-217	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Delete Local public views provisions.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-217	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Delete Local public views provisions.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-217	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.6 Local public views / Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views		Delete Local public views provisions.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-218	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete Museum viewshaft provisions.	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support in Part
2748-218	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete Museum viewshaft provisions.	2571	Tamaki Paenga Hira - Auckland War Memorial Museum	Oppose in Part
2748-218	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete Museum viewshaft provisions.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-218	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete Museum viewshaft provisions.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-218	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete Museum viewshaft provisions.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-218	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete Museum viewshaft provisions.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-218	The Warehouse Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.1/J4.1 Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft		Delete Museum viewshaft provisions.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-219	The Warehouse Limited	General	Cross plan matters		Delete all height limits from the Unitary Plan.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-219	The Warehouse Limited	General	Cross plan matters		Delete all height limits from the Unitary Plan.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-219	The Warehouse Limited	General	Cross plan matters		Delete all height limits from the Unitary Plan.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-219	The Warehouse Limited	General	Cross plan matters		Delete all height limits from the Unitary Plan.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-220	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete Objective 5(c).	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-220	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete Objective 5(c).	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-220	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete Objective 5(c).	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-220	The Warehouse Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete Objective 5(c).	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-221	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the rules of the zone, to positively support office development [refer page 18 to 19/132].	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2748-221	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the rules of the zone, to positively support office development [refer page 18 to 19/132].	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2748-221	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the rules of the zone, to positively support office development [refer page 18 to 19/132].	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-221	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the rules of the zone, to positively support office development [refer page 18 to 19/132].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-221	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the rules of the zone, to positively support office development [refer page 18 to 19/132].	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2748-222	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the rules of the precinct [in K5.1], to positively support office development.	2588	J D and HMW Young Family Trust and COP Trustees No. 6 Limited	Support
2748-222	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the rules of the precinct [in K5.1], to positively support office development.	2589	A F Porter Family Trust	Support
2748-222	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the rules of the precinct [in K5.1], to positively support office development.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2748-222	The Warehouse Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the rules of the precinct [in K5.1], to positively support office development.	3459	Oxton Family Trust	Support
2749-0	Withdrawn Withdrawn	yet assigned	yet assigned					
2750-1	Radio New Zealand Limited	General	Editorial and Part 6		Insert part, chapter, section and page numbers on each page of printed Plan	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-2	Radio New Zealand Limited	General	Editorial and Part 6		Replace radio communication and radio-communication with radiocommunication throughout plan	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-3	Radio New Zealand Limited	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Amend the third paragraph of A1.4 as follows: Intensification must also make the best use of resources, allow for and integrate with networks and services, and provide for safe and healthy lifestyles [Our Urban Environment].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-4	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Retain issue, particularly the third bullet point	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-5	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend the explanation to the issue as follows: Auckland's significant infrastructure such as: *the transport network *electricity * water and wastewater *the telecommunication and radiocommunication networks *the Port of Auckland *Auckland Airport	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-6	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Retain link to strategic direction 12 of the Auckland Plan in the explanation of this issue	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-7	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Retain this section, particularly the final sentence of the physical infrastructure section in the explanation	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-8	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Retain reference to strategic direction 12 of the Auckland Plan	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-9	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Retain policy 3, particularly (e)	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-10	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Retain policy 7	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-11	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain objective 3	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-12	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend policy 6d as follows: will avoid adverse effects, both individually and cumulatively with other centres, on the distribution, function, viability and amenity of other centres, and on existing and planned infrastructure including the road network, public transport networks and network utilities ~~utilities infrastructure~~ .	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-12	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend policy 6d as follows: will avoid adverse effects, both individually and cumulatively with other centres, on the distribution, function, viability and amenity of other centres, and on existing and planned infrastructure including the road network, public transport networks and network utilities ~~utilities infrastructure~~ .	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2750-13	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain policy 7	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-14	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain introduction wording	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-15	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain objective 1	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-16	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain objective 2, particularly (f)	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-17	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain objective 3	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-18	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain objective 6	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2750-19	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain objective 7	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-20	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain policy 1	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-21	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain policy 4	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-22	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain policy 5	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-23	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain policy 7	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-24	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain the explanations and reasons section	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support in Part
2750-24	Radio New Zealand Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Retain the explanations and reasons section	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-25	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain background section	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-26	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain objective 1	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-27	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain objective 3	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-28	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain objective 4	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-29	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain objective 5	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-30	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain policy 1	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-31	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain policy 2	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-32	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain policy 3	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-33	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 10 as follows: Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure in subdivision design, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2127	Auckland Utility Operators Group Incorporated	Support
2750-33	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 10 as follows: Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure in subdivision design, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-33	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 10 as follows: Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure in subdivision design, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2750-33	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 10 as follows: Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure in subdivision design, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2931	Chorus New Zealand Limited	Support
2750-33	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 10 as follows: Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure in subdivision design, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2937	Telecom New Zealand Limited	Support
2750-33	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 10 as follows: Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure in subdivision design, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2951	Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Support
2750-33	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 10 as follows: Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure in subdivision design, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2750-33	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend policy 10 as follows: Recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure in subdivision design, including reverse sensitivity effects.	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2750-34	Radio New Zealand Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Retain objective 4	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-35	Radio New Zealand Limited	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Retain objective 9	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-36	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend objective 3(c) as follows: manages adverse effects on the environment, including effects on ~~strategic~~ significant infrastructure and residential amenity	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2750-36	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend objective 3(c) as follows: manages adverse effects on the environment, including effects on ~~strategic~~ significant infrastructure and residential amenity	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-37	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the second sentence of the zone description as follows: This includes light manufacturing, production, logistics, storage, transport and distribution activities, and network utilities.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-38	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend objective 2 as follows: The establishment of activities that may diminish the efficiency and functionality of the zone for industrial activities, and other activities that are required to locate there because of the nature of their operative, is avoided.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-39	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend policy 2 as follows: Avoid activities that create reverse sensitivity effects and constrain the establishment and operation of light industrial activities and significant infrastructure.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-39	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend policy 2 as follows: Avoid activities that create reverse sensitivity effects and constrain the establishment and operation of light industrial activities and significant infrastructure.	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2750-40	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.11 Heavy Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Retain the zone description	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-41	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.11 Heavy Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Retain objective 2	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-42	Radio New Zealand Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.11 Heavy Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Retain policies 2 and 3	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-43	Radio New Zealand Limited	Transport	D7 and I14.1-5 Strategic Transport Corridor Zone		Retain policy 3	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-44	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	Overlay E1.4 /J1.4 Electricity Transmission Corridor	E1.4 Description, objectives and policies	Retain the electricity transmission corridor overlay	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-44	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	Overlay E1.4 /J1.4 Electricity Transmission Corridor	E1.4 Description, objectives and policies	Retain the electricity transmission corridor overlay	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2750-45	Radio New Zealand Limited	Transport	Overlay E1.5/J1.5 High land transport noise	E1.5 Description, objectives and policies	Retain the high land transport noise overlay	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-45	Radio New Zealand Limited	Transport	Overlay E1.5/J1.5 High land transport noise	E1.5 Description, objectives and policies	Retain the high land transport noise overlay	2984	New Zealand Starch Limited	Support
2750-46	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.10/J7.1 Air quality transport separation		Retain the air quality transport corridor separation overlay	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-47	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.11 Air Quality - Industry Transition		Retain the air quality industry transition overlay	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-47	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.11 Air Quality - Industry Transition		Retain the air quality industry transition overlay	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2750-47	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.11 Air Quality - Industry Transition		Retain the air quality industry transition overlay	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2750-47	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.11 Air Quality - Industry Transition		Retain the air quality industry transition overlay	2368	New Zealand Steel Limited	Oppose in Part
2750-47	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.11 Air Quality - Industry Transition		Retain the air quality industry transition overlay	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
2750-47	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.11 Air Quality - Industry Transition		Retain the air quality industry transition overlay	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2750-48	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.12 Air Quality - Sensitive Activity Restriction		Retain the air quality sensitive activity restriction overlay	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-48	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.12 Air Quality - Sensitive Activity Restriction		Retain the air quality sensitive activity restriction overlay	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
2750-48	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.12 Air Quality - Sensitive Activity Restriction		Retain the air quality sensitive activity restriction overlay	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Support
2750-48	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.12 Air Quality - Sensitive Activity Restriction		Retain the air quality sensitive activity restriction overlay	2368	New Zealand Steel Limited	Support
2750-48	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.12 Air Quality - Sensitive Activity Restriction		Retain the air quality sensitive activity restriction overlay	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
2750-48	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.12 Air Quality - Sensitive Activity Restriction		Retain the air quality sensitive activity restriction overlay	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support
2750-48	Radio New Zealand Limited	Air Quality	Overlay E7.12 Air Quality - Sensitive Activity Restriction		Retain the air quality sensitive activity restriction overlay	3376	Tegel Foods Limited	Support
2750-49	Radio New Zealand Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Amend rule 2.7.1.4 to add a new clause as follows: any nearby network utilities or significant infrastructure.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-50	Radio New Zealand Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Add a new clause to rule 2.7.1.25 as follows: The location of any nearby network utilities or significant infrastructure.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-51	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.1 Introduction to activity tables	Retain text before activity tables	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-52	Radio New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity table as follows: The use of ~~D~~ diesel or petrol electricity generators ~~used~~ for the emergency backup of any activities in this table (is a permitted activity in all zones).	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-53	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.1 Activity Table All zones except Rural	Retain activity tables 1-4	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2750-54	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend matter of control 8 in table 11 as follows: Effects on significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-54	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend matter of control 8 in table 11 as follows: Effects on significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2750-54	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend matter of control 8 in table 11 as follows: Effects on significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2750-55	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Insert an additional controlled activity assessment criteria in table 12 as follows: x. Subdivision should avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on significant infrastructure.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-55	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Insert an additional controlled activity assessment criteria in table 12 as follows: x. Subdivision should avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on significant infrastructure.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2750-55	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Insert an additional controlled activity assessment criteria in table 12 as follows: x. Subdivision should avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on significant infrastructure.	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2750-56	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend matter of discretion 19 in table 13 as follows: Effects on significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-56	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend matter of discretion 19 in table 13 as follows: Effects on significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2750-56	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend matter of discretion 19 in table 13 as follows: Effects on significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2750-57	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend the first column of restricted discretionary assessment criteria table 14 as follows: Subdivision that creates between 1 and 4 additional sites.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-58	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 55 in table 14 as follows: Proposed subdivision shall be designed and located to recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-58	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 55 in table 14 as follows: Proposed subdivision shall be designed and located to recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2750-58	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	H5.3 - 4 Assessment	Amend restricted discretionary assessment criteria 55 in table 14 as follows: Proposed subdivision shall be designed and located to recognise the requirements of significant infrastructure, including reverse sensitivity effects.	3754	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Support
2750-59	Radio New Zealand Limited	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Amend rule 1.1(10) as follows: ...arising from an activity in the Industrial, General Business and Business Park zones measured at or within the boundary of any other property in those zones must not exceed the following levels (unless for emergency generation activities required to allow a lifeline utility to continue operating).	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-60	Radio New Zealand Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.4 - I6.6 Assessment and Special information requirements	Retain controlled activity assessment criteria 4.2(1)(e)(i)	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-61	Radio New Zealand Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	General Coastal Marine Zone	I6.4 - I6.6 Assessment and Special information requirements	Retain restricted discretionary activity assessment criteria 5.2(1)(e)(i)	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-62	Radio New Zealand Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Retain activity table 1	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-62	Radio New Zealand Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Retain activity table 1	3051	The Strand Trust	Oppose
2750-63	Radio New Zealand Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend to 'exclude upgrading or replacing radiocommunication or telecommunication equipment, or ancillary equipment at Radio New Zealand's facilities' from the 'upgrading and replacement of network utilities' as a discretionary activity in Category A heritage places	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-64	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of infrastructure	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-64	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of infrastructure	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2750-65	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of masts	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-66	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Insert the following into the definition of minor infrastructure upgrading: i. Reconfiguration of existing radiocommunication or telecommunication facilities that does not increase any dimension of the facilities by more than 20%.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-66	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Insert the following into the definition of minor infrastructure upgrading: i. Reconfiguration of existing radiocommunication or telecommunication facilities that does not increase any dimension of the facilities by more than 20%.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2750-67	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of network utilities	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-68	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of reverse sensitivity	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-69	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of significant infrastructure, particularly the inclusion of lifeline utilities	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-69	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of significant infrastructure, particularly the inclusion of lifeline utilities	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2750-69	Radio New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Existing		Retain definition of significant infrastructure, particularly the inclusion of lifeline utilities	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose
2750-70	Radio New Zealand Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Retain the heritage scheduling of Radio New Zealand's Building [Selwood Road, Henderson]	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-71	Radio New Zealand Limited	Designations	Minister of Defence	4311 Whenuapai Airfield Approach and Departure Path Protection	Decline extension of designation to airspace above land subject to Radio NZ designations (7300 and 7301) or insert the following condition: 3. For clarity, these restrictions do not apply to any telecommunication and radiocommunication transmission facilities constructed, or operating, in accordance with Radio New Zealand's designations at Triangle and Selwood Roads (designations 7300 and 7301).	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2750-71	Radio New Zealand Limited	Designations	Minister of Defence	4311 Whenuapai Airfield Approach and Departure Path Protection	Decline extension of designation to airspace above land subject to Radio NZ designations (7300 and 7301) or insert the following condition: 3. For clarity, these restrictions do not apply to any telecommunication and radiocommunication transmission facilities constructed, or operating, in accordance with Radio New Zealand's designations at Triangle and Selwood Roads (designations 7300 and 7301).	2265	New Zealand Defence Force	Oppose in Part
2750-72	Radio New Zealand Limited	Designations	Radio New Zealand Ltd	7300 Telecommunication and Radiocommunication Facilities	Amend the described location of designation to Triangle Road, Henderson or Corner of Triangle and Lincoln Road, Henderson	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-72	Radio New Zealand Limited	Designations	Radio New Zealand Ltd	7300 Telecommunication and Radiocommunication Facilities	Amend the described location of designation to Triangle Road, Henderson or Corner of Triangle and Lincoln Road, Henderson	2265	New Zealand Defence Force	Oppose in Part
2750-73	Radio New Zealand Limited	Designations	Radio New Zealand Ltd	7301 Telecommunication and Radiocommunication Facilities	Amend condition 2 as follows (numbering): Work which affects the Radio New Zealand Transmitter Building, 2-12 Selwood Road, described in the Historic Heritage Schedule as 00056, shall be limited to; a. External repairs and maintenance; b. minor works to the exterior of the building for the purposes of providing security facilities; and c. Internal works which do not affect the 1930's transmitter equipment.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-74	Radio New Zealand Limited	Zoning	West		Rezone [2-12 Selwood Rd, Henderson and the corner of Triangle Rd and Lincoln Rd, Henderson] from Light Industry to Heavy Industry	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-74	Radio New Zealand Limited	Zoning	West		Rezone [2-12 Selwood Rd, Henderson and the corner of Triangle Rd and Lincoln Rd, Henderson] from Light Industry to Heavy Industry	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
2750-74	Radio New Zealand Limited	Zoning	West		Rezone [2-12 Selwood Rd, Henderson and the corner of Triangle Rd and Lincoln Rd, Henderson] from Light Industry to Heavy Industry	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support in Part
2750-75	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Retain activity table 5	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-75	Radio New Zealand Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Retain activity table 5	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2750-76	Radio New Zealand Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 2 - Additional management controls for archaeological sites or features	Retain activity table 2	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2750-77	Radio New Zealand Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Retain activity table 3	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
2751-1	Gerald Hogan	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Delete the Sites and Places of Value to Mana Whenua overlay from 58B Walton St, Red Beach.			
2752-1	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Special Purpose 2 - Major Recreation Facilities zoning that applies to the North Shore Events Centre.			
2752-2	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	Mapping	Retain the Stadium and Showgrounds Precinct and the North Shore Events Centre Sub-Precinct that apply to the North Shore Events Centre.			
2752-3	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of Major Recreation Facilities.			
2752-4	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain the Major Recreation zone "Zone Description".			
2752-5	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain Major Recreation Facility zone Objectives 1- 3.			
2752-6	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain Major Recreation Facility zone Policies 1-7.			
2752-7	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Add the following statement before the Activity Table: That where there is any conflict or duplication between the rules in any relevant sub-precinct zone and in the Major Recreation Facility zone, the rules in the sub-precinct zone shall apply.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2752-7	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Add the following statement before the Activity Table: That where there is any conflict or duplication between the rules in any relevant sub-precinct zone and in the Major Recreation Facility zone, the rules in the sub-precinct zone shall apply.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2752-7	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Add the following statement before the Activity Table: That where there is any conflict or duplication between the rules in any relevant sub-precinct zone and in the Major Recreation Facility zone, the rules in the sub-precinct zone shall apply.	3512	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Support
2752-8	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Amend the introduction by adding the following: The activities, controls and assessment criteria in the underlying zone apply in the following sub-precincts unless otherwise specified below. In the event that there is conflict or duplication between the rules in any relevant sub-precinct zone and the rules in the Major Recreation Facility Zone, the rules in the sub-precinct zone shall apply.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2752-8	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Amend the introduction by adding the following: The activities, controls and assessment criteria in the underlying zone apply in the following sub-precincts unless otherwise specified below. In the event that there is conflict or duplication between the rules in any relevant sub-precinct zone and the rules in the Major Recreation Facility Zone, the rules in the sub-precinct zone shall apply.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2752-8	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Amend the introduction by adding the following: The activities, controls and assessment criteria in the underlying zone apply in the following sub-precincts unless otherwise specified below. In the event that there is conflict or duplication between the rules in any relevant sub-precinct zone and the rules in the Major Recreation Facility Zone, the rules in the sub-precinct zone shall apply.	3512	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Support
2752-9	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend the heading of Rule 2 as follows: Building height - Stadium and Showgrounds precinct ~~(excluding the North Shore Events Centre).~~			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2752-10	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Retain Land Use control - Noise: "The noise level arising from any activity in the Major Recreation Facilities Zone must not exceed the limits specified in the relevant precinct for the facility".	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2752-11	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Retain Land Use control - Noise: "Crowd noise is not regulated by the Unitary Plan".	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2752-11	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Retain Land Use control - Noise: "Crowd noise is not regulated by the Unitary Plan".	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2752-12	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 18.2.3 Traffic, Table 1 to include a new row for the NSEC, setting the threshold at 75% (3,000) of a maximum capacity of 4500.			
2752-13	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 18.2.3 Traffic to recognise that Table 1 applies to events where the threshold anticipated crowd capacity would largely arrive and/or depart during a defined peak period, and that one plan can be prepared for events with common characteristics. Refer to pg. 13/36 of the submission for details.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2752-14	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 18.2.3 Traffic 2 and 3 to remove the requirement for the approval of a third party to be obtained, and to require Auckland Transport to respond within 5 working days if it has any concerns with the TTMP, with the operator being required to then address any concerns to the degree practicable, and report back to Auckland Transport within 5 working days as follows. Refer to pg. 13/36 of the submission for details.			
2752-15	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Delete from Rule 18.6.2 Traffic and transport management plan, the requirement for the traffic and transport manmagement plan to be provided to and cerified by Auckland Transport as follows: ~~2. The plan must be provided to and certified by Auckland Transport.~~			
2752-16	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Retain 4.2 Assessment Criteria - Restricted discretionary activities 1(a), 1(b)(i), 1(b)(iv) - 1(b)(ix), 1(c)(i), 1(c)(iii), and 1(c)(iv).			
2752-17	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Retain 18.5 Assessment Criteria - Development control infringements 1(a), 1(b)(i), 1(b)(iv - x), 1(c)(i), 1(c)(iii), and 1(c)(iv).			
2752-18	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend 18.4 Assessment Criteria - Restricted discretionary activities 1(b)(ii) as follows: Activities within buildings in close proximity to streets or public open spaces should engage and activate those spaces at ground and, where practicable, first floor levels.			
2752-19	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Delete 18.4 Assessment Criteria - Restricted discretionary activities 1(b)(iii) as follows: ~~Excessive bulk and scale should be minimised at the interface with residential and public open space zone.~~			
2752-20	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend 18.4 Assessment Criteria - Restricted discretionary activities 1(c)(ii) and 18.5 Assessment criteria - Development control infringements 1(c)(ii) as follows: Development should be designed and located to enable maximum integration with existing and ~~likely future~~ planned development in the surrounding area.			
2752-21	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	F1.10 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Retain the Description.			
2752-22	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	F1.10 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend the description of Sub-precint G - North Shore Events Centre as follows: The North Shore Events Centre ... forming part of AF Thomas Park, Takapuna. Its primary purpose is to accomodate: - Sports and recreation, educational and cultural activities generally, events and active pursuits of all kinds; and - Conferences and meetings and community activities generally...			
2752-23	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	F1.10 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Delete the word "limited" from Objective 3 and Policy 3.			
2752-24	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	F1.10 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Retain Objectives 1, 2 and 4.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2752-25	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	F1.10 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend the heading of Sub-precinct - North Shore Events Stage to detete the word "Stage" and replace with "Centre".			
2752-26	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	F1.10 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Retain the following statement in the Introduction to the Stadiums and Showgrounds Precinct Rules: "The temporary activity rules shall not apply to the Stadium and Showgrounds Precinct".	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2752-27	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: Use of the NSEC as a multi-purpose indoor sports stadium, and for sport and recreation, educational and cultural facilities and events and active pursuits of all kinds - Permitted			
2752-28	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: Carnivals, fairs, markets and festivals - Permitted			
2752-29	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: Special Events - Permitted			
2752-30	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: Restaurants and cafes accessory to the NSEC - Permitted			
2752-31	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: Offices accessory to the NSEC - Permitted			
2752-32	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: Community Facilities - Permitted			
2752-33	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: Healthcare facilities accessory to the NSEC - Permitted			
2752-34	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: Marquees and temporary buildings such as portaloos and portocoms where these are associated with a special event - Permitted			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2752-35	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: New buildings, including additions and alterations to existing buildings, which create additional floor space of up to 200m^2 - Permitted			
2752-36	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add the following new activity into the Activity table for Sub-precinct: North Shore Events Centre as follows: New buildings, including additions and alterations to existing buildings, which create additional floor space of greater than 200m^2 - Permitted			
2752-37	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add new Rule 3. to Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities for Sub-precinct- North Shore Events Centre as follows: 3.1 Matters of Discretion The Council will restrict its discretion to the matters below for the activities listed as restricted discretionary in the activity table: a. noise, lighting and hours of operation b. building design, scale and external appearance c. site layout and design of landscaping d. design of parking and access 3.2 Assessment Criteria The Council will apply the asessment criteria in I.18.4 Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities 4.2 Assessment Criteria 1..			
2752-38	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Retain 18.4.2(1)(d) Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities			
2752-39	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Retain Rule 3.1.1 Noise 1(a) Table 7.			
2752-40	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Retain Rule 3.1.1. Noise 1(a)(i), (ii), and (iii).			
2752-41	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.1.1 Noise 1(a) and 2(a) to require that the noise limits be measured at or within the boundary of any residentially zoned site.			
2752-42	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Retain Rule 3.1.1. Noise 2(a)(i), (iv), (v) and (viii).			
2752-43	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Retain Rule 3.1.1 Noise 2(a)(i), (iv), (v) and (viii).			
2752-44	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 10.3.1.1. Noise 2(a)(ii) to specify a 15 minute measurement period, or alternatively delete the time period.			
2752-45	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.1.1 Noise 2(a)(iii) as follows: "A penalty must not be applied to amplified music or amplified voice sounds containing special audible characteristics (with respect to section 6.3 of NZS6802:2008) or to other sources of sound". ~~but other sources of sound may have a penalty applied if necessary in accordance with the same section.~~			
2752-46	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Delete "Sundays" from Rule 3.1.1 Noise 2(a)(vi).			
2752-47	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.1.1 Noise 2(a)(vii) to prevent Special Events held on Sundays from commencing before 10am.			
2752-48	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.1.1 Noise 2(a)(ix) to update communication methods and timing prior to events with the community.			
2752-49	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Retain Rule 3.1.2 Lighting 1 and 2.			
2752-50	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Retain Rules 3.2.1 Building height, 3.2.2 Height in Relation to Boundary, 3.2.3 Yards and 3.2.4 Building Coverage in the North Shore Event Centre Sub-precinct.			
2752-51	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add a new Rule in the Sub-precinct - NSEC which states that the controls in the PAUP specifying the number of parking and loading spaces do not apply unless there is an increase in GFA that will generate an increase for additonal parking spaces which cannot be accomodated within the currently available carparking space. Refer to pg. 33-34/36 of the submission for details.			
2752-52	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add a new entry to the Actvity table for Sub-precinct - North Shore Event Centre which requires there to be no net loss of car parking spaces available (at a specified date) at the site. Refer to pg. 34/36 of the submission for details.			
2752-53	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add a new matter of discretion for Sub-precinct - North Shore Events Centre that includes associated matters of discretion related to adequacy of car parking to support day to day activities and adequacy of hardstand areas to enable a suitable traffic and transportation plan to be implemented when the threshold anticipated crowd capacity is expected. Refer to pg. 34/36 of the submission for details.			
2752-54	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	K1.10 Precinct Rules	Add new assessment criteria for Sub-precinct - NSEC that includes associated matters of assessment related to adequacy of car parking to support day to day activities and adequacy of hardstand areas to enable a suitable traffic and transportation plan to be implemented when the threshold anticipated crowd capacity is expected. Refer to pg. 35/36 of the submission for details.			
2752-55	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Rule 3.1 Traffic Generation 1(a)(iii) to recognise that the requirement to obtain resource consent where the total development on a site exceeds certain thresholds does not apply in the Major Recreation Facilities zone. Refer to pg. 35/36 of the submission for details.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2752-55	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Rule 3.1 Traffic Generation 1(a)(iii) to recognise that the requirement to obtain resource consent where the total development on a site exceeds certain thresholds does not apply in the Major Recreation Facilities zone. Refer to pg. 35/36 of the submission for details.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2752-56	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend 18.5 Assessment Criteria - Development control infringements 1(b)(ii) as follows: Activities within buildings in close proximity to streets or public open spaces should engage and activate those spaces at ground and, where practicable, first floor levels.			
2752-57	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Delete 18.5 Assessment criteria - Development Control infringements 1(b)(iii) as follows: ~~Excessive bulk and scale should be minimised at the interface with residential and public open space zone.~~			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2752-58	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend 18.5 Assessment criteria - Development control infringements 1(c)(ii) as follows: Development should be designed and located to enable maximum integration with existing and ~~likely future~~ planned development in the surrounding area.			
2752-59	North Shore Events Centre Trust Board	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Stadiums and Showgrounds	F1.10 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Retain Policies 1, 2 and 4.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support in Part
2753-1	Andrew Pierce	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 1976 East Coast Rd, Dairy Flat and other properties along the eastern side of East Coast Rd to Wilks Rd, Silverdale from Countryside Living to Future Urban.	491	Claude R M Maire	Support
2753-1	Andrew Pierce	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 1976 East Coast Rd, Dairy Flat and other properties along the eastern side of East Coast Rd to Wilks Rd, Silverdale from Countryside Living to Future Urban.	892	Wei Yan	Support
2753-1	Andrew Pierce	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 1976 East Coast Rd, Dairy Flat and other properties along the eastern side of East Coast Rd to Wilks Rd, Silverdale from Countryside Living to Future Urban.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2753-1	Andrew Pierce	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 1976 East Coast Rd, Dairy Flat and other properties along the eastern side of East Coast Rd to Wilks Rd, Silverdale from Countryside Living to Future Urban.	1784	Vernice V L Wo	Support
2753-1	Andrew Pierce	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 1976 East Coast Rd, Dairy Flat and other properties along the eastern side of East Coast Rd to Wilks Rd, Silverdale from Countryside Living to Future Urban.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2753-1	Andrew Pierce	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 1976 East Coast Rd, Dairy Flat and other properties along the eastern side of East Coast Rd to Wilks Rd, Silverdale from Countryside Living to Future Urban.	2245	Kathryn J Marsh	Support
2754-1	Mark A B Fosle	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Single House, Large Lot Residential, Rural and Coastal Settlements	Retain height limits of 8m and 2 storeys in Baxton Road, Mt Eden [zoned Single House]			
2754-2	Mark A B Fosle	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Apply special character overlay to Brixton Road and surrounding streets in the northern end of Balmoral.			
2754-3	Mark A B Fosle	Zoning	Central		Rezone Baxton Road and surrounding streets in the northern end of Balmoral from Mixed Housing Suburban to Single House			
2754-4	Mark A B Fosle	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Apply pre-1944 building demolition control in Baxton Road and surrounding streets in the northern end of Balmoral			
2755-1	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Reject Auckland arts festival becoming an annual event			
2755-2	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	RPS	Urban growth	B2.7 Social infrastructure	Retain objective 1			
2755-3	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	RPS	Urban growth	B2.7 Social infrastructure	Amend the introduction to acknowledge independent and non-council organisations as providers of galleries and other publicly accessible social infrastructure			
2755-4	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	Precincts - City Centre	Karangahape Road		Retain the word distinctive in the precinct description [in F3.6] and retain the explanation of Karangahape Road's distinctive character.			
2755-5	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	Precincts - City Centre	Karangahape Road		Add an objective or objecitves (in F3.6), to encompass protection or development of diverse and multicultural activities, particularly with regard to the role of the arts in the precinct.			
2755-6	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	Precincts - City Centre	Karangahape Road		Support the protection of historically significant buildings in the precinct			
2755-7	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	Precincts - City Centre	Karangahape Road		Amend F3.6 to include a policy or policies to recognise that the existing arts infrastructure on Karangahape Road contributes to its distinctiveness.			
2755-8	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	Precincts - City Centre	Karangahape Road		Amend [objectives and policies] to recognise that small and medium scale arts and entertainment infrastructure, particularly those located in the Karangahape Road precinct, support the operation and liveability of the city centre as a whole, and the Karangahape Road precinct.			
2755-9	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Retain recognition in A3.4 that higher density living should be targeted in areas with good access to community facilities			
2755-10	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of community facilities extending to arts and cultural centres			
2755-11	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definitions of community facilities and infrastructure to explicitly recognise the relationship between the two			
2755-12	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	Residential zones	Residential	D1.1 General objectives and policies	Retain and amend objective 3 to recognise that community facilities are one of the non-residential activities that locate in residential areas			
2755-13	Artspace Aotearoa Trust (Artspace New Zealand)	City Centre Zone	D3.2 City Centre zone Desc, obs & pols		Amend objectives and policies to enable arts and community facilities are able to remain in the city centre			
2756-1	Yi Yu Chang	Designations	Auckland Transport	1655 Road Widening - Ellerslie Panmure Highway	Reject designation number 1655.			
2757-1	Nicholas F Emery	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.1 - Network utilities & electricity generating facilities	Amend the activity status of amateur radio [configurations] from restricted discretionary to permitted	347	K Vernon	Oppose in Part
2757-2	Nicholas F Emery	Definitions	Existing		Amend definitions of amateur radio provisions and buildings, masts, aerials and antenna [in an unspecified manner][refers to NZART submission]			
2758-1	Christine Peet	Zoning	South		Rezone 67R the Esplanade, Eastern Beach from Informal Recreation and Sport and Active Recreation to only Informal Recreation			
2759-1	Katie Lunjevich	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Rezone 61 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights from Flatbush Countryside Tranzn Grace to Flatbush Residential Zone 2.			
2760-1	Sarah L Frost	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Remove 44 Church St, Onehunga from schedule.			
2761-1	Jamie Richards	Zoning	Central		Rezone all of the area between Ladies Mile and Amy streets (including Arthur St), Ellerslie from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings, and Mixed Housing Urban to Mixed Housing Suburban.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2762-1	Jean W Jackson	General	Miscellaneous	Other	Appears to seek removal of restrictions on private property. Refer Page 1 of submission.			
2763-1	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Remove Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay from 690 Kawakawa-Orere Road, Papakura			
2763-2	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Retain SEA on 690 Kawakawa-Orere Road, Papakura and reduce rates for this area by 80%			
2763-3	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Stock access policy 11, activity table and controls H4.13.2.7	Retain stock exclusion policy			
2763-4	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Rural Zones	General	I13.3 Development controls	Retain exclusion of impervious surfaces restrictions in Rural zones			
2763-5	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Retain vegetation removal to within 10m of waterways but amend to enable stock grazing to 2m of a waterway twice annually			
2763-6	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Retain earthworks for new tracks longer than 50m as a permitted activity			
2763-7	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Rural Zones	D6.4 Rural Coastal zone desc, obs & pols		Reject extension of Rural Coastal zone [Papakura].	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support
2763-8	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Rural Zones	General	I13.1 Activity table	Reject farming rules in Rural Coastal zone which are different from the other rural zones	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2763-8	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Rural Zones	General	I13.1 Activity table	Reject farming rules in Rural Coastal zone which are different from the other rural zones	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support
2763-9	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Reject sites of significant to mana whenua unless the sites are accurately identified and restricted to impact the site itself			
2763-10	Jan and Collin Sinclair	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete sites of value to Mana Whenua unless the sites are accurately identified and restricted to impact the site itself.			
2764-1	David Lee	General	Whole Plan		Retain the proposed plan			
2765-1	Shelley L Grylls	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	Cemetery zone		Apply the special purpose zone to all cemeteries.			
2766-1	Joseph McCallum	Precincts - North	Bayswater	F5.6 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Reject proposed residential development at Bayswater Marina			
2767-1	Aaron Hutching	Residential zones	Residential	D1.1 General objectives and policies	Retain the provisions relating to Te Atatu Peninsula.			
2768-1	Erin L Brinkler	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete identification of 1/22 Normans Hill Road, Onehunga as a [historic heritage area]			
2769-1	Tim Farman	General	Whole Plan		Retain the proposed plan			
2770-1	Mark T Russell	Zoning	South		Rezone 75 Munros Road, Clevedon from Rural Production to a zoning that recognises sensitivities of land which contain an SEA or apply a spot zone.			
2770-2	Mark T Russell	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Exempt 75 Munros Rd, Clevedon from the subdivision rules to provide for multiple dwellings with a minimum density of 1 hectare where that dwelling is to be expressly for the accommodation of residents to manage and steward the regenerating bush.			
2771-1	Norman Moss	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Reject providing for commercial activity in regional parks			
2772-1	Richard and Kelly Fry	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone all land within the Devonport Peninsula Precinct zoned Mixed Housing Suburban to Single House (except sub precinct E which is currently zoned Residential 6C).			
2773-1	Terry Small	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend residential zone rules to restrict building height to a maximum of 2 storeys the Mixed Housing Suburban, Mixed Housing Urban and Terrace House and Apartment Buildings zones.	56	Point Chevalier Residents Against THABs Incorporated	Support
2773-1	Terry Small	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend residential zone rules to restrict building height to a maximum of 2 storeys the Mixed Housing Suburban, Mixed Housing Urban and Terrace House and Apartment Buildings zones.	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Oppose in Part
2773-2	Terry Small	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	C3 Historic heritage - Background, objectives and policies		Protect heritage housing.	56	Point Chevalier Residents Against THABs Incorporated	Support
2773-3	Terry Small	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Extend the special character areas in Pt Chevalier to include the following streets: Moa, Huia, Walmer, Riro, Target, Montrose, Alberta, Miller, Smale Formby etc.	56	Point Chevalier Residents Against THABs Incorporated	Support
2773-4	Terry Small	Zoning	Central		Rezone the following streets in Pt Chevalier from Terrace House and Apartment Building to Single House: Huia, Kiwi, Tui, Moa, Walmer and Riro.	56	Point Chevalier Residents Against THABs Incorporated	Support
2773-5	Terry Small	Residential zones	Residential	D1.1 General objectives and policies	Limit mixed housing of both types to within a 500m radius of the town centre.			
2773-6	Terry Small	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Reject concept of intensification and release more land and expand the city boundaries.	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2774-1	Jeanette F Grylls	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	Cemetery zone		Apply the special purpose zone to all cemeteries.			
2775-1	Rachel McClelland	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Amend pre-1944 building demolition control to provide owners of pre-1944 buildings a time period where the demolition control does not have effect			
2776-1	John Irving	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Retain compact city approach but reject preferential treatment in terms of zoning to large land owners such as Housing NZ, specifically in Northern Waterview			
2776-2	John Irving	Zoning	Central		Rezone Northern Waterview from Mixed Housing Urban to Mixed Housing Suburban			
2777-1	Peter D Harris	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Reject the following sentence: It is important to build stable and equal partnership to enable Mana Whenua to be part of the management of natural resources			
2777-2	Peter D Harris	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Reject the following sentence: Enable Mana Whenua to participate in co-management of natural resources			
2777-3	Peter D Harris	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.1 Recognition of Te Tiriti o Waitangi partnership & participation	Reject policy 3 [partnership between Mana Whenua and the Council]			
2777-4	Peter D Harris	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.1 Recognition of Te Tiriti o Waitangi partnership & participation	Reject policy 4 [transfer power and joint management]			
2777-5	Peter D Harris	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 1-5 Water quality & ecosystem guidelines App.5.6	Reject policy 5 [Surface water quality and ecosystem health interim guidelines]			
2777-6	Peter D Harris	Water	C5.15.2 Water quantity, allocation & use - obs & pols	Policies 10-11 - National policy statement on freshwater management	Reject policy 11 [National policy statement on freshwater management]	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
2777-7	Peter D Harris	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Reject sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua			
2777-8	Peter D Harris	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete sites and places of value to Mana Whenua.			
2777-9	Peter D Harris	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Reject cultural impact assessment general rules			
2778-1	Peter Cumming	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend so site clearance by up to 20% of the site is permitted	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2778-2	Peter Cumming	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend so tree trimming of up 30% per annum is permitted	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2778-3	Peter Cumming	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Reject SEA's and cultural impact assessments in relation to SEA's on residential properties in Piha			
2779-1	Christopher Smellie and Tonia Matthews	RPS	Changes to the RUB	West	Rezone 106 Station Rd, Huapai from Future Urban to Mixed Housing Urban.			
2780-1	Mark Tillett	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Reject use of terms tangible and intangible [context is in relation to Mana Whenua provisions]			
2780-2	Mark Tillett	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Reject the broad area designation around cultural sites			
2780-3	Mark Tillett	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Reject mana whenua's ability to nominate any site as being of significance			
2780-4	Mark Tillett	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Reject co-governance and constitutional laws without full consultation			
2780-5	Mark Tillett	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Delete the term Mana Whenua			
2780-6	Mark Tillett	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete requirement for cultural impact assessments for private property			
2780-7	Mark Tillett	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend requirement for people to deal with Iwi. Should deal only with Council			
2781-1	Graham Peet	Zoning	South		Rezone 67R the Esplanade, Eastern Beach from Informal Recreation and Sports and Active Recreation to only Informal Recreation			
2782-1	Daphne and Ina Stevens	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Waitoki from Dairy Flat to Drinnan Road to Mixed Use Rural.			
2783-1	Frederick A Buck	Designations	Watercare Services Ltd	9502 Mangere Wastewater Treatment Plant	Amend Designation 9502 to pipe out to the deep water of Hauraki Gulf or through hills to deep water of West Coast.	3062	Watercare Services Limited	Oppose in Part
2783-2	Frederick A Buck	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Reject Weymouth Bridge proposal.	1915	Bob Demler	Support
2783-2	Frederick A Buck	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Reject Weymouth Bridge proposal.	2965	Karaka Harbourside Estate Limited and Takanini Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2784-1	Alexander J H Witten-Hannah	Precincts - North	Bayswater	K5.6 Precinct rules	Retain these rules			
2785-1	Covey Family Trust	Zoning	Central		Rezone 36A and 36b Umere Crescent, Ellerslie from single house to mixed housing suburban.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2785-2	Covey Family Trust	Special Character	Overlay Special character - General	J3.5.7 Maps, App. 10.2 Spec. character statement - General	Amend the PAUP to apply the general special character overlay rules to 36A and 36b Umere Crescent, Ellerslie.			
2786-1	Kim Goldsworthy	Precincts - North	Bayswater	K5.6 Precinct rules	Delete provisions allowing residential development in sub-precinct B			
2786-2	Kim Goldsworthy	Precincts - North	Bayswater	K5.6 Precinct rules	Retain provisions allowing community recreation, open space, public transport and marine related boating activities including local events in Sub-precinct B			
2786-3	Kim Goldsworthy	Precincts - North	Bayswater	K5.6 Precinct rules	Add requirement for full disclosure, notification and consultation of any changes with limitations around the quality, size and number of dwelling in the area and commitments to existing provisions made in the Environment Court in 2009.			
2787-1	MMT Property Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone 137 Wairau Road, Wairau Valley from Light Industry to General Business	3257	Andrew Brands Limited	Support
2788-1	East Coast Bays Rugby Club Incorporated	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone 542 East Coast Rd, Windsor Park to remove the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone.			
2789-1	Maree Todd	Zoning	Central		Retain Single House zone on 57-68 Richard Farrell Avenue Remuera.			
2789-2	Maree Todd	Residential zones	Retirement Village zone	D8.7 Description, objectives and policies	Retain the objectives and policies, particularly Policies 3 and 5.			
2789-3	Maree Todd	Residential zones	Retirement Village zone	I21 Rules	Retain restricted discretionary activity status for any new buildings [in I21.1 Activity table].			
2790-1	Gregory L O'Sullivan	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Barry's Point Road, Takapuna from Light industry to [Mixed Use]			
2791-1	Geoff Bennett	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Make all public drainage more accessible so it is easier for new dwellings to connect to them.			
2791-2	Geoff Bennett	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend [rule1(a)] to reduce the minimum site size for subdivision in the Single House zone from 600m2 to 500m2.			
2791-3	Geoff Bennett	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Single House, Large Lot Residential, Rural and Coastal Settlements	Increase permitted site coverage from 35% to 40% in the single house zone.			
2791-4	Geoff Bennett	Residential zones	Residential	Land use controls	Retain frontage dimension of 7.5m in single house zone [inferred].			
2791-5	Geoff Bennett	Residential zones	Residential	Land use controls	Amend land use control 3.3 the conversion of a dwelling into two dwellings to apply whether existing or new.			
2791-6	Geoff Bennett	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Single House, Large Lot Residential, Rural and Coastal Settlements	Amend the landscaping development control from 40% to 30% in the single house zone.			
2791-7	Geoff Bennett	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Single House, Large Lot Residential, Rural and Coastal Settlements	Retain height in relation to boundary rule in the single house zone.			
2791-8	Geoff Bennett	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Single House, Large Lot Residential, Rural and Coastal Settlements	Amend the soffit/overhang rule to 0.75m in the single house zone.			
2791-9	Geoff Bennett	Zoning	West		Rezone 42 Connaught St, Blockhouse Bay from Single House to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2791-10	Geoff Bennett	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban	Amend Rule 7.4 Alternative height in relation to boundary rule in the mixed housing suburban zone to 2.5m vertical from the boundary then 45 degrees.			
2791-11	Geoff Bennett	Zoning	West		Rezone 15 Connaught St, Blockhouse Bay from Single House to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2792-1	Alaskan Developments Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend requirement for people to deal with Iwi. Should deal only with Council			
2792-2	Alaskan Developments Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Reject co-governance and constitutional laws			
2792-3	Alaskan Developments Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Delete the term Mana Whenua			
2792-4	Alaskan Developments Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete requirement for cultural impact assessments for private property			
2793-1	Philip Jones	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Retain the compact city approach.			
2793-2	Philip Jones	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend plan to prioritise future development in brownfield sites within the existing urban limit rather than in greenfield developments.			
2793-3	Philip Jones	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Retain provisions which provide for greatly improved public transport and better facilities/infrastructure for active modes (bicycles, walking etc).			
2793-4	Philip Jones	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Add provisions to encourage car-pooling to make better use of cars on the road.			
2793-5	Philip Jones	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Strengthen the controls [Rule 3.2 Table 4] on minimising parking facilities e.g by removing minimum parking levels.			
2793-6	Philip Jones	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend plan to ensure new developments are designed and built around people, not cars, with good public transport and and active transport facilities e.g. separated cycle ways with a strategically designed cycling network.	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Oppose in Part
2793-7	Philip Jones	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Strengthen the sustainability and energy efficiency standards for new buildings to include major upgrades to existing buildings.			
2793-8	Philip Jones	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Retain provisions which encourage wider adoption of small-scale and community-scale renewable energy/electricity.			
2793-9	Philip Jones	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Retain provisions relating to maximsing passive energy into newly subdivided areas and add provisions to cover the concept of 'right of access to sunlight'.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2793-10	Philip Jones	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Retain SEA and tree protection overlays but amend to allow home owners to 1. apply for approval for minor works such as paths, seating, playhouses that: are not within 8m of the boundary, do not impact significantly on the ecological integrity of the bush, meet the same standards that Council uses in bush reserves and 2. apply for rates relief when covenanting areas of bush of ecological significance.	1628	Penelope Aston	Support in Part
2793-11	Philip Jones	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain controls on invasive pest plants.			
2793-12	Philip Jones	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.2-4 Controls and Assessment		Add controls on discharge of toxins or heated water into bush streams.			
2793-13	Philip Jones	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Retain protection for urban trees but amend to require resource consent for trees over a defined height e.g. 5m. Amend PAUP to simplify the process for nomination of tress for protection.			
2793-14	Philip Jones	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Add provisions around the need for more trees for carbon sequestration.			
2793-15	Philip Jones	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Reject provisions which would allow any buildings or other construction in natural heritage areas and in other areas without notification.			
2793-16	Philip Jones	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 - H4.3.1.1 Intro./Activity table - Vegetation management in all zones and roads		Amend the proposed 20m strip protection for coastal trees to 100m.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2793-17	Philip Jones	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Retain enhanced protection of all bush areas within the Northwest Wildlink (ecological corridor between the Hauraki Islands and the Waitakere Ranges).	3431	Thurlow Consultants Ltd	Oppose in Part
2793-18	Philip Jones	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Add the Northcross bush as an SEA.			
2793-19	Philip Jones	Precincts - North	Long Bay		Retain the Long Bay Structure Plan provisions.	1235	Long Bay-Okura Great Park Society (Inc)	Support
2793-19	Philip Jones	Precincts - North	Long Bay		Retain the Long Bay Structure Plan provisions.	2690	Keep Okura Green Incorporated Society	Support
2793-19	Philip Jones	Precincts - North	Long Bay		Retain the Long Bay Structure Plan provisions.	2696	Okura Environmental Group	Support
2793-19	Philip Jones	Precincts - North	Long Bay		Retain the Long Bay Structure Plan provisions.	2801	Dacre Cottage Management Committee	Support
2793-19	Philip Jones	Precincts - North	Long Bay		Retain the Long Bay Structure Plan provisions.	2901	East Coast Bays Coastal Protection Society	Support
2793-19	Philip Jones	Precincts - North	Long Bay		Retain the Long Bay Structure Plan provisions.	3284	Fu Mei Yeh	Oppose in Part
2793-19	Philip Jones	Precincts - North	Long Bay		Retain the Long Bay Structure Plan provisions.	3286	Joe Zhao	Oppose in Part
2794-1	Conspec Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Barry's Point Road, Takapuna from Light Industry to Mixed Use			
2795-1	Margaret Honeyman	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend the height and bulk controls of buildings permitted on the Emerald Inn Site [address on The Promenade, Takapuna not specified]			
2796-1	Pegasus Trust	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove the SEA identification from 97 Taylors Rd, Waikoukou Valley.			
2797-1	Lanny Foy	Designations	Auckland Transport	1709 Service Lane - Station Road to Mason Avenue	Reject proposed service lane [designation 1709] on 5 Mason Ave, Otahuhu			
2798-1	James W and Evelyn C Stephenson	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the size of [sites and places of value to Mana Whenua] to reflect the importance of the site			
2799-1	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Precincts - North	Kawau Island		Retain the existing provisions, including the exclusion of an SEA, as they relate to Kookuburra Point, Kawerau Island.	72	Here R Coleman	Support
2799-1	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Precincts - North	Kawau Island		Retain the existing provisions, including the exclusion of an SEA, as they relate to Kookuburra Point, Kawerau Island.	106	Kawau Island Access Organisation	Support
2799-1	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Precincts - North	Kawau Island		Retain the existing provisions, including the exclusion of an SEA, as they relate to Kookuburra Point, Kawerau Island.	2899	Kawau Island Access Organisation Incorporated	Support
2799-2	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Precincts - North	Kawau Island		Amend the PUAP subject in the manner set out in the submission by the Kawau Island Advisory Committee.			
2799-3	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Dredging include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.3 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the provisions regarding use and occupation to recognise the importance of engaging with Kawau Island property owners. [No specific relief sought.]			
2799-4	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 6.2 Sch. of Outstanding and High Natural Character - Coastal		Retain references to the Kawau Vision Document.			
2799-5	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Discharges	I6.1.7 Activity tables	Amend the rules to remove any contradiction between the rules allowing the discharge of untreated sewage from a vessel or installation as a permitted activity when no discharge is allowed within 500 metres of the coast.	2399	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2799-6	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	J6.2.3 Development controls J6.2.4 Assessment		Amend the Activity Table to reflect that the yard requirement on Kawau Island is that buildings must be located a minimum of 6 metres from Mean High Water Springs.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2799-7	Fortis New Zealand Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Appendix 6 - Coastal excluding sch. 6.2 ONC/HNC	6.1 Schedule SEA marine/Overlay 8.1 SEAs Marine 1 and 2	Retain references to the Kawau Vision Document.			
2800-1	Lynnette A Roycroft	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Amend additional height overlay along Lake Road from Blomfield Spa to Park Ave, Takapuna from 24.5m to three storeys	87	Rob Sherrell	Support
2800-2	Lynnette A Roycroft	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone west side of Lake Road from Bracken Ave to Byron Ave, Takapuna from Metropolitan Centre to Mixed Use without an additional height overlay			
2801-1	NH Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 21 Wrybill Way, Point Wells (Lot 40 DP 460881) from Countryside Living to Single House.			
2801-2	NH Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Amend rule 2.1(1) Permitted Activities - development in coastal areas to provide the mapped 1 per cent AEP storm tide (for every property).			
2801-3	NH Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Amend rule 2.1(1) Permitted Activities - development in coastal areas to accommodate a 0.5m sea level rise rather than 1m.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2801-4	NH Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Reject the excessive requirement for finished floor levels of new dwellings to be at least 500mm above the mapped 1 per cent AEP storm tide inundation plus 1m projected sea level rise in rule 2.1(1) permitted activities - development in coastal areas.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2801-5	NH Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	C5.12 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the rules to make a distinction between those areas that are subject to coastal erosion such as Omaha and Orewa and those that are far less affected by wave action such as Point Wells [inferred].			
2801-6	NH Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	C5.12 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the coastal inundation controls to be mapped to 0.5m sea level rise rather than 1m.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2801-7	NH Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Remove the Coastal inundation- 2m sea level rise from the PAUP maps.			
2801-8	NH Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete special information requirements clauses 4(1)(a) and 4(1)(b).			
2801-9	NH Trust	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Remove the the flood hazards annotation from the maps if it has no statutory basis.			
2801-10	NH Trust	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Clarify if the properties identified on the non statutory maps as having a flood hazard are subject to Chapter H 4.12 Flooding and if so provide information on how this overlay has been determined.	1651	Alderwasley Trust	Support
2802-1	Christine Jenkins	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Amend rural subdivision rules to provide a discretionary approach assessing applications individually to factor in lifestyle changes			
2803-1	Bruce and Cheryl Howlett Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	West	Rezone Scott Point, Hobsonville, from Future Urban to Mixed Residential Urban and Mixed Residential Suburban.			
2803-2	Bruce and Cheryl Howlett Family Trust	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove all SEAs applying to Scott Point, Hobsonville, until they have been individually investigated in consultation with the landowner			
2803-3	Bruce and Cheryl Howlett Family Trust	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Remove all heritage notices applying to Scott Point Peninsula, Hobsonville, until they have been individually investigated and implemented in consultation with the landowners			
2804-1	Warcol Family Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone the area south of Access and Tawa Rds, Kumeu from Mixed Rural to Countryside Living [Refer to plan attached to submission]	2960	Te Kawerau Iwi Tribal Authority	Oppose in Part
2804-2	Warcol Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	West	Rezone the area south of Access and Tawa Rds, Kumeu from Mixed Rural to Large Lot Unserviced [Refer to plan attached to submission]			
2805-1	Denise J Bucksey	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend by deleting the statement 'It is important to build stable and equal partnerships which enable Mana Whenua to actively and meaningfully participate in the management of natural resources'. This appears in the third paragraph under the heading 'Decision making, environmental governance, partnerships and participation'			
2805-2	Denise J Bucksey	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend by deleting the bullet point 'Enable Mana Whenua to participate in co-management of natural resources'. This statement appears under the heading 'Auckland Plan strategic direction and priorities'			
2805-3	Denise J Bucksey	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Remove all provisions in the PAUP calling for partnership arrangements, shared governance, co-management, joint management agreements and the transfer of power to Mana Whenua			
2805-4	Denise J Bucksey	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.1 Recognition of Te Tiriti o Waitangi partnership & participation	Amend by deleting Policies 3 and 4			
2805-5	Denise J Bucksey	General	Miscellaneous	Consultation and engagement	Prior to entering any co-governance arrangements, hold a referendum on whether the governance of physical and natural resources should be shared in partnership with Mana Whenua			
2805-6	Denise J Bucksey	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	C5.15.1 Background & Objectives	Ensure that management decisions regarding freshwater resources lie solely with democratically elected governing bodies, and not in partnership with Mana Whenua			
2805-7	Denise J Bucksey	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Delete and rewrite in a way that: respects property rights; provides a fair balance between protection of cultural heritage and private property rights; uses clear and unambiguous terminology; requires the council to be responsible for all Cultural Impact Assessments with applicants dealing directly with the council and not with iwi			
2805-8	Denise J Bucksey	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete and rewrite in a way that: respects property rights; provides a fair balance between protection of cultural heritage and private property rights; uses clear and unambiguous terminology; requires the council to be responsible for all Cultural Impact Assessments with applicants dealing directly with the council and not with iwi			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2805-9	Denise J Bucksey	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Grade the Sites of significance to Mana Whenua into categories of significance, to be accorded various degrees of protection and obligation			
2805-10	Denise J Bucksey	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Grade the Sites of value to Mana Whenua into categories of significance, to be accorded varying degrees of protection and obligation			
2805-11	Denise J Bucksey	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Explain to all affected parties the impact of, and the obligations required of the landowners, when a place is designated a Site of Significance or Value to Mana Whenua			
2805-12	Denise J Bucksey	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete G2.7.4 Cultural Impact Assessment.			
2805-13	Denise J Bucksey	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Remove the requirement to obtain Cultural Impact Assessment from iwi. Make the council the agency responsible for preparing any Cultural Impact Assessment where the council deems it necessary			
2806-1	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	D2 Introduction	Retain approach that separates Public Open Spaces into 5 zones, and in particular the separation of Community and Civic zones.			
2806-2	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objective [1] to create a network of quality public open spaces that meet the community's needs.			
2806-3	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [5] to maximise the use of buildings and facilities (such as through multi-functional use and adaptable designs) to increase the capacity of the public open space, and avoid permanent single purpose buildings with restricted use.			
2806-4	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.5 Community zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [1] to provide public open spaces in town centres and urban areas to support community activities, informal recreation and social interaction, and to enable events to take place.			
2806-5	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain Major Recreation Facility zone.			
2806-6	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain Special Purpose School zone.			
2806-7	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain objective 2.			
2806-8	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Delete controls 'Lighting' 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4 and retain control 6.1.5 only.			
2806-9	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.11 'Lighting' to include standards that manage spillage and glare, in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4282, rather than level of lighting or the timing of their use. Seek minimum lux for artificial lighting dependent on the sporting code using the grounds, based on Australian Standard AS 2560. The controls would apply between 6am to 11pm Monday to Sunday and public holidays.	2678	Friends of Madills Farm Incorporated	Oppose
2806-10	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Amend land use control 1.3(1) Recreational Noise (Table 12) to prescribe the specific 25 hours per week that the noise limits may be exceeded for each space, to align with the timing of lighting controls as follows: 6.00am-11.00pm Monday to Sunday and Public Holidays - 60dB (LAeq) / 55dB at all other times, and delete reference to 15 minute periods.	2678	Friends of Madills Farm Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2806-11	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend to include earthworks for the construction of sports fields, and to raise the threshold at which earthworks within a Public Open Space zone (including the Sports and Active Recreation zone) require resource consent.			
2806-12	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.9(1) 'Maximum site coverage' to reconsider the thresholds for some activities, such as netball and tennis, to reflect the character of the varying recreational and sporting activities that take place in public open space zones and to anticipate future use.	2678	Friends of Madills Farm Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2806-13	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend development control 3.10(1) 'Maximum impervious area' to reflect that certain sports (e.g. netball and tennis) require impervious area amounting to 90-100% of the public open space.	2678	Friends of Madills Farm Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2806-14	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Clarify the appropriate parking measures to be applied to community sport organisations that manage/own facilities on public open spaces.			
2806-15	Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Reduce parking thresholds [for organised sports and recreation]			
2807-1	Ray Bosher and Bosher Family Trust	Precincts - South	Flat Bush		Amend maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations as they relate to 315 Flat Bush School Road, to give effect to the consent document before the Court and consent order associated with the appeal by Todd Property limited (ENV-2012-AKL-000115).			
2808-1	Kevin Brewer	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Retain current balance of intensification around villages that does not compromise living spaces			
2809-1	Raymond H Marshall	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Delete open space rules and replace with present provisions			
2810-1	Bruce Howlett Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	West	Rezone Scott Point, Hobsonville, from Future Urban to Mixed Residential Urban and Mixed Residential Suburban.			
2810-2	Bruce Howlett Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove all SEAs applying to Scott Point, Hobsonville, until they have been individually investigated in consultation with the landowner			
2810-3	Bruce Howlett Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Remove all heritage notices applying to Scott Point Peninsula, Hobsonville, until they have been individually investigated and implemented in consultation with the landowners.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2811-1	Sriharan Selvaratnam	Designations	Auckland Transport	1655 Road Widening - Ellerslie Panmure Highway	Delete Designation 1655.			
2811-2	Sriharan Selvaratnam	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Installation of traffic lights facing the properties 460, 460a, 462 and 462a at the Bunnings Warehouse and Ellerslie-Panmure Highway intersection.			
2812-1	Karepiro Investments Limited, M Sullivan and MA Nelson	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Silverdale West Industrial Business Park Stage 1 (Section 1 SO 308591, Section 3 SO 308591, Section 6 SO 308591, Section 19 SO 308591, Section 10 SO 308591, Section 19 SO 308591 and Part allotment 210 Parish of Okura) from Future Urban to Light Industry. Refer map attached to submission.	689	Terra Nova Planning Limited	Support
2812-1	Karepiro Investments Limited, M Sullivan and MA Nelson	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Silverdale West Industrial Business Park Stage 1 (Section 1 SO 308591, Section 3 SO 308591, Section 6 SO 308591, Section 19 SO 308591, Section 10 SO 308591, Section 19 SO 308591 and Part allotment 210 Parish of Okura) from Future Urban to Light Industry. Refer map attached to submission.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
2812-2	Karepiro Investments Limited, M Sullivan and MA Nelson	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Incorporate the Silverdale West Industrial Park Structure Plan (Rodney District Council) into the PAUP.	689	Terra Nova Planning Limited	Support
2812-2	Karepiro Investments Limited, M Sullivan and MA Nelson	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Incorporate the Silverdale West Industrial Park Structure Plan (Rodney District Council) into the PAUP.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
2812-2	Karepiro Investments Limited, M Sullivan and MA Nelson	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Incorporate the Silverdale West Industrial Park Structure Plan (Rodney District Council) into the PAUP.	2271	Wilks Road Limited	Support in Part
2813-1	Mount Eden Normal Primary School	Zoning	Central		Retain the zoning in the PAUP for properties within the Mount Eden Normal Primary School enrolment zone comprising parts of Mount Eden, Kingsland and Eden Terrace (as shown on the attachment to the submission).	3414	Auckland Grammar School	Support
2813-2	Mount Eden Normal Primary School	Zoning	Central		Only allow re-zoning of properties within the Mount Eden Normal Primary School enrolment zone comprising parts of Mount Eden, Kingsland and Eden Terrace (as shown on the attachment to the submission), if the rezoning does not increase the residential density beyond that provided for within the notified version of the PAUP.	3414	Auckland Grammar School	Support
2814-1	Margaret Horsburgh and Anthony Beckett	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the pre-1944 building demolition control from 3 Paunui Street, St Heliers			
2815-1	Farac Family	Zoning	West		Rezone the property at 329 Lincoln Road, Henderson from Light Industry to Mixed Use Business.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2815-1	Farac Family	Zoning	West		Rezone the property at 329 Lincoln Road, Henderson from Light Industry to Mixed Use Business.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2815-2	Farac Family	Zoning	West		Rezone the property at 329 Lincoln Road, Henderson from Light Industry to General Business (and add a new precinct zone overlay).	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2815-2	Farac Family	Zoning	West		Rezone the property at 329 Lincoln Road, Henderson from Light Industry to General Business (and add a new precinct zone overlay).	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2815-3	Farac Family	Precincts - West	New Precincts		Add a new precinct to apply to the property at 329 Lincoln Road, Henderson (along with rezoning from Light Industry Zone to General Business). The new precinct shall have the same provisions as the Working (Lincoln) Zone under the Auckland Council District Plan - Operative Waitakere Section 2003, which provides for a spectrum of uses ranging from residential, commericial, retail and convenience through to offices and Group 1 (warehousing and manufacturing) uses.			
2815-4	Farac Family	Transport	Auckland -wide	Mapping	Remove the Vehicle Access Restriction (Motorway) from the property at 329 Lincoln Road, Henderson.			
2815-5	Farac Family	Designations	G1.3 Designations		In the event the Vehicle Access Restriction relating to the Motorway is retained, Council to designate an alternative road access from Central Park Drive to the property at 329 Lincoln Road, Henderson, through the adjoining property at 166 Central Park Drive.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose
2816-1	Ranfurly Village Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Retain carparking rules for retirement villages.			
2816-2	Ranfurly Village Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 539 Mt Albert Road from Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings to Retirement Village			
2816-3	Ranfurly Village Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Add a 16.5m additional height overlay to 539 Mt Albert Road, Mt Albert			
2816-4	Ranfurly Village Limited	Residential zones	Retirement Village zone	I21 Rules	Amend activity status of new buildings to a Controlled activity.			
2816-5	Ranfurly Village Limited	Residential zones	Retirement Village zone	I21 Rules	Limit matters of discretion and assessment criteria for new buildings to: 'a) building design and external appearance and b) design of parking and access'.			
2816-6	Ranfurly Village Limited	Residential zones	Retirement Village zone	I21 Rules	Amend Rule 3.12 'Minimum dwelling size' to 25m2.			
2816-7	Ranfurly Village Limited	Residential zones	Retirement Village zone	I21 Rules	Amend Rule 3.13 'Minimum dimension of principal living rooms' so serviced apartments are excluded from requirement.			
2816-8	Ranfurly Village Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1643 Road Widening - Mt Albert Road	Remove designation 1643 from the Mt Albert Road frontage of 539 Mt Albert Road [road widening]			
2817-1	Sir/Madam Ankita	General	Whole Plan		Reject the Plan.			
2818-1	The Prema Charitable Trust	Precincts - North	New Precincts	All other New Precincts	Add a new precinct for the Kawai Purapura site at 14 Mills Lane, Albany, similar to the existing Special Purpose 10 zone [under the Auckland Council District Plan - North Shore Section] but updated to reflect the nature of the current use of the site. The new precinct will apply just to the site at 14 Mills Lane and will provide for a tertiary education and residential campus facility, including residential healthcare and retreat facilities and accommodation.			
2818-2	The Prema Charitable Trust	Residential zones	Residential	Activity Table	If the submitter's proposed new precinct is not included in the PAUP, amend the Large Lot zone to encompass tertiary activities, with appropriate development controls.			
2819-1	Francis Thompson	Genetically modified organisms	C5.17/H4.19 Genetically modified organisms		Retain provisions managing GMOs.			
2819-2	Francis Thompson	Genetically modified organisms	C5.17/H4.19 Genetically modified organisms		Remove the 'exemption of veterinary vaccines' from rule 4.19			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2820-1	Susan Peace	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Discharges	D5.1.10 - D5.1.12 Background, objectives and policies	Retain control on sewerage discharge from boats			
2820-2	Susan Peace	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Restrict rural subdivision to special cases where land is below optimal fertility			
2820-3	Susan Peace	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Provide public transport to all subdivision and special housing areas as residents move in.			
2820-4	Susan Peace	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Reject exclusion of treaty settlement land from the pre-1944 building demolition control			
2820-5	Susan Peace	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Delete the requirement for outdoor living space to be directly accessible from the principal living area			
2820-6	Susan Peace	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend minimum dwelling sizes from $30m^2$ to $35m^2$			
2820-7	Susan Peace	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Retain maximum fence height control of 1.2m and add this control to side and rear fences			
2820-8	Susan Peace	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Promote hedges and shrubs rather than fences			
2820-9	Susan Peace	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Delete the tree requirement and instead promote planting of trees, hedges and shrubs			
2820-10	Susan Peace	Zoning	North and Islands		Restrict high rise development on the East Coast, particularly including Milford and Takapuna	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2820-11	Susan Peace	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Encourage shopping areas to locate in low lying areas away from ridgelines			
2820-12	Susan Peace	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone properties on boundary of beaches and Lake Pupuke to either Public Open Space or Single House	1355	The McAuley Trust (Congregation of the Sisters of Mercy New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2820-13	Susan Peace	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone northern side of Shakespeare Road from shops to Strafford Road, Milford from Mixed Housing Suburban to Mixed Use or Mixed Housing Urban or Terraced Housing and Apartment Buildings			
2820-14	Susan Peace	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone block bound by Thorton St, Shakespeare Rd and Brook St, Milford to high density housing [zone not specified]	3398	TRG Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2820-15	Susan Peace	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone property bound by Wairau Rd, Forest Hill Road and Wairau Creek, Forest Hill from residential [zone not specified] to Mixed Use			
2820-16	Susan Peace	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone [45 and 47] Nile Rd, Forest Hill from Neighbourhood Centre to residential [zone not specified]			
2820-17	Susan Peace	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Anzac St area between Auburn St and the Terrace, Takapuna from Terraced Housing and Apartment Building and Metropolitan Centre to Mixed Use and Metropolitan Centre			
2821-1	Ronald H Lever	Zoning	South		Rezone 87 Tui Road, Papatoetoe from Mixed Housing - Suburban to Mixed Rural.			
2821-2	Ronald H Lever	Residential zones	Residential	Activity Table	Amend the Activity Table so that "Grazing of livestock on sites greater than $2,000m^2$ net site area" is a Permitted activity in all residential zones.			
2821-3	Ronald H Lever	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete Development control 7.4 'alternative Height in relation to boundary' or if the control is retained then amend so that is it "3.6m then set back one metre for each additional metre in height (45 degrees)".			
2821-4	Ronald H Lever	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.2 - 3 Controls excld. stock access/Assessment	Delete Permitted activity control 2.3(3) 'Disturbance and associated sediment discharge'. If the control is retained, then delete 2.3(3)(a)(ii) which states that for channel clearance less than 100m in length the amount of material disturbed must not exceed $1500m^3$.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2821-5	Ronald H Lever	General	Cross plan matters		Amend the PAUP so that any building in any zone which is exempt from building consent is also exempt from resource consent, especially in rural zones.			
2821-6	Ronald H Lever	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend the overlay rules to allow a Pre-1944 building to be relocated within the site or to another another site without resource consent.			
2821-7	Ronald H Lever	Rural Zones	General	I13.3 Development controls	Amend Development control 3.5(1) 'Size of buildings' to increase the maximum size of buildings from 200m2 to 2000m2.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2821-7	Ronald H Lever	Rural Zones	General	I13.3 Development controls	Amend Development control 3.5(1) 'Size of buildings' to increase the maximum size of buildings from 200m2 to 2000m2.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
2821-8	Ronald H Lever	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of 'Intensively grazed production land' so the stocking rate is increased from 18 to 25 stock units per hectare.			
2822-1	Ready Wright Family Trust	Further submission	Further submission		Further Submission FS # 3605			
2823-1	Harsh Diwan	General	Whole Plan		Reject the Proposed Plan.			
2824-1	Max Cossey	Zoning	South		Rezone 53, 53A and 61 Kitchener Road Waiuku from Large Lot, to Mixed Housing. Rezone the surrounding area a combination of Mixed Housing and Single Housing.	2453	Max Cossey	Support
2825-1	Elizabeth Duder-Subritzky	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Amend coastal inundation overlay along Umupuia Beach to 40m from mean high water springs			
2825-2	Elizabeth Duder-Subritzky	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Amend coastal inundation overlay on Te Kuiti Stream to 10m			
2826-1	Snowplanet Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the General Business zoning of the site used by "Snowplanet" at 91 Small Road, Silverdale			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2826-2	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Retain the Silverdale 2 Precinct zoning of the site used by "Snowplanet" at 91 Small Road, Silverdale.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2826-3	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Amend the Precinct provisions to reflect the submitter's appeal [Snowplanet Limited] to Plan Change 123 to the Auckland Council District Plan - Rodney Section.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2826-4	Snowplanet Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Retain activity statuses of the various activities provided for in the General Business zone, subject to other amendments in the submission.			
2826-5	Snowplanet Limited	Definitions	New		Include a new definition for Commerical Recreation, as set out in the submission, which provides for large, generally commercial uses that provide continuous recreation or entertainment orientated activities, including amusement parks, theme parks, water parks, mountain biking, paintball, gold driving ranges, miniature golf facilities and zoos.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Oppose
2826-6	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Provide for Commercial Recreation as a permitted activity in the Precinct [K5.43.1 Activity Table]			
2826-7	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Add a new restricted discretionary activity in K5.43.1 Activity table as follows: 'Retail of specialist sporting goods on sites where Commercial Recreation operates as the primary activity provided that each retail sales area has a maximum Gross Floor Area of 200m^2 and there are no more than ten such shops within the Hibiscus Coast Gateway Recreation and Entertainment Zone.'	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2826-8	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Amend the activity status of 'Retail not otherwise provided for' in K5.43.1 Activity table from non-complying to discretionary.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2826-9	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Adopt the Indicative Road alignment, as attached to the submission, and per Plan Change 123 to the Auckland Council District Plan - Rodney Section and the submitters appeal on the same, including recognition of Small Road.			
2826-10	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Delete Rule K5.43.10 Special information requirements.			
2826-11	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Amend the Precinct Plan with a notation that the indicative road is to terminate at the northern boundary of the submitter's site (Lot 1 DP 195048) as shown on the diagram attached at Annexure 2 to the submission.			
2826-12	Snowplanet Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Retain the Future Urban zoning of the northern part of the Silverdale South Block.	3388	Runwild Trust	Oppose in Part
2826-12	Snowplanet Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Retain the Future Urban zoning of the northern part of the Silverdale South Block.	3399	Silverdale Golf Range Limited	Oppose in Part
2826-12	Snowplanet Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Retain the Future Urban zoning of the northern part of the Silverdale South Block.	3400	LM Painton Estate	Oppose in Part
2826-13	Snowplanet Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Only enable activities or introduce an alternative zone for the existing Future Urban zone in the northern part of the Silverdale South block, that results in the same traffic effects as recreation and entertainment and/or is staged relative to the capacity of the roading network.	3388	Runwild Trust	Oppose in Part
2826-13	Snowplanet Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Only enable activities or introduce an alternative zone for the existing Future Urban zone in the northern part of the Silverdale South block, that results in the same traffic effects as recreation and entertainment and/or is staged relative to the capacity of the roading network.	3399	Silverdale Golf Range Limited	Oppose in Part
2826-13	Snowplanet Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Only enable activities or introduce an alternative zone for the existing Future Urban zone in the northern part of the Silverdale South block, that results in the same traffic effects as recreation and entertainment and/or is staged relative to the capacity of the roading network.	3400	LM Painton Estate	Oppose in Part
2826-14	Snowplanet Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain Rule 2.4(1).			
2826-15	Snowplanet Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Retain permitted activity status for entertainment and food and beverage in the General Business zone.			
2826-16	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Retain activity statuses of the various activities provided for in K5.43.1 Activity Table, subject to other amendments in the submission.			
2826-17	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Retain activity status of 'parking ancillary to a permitted or restricted discretionary activity' as a controlled activity [in K5.43.1 Activity table].			
2826-18	Snowplanet Limited	Precincts - North	Silverdale 2		Retain the restricted discretionary activity status of visitor accommodation, childcare centres, dairies, restaurants and cafes, offices brilliancy to a permitted or restricted discretionary activity, retail for sale of goods ancillary to the main activity on the site and construction, additions or alterations to buildings [in K5.43.1 Activity table].	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2827-1	Sir/Madam Gho	General	Whole Plan		Reject the Proposed Plan.			
2828-1	John A Simons	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Single House, Large Lot Residential, Rural and Coastal Settlements	Delete [rule 5.4] 5m front yard and 1m side yard requirements in the rural and coastal settlement zone in relation to Puhoi.			
2828-2	John A Simons	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Single House, Large Lot Residential, Rural and Coastal Settlements	Reject [rule 5.6] the reduction of site coverage from 400m^2 to 200m^2 .			
2828-3	John A Simons	Zoning	North and Islands		Reject identification of a neighbourhood centre in Puhoi.			
2828-4	John A Simons	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Puhoi Memorial Park from Open Space Conservation to Open Space Sports and Active Recreation.			
2828-5	John A Simons	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Add the Convent (house) in Puhoi to the historic heritage drawing [infer add to the schedule].			
2828-6	John A Simons	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove identification of pre-1944 controls in Puhoi including Mustard Makers and adjacent site.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2828-7	John A Simons	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Review natural heritage drawing in relation to the land to the rear of the church (enclosed by Puhoi Rd and Krippner Rd).			
2828-8	John A Simons	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Retain the deletion of the front fence rule from the coastal settlement zone.			
2828-9	John A Simons	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.6 Neighbourhood Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Retain the non conformity and non consistency of the buildings in Puhoi Village. Refer page 4/18 of the submission.			
2828-10	John A Simons	General	Editorial and Part 6		Amend maps to include specific identifier numbers, improved colours and consistent scales.			
2828-11	John A Simons	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete G2.7.4 Cultural Impact Assessment.			
2828-12	John A Simons	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Remove the requirement to obtain Cultural Impact Assessment from iwi. Make the council the agency responsible for preparing any Cultural Impact Assessment where the council deems it necessary			
2828-13	John A Simons	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Remove all provisions in the PAUP calling for partnership arrangements, shared governance, co-management, joint management agreements and the transfer of power to Mana Whenua			
2828-14	John A Simons	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend B1.4 by deleting the statement 'It is important to build stable and equal partnerships which enable Mana Whenua to actively and meaningfully participate in the management of natural resources'. This appears in the third paragraph under the heading 'Decision making, environmental governance, partnerships and participation'			
2828-15	John A Simons	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend B1.4 by deleting the bullet point 'Enable Mana Whenua to participate in co-management of natural resources'. This statement appears under the heading 'Auckland Plan strategic direction and priorities'			
2828-16	John A Simons	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.1 Recognition of Te Tiriti o Waitangi partnership & participation	Amend B5.1 by deleting Policies 3 and 4			
2828-17	John A Simons	General	Miscellaneous	Consultation and engagement	Prior to entering any co-governance arrangements, hold a referendum on whether the governance of physical and natural resources should be shared in partnership with Mana Whenua			
2828-18	John A Simons	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	C5.15.1 Background & Objectives	Ensure that management decisions regarding freshwater resources lie solely with democratically elected governing bodies, and not in partnership with Mana Whenua			
2828-19	John A Simons	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Delete E5.1 and rewrite in a way that: respects property rights; provides a fair balance between protection of cultural heritage and private property rights; uses clear and unambiguous terminology; requires the council to be responsible for all Cultural Impact Assessments with applicants dealing directly with the council and not with iwi			
2828-20	John A Simons	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete E5.2 and rewrite in a way that: respects property rights; provides a fair balance between protection of cultural heritage and private property rights; uses clear and unambiguous terminology; requires the council to be responsible for all Cultural Impact Assessments with applicants dealing directly with the council and not with iwi			
2828-21	John A Simons	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Grade the Sites of signficance to Mana Whenua into categories of significance, to be accorded various degrees of protection and obligation			
2828-22	John A Simons	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Grade the Sites of value to Mana Whenua into categories of significance, to be accorded varying degrees of protection and obligation			
2828-23	John A Simons	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Explain to all affected parties the impact of, and the obligations required of the landowners, when a place is designated a Site of Signficance or Value to Mana Whenua			
2829-1	Cherry A Channon	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Reject proposal to give power to unelected iwi			
2830-1	32 Church Street Family Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone the property at View Road, Warkworth (Lot 1 DP 207145), as shown on Figure 2 to the submission, from Large Lot Residential to Mixed Housing Suburban.	2836	Westwinds Developments Limited	Oppose in Part
2831-1	Anscot Property Limited	Designations	Auckland Transport	1616 Road Widening - Mt Eden Road	Remove Designation 1616 from Mount Eden Road.			
2832-1	Wellsford Districts Sport and Recreation Collective Incorporation	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land between State Highway 1 and Flagstaffe Rd, Wellsford known as the Cory Block (Sec 7 and 8 50434733 and Section 7-9 survey office plan 434733 Wellsford) from Future Urban and Rural Production to open space or reserve. Refer to map attached to submission for details.			
2833-1	Ross Reid Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Retain RUB along Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights	1604	Point View Heritage Society Inc	Oppose in Part
2833-1	Ross Reid Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Retain RUB along Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights	1610	Michael J Hammond	Oppose in Part
2833-1	Ross Reid Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Retain RUB along Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights	2256	Helen E Hammond	Oppose in Part
2833-2	Ross Reid Limited	Zoning	South		Retain 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights as Mixed Housing Suburban zone	1604	Point View Heritage Society Inc	Oppose in Part
2833-2	Ross Reid Limited	Zoning	South		Retain 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights as Mixed Housing Suburban zone	1610	Michael J Hammond	Oppose in Part
2833-2	Ross Reid Limited	Zoning	South		Retain 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights as Mixed Housing Suburban zone	2256	Helen E Hammond	Oppose in Part
2833-3	Ross Reid Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Reject the indicative stream overlay identified on 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights			
2833-4	Ross Reid Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Reject the additional subdivision control identified on 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights			
2833-5	Ross Reid Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Reject the ridgeline protection overlay in the general location of 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights	1610	Michael J Hammond	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2833-5	Ross Reid Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Reject the ridgeline protection overlay in the general location of 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights	2256	Helen E Hammond	Oppose in Part
2833-6	Ross Reid Limited	Further submission	Further submission		Further Submission FS # 3606	1604	Point View Heritage Society Inc	Oppose in Part
2833-6	Ross Reid Limited	Further submission	Further submission		Further Submission FS # 3606	1610	Michael J Hammond	Oppose in Part
2833-6	Ross Reid Limited	Further submission	Further submission		Further Submission FS # 3606	2256	Helen E Hammond	Oppose in Part
2833-7	Ross Reid Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	General	Reject Appendix 3.2.23 of the Section 32 report [Assessment of edge requests for inclusion within the Rural Urban Boundary] to the extent that the PAUP is contrary to the report	1604	Point View Heritage Society Inc	Oppose in Part
2833-7	Ross Reid Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	General	Reject Appendix 3.2.23 of the Section 32 report [Assessment of edge requests for inclusion within the Rural Urban Boundary] to the extent that the PAUP is contrary to the report	1610	Michael J Hammond	Oppose in Part
2833-7	Ross Reid Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	General	Reject Appendix 3.2.23 of the Section 32 report [Assessment of edge requests for inclusion within the Rural Urban Boundary] to the extent that the PAUP is contrary to the report	2256	Helen E Hammond	Oppose in Part
2834-1	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Rural Production zone on the land between Kumeu.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-2	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	Zoning	West		Retain the mixed rural zone on Waitakere Rd, Waitakere.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-3	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	West	Reduce the area of the future urban zone in Kumeu and Huapai to avoid creating dormitory suburb accommodation and to create the feel of a proper town.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Support in Part
2834-3	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	West	Reduce the area of the future urban zone in Kumeu and Huapai to avoid creating dormitory suburb accommodation and to create the feel of a proper town.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-4	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	Zoning	West		Retain the mixed rural zone in Dysart Lane and Pomona Rd, Kumeu and do not rezone to Countryside Living.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-5	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Provide an alternative transport route through Kumeu utilising Trotting Course Dr, Waitakere Rd, and Farrand Rd. Utilise and develop the paper road that exists opposite Weza Lane as an alternative to Waitakeke Rd.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Support
2834-5	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Provide an alternative transport route through Kumeu utilising Trotting Course Dr, Waitakere Rd, and Farrand Rd. Utilise and develop the paper road that exists opposite Weza Lane as an alternative to Waitakeke Rd.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-6	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Develop a major highway north of Kumeu to support greatly increased demands to roading infrastructure associated with population increase around Kumeu.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-7	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Ensure the urban settlement of Helensville is developed more fully.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-8	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	West	Retain the location of the rural urban boundary at the eastern edge of Kumeu.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-9	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Avoid land use changes such as increased residential use in Kumeu that detrimentally affect the ability to farm land.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2834-10	Kumeu River Wines Limited and M G Brajkovich Family Trust	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Provide a superior train service from Auckland to Helensville.	2293	Dysart Lane Area	Support in Part
2835-1	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Mixed Use zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-1	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Mixed Use zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2835-1	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Mixed Use zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-1	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Mixed Use zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	3144	Neil Properties Limited	Support
2835-1	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Mixed Use zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	3350	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Support
2835-1	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Mixed Use zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	3358	Mansons TCLM Limited	Support
2835-2	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.2 Building Height to read: 'to manage any adverse effects of building height'.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-2	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.2 Building Height to read: 'to manage any adverse effects of building height'.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-3	B A Trustees Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Use zoning of 524 Great North Road, Grey Lynn.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-3	B A Trustees Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Use zoning of 524 Great North Road, Grey Lynn.	2762	Grey Lynn Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2835-3	B A Trustees Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Use zoning of 524 Great North Road, Grey Lynn.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-4	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Local Centre zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-4	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Local Centre zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2835-4	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.2 Building Height to increase the height limit for the Local Centre zone to at least 24.5m with no building height in storeys control.	3350	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Support
2835-5	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.2 Building Height to read: "to manage any adverse effects of building height", particularly in relation to height in Local Centres.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-5	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.2 Building Height to read: "to manage any adverse effects of building height", particularly in relation to height in Local Centres.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-6	B A Trustees Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete the additional height control on the property at 584 Great North Road or increase the height for Business Local Centres to at least 24.5m.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-6	B A Trustees Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete the additional height control on the property at 584 Great North Road or increase the height for Business Local Centres to at least 24.5m.	2762	Grey Lynn Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2835-6	B A Trustees Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete the additional height control on the property at 584 Great North Road or increase the height for Business Local Centres to at least 24.5m.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-7	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.2 to read: "to manage any adverse effects of building height".	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-7	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.2 to read: "to manage any adverse effects of building height".	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-8	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the pre-1944 overlay from the property at 584 Great North Road, Grey Lynn.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-8	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the pre-1944 overlay from the property at 584 Great North Road, Grey Lynn.	2762	Grey Lynn Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2835-8	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the pre-1944 overlay from the property at 584 Great North Road, Grey Lynn.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-9	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete all overlay provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, criteria and other methods) regarding the pre-1944 demolition control overlay.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-9	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete all overlay provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, criteria and other methods) regarding the pre-1944 demolition control overlay.	2762	Grey Lynn Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2835-9	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Delete all overlay provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, criteria and other methods) regarding the pre-1944 demolition control overlay.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-10	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend Activity Table to provide for "demolition and removal of pre-1944 buildings as a permitted activity when consent has been granted for an appropriate, contextually designed new building".	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-10	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend Activity Table to provide for "demolition and removal of pre-1944 buildings as a permitted activity when consent has been granted for an appropriate, contextually designed new building".	2762	Grey Lynn Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2835-10	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend Activity Table to provide for "demolition and removal of pre-1944 buildings as a permitted activity when consent has been granted for an appropriate, contextually designed new building".	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-11	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend the assessment criteria to provide for: "a. whether a new building is proposed and the contribution it would make to streetscape character; b. the need to achieve reasonable compliance with the current building code; c. the need to achieve modern living amenity; d. whether the building will be removed for reuse elsewhere; and e. the reasonableness and practicality of renovating."	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-11	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend the assessment criteria to provide for: "a. whether a new building is proposed and the contribution it would make to streetscape character; b. the need to achieve reasonable compliance with the current building code; c. the need to achieve modern living amenity; d. whether the building will be removed for reuse elsewhere; and e. the reasonableness and practicality of renovating."	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-11	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend the assessment criteria to provide for: "a. whether a new building is proposed and the contribution it would make to streetscape character; b. the need to achieve reasonable compliance with the current building code; c. the need to achieve modern living amenity; d. whether the building will be removed for reuse elsewhere; and e. the reasonableness and practicality of renovating."	3152	Domain Heritage Trust	Support
2835-12	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Amend the PAUP and its policies and objectives, rules, methods and reasons to reflect the reasons for this submission.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-12	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Amend the PAUP and its policies and objectives, rules, methods and reasons to reflect the reasons for this submission.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-13	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Include a new rule that in the event that consent is required for demolition or removal the consent can be processed on a non-notified basis.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-13	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Include a new rule that in the event that consent is required for demolition or removal the consent can be processed on a non-notified basis.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-14	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.3 "Height in relation to boundary" to: "Increase the Business local centre's 8m plus 15 degrees height in relation to boundary control to be consistent with No.4 bulk in relation to boundary daylight indicators angle plane from the City of Auckland Isthmus and Central Area district plans."	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2835-14	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.3 "Height in relation to boundary" to: "Increase the Business local centre's 8m plus 15 degrees height in relation to boundary control to be consistent with No.4 bulk in relation to boundary daylight indicators angle plane from the City of Auckland Isthmus and Central Area district plans."	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-14	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Rule 4.3 "Height in relation to boundary" to: "Increase the Business local centre's 8m plus 15 degrees height in relation to boundary control to be consistent with No.4 bulk in relation to boundary daylight indicators angle plane from the City of Auckland Isthmus and Central Area district plans."	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2835-15	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.3 "Height in relation to boundary" to read: "to manage any adverse effects of building height",	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2835-15	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.3 "Height in relation to boundary" to read: "to manage any adverse effects of building height",	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-15	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.3 "Height in relation to boundary" to read: "to manage any adverse effects of building height",	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-16	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete the Local Centre zone's building set back rule.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-16	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete the Local Centre zone's building set back rule.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-17	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.8 by deleting any reference to visual dominance, sunlight access and adverse wind effects to streets.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-17	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Rule 4.8 by deleting any reference to visual dominance, sunlight access and adverse wind effects to streets.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-18	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.4 "Building set back at upper floors" to delete all set backs in the Mixed Use zone.	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2835-18	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.4 "Building set back at upper floors" to delete all set backs in the Mixed Use zone.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-18	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.4 "Building set back at upper floors" to delete all set backs in the Mixed Use zone.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-18	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.4 "Building set back at upper floors" to delete all set backs in the Mixed Use zone.	3358	Mansons TCLM Limited	Support
2835-19	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Control 4.4 "Building set back at upper floors" by deleting any reference to visual dominance, sunlight access and adverse wind effects to streets.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-19	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the purpose of Control 4.4 "Building set back at upper floors" by deleting any reference to visual dominance, sunlight access and adverse wind effects to streets.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-20	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.8 "Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height" clauses (3) and (4) so that they are consistent and allow conversions from residential to non-residential activities.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-20	B A Trustees Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Development Control 4.8 "Minimum floor to floor/ceiling height" clauses (3) and (4) so that they are consistent and allow conversions from residential to non-residential activities.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2835-21	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the pre-1944 overlay from the properties at 2-8 Barrington Road, Grey Lynn.	2290	Northland Town Planners Ltd	Oppose in Part
2835-21	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the pre-1944 overlay from the properties at 2-8 Barrington Road, Grey Lynn.	2762	Grey Lynn Residents Association	Oppose in Part
2835-21	B A Trustees Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the pre-1944 overlay from the properties at 2-8 Barrington Road, Grey Lynn.	2906	Graham Dunster	Oppose in Part
2836-1	C and V Donaldson Trust	Zoning	Central		rezone 14 Taylor's Road, St Lukes and surrounding properties from Light Industry to Mixed Use			
2836-2	C and V Donaldson Trust	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete rule 4.8(3)			
2836-3	C and V Donaldson Trust	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete cultural impact assessment requirements			
2836-4	C and V Donaldson Trust	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Delete third paragraph below the assessment criteria heading in section 1.4			
2836-5	C and V Donaldson Trust	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete requirement for design statements			
2836-6	C and V Donaldson Trust	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Remove storey component for height limits in all zones			
2836-7	C and V Donaldson Trust	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete sustainable development requirements			
2836-8	C and V Donaldson Trust	Residential zones	Housing affordability	H6.6 Rules	Delete affordable housing requirements			
2837-1	Gulf Harbour Marine Village Residents' Association Incorporated	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Marina zone	I7.1 Activity tables and I7.2 Notification	Amend activity status of marina berths to permitted	3190	Topp Harbour	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2837-2	Gulf Harbour Marine Village Residents' Association Incorporated	Precincts - North	Gulf Harbour		Amend the rules to clearly identify activity status' for activities in the sub-precincts	3190	Topp Harbour	Support
2837-3	Gulf Harbour Marine Village Residents' Association Incorporated	Precincts - North	Gulf Harbour		Amend the rules for sub-precinct C to provide lower density limits for sites located away from the waterways	3190	Topp Harbour	Support
2837-4	Gulf Harbour Marine Village Residents' Association Incorporated	Precincts - North	Gulf Harbour		Add policy to sub-precinct C clarifying whether minor dwellings are provided for or otherwise	3190	Topp Harbour	Support
2837-5	Gulf Harbour Marine Village Residents' Association Incorporated	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Appendix 6 - Coastal excluding sch. 6.2 ONC/HNC	6.7 Coastal protection yard	Delete Coastal Protection Yard as it applies to land around waterways in Gulf Harbour	3190	Topp Harbour	Support
2837-6	Gulf Harbour Marine Village Residents' Association Incorporated	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Amend to clarify status of activities in coastal inundation overlay or delete overlay from around waterways in Gulf Harbour	3190	Topp Harbour	Support
2837-7	Gulf Harbour Marine Village Residents' Association Incorporated	Water	Aquifers/Groundwater		Amend to clarify purpose of aquifer overlay to delete aquifer overlay from Gulf Harbour precinct area	3190	Topp Harbour	Support
2837-8	Gulf Harbour Marine Village Residents' Association Incorporated	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the extent of the Sites and Places of Value to Mana Whenua overlay to only the area necessary to protect each site or delete requirement for cultural impact assessment in relation to works within these sites [particularly in relation to Gulf Harbour precinct]	3190	Topp Harbour	Support
2838-1	Sir/Madam Han	General	Whole Plan		Reject the Proposed Plan.			
2839-1	Sir/Madam Harsh	General	Whole Plan		Reject the Proposed Plan.			
2840-1	Edward Jones	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete overlay from 493 and 491 Blockhouse Bay Road, Blockhouse Bay.			
2840-2	Edward Jones	Zoning	West		Amend Terrace Housing and Apartment Zone to include the East side of Blockhouse Bay Road between Exminster Street and the Taylor Street intersection.			
2841-1	Simon Shepheard	Zoning	Central		Rezone Tuarangi Road, Ivanhoe Road, Shirley Road and Tay Street, Grey Lynn from Mixed Housing to Single House.			
2841-2	Simon Shepheard	Precincts - City Centre	Wynyard	F3.15 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Replace with an alternative development proposal for Wynyard Peninsula [this will be presented at hearing].	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2842-1	Margaret D James	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.1 Historic heritage	Reject identification of historic properties [page 1/2 of submission]			
2842-2	Margaret D James	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Rejects overlay until sites are positively identified.			
2842-3	Margaret D James	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Mangroves	D5.1.6 Background, objectives and policies	Include ongoing management of mangroves, not just for post 1996.	1996	South Titirangi Ratepayers and Residents Association	Support
2843-1	Brian Park	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.7.4 to be more specific in terms of time and costs.			
2843-2	Brian Park	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend 2.4.7 so that Council is the only party that is involved.			
2843-3	Brian Park	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Reject 4(c)(iv)			
2843-4	Brian Park	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.5(2)(3) and (4) Accidental discovery protocol	Amend rules to protect cultural heritage by introducing mechanisms that are practical, manageable, and cost effective, driven by significant/ importance of the real and actual discovery.			
2843-5	Brian Park	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Amend rules to protect natural heritage by introducing mechanisms that are practical, manageable, and cost effective, driven by significant/ importance of the real and actual discovery.			
2843-6	Brian Park	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Add provision that support SEA property owners.	1628	Penelope Aston	Support
2843-7	Brian Park	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Amend SEA rules to reflect those of the RMA relating to Accidental Discovery (20 day time limit, costs are capped with maximum fee for initial appraisal e.g. $200, where potentially significant site identified Council meet the cost of further assessment and protection measures). Apply these to all SEA's not already scheduled as significant cultural heritage sites.			
2843-8	Brian Park	General	Whole Plan		Reject the Plan			
2844-1	Queenie K Y Lo	Zoning	South		Reject zoning of part of the park at 67R The Esplanade, Eastern Beach.			
2845-1	Shona Murray	Zoning	Central		Rezone Herman, Daventry and Waterbank Streets Waterview from Mixed Housing Urban to Mixed housing Suburban.			
2846-1	Percival Nicol	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Amend Rule 9.6 by reducing the minimum frontage and site width to be reduced to 18m at the road boundary, for at least 80% of the length of its side boundaries, where a building of up to four storeys is proposed.			
2847-1	Shaw Thing Properties Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 128 St Georges Bay Road, Parnell from Light Industry to a Business Zone providing for office activities			
2848-1	Yogesh M Dewan	General	Whole Plan		No specific decision stated, opposes the provisions for 63 Manuroa Road, Takanini.			
2849-1	Timothy Hay	RPS	Changes to the RUB	West	Amend the Rural Urban Boundary to create an adequate buffer or border between the Rural and Urban Zones i.e road /reserve separation at 23 Foster Road Kumeu.			
2849-2	Timothy Hay	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Amend the Plan to protect native planting areas on 23 Forster Road Kumeu.			
2849-3	Timothy Hay	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	Overlay E7.4 Natural Stream Management Area	Amend the Plan to protect stream reserves on 23 Forster Road Kumeu.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2850-1	Cycle Action Auckland	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend the PAUP to allow more apartments and townhouse zoning, especially near public transport routes.	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Support
2850-1	Cycle Action Auckland	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend the PAUP to allow more apartments and townhouse zoning, especially near public transport routes.	3338	Housing New Zealand Corporation	Support
2850-2	Cycle Action Auckland	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Remove all rules that require minimum car parking.			
2850-3	Cycle Action Auckland	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Retain the mandatory cycle parking rules for certain developments.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Oppose in Part
2851-1	Shivani Dewan	General	Whole Plan		No specific decision stated, oppose provisions for 63 Manuroa Rd, Takanini.			
2852-1	Roslyn E Tinkler	Zoning	Central		Rezone Subritzky Farm, being the land accessed via 11 Commodore Drive, Tropicana Ave, 8 Lynfield Pl and 32 Gilletta Rd, Lynfield. Zoning for intensive residential housing is opposed.			
2852-2	Roslyn E Tinkler	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Amend the PAUP to protect the existing stands of native bush, native birdlife, the Wairaki stream and its environs and all existing large freestanding trees at Subritzky Farm, being the land accessed via 11 Commodore Drive, Tropicana Ave, 8 Lynfield Pl and 32 Gilletta Rd, Lynfield.			
2852-3	Roslyn E Tinkler	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend the PAUP to require large green open areas and walkways, including a walkway to Lynfield Cove for any redevelopment of Subritzky Farm, being the land accessed via 11 Commodore Drive, Tropicana Ave, 8 Lynfield Pl and 32 Gilletta Rd, Lynfield.			
2853-1	Frances Helleur	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone area between the Promenade, Earnoch Ave, and Alison Ave, Takapuna from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a lower rise zone	3223	Emerald Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2854-1	Bloom St George Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove pre-1944 demolition control on Edinburgh St between Blair Ave and Albert St, Pukekohe			
2854-2	Bloom St George Limited	Zoning	South		Rezone Edinburgh St between Blair Ave and Albert St, Pukekohe from Mixed Housing Suburban to Mixed Housing Urban			
2855-1	Norman Wansbrough	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Rezone land so that 50% [of Auckland] has relaxed density controls.			
2855-2	Norman Wansbrough	Residential zones	Residential	Land use controls	Amend the density controls so that several small homes or units may be built on sections less than 1200m2.			
2856-1	Frances C Sinton	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Decline giving power to Iwi			
2857-1	Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Retain objectives.			
2857-2	Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Amend the overlays so the sites are accurately located and amend the Appendix to state the location and GPS position of sites of significance.			
2857-3	Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Amend the overlays so the sites are accurately located and amend the Appendix to state the location and GPS position of sites of value.			
2857-4	Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.1 Sites and places of significance to mana whenua	Add a description column to Appendix that states the value of each site as identified by Mana Whenua.			
2857-5	Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Add a description column to Appendix that states the value of each site as identified by Mana Whenua.			
2857-6	Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Retain objectives.			
2858-1	Nick Fletcher	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	[Delete] provisions that require home owners to possibly have to seek authority from iwi to make alterations to property.			
2859-1	Dare Consultants Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone 177 Rautawhiri Road, Helensville from Large Lot to Single House.	3502	Hounslow Holdings Limited	Support
2859-2	Dare Consultants Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone those parts of 3 Sunnybrae Road Hillcrest that are greater than 30m away from the Single House zone, from Single House to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone [refer to submission for details, page 4/5 volume 2].	262	John McFetridge and Suzanne Saunders	Support
2859-3	Dare Consultants Limited	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Delete all clauses that relate to the interior dimensions of a building, including balcony sizes and those matters covered in the "Purposes of the Building Act", that increase the cost to the end user.			
2859-4	Dare Consultants Limited	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Delete Minimum Dwelling Size Rule [9.16]			
2859-5	Dare Consultants Limited	Zoning	South		Rezone 62-66 Takanini Road, Taknini from Town Centre to Mixed Use or Terrace Housing and Apartments.			
2860-1	Fiona Vivian	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Countryside Living zone in Kaukapakapa.			
2861-1	Katharine de Montalk	Zoning	Central		Rezone Herdman, Devenerty, Waterbank Streets Waterview from Mixed Housing Urban to Mixed Housing Suburban			
2862-1	Mike Vivian	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Countryside Living zone in the Macky Road area, Kaukapakapa.			
2863-1	Maarten V Witsenburg	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Amend Policy Statement to provide a fair balance between the protection of cultural heritage and private property rights.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2863-2	Maarten V Witsenburg	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Amend terminology in the plan to ensure that it is clear and unambiguous to protect citizens from arbitrary use of power.			
2863-3	Maarten V Witsenburg	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend so the Council is responsible for all cultural impact assessments, both those required for resource consents and those for sites of significance or value.			
2863-4	Maarten V Witsenburg	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend the sites of significance be graded into categories of significance, to be accorded varying degrees of protection and obligation.			
2863-5	Maarten V Witsenburg	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Amend the sites of value be graded into categories of significance, to be accorded varying degrees of protection and obligation.			
2863-6	Maarten V Witsenburg	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Add the exact and substantiated reason for why each site has been designated of value be provided.			
2863-7	Maarten V Witsenburg	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Add the exact and substantiated reason for why each site has been designated of significance be provided.			
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2073	Patricia Isaac	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2075	Marjory J Clark	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2076	Paula Stockley	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2078	Rick and Pat Stockley	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2083	Gavin Young	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2085	Lara Camage	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2088	Colleen Brown	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2091	Michael Isaac	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2110	John D Sharples	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2111	Anthony Hulsbosch	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2113	Stephen J McCarthy	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2116	Sabrina J Davies	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2120	Jeremy J R Coleman	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2132	Joanna E Mawdsley	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2137	Barry J Brown	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2143	Philip L Mawdsley	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2144	Gordon Parkes	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2145	Jeremy W Cressey	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2149	Kay E Bourke	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2151	Toa Greening	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2153	Tony Aislabie	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2154	Nancy L McCarthy	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2155	Colin J McKenzie	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2157	Leanne D Whiter	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2179	John Oliver	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2182	Shanna Coetzee	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2187	Olga K Mason	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2190	Glen Frost	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2193	Leslie J Parlane	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2201	Christine Parlane	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2213	Julia S Finlayson	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2217	Diana F Coleman	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2219	Grant J Barrowman	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2220	Elizabeth Barrowman	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2370	Sally A Young	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2722	Bridie Young	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2725	Talei Underwood	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2748	Sharon Aislabie	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2752	Marie J Knight	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2754	Mark S Helms	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2759	Olivia L Brown	Support
2864-1	Alfriston Road petition wtih 20 signatures	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Remove proposed quarry transport route along Alfriston Rd	2831	Hill Park Residents Association	Support
2865-1	Tanya Foster	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Retain the 2 storey height limit for Brixton Road, Mount Eden.			
2865-2	Tanya Foster	Zoning	Central		Rezone Brixton Road, Mount Eden and surrounding streets from Mixed Housing Suburban to Single House.			
2865-3	Tanya Foster	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Add a special character overlay for to Brixton Road and surrounding streets.			
2865-4	Tanya Foster	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend the overlay so it applies to Special Character Areas streets as interim protection until the Plan is formally operative.			
2866-1	Mark and Sandra Beaton	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend overlay by reducing the size of the circles.			
2866-2	Mark and Sandra Beaton	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend earthworks trigger for Cultural Impact Assessments to become realistic and to enable piling and other common residential earthworks.	434	The Panther Family Trust	Support
2866-3	Mark and Sandra Beaton	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Clarify evidence base for flood mapping at 25 Ashcroft Ave, Mangere Bridge.			
2867-1	Mary Binney	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend the Pre-1944 Demolition Control and Historic Heritage overlays to include the property at 11 Awatea Road, Parnell and all other properties in the neighbourhood.			
2867-2	Mary Binney	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove the SEA overlay from properties in Piha, including 52 Beach Valley Road.			
2868-1	Leslie Eckard and 38 Signatures	Precincts - North	Waitematā Gun Club		Delete precinct until a relocation plan has been formulated.			
2869-1	Estate K E Rae	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Remove the [heritage status] overlay at 6 Arthur Street, Onehunga			
2870-1	Brian Axtell	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete the requirement for Cultural Impact Assessment			
2871-1	Jenifer Hood	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	[Delete] the heritage provisions that apply to 54 Normans Hill Road, Onehunga.			
2872-1	Halls Family Trust	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Remove the heritage overlay at 232-234 Onehunga Mall			
2873-1	Jelena Lawton	Zoning	Central		Rezone Herman Street, Waterview [to prevent] four storey apartment type buildings and move the multi-storey housing closer to Great North Road or Oakley Creek.			
2874-1	Briar Pool	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete all parts requiring Cultural Impact Assessment			
2875-1	Frank S Pearce	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Delete the PAUP requirement for the inclusion of Māori bodies before permissions may be granted for a proposal			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2876-1	Margaret E Pallister	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Reduce natural hazard zone to 30m from the mean high tide mark. The Te Kuti Stream hazard zone should be reduced to 10m from the edge of the stream			
2877-1	C and J Blind	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone "Stonedge" subdivision, Albany, from Mixed Housing Suburban to Single House [inferred to include Jade Court, Burnside Court, Bluestone Rise, Emerald Way, Opal Close, Stonedge Lane]			
2877-2	C and J Blind	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone 269 Rosedale Rd, Albany from School zone to Single House			
2878-1	AMR Developments Limited	Precincts - North	Matakana 1		Amend K5.25.4.1.2 'Cul-de sacs'[sic], to remove the requirement to keep a cul-de-sac to less than 120m for Torea Road, Matakana.			
2878-2	AMR Developments Limited	Precincts - North	Matakana 1		Amend K5.22.4.1.4 'Minimum Site Size' for the single lot zone to be 800m2.			
2878-3	AMR Developments Limited	Precincts - North	Matakana 1		Retain provision for Integrated Dwellings as a restricted discretionary activity (K5.22.1 Activity Table).			
2879-1	Edward Jines	Zoning	West		Retain the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone where properties are in close proximity to town/local centres and public transport, and in particular 491, 491A and 493 Blockhouse Bay Road			
2879-2	Edward Jines	Zoning	West		Retain the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone for the properties at 491, 491A and 493 Blockhouse Bay Road, Blockhouse Bay.			
2880-1	Alan K Underwood	General	Whole Plan		Decline the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan			
2881-1	Geetesh Raniga	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the Pre-1944 Demolition Provisions on Mixed Housing Suburban zone.			
2881-2	Geetesh Raniga	Zoning	Central		Rezone Poronui St, Mt Eden from Mixed Housing Suburban to Mixed Housing Urban.			
2882-1	Kevin de Silva	General	Miscellaneous	Consultation and engagement	Undertake further community consultation regarding Designation 1657, once the specific project details have been determined.			
2883-1	Greener Pastures Trust	Zoning	South		Rezone 440 Clevedon-Kawakawa Rd, Clevedon, from Rural Coastal to Rural Production			
2884-1	Shane Moser	Zoning	Central		Rezone 15 Karetu Rd, Greenlane from Mixed Housing Suburban to Mixed Housing Urban			
2885-1	Gail Selby-Brown	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Amend the PAUP to remove all references to Mana Whenua.			
2885-2	Gail Selby-Brown	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Retain reference to archaeological areas, but redefine their significance to include the whole population.			
2886-1	Donald Cockcroft	Zoning	Central		Rezone Herdman, Daventry and Waterbank Streets, Waterview to be Suburban zoning.			
2886-2	Donald Cockcroft	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend the PAUP so that Herman, Daventry and Waterbank Streets, Waterview are subject to a two storey maximum height.			
2887-1	Megan Crenfeldt	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Remove heritage value status of 76 Potts Road Whitford			
2888-1	Katrina Wilson	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend the residential zones, especially Mixed Housing Suburban, Mixed Housing Urban, and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to include provision for amenity gardening spaces for the use of the occupants.			
2888-2	Katrina Wilson	General	Miscellaneous	Auckland Design Manual (Content)	Amend the Auckland Design Manual that best practise to include the provision of amenity gardening spaces for the use of occupants.			
2888-3	Katrina Wilson	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	D2 Introduction	Amend the parks policy to proivde for amenity gardening spaces.			
2889-1	John Rowan	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	E5.2 Description, objectives and policies	Remove sites of value to Mana Whenua overlay in its entirety			
2890-1	Robert Roydhouse	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay			
2890-2	Robert Roydhouse	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section)			
2891-1	Ryan Bell	Zoning	Central		Rezone all properties from (and including) Grey street to Arthur Street, between Galway and Victoria Streets from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings and Mixed Housing Urban to Mixed Housing Suburban			
2891-2	Ryan Bell	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend development controls for Terrace Housing and Apartment Building and Mixed Housing Urban to protect existing amenity, privacy, character and sunlight access			
2891-3	Ryan Bell	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Amend the PAUP to protect character homes (Villas and Bungalows)			
2891-4	Ryan Bell	General	Miscellaneous	Consultation and engagement	Require more consultation with the community			
2892-1	Matua Road Farms Limited	Future Urban	I5 Rules		Amend to provide "Private training establishments - accessory to farming/food production" in the activity table.			
2892-2	Matua Road Farms Limited	Definitions	New		Define "private training establishment" as per the Education Act 1898 [view suggested wording on page 2/2 of the submission]	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose in Part
2892-2	Matua Road Farms Limited	Definitions	New		Define "private training establishment" as per the Education Act 1898 [view suggested wording on page 2/2 of the submission]	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2893-1	Alan F Tutt	Designations	Auckland Transport	1655 Road Widening - Ellerslie Panmure Highway	Remove road widening Ellerslie-Panmure Highway [Designation 1655 lies over the property 2 Mountain Road/470 Ellerslie-Panmure Highway]			
2894-1	Moyle Family	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Alot 156C Psh of Omaha SO6463 at 483 Leigh Road, Whangateau to Special Purpose - Cemetery.			
2895-1	Philip Cossey	Zoning	South		Rezone 53 Kitchener Road, 53A Kitchener Road, 61 Kitchener Road, Waiuku from Large Lot to Mixed Housing [Suburban - submission refers to surrounding zoning]	2451	Philip Cossey	Support
2896-1	Janet M Lucena	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2896-2	Janet M Lucena	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section)			
2897-1	Steven Corrick	Zoning	South		Rezone 3 Church Road from Single House to Mixed Housing Suburban			
2898-1	Christopher J Alexander	Rural Zones	General	I13.1 Activity table	Delete provisions relating to resource consents for group accommodation at 256 Pakiri Road, Leigh.			
2898-2	Christopher J Alexander	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Amend the policy on lifestyle blocks to 1 hectare.			
2899-1	Michael Cooper	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Add new special character area to protect St Heliers village rather than a precinct. The special character area would include provisions restricting development to a small scale, limiting maximum height to 9m, setting out detailed design guidelines, maintaining and enhancing small businesses, encouraging adaption and reuse of buildings and limiting the number of bars and restaurants.			
2899-2	Michael Cooper	Precincts - Central	Saint Heliers	K2.19.Precinct Rules	Restrict maximum residential building height in Goldie Street and Lombard Street, St Heliers to 9m.			
2899-3	Michael Cooper	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Housing zone from Cliff Road to Clarendon Road, St Heliers and along the Kohimarama waterfront area.			
2899-4	Michael Cooper	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban zones at St Heliers and its environs.			
2899-5	Michael Cooper	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Add the Tamaki Drive Masterplan into the PAUP.			
2899-6	Michael Cooper	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Ensure Tamaki Drive continues to serve the travel needs of local residents.			
2899-7	Michael Cooper	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Include assessment criteria which assess the potential adverse effects of development along Tamaki Drive on the harbour and Hauraki Gulf.			
2900-1	Philip Johnson	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay			
2900-2	Philip Johnson	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section)			
2901-1	Vivien Rickard	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the Pre-1944 Demolition Control overlay from the property at 17 Birdwood Crescent, Parnell - see Submission page 3/3 for map.			
2902-1	Brian Mooney	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Amend to provide for more small holding/rural living subdivisions in the area of 97C Runciman Road, Pukekohe East.	2993	P L and R M Reidy and A J and P M Kloeten and Ruatotara Limited	Support in Part
2903-1	Gwenda Reid and Myra Currie	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Whangateau Cemetery 483 Leight Road [part of Allot 156C Psh Of Omaha SO 6463 (1.9728HA)], Whangateau, from Public Open Space - Conservation, General Coastal Marine, Rural Coastal to Special Purpose - Cemetery			
2904-1	Barry Pinkney	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend so that any dialogue on an affected property [Sites of Significance to Mana Whenua and Sites of Value to Mana Whenua] to be at the expense of the iwi, not the property owner.			
2905-1	Jan Beatson	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Amend Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua - the impact and consultation process must reflect the value of the site and the range of sites impacted should reflect the site and the priority. NZAA Site information must be used to accurately describe what the archaeological site is.			
2905-2	Jan Beatson	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Encourage community support of Sites of Significance.			
2905-3	Jan Beatson	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	D2 Introduction	Include "Wild spaces" into public spaces and recreational areas.			
2906-1	Libby Schultz	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay			
2906-2	Libby Schultz	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section)			
2907-1	Anne M Cooper	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Add new special character area to protect St Heliers village rather than a precinct. The special character area would include provisions restricting development to a small scale, limiting maximum height to 9m, setting out detailed design guidelines, maintaining and enhancing small businesses, encouraging adaption and reuse of buildings and limiting the number of bars and restaurants.			
2907-2	Anne M Cooper	Precincts - Central	Saint Heliers	K2.19.Precinct Rules	Restrict maximum residential building height in Goldie Street and Lombard Street, St Heliers to 9m.			
2907-3	Anne M Cooper	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Housing zone from Cliff Road to Clarendon Road, St Heliers and along the Kohimarama waterfront area.			
2907-4	Anne M Cooper	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban zones at St Heliers and its environs.			
2907-5	Anne M Cooper	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Add the Tamaki Drive Masterplan into the PAUP.			
2907-6	Anne M Cooper	General	Miscellaneous	Operational/ Projects/Acquisition	Ensure Tamaki Drive continues to serve the travel needs of local residents.			
2907-7	Anne M Cooper	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Include assessment criteria which assess the potential adverse effects of development along Tamaki Drive on the harbour and Hauraki Gulf.			
2908-1	Alison J Brown	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Reduce the minimum size of sites without septic tanks to 2500m^2 in the Rural and Coastal zone			
2908-2	Alison J Brown	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Clarify the process for Iwi negotiations			
2908-3	Alison J Brown	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Remove the SEA from 17 Chatham Avenue, Paremoremo			
2909-1	Barry Moyle	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone 483 Leigh Road, Whangateau from General Coastal Marine [rcp], Rural Coastal and Public Open Space Conservation to Special Purpose - Cemetery.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2910-1	Tina M George	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Decline the Mana Whenua provisions	929	Drive Holdings Limited and Retail Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2910-2	Tina M George	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Limit height of buildings in Takapuna Metropolitan Centre; and Browns Bay, Milford, St Heliers Bay and Mission Bay Town Centres to four stories. Built higher buildings further back from coastal lowlands in keeping with natural topography	929	Drive Holdings Limited and Retail Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2910-2	Tina M George	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Limit height of buildings in Takapuna Metropolitan Centre; and Browns Bay, Milford, St Heliers Bay and Mission Bay Town Centres to four stories. Built higher buildings further back from coastal lowlands in keeping with natural topography	2562	Crown Mutual Limited and Crown Pacific Finance Limited and State Advances Corporation Limited	Oppose in Part
2910-2	Tina M George	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Limit height of buildings in Takapuna Metropolitan Centre; and Browns Bay, Milford, St Heliers Bay and Mission Bay Town Centres to four stories. Built higher buildings further back from coastal lowlands in keeping with natural topography	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2911-1	Glenn Elliott	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay			
2911-2	Glenn Elliott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section)			
2912-1	Balram Dewan	General	Whole Plan		No specific decision stated, oppose provisions for 63 Manuroa Rd, Takanini.			
2913-1	I L Duder Family Trust	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend the historic designation of ground surrounding the family homestead at 208 Maraetai Coast Rd, Papakura, limiting it to the house and the ground that it stands on only - see map on Submission Page 3/3 of Volume 1.	1710	Land Solutions Limited	Support
2913-2	I L Duder Family Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Reduce the proposed hazard zone to 40m from mean high water springs along Umupuia Beach.	1710	Land Solutions Limited	Support
2913-3	I L Duder Family Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Reduce the hazard zone along the banks of Te Kuiti Stream to 10m.	1710	Land Solutions Limited	Support
2914-1	Aina S E Brouneus	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay			
2914-2	Aina S E Brouneus	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section)			
2915-1	Aubery (ANZ) Investment Limited	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Lot 2 DP 351614 State Highway 1, Dome Valley from Rural Production to the Future Urban zone.			
2915-2	Aubery (ANZ) Investment Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Delete SEA from Lot 2 DP 351614 State Highway 1, Dome Valley			
2916-1	Jennefer M Rowe	Zoning	Central		Reduce heights in commercial area of St Heliers	1182	Ancona Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2917-1	David A Alison	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay			
2917-2	David A Alison	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section)			
2918-1	Dianne and Dennis Hale	Precincts - North	Bayswater	Mapping	Delete the street connection between Plymouth Crescent and David Street, Bayswater as indicated within Sub-precinct C map.			
2918-2	Dianne and Dennis Hale	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Retain the 12.5 metre height limit within the Devonport Town Centre.	2454	Wallace Flats Limited	Support
2918-3	Dianne and Dennis Hale	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the height limit to be comparable with other town centre zones at 1 Fleet Street, Devonport Lots 1 and 2, DP 51701	2454	Wallace Flats Limited	Support
2918-4	Dianne and Dennis Hale	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Reassess and increase height limits on commercial properties that do not front Victoria Road, Devonport.	2454	Wallace Flats Limited	Support
2919-1	Campbell L and Elizabeth S Mitchell	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Perry Road, Warkworth from Rural Production to Countryside Living			
2920-1	Ashutosh Dhir	General	Whole Plan		No specific decision stated, oppose provisions for 63 Manuroa Rd, Takanini.			
2921-1	Denise and Maurice Brown	Zoning	Central		Rezone 24 Harlston Road, Mt Albert from Single House to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2922-1	Point View Heritage Society Incorporated	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Rezone 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights, as Countryside Living, as per the draft Auckland Unitary Plan, and maintain the RUB on the line of the old Metropolitan Urban Limit	1572	Michael G Johnson	Support
2922-1	Point View Heritage Society Incorporated	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Rezone 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights, as Countryside Living, as per the draft Auckland Unitary Plan, and maintain the RUB on the line of the old Metropolitan Urban Limit	1600	Linden M Johnson	Support
2922-1	Point View Heritage Society Incorporated	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Rezone 178 Point View Drive, East Tamaki Heights, as Countryside Living, as per the draft Auckland Unitary Plan, and maintain the RUB on the line of the old Metropolitan Urban Limit	1766	John H Peat	Support
2923-1	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Retain "animal breeding and boarding" as a permitted activity and associated controls in the Light Industry zone.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-1	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Retain "animal breeding and boarding" as a permitted activity and associated controls in the Light Industry zone.	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2923-1	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Retain "animal breeding and boarding" as a permitted activity and associated controls in the Light Industry zone.	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-1	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Retain "animal breeding and boarding" as a permitted activity and associated controls in the Light Industry zone.	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2923-1	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Retain "animal breeding and boarding" as a permitted activity and associated controls in the Light Industry zone.	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support
2923-2	Corey Martin	Definitions	Existing		Amend "Animal Breeding or boarding" definition by including 'dog care'	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support
2923-3	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Table 1 to provide for '"dog day care" in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zone, and provide appropriate associated provisions in those zones (controls, assessment criteria).	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-3	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Table 1 to provide for '"dog day care" in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zone, and provide appropriate associated provisions in those zones (controls, assessment criteria).	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2923-3	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Table 1 to provide for '"dog day care" in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zone, and provide appropriate associated provisions in those zones (controls, assessment criteria).	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-3	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Table 1 to provide for '"dog day care" in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zone, and provide appropriate associated provisions in those zones (controls, assessment criteria).	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-3	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Table 1 to provide for '"dog day care" in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zone, and provide appropriate associated provisions in those zones (controls, assessment criteria).	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support
2923-4	Corey Martin	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Clarify that the traffic generation rule 3.1 permits to dog day care in a Business or Light Industrial zone.	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support
2923-5	Corey Martin	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend Number of parking and loading spaces Rule 3.2 to clarify the loading and carparking requirements for dog day cares in urban zones.	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support
2923-6	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zones.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-6	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zones.	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2923-6	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zones.	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-6	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zones.	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-6	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in the General Business, Business Park and Light Industry zones.	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support
2923-7	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-7	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2923-7	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-7	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-7	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.8 General Business zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support
2923-8	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-8	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2923-8	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-8	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-8	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.9 Business Park zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support
2923-9	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-9	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2923-9	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-9	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2923-9	Corey Martin	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.10 Light Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Add objectives and policies which support activities such as dog day care being included in this zone.	3389	Barkley Manor Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2924-1	Sarah and Gavin Healy	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Remove Coastal Inundation 1 metre classification from the land at 25 Ferndale Drive, Kawakawa Bay.			
2924-2	Sarah and Gavin Healy	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Amend single house subdivision section sizes for 25 Ferndale Drive, Lot 2, DP210295 from 750m^2 to be 600m^2 per site.	1650	George J and Margaret A Richardson	Support
2924-3	Sarah and Gavin Healy	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Amend Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay on 70 Kawakawa-Orere Road, Papakura to only cover native bush and not commercially planted pine forest.			
2924-4	Sarah and Gavin Healy	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	J6.2.1 Activity table & J6.1.2 Notification		Amend Activity Table 1 to exclude non-native commercial forests already in production where re-planting is required.	1650	George J and Margaret A Richardson	Support
2924-5	Sarah and Gavin Healy	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Clarify the SEA at 70 Kawakawa-Orere Road, Papakura and ensure they are not sited on land under commercial production.	1650	George J and Margaret A Richardson	Support
2924-6	Sarah and Gavin Healy	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Amend the Activity Table 1 so it does not allow the felling and re-planting of a nearby commercial forest to be affected in any way by its vicinity to a SEA [submission refers to the March Draft 4.4.7.1 Activity Table 1 New Production forestry] see Submission page 2/2 of Vol. 2.			
2925-1	Dennis Jupp	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Reject Rule 4.3 as they do not allow for the management of trees planted for the purpose of firewood.			
2925-2	Dennis Jupp	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Amend Rule 4.3 to allow the removal of trees and vegetation of a minimum of 10m (preferably more) from around homes and buildings in Orua Bay-Awhitu Peninsula.	1570	The Makgill Brothers	Oppose in Part
2926-1	Branka Buchevich	Zoning	West		Rezone Glendene as Mixed Housing Suburban	1391	Robert J S Graham	Support
2926-1	Branka Buchevich	Zoning	West		Rezone Glendene as Mixed Housing Suburban	1403	Louise A Graham	Support
2926-2	Branka Buchevich	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Urban zones	H5.2 3.1 Residential zones	Reduce the required area for subdivison [for Single House zone] to 400m^2			
2926-3	Branka Buchevich	Residential zones	Residential	Land use controls	Allow building of minor dwelling (with limitation of the area required but less than the subdivision, e.g. 300m^2) [on Single House zone]	2206	J McSweeney	Support
2927-1	Anoushka Mohammed	Zoning	Central		Rezone the Mixed Housing Urban zoned land at Herdman St, Daventry St and Waterbank Crescent, Waterview to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2927-2	Anoushka Mohammed	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend so land at Herdman St, Daventry St and Waterbank Crescent, Waterview is subject to a two storey maximum height limit.			
2928-1	Plaza Holdings Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 389 Dominion Road, Mt Eden, from Single House to Mixed Use or some other business zoning.	1980	Benjamin d'Anvers	Oppose in Part
2928-2	Plaza Holdings Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone 389-409 Dominion Rd, Mt Eden from Single House to Mixed Use or some other business zoning.			
2928-3	Plaza Holdings Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Amend height limits [on 389 Dominion Road, Mt Eden, or 389-409 Dominion Rd, Mt Eden] to 16.5m.			
2928-4	Plaza Holdings Limited	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Remove the Special Character provision and other special provisions [from 389 Dominion Road, Mt Eden, or 389-409 Dominion Rd, Mt Eden].			
2929-1	Ian and Victoria Carnell	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone from existing Rural Production to Future Urban north to Russell Road [bordered by the motorway and Upper Orewa Road] - see Submission pages 2-3/3 of Vol. 1 for map detail			
2929-2	Ian and Victoria Carnell	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone 19A and 19C Kowhai Road, Silverdale and land adjoining Russell Rd, Silverdale, from existing Rural Production to Countryside Living, see Submission pages 2-3/3 of Vol. 1 and 5/5 of Vol. 3 for map detail			
2930-1	Bruce T Anderson	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Add St Heliers Village as an overlay that protects and enhances the village character.			
2930-2	Bruce T Anderson	Precincts - Central	Saint Heliers	K2.19.Precinct Rules	Amend the height limit of St Heliers Village to 9m.			
2930-3	Bruce T Anderson	Precincts - Central	Saint Heliers	K2.19.Precinct Rules	Amend the building setback requirements in St Heliers Village.			
2930-4	Bruce T Anderson	Precincts - Central	Saint Heliers	A 11.2.1 : Appendix- St Helliers Character Statement	Amend the Character Statement to give effect to character protection and enhancement of St Heliers so that everyone knows what is expected for major design issues.			
2931-1	Diana Fletcher	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the PAUP rules and zoning for not only areas affected by flooding but the entire catchment areas that affect flood plains			
2931-2	Diana Fletcher	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the provisions to provide effective controls on development within the catchments of areas affected by flooding along with zoning provisions to prevent further development in these catchment areas until the provision of adequate storm water drainage infrastructure for proposed intensification in these areas			
2932-1	Remuera Community Committee Incorporated (Remuera Residents Association)	Zoning	Central		Rezone the Remuera shopping centre from Town Centre to Local Centre.			
2932-2	Remuera Community Committee Incorporated (Remuera Residents Association)	Transport	Auckland -wide	Mapping	Delete the Arterial Road notation from Remuera Road.			
2932-3	Remuera Community Committee Incorporated (Remuera Residents Association)	Residential zones	Residential	I1.10-11 Assessment & I1.12 Special info. req.	Amend the residential assessment criteria at Rule 10.2(g), Infrastructure and Servicing - by adding an assessment criterion to cover the provision for and adequate capacity of infrastructure including schools and public open space when assessing developments for the intensification of residential activities.	1241	Saint Cuthbert's College	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2932-3	Remuera Community Committee Incorporated (Remuera Residents Association)	Residential zones	Residential	I1.10-11 Assessment & I1.12 Special info. req.	Amend the residential assessment criteria at Rule 10.2(g), Infrastructure and Servicing - by adding an assessment criterion to cover the provision for and adequate capacity of infrastructure including schools and public open space when assessing developments for the intensification of residential activities.	2950	St Cuthbert's College Educational Trust Board	Support in Part
2933-1	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Retain the compact quality city model.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-1	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Retain the compact quality city model.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-2	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Retain hierarchy of centres and consolidated zones.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-2	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Retain hierarchy of centres and consolidated zones.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-3	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Retain vision of a quality built environment based on neighbourhoods.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-3	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Retain vision of a quality built environment based on neighbourhoods.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-4	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Eplan		Retain web based version of PAUP.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-4	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Eplan		Retain web based version of PAUP.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-5	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	Further submission	Further submission		Further Submission FS # 3609	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-5	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	Further submission	Further submission		Further Submission FS # 3609	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-6	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Include regional importance of landscape, as per operative Regional Policy Statement.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2933-6	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Include regional importance of landscape, as per operative Regional Policy Statement.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-6	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Include regional importance of landscape, as per operative Regional Policy Statement.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-7	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Rural	B8 Strategic	Enable retirement and restoration of unproductive land in identified locations or by way of an overlay in rural landscape outcomes.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-7	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Rural	B8 Strategic	Enable retirement and restoration of unproductive land in identified locations or by way of an overlay in rural landscape outcomes.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-8	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Establish rural and coastal settlement boundaries through catchment based landscape assessment processes.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-8	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Establish rural and coastal settlement boundaries through catchment based landscape assessment processes.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-9	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Provide for and link to sections on public open spaces, green infrastructure, cultural/geological heritage and views, residential/business zones (private open spaces), coastal zone and built environment in urban landscape outcomes.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2933-9	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Provide for and link to sections on public open spaces, green infrastructure, cultural/geological heritage and views, residential/business zones (private open spaces), coastal zone and built environment in urban landscape outcomes.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-9	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Provide for and link to sections on public open spaces, green infrastructure, cultural/geological heritage and views, residential/business zones (private open spaces), coastal zone and built environment in urban landscape outcomes.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-10	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add landscape precincts or high quality/amenity overlays, including ridgelines and regional parks.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2933-10	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add landscape precincts or high quality/amenity overlays, including ridgelines and regional parks.	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Oppose in Part
2933-10	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add landscape precincts or high quality/amenity overlays, including ridgelines and regional parks.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2933-10	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add landscape precincts or high quality/amenity overlays, including ridgelines and regional parks.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-10	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add landscape precincts or high quality/amenity overlays, including ridgelines and regional parks.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-11	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.1 Structure of the Unitary Plan	Include ONLs, ONCs and HNCs objectives and policies in overlays.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-11	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Chapter A Introduction	A4.1 Structure of the Unitary Plan	Include ONLs, ONCs and HNCs objectives and policies in overlays.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-12	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Expand and contextualise provisions for trees in rural and urban areas as well as the general objectives, for example, protection of biodiversity, coastal and riparian margins.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-12	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Expand and contextualise provisions for trees in rural and urban areas as well as the general objectives, for example, protection of biodiversity, coastal and riparian margins.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-13	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Whole Plan		No specific relief sought - PAUP is written inconsistently and the web based format results in perceived unequal weighting to different areas.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-13	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Whole Plan		No specific relief sought - PAUP is written inconsistently and the web based format results in perceived unequal weighting to different areas.	3601	Sally Peake	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2933-14	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	No specific relief sought - relationship between zones and overlays is not clear.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support
2933-14	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	No specific relief sought - relationship between zones and overlays is not clear.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-14	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	No specific relief sought - relationship between zones and overlays is not clear.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2933-15	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Miscellaneous	Consultation and engagement	No specific relief sought - insufficient community involvement provided in decision making.	3412	Waiheke Island Community Planning Group Incorporated	Support
2933-15	The New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects	General	Miscellaneous	Consultation and engagement	No specific relief sought - insufficient community involvement provided in decision making.	3601	Sally Peake	Support
2934-1	Janet E Philo	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Amend the PAUP to remove any special rights, equal partnerships, powers, co-governance or authority given to any section of the community, and to any section of the community that has not been democratically elected [submission refers to multiple Mana Whenua provisions see Submission pages 1-4/4 of Vol. 1 for detail]			
2934-2	Janet E Philo	Zoning	South		Amend zoning part of Macleans Park			
2935-1	Ben Lenihan	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Rezone more land Terrace House and Apartment Buildings zone, especially near public transport routes.	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Support
2935-2	Ben Lenihan	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Remove minimum car parking rates.			
2935-3	Ben Lenihan	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Retain mandatory cycle parking rules for certain developments.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Oppose in Part
2935-4	Ben Lenihan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	Mapping	Retain high density housing in Plymouth Cresent, Bayswater.			
2935-5	Ben Lenihan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	K5.11 Precinct rules	Delete proposed David Street through route and amend to show a 4m wide shared walking/cycling path between Plymouth Crescent and David St.			
2935-6	Ben Lenihan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	K5.11 Precinct rules	Amend to require the street design to encourage speeds no more than 30km/h.			
2936-1	Land Solutions Limited	Designations	Auckland Council	605 Duder Regional Park	Amend the extent of Designation 605 (Duder Regional Park).			
2936-2	Land Solutions Limited	Designations	Auckland Council	605 Duder Regional Park	Rezone the properties in the area of 184 Maraetai Coast Road, that are now owned by the council from Coastal Rural to Rural and Coastal Settlement, and if necessary with the addition of a precinct or local area within the RUB.			
2936-3	Land Solutions Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Amend, change or remove the area identified for coastal inundation [not clear if area referred to is Duder Regional Park and/or 184 Maraetai Coast Road].			
2936-4	Land Solutions Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend the extent of land in the Historic Heritage overlay to be confined to the location of the Duder Homestead.			
2936-5	Land Solutions Limited	Further submission	Further submission		Further submissions FS # 1710			
2937-1	Donald M Bunting	Zoning	Central		Rezone the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2937-2	Donald M Bunting	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Include additional development controls to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay. Include a requirement that applications to build over 8m in height are notified to all surrounding properties subject to the Special Character overlay.			
2937-3	Donald M Bunting	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Include additional carparking requirements to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay.			
2937-4	Donald M Bunting	Residential zones	Residential	I1.10-11 Assessment & I1.12 Special info. req.	Include additional assessment criteria to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay. The additional criteria should require that sympathy and recognition be given to the streetscape character, height, scale and form of dwelling subject to a Special Character overlay in the surrounding neighbourhood. (Refer to submission for examples of assessment criteria)			
2937-5	Donald M Bunting	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Ensure any new building on the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay is subject to appropriate development controls to address the concerns raised in the submission about infrastructure, adverse effects on the amenity of surrounding housing and street, traffic and parking demand, wind effects and glare.			
2937-6	Donald M Bunting	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Ensure any new building on the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay is subject to appropriate carparking requirements to address the concerns raised in the submission about infrastructure, adverse effects on the amenity of surrounding housing and street, traffic and parking demand.			
2937-7	Donald M Bunting	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Impose minimum carparking requirements on sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are subject to the City Fringe Parking overlay.			
2937-8	Donald M Bunting	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2937-9	Donald M Bunting	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section).			
2938-1	Reuben P Chapple	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Delete entire section.			
2939-1	Gilbert J J Northcott	Zoning	Central		Rezone the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2939-2	Gilbert J J Northcott	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Include additional development controls to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay. Include a requirement that applications to build over 8m in height are notified to all surrounding properties subject to the Special Character overlay.			
2939-3	Gilbert J J Northcott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Include additional carparking requirements to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay.			
2939-4	Gilbert J J Northcott	Residential zones	Residential	I1.10-11 Assessment & I1.12 Special info. req.	Include additional assessment criteria to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay. The additional criteria should require that sympathy and recognition be given to the streetscape character, height, scale and form of dwelling subject to a Special Character overlay in the surrounding neighbourhood. (Refer to submission for examples of assessment criteria)			
2939-5	Gilbert J J Northcott	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Ensure any new building on the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay is subject to appropriate development controls to address the concerns raised in the submission about infrastructure, adverse effects on the amenity of surrounding housing and street, traffic and parking demand, wind effects and glare.			
2939-6	Gilbert J J Northcott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Ensure any new building on the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay is subject to appropriate carparking requirements to address the concerns raised in the submission about infrastructure, adverse effects on the amenity of surrounding housing and street, traffic and parking demand.			
2939-7	Gilbert J J Northcott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Impose minimum carparking requirements on sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are subject to the City Fringe Parking overlay.			
2939-8	Gilbert J J Northcott	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay.			
2939-9	Gilbert J J Northcott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section).			
2940-1	Royal Oak Baptist Church	Zoning	City Centre		Endorse zoning of 114 Symonds Street, Grafton, as Town Centre			
2940-2	Royal Oak Baptist Church	Zoning	Central		Rezone 1 Erson Avenue, Royal Oak, from Terrace Housing and Apartment Building to Town Centre			
2940-3	Royal Oak Baptist Church	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the pre-1944 demolition control from 114 Symonds St and 1 Erson Avenue, and from the remainder of the Terrace Housing and Apartment Building zone that surrounds Royal Oak			
2941-1	Paul A Sands and Janine L Maxwell	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Extend the Waiuku RUB to include Lot 1 DP 68455 [Constable Road, Waiuku] as residential zoning (refer attachment 1).	2710	The Cameron Road Group	Support
2941-1	Paul A Sands and Janine L Maxwell	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Extend the Waiuku RUB to include Lot 1 DP 68455 [Constable Road, Waiuku] as residential zoning (refer attachment 1).	2741	M H Cox	Support
2941-1	Paul A Sands and Janine L Maxwell	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Extend the Waiuku RUB to include Lot 1 DP 68455 [Constable Road, Waiuku] as residential zoning (refer attachment 1).	2999	Matoaka Holdings Limited	Support
2942-1	Holmes Family Trust	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table to include a new activity as follows: Buildings and structures up to 8m high, as a Permitted activity.			
2942-2	Holmes Family Trust	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend Activity Table as follows: Buildings and structures above 8m, except in height sensitive areas - are a Discretionary activity rather than Non-complying.			
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2073	Patricia Isaac	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2075	Marjory J Clark	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2076	Paula Stockley	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2078	Rick and Pat Stockley	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2083	Gavin Young	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2085	Lara Camage	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2088	Colleen Brown	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2091	Michael Isaac	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2110	John D Sharples	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2111	Anthony Hulsbosch	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2113	Stephen J McCarthy	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2116	Sabrina J Davies	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2120	Jeremy J R Coleman	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2132	Joanna E Mawdsley	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2137	Barry J Brown	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2143	Philip L Mawdsley	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2144	Gordon Parkes	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2145	Jeremy W Cressey	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2149	Kay E Bourke	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2151	Toa Greening	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2153	Tony Aislabie	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2154	Nancy L McCarthy	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2155	Colin J McKenzie	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2157	Leanne D Whiter	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2179	John Oliver	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2182	Shanna Coetzee	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2187	Olga K Mason	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2190	Glen Frost	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2193	Leslie J Parlane	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2201	Christine Parlane	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2213	Julia S Finlayson	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2217	Diana F Coleman	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2219	Grant J Barrowman	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2220	Elizabeth Barrowman	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2370	Sally A Young	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2722	Bridie Young	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2725	Talei Underwood	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2748	Sharon Aislabie	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2752	Marie J Knight	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2754	Mark S Helms	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2759	Olivia L Brown	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	2831	Hill Park Residents Association	Support
2943-1	Alfriston Village Association	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend or remove reference to the Quarry Transport Route overlay and the restrictions that this imposes.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2943-2	Alfriston Village Association	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Amend the RUB to be located at the crest of the ridgeline, at the eastern extremity of Pointways Pony Club, Alfriston.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2943-3	Alfriston Village Association	Further submission	Further submission		Further submissions FS # 3610			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2944-1	Claude R M Maire	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the Future Urban zone boundaries to Wilks Road (south), East Coast Road (East), Redvale, with the motorway on the west	892	Wei Yan	Support
2944-1	Claude R M Maire	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the Future Urban zone boundaries to Wilks Road (south), East Coast Road (East), Redvale, with the motorway on the west	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2944-1	Claude R M Maire	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the Future Urban zone boundaries to Wilks Road (south), East Coast Road (East), Redvale, with the motorway on the west	1784	Vernice V L Wo	Support
2944-1	Claude R M Maire	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the Future Urban zone boundaries to Wilks Road (south), East Coast Road (East), Redvale, with the motorway on the west	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2944-1	Claude R M Maire	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the Future Urban zone boundaries to Wilks Road (south), East Coast Road (East), Redvale, with the motorway on the west	2245	Kathryn J Marsh	Support
2945-1	Cameron Baudinet	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Retain the two storey (8m) height limit applying to Balmoral streets [Single House and Mixed Housing Suburban zones]			
2945-2	Cameron Baudinet	Special Character	Special character Isthmus A, B & C	J3.3.3 Maps, App. 10.4 Spec. character statements - Res. Isthmus	Apply a Special Character Overlay to streets in the northern end of Balmoral (similar to streets to the north in Mt Eden, and streets to the south in Balmoral)			
2945-3	Cameron Baudinet	Zoning	Central		Rezone streets at the northern end of Balmoral from Mixed Housing Suburban, to Single House			
2945-4	Cameron Baudinet	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Apply the pre-1944 Building Demolition control to Special Character Areas, particularly in Balmoral, as interim protection until the PAUP is formally operative			
2946-1	Toni Nelson	Zoning	Central		Rezone the Gables/Speight site [1 Kelmarna Avenue, Herne Bay] from Local Centre to Neighbourhood Centre.			
2946-2	Toni Nelson	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Amend the rules for the Gables/Speight site [1 Kelmarna Avenue, Herne Bay] to require a three storey (12.5m) height limitation.			
2946-3	Toni Nelson	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the rules for the Gables/Speight site [1 Kelmarna Avenue, Herne Bay] to require a 3m set back on all boundaries except Jervois Road, and require mandatory yard controls (that cannot be breached).			
2946-4	Toni Nelson	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Amend the overlay to provide special character protection for the [Art Deco style] buildings between Salisbury Street and Wallis Street, Herne Bay.			
2946-5	Toni Nelson	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Amend the overlay to apply the 1944 Building demolition control to the [Art Deco style] buildings between Salisbury Street and Wallis Street, Herne Bay.			
2947-1	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Further submission	Further submission		Further submissions FS # 508			
2947-2	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Retain the relocation of the RUB eastwards [in the area north and south of Alfriston Road, west of Mill Road and south of Ranfurly Road and east of Porchester Road, at the boundary of the Papakura and Manurewa Local Board Areas].			
2947-3	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	RPS	Changes to the RUB	South	Replace the Future Urban Zone with Mixed Housing Suburban zone and a neighbourhood centre at the intersection of Alfriston and Mill Roads.			
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2073	Patricia Isaac	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2075	Marjory J Clark	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2076	Paula Stockley	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2078	Rick and Pat Stockley	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2083	Gavin Young	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2085	Lara Camage	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2088	Colleen Brown	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2091	Michael Isaac	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2110	John D Sharples	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2111	Anthony Hulsbosch	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2113	Stephen J McCarthy	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2116	Sabrina J Davies	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2120	Jeremy J R Coleman	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2132	Joanna E Mawdsley	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2137	Barry J Brown	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2143	Philip L Mawdsley	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2144	Gordon Parkes	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2145	Jeremy W Cressey	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2149	Kay E Bourke	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2151	Toa Greening	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2153	Tony Aislabie	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2154	Nancy L McCarthy	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2155	Colin J McKenzie	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2157	Leanne D Whiter	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2179	John Oliver	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2182	Shanna Coetzee	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2187	Olga K Mason	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2190	Glen Frost	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2193	Leslie J Parlane	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2201	Christine Parlane	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2213	Julia S Finlayson	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2217	Diana F Coleman	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2219	Grant J Barrowman	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2220	Elizabeth Barrowman	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2370	Sally A Young	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2722	Bridie Young	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2725	Talei Underwood	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2748	Sharon Aislabie	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2752	Marie J Knight	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2754	Mark S Helms	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2759	Olivia L Brown	Support
2947-4	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Reject the Quarry Transport Route along Alfriston Road.	2831	Hill Park Residents Association	Support
2947-5	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	Water	Stormwater	Overlay E7.5 Stormwater Management Area - flow	No specific decision stated in respect of opposition to the extent of the Stormwater Management Area.			
2947-6	Alfriston Landowners Group and Associated Parties	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Request formation of an early working party with representative of landowners, Auckland Transport, Watercare, Veolia, the local community and council to progress a joint way forward for the future use of [Alfriston].			
2948-1	Josh Byers	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Delete the pre-1944 demolition control and replace with a Special Character Overlay to properties 100, 102, 104, 105, 107 Selwyn Street and 36, 38, 40, 46, 48, 57, 58 and 62 Grey Street Onehunga.			
2948-2	Josh Byers	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the Pre-1944 Demolition Control overlay and replace with a Heritage Overlay to properties 100, 102, 104, 105, 107 Selwyn Street and 36, 38, 40, 46, 48, 57, 58 and 62 Grey Street Onehunga.			
2948-3	Josh Byers	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Clarify what assessment has been made in relation to 100 Selwyn Street and 102, 104, 105, 107, along with houses on Grey Street, being 36, 38, 40, 46, 48, 58 and 62			
2948-4	Josh Byers	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Clarify why less protection is deemed appropriate of 100 Selwyn Street and 102, 104, 105, 107, along with houses on Grey Street, being 36, 38, 40, 46, 48, 58 and 62.			
2948-5	Josh Byers	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Clarify the assessment criteria used when assessing special character [and heritage] houses vs. pre-1944 demolition category houses.			
2948-6	Josh Byers	Residential zones	D1.5 Mixed Housing Suburban zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the percentage of building coverage permitted in the Single House zone so it is consistent with previous Zone 1 rules and allows high building coverage in relation to land size as is often seen with 1900 character villas.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2949-1	Tony N Trott	Zoning	Central		Rezone the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2949-2	Tony N Trott	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Include additional development controls to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay. Include a requirement that applications to build over 8m in height are notified to all surrounding properties subject to the Special Character overlay.			
2949-3	Tony N Trott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Include additional carparking requirements to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay.			
2949-4	Tony N Trott	Residential zones	Residential	I1.10-11 Assessment & I1.12 Special info. req.	Include additional assessment criteria to ensure an appropriate transition for sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are adjacent or across the street from a Single House Residential subject to the Special Character overlay. The additional criteria should require that sympathy and recognition be given to the streetscape character, height, scale and form of dwelling subject to a Special Character overlay in the surrounding neighbourhood. (Refer to submission for examples of assessment criteria)			
2949-5	Tony N Trott	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Ensure any new building on the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay is subject to appropriate development controls to address the concerns raised in the submission about infrastructure, adverse effects on the amenity of surrounding housing and street, traffic and parking demand, wind effects and glare.			
2949-6	Tony N Trott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Ensure any new building on the Housing NZ land at 16 Spring St, Freemans Bay is subject to appropriate carparking requirements to address the concerns raised in the submission about infrastructure, adverse effects on the amenity of surrounding housing and street, traffic and parking demand.			
2949-7	Tony N Trott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Impose minimum carparking requirements on sites zoned with a higher density than Single House Residential which are subject to the City Fringe Parking overlay.			
2949-8	Tony N Trott	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete the objectives and policies (eg objective 3 and policy 2) which support the City Centre Fringe overlay including the absence of minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay.			
2949-9	Tony N Trott	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend the PAUP to maintain the minimum parking requirements for Freemans Bay as per Rule 12.8.1 of the Operative District Plan (Isthmus Section).			
2950-1	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Quarry zone	I20 Rules/G2.7.6 Special Information Requirements	Amend the Hunua Quarry provisions to include a formal Quarry Management Plan (including the management of Quarry Transport Route use), similar to Whitford Quarry, Designation 620.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-2	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Quarry zone	I20 Rules/G2.7.6 Special Information Requirements	Amend the Hunua Quarry provisions to include hours of operation (the same as for Whitford Quarry).	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-3	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Quarry zone	I20 Rules/G2.7.6 Special Information Requirements	Amend the Hunua Quarry provisions to include tonnage and access limits, similar to Whitford Quarry, Designation 620.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-4	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Quarry zone	I20 Rules/G2.7.6 Special Information Requirements	Amend Hunua Quarry provisions to address noise, both on-site and transport related, similar to Whitford Quarry, Designation 620.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-5	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend the Quarry Transport Route to address both receiver (new, altered or existing) and source (new, increased or existing) and include general noise provisions from relevant sections of the PAUP e.g. outside living areas and general provisions such as 50dB(A) LAeq at the property boundary .	2718	Stevenson Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2950-5	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend the Quarry Transport Route to address both receiver (new, altered or existing) and source (new, increased or existing) and include general noise provisions from relevant sections of the PAUP e.g. outside living areas and general provisions such as 50dB(A) LAeq at the property boundary .	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-6	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend the objectives and policies to remove the terms "new and altered" so that the provisions apply to existing activities affected by existing or new noise sources. Also address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require these to be addressed at source.	2718	Stevenson Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2950-6	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend the objectives and policies to remove the terms "new and altered" so that the provisions apply to existing activities affected by existing or new noise sources. Also address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require these to be addressed at source.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-7	Terence S A Denton	Transport	Overlay E1.5/J1.5 High land transport noise	E1.5 Description, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives and policies to remove the terms "new and altered" so that the provisions apply to existing activities affected by existing or new noise sources. Also address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require these to be addressed at source.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2950-8	Terence S A Denton	Transport	Overlay E1.5/J1.5 High land transport noise	J1.5 Rules and mapping	Amend the rules to address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require them to be addressed at source. Also apply noise levels to all dwellings, not just new or altered dwellings and to include an outdoor noise limit.	269	Neon Limited	Oppose
2950-8	Terence S A Denton	Transport	Overlay E1.5/J1.5 High land transport noise	J1.5 Rules and mapping	Amend the rules to address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require them to be addressed at source. Also apply noise levels to all dwellings, not just new or altered dwellings and to include an outdoor noise limit.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2950-8	Terence S A Denton	Transport	Overlay E1.5/J1.5 High land transport noise	J1.5 Rules and mapping	Amend the rules to address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require them to be addressed at source. Also apply noise levels to all dwellings, not just new or altered dwellings and to include an outdoor noise limit.	3157	Education Holdings (2008) Limited (In Receivership)	Oppose in Part
2950-8	Terence S A Denton	Transport	Overlay E1.5/J1.5 High land transport noise	J1.5 Rules and mapping	Amend the rules to address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require them to be addressed at source. Also apply noise levels to all dwellings, not just new or altered dwellings and to include an outdoor noise limit.	3174	Boron Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2950-9	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend the rules to address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require these to be addressed at source. Also apply noise levels to all dwellings, not just new or altered dwellings and to include an outdoor noise limit.	2718	Stevenson Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2950-9	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend the rules to address changes/increases in existing transport operations and require these to be addressed at source. Also apply noise levels to all dwellings, not just new or altered dwellings and to include an outdoor noise limit.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-10	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend and expand to address all dwellings affected by existing, new or increased Quarry Transport Route noise.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-11	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Add policies [under E 1.7] to specifically address noise at source for all Quarry Transport Routes, including vehicle speeds, use of engine brakes, operational hours for intensive vehicle movements, on-going noise monitoring, corrective action with regards to intrusive, excessive or unreasonable noise, incentivise/encourage adoption of quiet propulsion technologies by heavy vehicle operators, require a transport noise management plan in the Quarry Management Plan [refer page 4/6].	2718	Stevenson Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2950-11	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Add policies [under E 1.7] to specifically address noise at source for all Quarry Transport Routes, including vehicle speeds, use of engine brakes, operational hours for intensive vehicle movements, on-going noise monitoring, corrective action with regards to intrusive, excessive or unreasonable noise, incentivise/encourage adoption of quiet propulsion technologies by heavy vehicle operators, require a transport noise management plan in the Quarry Management Plan [refer page 4/6].	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-12	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend Policy 2 [under E 1.7] to replace the word 'encourage' with 'enforce', so that the associated quarry is responsible for noise control along the transport route.	2718	Stevenson Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2950-12	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Amend Policy 2 [under E 1.7] to replace the word 'encourage' with 'enforce', so that the associated quarry is responsible for noise control along the transport route.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-13	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Add Objective 2(b) from the High Land Transport Noise section to the Quarry Transport Route [under E 1.7] and provide details of how protection from unreasonable or excessive noise would be determined.	2718	Stevenson Group Limited	Oppose in Part
2950-13	Terence S A Denton	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.7/J1.7 Quarry Transport Route		Add Objective 2(b) from the High Land Transport Noise section to the Quarry Transport Route [under E 1.7] and provide details of how protection from unreasonable or excessive noise would be determined.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Oppose in Part
2950-14	Terence S A Denton	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 12 to replace the word 'adjoining' with the word 'affected' (as defined by a noise contour in the relevant section of the PAUP, such as the Quarry Transport Route) so that adverse effects specifically include noise. Remove the words 'potential' and 'planned' so that the policy equally applies to existing amenities.			
2951-1	Minnie Liang	Zoning	West		Rezone the area bounded by the North-western motorway (south), Te Atatu Road (east), Montmere Drive (north), Te Atatu Peninsula from Mixed Housing Urban to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone - see Submission page 6/6 for map			
2952-1	Clendon United AFC	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	D2 Introduction	Retain approach that separates Public Open Spaces into 5 zones, and in particular the separation of Community and Civic zones.			
2952-2	Clendon United AFC	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objective [1] to create a network of quality public open spaces that meet the community's needs.			
2952-3	Clendon United AFC	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [5] to maximise the use of buildings and facilities (such as through multi-functional use and adaptable designs) to increase the capacity of the public open space, and avoid permanent single purpose buildings with restricted use.			
2952-4	Clendon United AFC	Public Open Space Zones	D2.5 Community zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [1] to provide public open spaces in town centres and urban areas to support community activities, informal recreation and social interaction, and to enable events to take place.			
2952-5	Clendon United AFC	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain Major Recreation Facility zone.			
2952-6	Clendon United AFC	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain Special Purpose School zone.			
2952-7	Clendon United AFC	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain objective 2.			
2952-8	Clendon United AFC	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Delete controls 'Lighting' 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4 and retain control 6.1.5 only.			
2952-9	Clendon United AFC	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.11 'Lighting' to include standards that manage spillage and glare, in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4282, rather than level of lighting or the timing of their use. Seek minimum lux for artificial lighting dependent on the sporting code using the grounds, based on Australian Standard AS 2560. The controls would apply between 6am to 11pm Monday to Sunday and public holidays.			
2952-10	Clendon United AFC	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Amend land use control 1.3(1) Recreational Noise (Table 12) to prescribe the specific 25 hours per week that the noise limits may be exceeded for each space, to align with the timing of lighting controls as follows: 6.00am-11.00pm Monday to Sunday and Public Holidays - 60dB (LAeq) / 55dB at all other times, and delete reference to 15 minute periods.			
2952-11	Clendon United AFC	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend to include earthworks for the construction of sports fields, and to raise the threshold at which earthworks within a Public Open Space zone (including the Sports and Active Recreation zone) require resource consent.			
2952-12	Clendon United AFC	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.9(1) 'Maximum site coverage' to reconsider the thresholds for some activities, such as netball and tennis, to reflect the character of the varying recreational and sporting activities that take place in public open space zones and to anticipate future use.			
2952-13	Clendon United AFC	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend development control 3.10(1) 'Maximum impervious area' to reflect that certain sports (e.g. netball and tennis) require impervious area amounting to 90-100% of the public open space.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2952-14	Clendon United AFC	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Clarify the appropriate parking measures to be applied to community sport organisations that manage/own facilities on public open spaces.			
2952-15	Clendon United AFC	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Reduce parking thresholds [for organised sports and recreation]			
2953-1	Gulf Mussel Farms	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Remove the Cultural Impact Assessment section.			
2953-2	Gulf Mussel Farms	Further submission	Further submission		Further submissions FS # 3611			
2953-3	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to make the re-consenting of existing marine farms a controlled activity, including existing farms provided for in overlay 'holes'.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2953-3	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to make the re-consenting of existing marine farms a controlled activity, including existing farms provided for in overlay 'holes'.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support
2953-3	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to make the re-consenting of existing marine farms a controlled activity, including existing farms provided for in overlay 'holes'.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2953-3	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to make the re-consenting of existing marine farms a controlled activity, including existing farms provided for in overlay 'holes'.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2953-3	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to make the re-consenting of existing marine farms a controlled activity, including existing farms provided for in overlay 'holes'.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2953-3	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to make the re-consenting of existing marine farms a controlled activity, including existing farms provided for in overlay 'holes'.	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2953-4	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective and policy to specifically provide for the continued operation of existing aquaculture in the General Coastal Marine zone.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2953-4	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective and policy to specifically provide for the continued operation of existing aquaculture in the General Coastal Marine zone.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support
2953-4	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective and policy to specifically provide for the continued operation of existing aquaculture in the General Coastal Marine zone.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2953-4	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective and policy to specifically provide for the continued operation of existing aquaculture in the General Coastal Marine zone.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2953-4	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective and policy to specifically provide for the continued operation of existing aquaculture in the General Coastal Marine zone.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2953-4	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective and policy to specifically provide for the continued operation of existing aquaculture in the General Coastal Marine zone.	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2953-5	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the policies which direct aquaculture to avoid adverse effects on overlays to acknowledge existing aquaculture [within overlays] and that it will not always be practicable or appropriate to avoid all adverse effects.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2953-5	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the policies which direct aquaculture to avoid adverse effects on overlays to acknowledge existing aquaculture [within overlays] and that it will not always be practicable or appropriate to avoid all adverse effects.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support
2953-5	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the policies which direct aquaculture to avoid adverse effects on overlays to acknowledge existing aquaculture [within overlays] and that it will not always be practicable or appropriate to avoid all adverse effects.	2409	Western Firth Marine Farming Consortium	Support
2953-5	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the policies which direct aquaculture to avoid adverse effects on overlays to acknowledge existing aquaculture [within overlays] and that it will not always be practicable or appropriate to avoid all adverse effects.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2953-5	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the policies which direct aquaculture to avoid adverse effects on overlays to acknowledge existing aquaculture [within overlays] and that it will not always be practicable or appropriate to avoid all adverse effects.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2953-5	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the policies which direct aquaculture to avoid adverse effects on overlays to acknowledge existing aquaculture [within overlays] and that it will not always be practicable or appropriate to avoid all adverse effects.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2953-5	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the policies which direct aquaculture to avoid adverse effects on overlays to acknowledge existing aquaculture [within overlays] and that it will not always be practicable or appropriate to avoid all adverse effects.	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2953-6	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for applications to re-consent existing aquaculture activities to be considered on a non-notified basis.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2953-6	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for applications to re-consent existing aquaculture activities to be considered on a non-notified basis.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support
2953-6	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for applications to re-consent existing aquaculture activities to be considered on a non-notified basis.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2953-6	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for applications to re-consent existing aquaculture activities to be considered on a non-notified basis.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2953-6	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for applications to re-consent existing aquaculture activities to be considered on a non-notified basis.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2953-6	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for applications to re-consent existing aquaculture activities to be considered on a non-notified basis.	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2953-7	Gulf Mussel Farms	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Amend the overlay description in Appendix 3 or Appendix 6 to acknowledge that where an existing marine farm is located within a 'hole' in an overlay it forms part of the existing environment within the overlay.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2953-7	Gulf Mussel Farms	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Amend the overlay description in Appendix 3 or Appendix 6 to acknowledge that where an existing marine farm is located within a 'hole' in an overlay it forms part of the existing environment within the overlay.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support
2953-7	Gulf Mussel Farms	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Amend the overlay description in Appendix 3 or Appendix 6 to acknowledge that where an existing marine farm is located within a 'hole' in an overlay it forms part of the existing environment within the overlay.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2953-7	Gulf Mussel Farms	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Amend the overlay description in Appendix 3 or Appendix 6 to acknowledge that where an existing marine farm is located within a 'hole' in an overlay it forms part of the existing environment within the overlay.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2953-7	Gulf Mussel Farms	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Amend the overlay description in Appendix 3 or Appendix 6 to acknowledge that where an existing marine farm is located within a 'hole' in an overlay it forms part of the existing environment within the overlay.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2953-7	Gulf Mussel Farms	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Amend the overlay description in Appendix 3 or Appendix 6 to acknowledge that where an existing marine farm is located within a 'hole' in an overlay it forms part of the existing environment within the overlay.	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2953-8	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for small extensions to existing marine farms as a non-notified restricted discretionary activity, regardless of the surrounding overlays.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2953-8	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for small extensions to existing marine farms as a non-notified restricted discretionary activity, regardless of the surrounding overlays.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support
2953-8	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for small extensions to existing marine farms as a non-notified restricted discretionary activity, regardless of the surrounding overlays.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2953-8	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for small extensions to existing marine farms as a non-notified restricted discretionary activity, regardless of the surrounding overlays.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2953-8	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for small extensions to existing marine farms as a non-notified restricted discretionary activity, regardless of the surrounding overlays.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2953-8	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the PAUP to provide for small extensions to existing marine farms as a non-notified restricted discretionary activity, regardless of the surrounding overlays.	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2953-9	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to provide for new aquaculture development as a discretionary activity in all of the General Coastal Marine zone, including overlay areas.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2953-9	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to provide for new aquaculture development as a discretionary activity in all of the General Coastal Marine zone, including overlay areas.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2953-9	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to provide for new aquaculture development as a discretionary activity in all of the General Coastal Marine zone, including overlay areas.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2953-9	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to provide for new aquaculture development as a discretionary activity in all of the General Coastal Marine zone, including overlay areas.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2953-9	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to provide for new aquaculture development as a discretionary activity in all of the General Coastal Marine zone, including overlay areas.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2953-9	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	I6.1.8 Activity table	Amend the rules to provide for new aquaculture development as a discretionary activity in all of the General Coastal Marine zone, including overlay areas.	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2953-10	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives, policies and rules to protect existing marine farms from adverse effects, particularly in relation to the impacts of land-based activities which may have downstream effects on the safety and water quality of the CMA.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2953-10	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives, policies and rules to protect existing marine farms from adverse effects, particularly in relation to the impacts of land-based activities which may have downstream effects on the safety and water quality of the CMA.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support
2953-10	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives, policies and rules to protect existing marine farms from adverse effects, particularly in relation to the impacts of land-based activities which may have downstream effects on the safety and water quality of the CMA.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2953-10	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives, policies and rules to protect existing marine farms from adverse effects, particularly in relation to the impacts of land-based activities which may have downstream effects on the safety and water quality of the CMA.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2953-10	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives, policies and rules to protect existing marine farms from adverse effects, particularly in relation to the impacts of land-based activities which may have downstream effects on the safety and water quality of the CMA.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2953-10	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the objectives, policies and rules to protect existing marine farms from adverse effects, particularly in relation to the impacts of land-based activities which may have downstream effects on the safety and water quality of the CMA.	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2953-11	Gulf Mussel Farms	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 6.2 Sch. of Outstanding and High Natural Character - Coastal		Amend the extent of and threshold for the natural character overlays that apply at Waimango Point, Firth of Thames [taking into account existing marine farms].	2409	Western Firth Marine Farming Consortium	Support
2953-12	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Appendix 6 - Coastal excluding sch. 6.2 ONC/HNC	6.1 Schedule SEA marine/Overlay 8.1 SEAs Marine 1 and 2	Amend the extent of and threshold for the SEA overlays that apply at Waimango Point, Firth of Thames [taking into account existing marine farms].	2409	Western Firth Marine Farming Consortium	Support
2953-13	Gulf Mussel Farms	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend the extent of and threshold for the Outstanding Natural Landscape areas [particularly in the CMA] and provide proper justification for the threshold and extent of the overlays.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2953-14	Gulf Mussel Farms	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Review the Outstanding Natural Character and High Natural Character areas and provide proper justification for the threshold and extent of the overlays.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2953-15	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Appendix 6 - Coastal excluding sch. 6.2 ONC/HNC	6.1 Schedule SEA marine/Overlay 8.1 SEAs Marine 1 and 2	Review the SEA-Marine areas and provide proper justification for the threshold and extent of the overlays.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose
2953-16	Gulf Mussel Farms	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend the rules so that a Cultural Impact Assessment is not required for the renewal of, or for a small extension to, an existing marine farm.			
2953-17	Gulf Mussel Farms	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Appendix 6 - Coastal excluding sch. 6.2 ONC/HNC	6.1 Schedule SEA marine/Overlay 8.1 SEAs Marine 1 and 2	Amend the overlay description in Appendix 6 [SEA-Marine] to acknowledge that where an existing marine farm is located within a 'hole' in an overlay it forms part of the existing environment within the overlay. Refer to page 4/8 of submission.			
2953-18	Gulf Mussel Farms	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 6.2 Sch. of Outstanding and High Natural Character - Coastal		Amend the overlay description in Appendix 6 [ONC and HNC] to acknowledge that where an existing marine farm is located within a 'hole' in an overlay it forms part of the existing environment within the overlay. Refer to page 4/8 of submission.			
2954-1	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	D2 Introduction	Retain approach that separates Public Open Spaces into 5 zones, and in particular the separation of Community and Civic zones.			
2954-2	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objective [1] to create a network of quality public open spaces that meet the community's needs.			
2954-3	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [5] to maximise the use of buildings and facilities (such as through multi-functional use and adaptable designs) to increase the capacity of the public open space, and avoid permanent single purpose buildings with restricted use.			
2954-4	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.5 Community zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [1] to provide public open spaces in town centres and urban areas to support community activities, informal recreation and social interaction, and to enable events to take place.			
2954-5	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain Major Recreation Facility zone.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2954-6	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain Special Purpose School zone.			
2954-7	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain objective 2.			
2954-8	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Delete controls 'Lighting' 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4 and retain control 6.1.5 only.			
2954-9	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.11 'Lighting' to include standards that manage spillage and glare, in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4282, rather than level of lighting or the timing of their use. Seek minimum lux for artificial lighting dependent on the sporting code using the grounds, based on Australian Standard AS 2560. The controls would apply between 6am to 11pm Monday to Sunday and public holidays.			
2954-10	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Amend land use control 1.3(1) Recreational Noise (Table 12) to prescribe the specific 25 hours per week that the noise limits may be exceeded for each space, to align with the timing of lighting controls as follows: 6.00am-11.00pm Monday to Sunday and Public Holidays - 60dB (LAeq) / 55dB at all other times, and delete reference to 15 minute periods.			
2954-11	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend to include earthworks for the construction of sports fields, and to raise the threshold at which earthworks within a Public Open Space zone (including the Sports and Active Recreation zone) require resource consent.			
2954-12	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.9(1) 'Maximum site coverage' to reconsider the thresholds for some activities, such as netball and tennis, to reflect the character of the varying recreational and sporting activities that take place in public open space zones and to anticipate future use.			
2954-13	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend development control 3.10(1) 'Maximum impervious area' to reflect that certain sports (e.g. netball and tennis) require impervious area amounting to 90-100% of the public open space.			
2954-14	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Clarify the appropriate parking measures to be applied to community sport organisations that manage/own facilities on public open spaces.			
2954-15	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Reduce parking thresholds [for organised sports and recreation].			
2954-16	Manurewa Mets Softball Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Rowing and Paddling		Apply the Rowing and Paddling Precinct to existing facilities that are not currently included.			
2955-1	Udy Investments Limited	Zoning	South		Rezone all properties that have access from Bishop Lenihan Place, East Tamaki from Light Industrial to Mixed Use.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-1	Udy Investments Limited	Zoning	South		Rezone all properties that have access from Bishop Lenihan Place, East Tamaki from Light Industrial to Mixed Use.	1676	Broadway Property Group	Support
2955-1	Udy Investments Limited	Zoning	South		Rezone all properties that have access from Bishop Lenihan Place, East Tamaki from Light Industrial to Mixed Use.	1741	Lion-Beer,Spirits & Wine (NZ) Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-2	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.2 Notification	Delete Rule 2.1 and make the establishment of office, retail and dwellings subject to the normal notification tests of the RMA within the Light Industrial Zone.	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2955-2	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.2 Notification	Delete Rule 2.1 and make the establishment of office, retail and dwellings subject to the normal notification tests of the RMA within the Light Industrial Zone.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-2	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.2 Notification	Delete Rule 2.1 and make the establishment of office, retail and dwellings subject to the normal notification tests of the RMA within the Light Industrial Zone.	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Oppose in Part
2955-2	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.2 Notification	Delete Rule 2.1 and make the establishment of office, retail and dwellings subject to the normal notification tests of the RMA within the Light Industrial Zone.	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-2	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.2 Notification	Delete Rule 2.1 and make the establishment of office, retail and dwellings subject to the normal notification tests of the RMA within the Light Industrial Zone.	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2955-2	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.2 Notification	Delete Rule 2.1 and make the establishment of office, retail and dwellings subject to the normal notification tests of the RMA within the Light Industrial Zone.	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-2	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.2 Notification	Delete Rule 2.1 and make the establishment of office, retail and dwellings subject to the normal notification tests of the RMA within the Light Industrial Zone.	3525	Radio New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-3	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for offices as a permitted activity in the Mixed Use and General Business Zones.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-3	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for offices as a permitted activity in the Mixed Use and General Business Zones.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-3	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for offices as a permitted activity in the Mixed Use and General Business Zones.	3352	Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2955-4	Udy Investments Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table 1 to provide for retail up to 600m^2 as a permitted activity in the Mixed Use zone. and retail over this GFA to be a restricted discretionary activity.			
2955-5	Udy Investments Limited	Precincts - South	New Precincts	All other New Precincts	Add a precinct to all properties that have access from Bishop Lenihan Place East Tamaki. Provides for offices and retail activities up to 600m^2 per site as a permitted activity, and retail over 600m2 as a restricted discretionary activity.	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2955-5	Udy Investments Limited	Precincts - South	New Precincts	All other New Precincts	Add a precinct to all properties that have access from Bishop Lenihan Place East Tamaki. Provides for offices and retail activities up to 600m^2 per site as a permitted activity, and retail over 600m2 as a restricted discretionary activity.	1741	Lion-Beer,Spirits & Wine (NZ) Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2955-6	Udy Investments Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Amend the maximum building height to 24.5m and 6 storeys for all properties that have access from Bishop Lenihan Place, East Tamaki by including the area as an Additional Height Control Overlay.	1741	Lion-Beer,Spirits & Wine (NZ) Limited	Oppose in Part
2956-1	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add new objective: Growth in or of towns or villages shall not adversely affect the liveability of an existing community.			
2956-2	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add new objectives: New towns or villages shall be responsible for infrastructure costs both within their urban boundaries and for the cost of infrastructure leading up to their boundaries and necessary to support development of their brownfield/greenfield land (i.e.).			
2956-3	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add new objective New towns or villages shall not add to, nor increase, Auckland's rate burden and/or debt burden.			
2956-4	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add new policy: Require all proposals for urban expansion in rural and coastal areas to demonstrate that: 1. They shall not adversely affect the liveability of an existing community. 2. They can provide and fund the infrastructure requirements both within their urban boundaries and leading up to their boundaries and necessary to support the development of their brownfield/greenfield land. 3. Their new town or village shall not add to nor increase Auckland's rate burden and/or debt burden.	3328	Chin Hill Farm Limited	Oppose in Part
2956-5	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend policy 1(k) so that it applies to sites places and areas where archaeological sites are likely to be present and may be discovered in the course of time and to any new discovered site, place or area of that kind. Refer to page 10/10 of submission for details.			
2956-6	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend policy 2 so the provisions of Policy 1K and Policy 1 (1) apply including changes to those policies sought by the submitter. Refer to page 10/10 of submission.			
2956-7	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend Policy 1 to add the following clause: (l) avoids adverse affects on the wairua of the water in Auckland's streams and harbours.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Support
2956-7	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend Policy 1 to add the following clause: (l) avoids adverse affects on the wairua of the water in Auckland's streams and harbours.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Support
2956-7	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend Policy 1 to add the following clause: (l) avoids adverse affects on the wairua of the water in Auckland's streams and harbours.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
2956-7	Dene Andre	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Amend Policy 1 to add the following clause: (l) avoids adverse affects on the wairua of the water in Auckland's streams and harbours.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Support
2957-1	Chris Upright	Zoning	West		Rezone 37 Portage Road and those properties that have frontage onto Portage Road, between Clarke Street and Great North Road, New Lynn from Light Industrial to General Business zone.			
2957-2	Chris Upright	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 2 for Light and Heavy Industry zones	Retain the existing permitted, controlled and restricted discretionary activities of the Waitakere District [Plan] at 37 Portage Road and those properties that have frontage onto Portage Road, between Clarke Street and Great North Road, New Lynn.			
2958-1	Camperdown Holdings Limited	Precincts - South	New Precincts	All other New Precincts	Add a new precinct to provide for densities of 2ha average and 1ha minimum, as per the existing Rural 4 zoning, to apply to the land at 640, 646 and 680 Ormiston Rd and 593 Whitford Rd (refer to submission for map and legal descriptions).			
2959-1	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.1.2 Activity table - Vegetation management in overlays (except SEAs)		Retain 4(3)	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-1	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.1.2 Activity table - Vegetation management in overlays (except SEAs)		Retain 4(3)	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-1	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.1.2 Activity table - Vegetation management in overlays (except SEAs)		Retain 4(3)	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-1	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3.1.2 Activity table - Vegetation management in overlays (except SEAs)		Retain 4(3)	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-2	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Retain section 6 - Natural Heritage	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-2	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Retain section 6 - Natural Heritage	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-2	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Retain section 6 - Natural Heritage	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-2	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Retain section 6 - Natural Heritage	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-3	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain 7.9	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-4	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Retain Sub-precinct A	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-4	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Retain Sub-precinct A	2320	Preserve the Swanson Foothills Society Incorporated	Support
2959-4	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Retain Sub-precinct A	2327	Peter Stubbs	Support
2959-4	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Retain Sub-precinct A	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-4	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Retain Sub-precinct A	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-4	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Retain Sub-precinct A	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	564	Guan Family Trust	Oppose in Part
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-5	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Retain Sub-precinct B	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-6	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Retain Sub-precinct C	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-6	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Retain Sub-precinct C	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-6	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Retain Sub-precinct C	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-6	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Retain Sub-precinct C	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-6	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Retain Sub-precinct C	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-7	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Retain Sub-precinct D	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-7	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Retain Sub-precinct D	2904	Jonathan Green	Support
2959-7	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Retain Sub-precinct D	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-7	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Retain Sub-precinct D	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-7	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Retain Sub-precinct D	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-8	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.5 Rules (sub-precinct E)	Retain Sub-precinct E	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-8	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.5 Rules (sub-precinct E)	Retain Sub-precinct E	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-8	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.5 Rules (sub-precinct E)	Retain Sub-precinct E	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-8	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.5 Rules (sub-precinct E)	Retain Sub-precinct E	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-9	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.6 Rules (sub-precinct F)	Retain Sub-precinct F	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-9	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.6 Rules (sub-precinct F)	Retain Sub-precinct F	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-9	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.6 Rules (sub-precinct F)	Retain Sub-precinct F	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-9	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.6 Rules (sub-precinct F)	Retain Sub-precinct F	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-10	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Clarify the relationship between activity status for precinct rules and underlying zone rules	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-10	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Clarify the relationship between activity status for precinct rules and underlying zone rules	2320	Preserve the Swanson Foothills Society Incorporated	Support
2959-10	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Clarify the relationship between activity status for precinct rules and underlying zone rules	2327	Peter Stubbs	Support
2959-10	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Clarify the relationship between activity status for precinct rules and underlying zone rules	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-10	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Clarify the relationship between activity status for precinct rules and underlying zone rules	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-10	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Clarify the relationship between activity status for precinct rules and underlying zone rules	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-11	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a definition under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the definition of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-11	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a definition under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the definition of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-11	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a definition under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the definition of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-11	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a definition under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the definition of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-11	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a definition under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the definition of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-11	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a definition under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the definition of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-11	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a definition under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the definition of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-11	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a definition under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the definition of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-12	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a diagram under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the diagram of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-12	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a diagram under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the diagram of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-12	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a diagram under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the diagram of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-12	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a diagram under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the diagram of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-12	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a diagram under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the diagram of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-12	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a diagram under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the diagram of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-12	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a diagram under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the diagram of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-12	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Include a diagram under 9(1) of 'elevation height' based on the diagram of the same contained in the operative district plan [Waitakere Section]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-13	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in each sub-precinct [A-F] to clarify that permitted activity status is dependent on compliance with the activity definition and associated land use, development controls and perfomance standards.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-13	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in each sub-precinct [A-F] to clarify that permitted activity status is dependent on compliance with the activity definition and associated land use, development controls and perfomance standards.	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-13	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in each sub-precinct [A-F] to clarify that permitted activity status is dependent on compliance with the activity definition and associated land use, development controls and perfomance standards.	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-13	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in each sub-precinct [A-F] to clarify that permitted activity status is dependent on compliance with the activity definition and associated land use, development controls and perfomance standards.	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-13	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in each sub-precinct [A-F] to clarify that permitted activity status is dependent on compliance with the activity definition and associated land use, development controls and perfomance standards.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-13	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in each sub-precinct [A-F] to clarify that permitted activity status is dependent on compliance with the activity definition and associated land use, development controls and perfomance standards.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-13	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in each sub-precinct [A-F] to clarify that permitted activity status is dependent on compliance with the activity definition and associated land use, development controls and perfomance standards.	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-13	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in each sub-precinct [A-F] to clarify that permitted activity status is dependent on compliance with the activity definition and associated land use, development controls and perfomance standards.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-14	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in all sub-precincts [A-F] to clarify that the specific activity definitions and associated land use and development controls apply to permitted, controlled, discretionary and non-complying activities within the precincts.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-14	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in all sub-precincts [A-F] to clarify that the specific activity definitions and associated land use and development controls apply to permitted, controlled, discretionary and non-complying activities within the precincts.	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-14	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in all sub-precincts [A-F] to clarify that the specific activity definitions and associated land use and development controls apply to permitted, controlled, discretionary and non-complying activities within the precincts.	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-14	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in all sub-precincts [A-F] to clarify that the specific activity definitions and associated land use and development controls apply to permitted, controlled, discretionary and non-complying activities within the precincts.	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-14	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in all sub-precincts [A-F] to clarify that the specific activity definitions and associated land use and development controls apply to permitted, controlled, discretionary and non-complying activities within the precincts.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-14	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in all sub-precincts [A-F] to clarify that the specific activity definitions and associated land use and development controls apply to permitted, controlled, discretionary and non-complying activities within the precincts.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-14	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in all sub-precincts [A-F] to clarify that the specific activity definitions and associated land use and development controls apply to permitted, controlled, discretionary and non-complying activities within the precincts.	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-14	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend statement prior to Activity Tables in all sub-precincts [A-F] to clarify that the specific activity definitions and associated land use and development controls apply to permitted, controlled, discretionary and non-complying activities within the precincts.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-15	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add a statement under Land use controls for all sub-precincts [A-F] which clarifies that all activities are subject to the land use controls for the sub-precinct as well as the underlying zone.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-15	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add a statement under Land use controls for all sub-precincts [A-F] which clarifies that all activities are subject to the land use controls for the sub-precinct as well as the underlying zone.	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-15	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add a statement under Land use controls for all sub-precincts [A-F] which clarifies that all activities are subject to the land use controls for the sub-precinct as well as the underlying zone.	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-15	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add a statement under Land use controls for all sub-precincts [A-F] which clarifies that all activities are subject to the land use controls for the sub-precinct as well as the underlying zone.	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-15	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add a statement under Land use controls for all sub-precincts [A-F] which clarifies that all activities are subject to the land use controls for the sub-precinct as well as the underlying zone.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-15	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add a statement under Land use controls for all sub-precincts [A-F] which clarifies that all activities are subject to the land use controls for the sub-precinct as well as the underlying zone.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-15	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add a statement under Land use controls for all sub-precincts [A-F] which clarifies that all activities are subject to the land use controls for the sub-precinct as well as the underlying zone.	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-15	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add a statement under Land use controls for all sub-precincts [A-F] which clarifies that all activities are subject to the land use controls for the sub-precinct as well as the underlying zone.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-16	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'explanation and reasons' section to all activity tables, land use controls and development controls for all sub-precincts [A-F]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-16	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'explanation and reasons' section to all activity tables, land use controls and development controls for all sub-precincts [A-F]	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-16	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'explanation and reasons' section to all activity tables, land use controls and development controls for all sub-precincts [A-F]	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-16	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'explanation and reasons' section to all activity tables, land use controls and development controls for all sub-precincts [A-F]	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-16	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'explanation and reasons' section to all activity tables, land use controls and development controls for all sub-precincts [A-F]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-16	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'explanation and reasons' section to all activity tables, land use controls and development controls for all sub-precincts [A-F]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-16	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'explanation and reasons' section to all activity tables, land use controls and development controls for all sub-precincts [A-F]	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-16	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'explanation and reasons' section to all activity tables, land use controls and development controls for all sub-precincts [A-F]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-17	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-18	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section to all sub-precincts [A-F]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-18	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section to all sub-precincts [A-F]	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-18	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section to all sub-precincts [A-F]	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-18	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section to all sub-precincts [A-F]	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-18	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section to all sub-precincts [A-F]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-18	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section to all sub-precincts [A-F]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-18	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section to all sub-precincts [A-F]	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-18	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add an 'Expected Environmental Outcomes' section to all sub-precincts [A-F]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-19	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the activity status of Restaurants and Cafes from Restricted Discretionary to Discretionary	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-19	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the activity status of Restaurants and Cafes from Restricted Discretionary to Discretionary	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-19	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the activity status of Restaurants and Cafes from Restricted Discretionary to Discretionary	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-19	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the activity status of Restaurants and Cafes from Restricted Discretionary to Discretionary	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-20	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [Refer to submission page 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-20	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [Refer to submission page 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-20	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [Refer to submission page 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-20	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [Refer to submission page 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-21	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere section.] [Refer to submission pages 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details].	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-21	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere section.] [Refer to submission pages 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details].	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-21	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere section.] [Refer to submission pages 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details].	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-21	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere section.] [Refer to submission pages 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details].	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-21	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere section.] [Refer to submission pages 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details].	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-21	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere section.] [Refer to submission pages 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details].	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-21	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere section.] [Refer to submission pages 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details].	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-21	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.2 Rules (sub-precinct B)	Add development control relating to Traffic Generation, Access and Parking to meet specific sight-line requirements as per the operative plan [Waitakere section.] [Refer to submission pages 10-11/24 Vol.1 for details].	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-22	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend 4.2(1)(c) to change the status of subdivision which creates sites at a density greater than that shown in Precinct Plan 2 from Discretionary to Prohibited	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-22	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend 4.2(1)(c) to change the status of subdivision which creates sites at a density greater than that shown in Precinct Plan 2 from Discretionary to Prohibited	2320	Preserve the Swanson Foothills Society Incorporated	Support
2959-22	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend 4.2(1)(c) to change the status of subdivision which creates sites at a density greater than that shown in Precinct Plan 2 from Discretionary to Prohibited	2327	Peter Stubbs	Support
2959-22	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend 4.2(1)(c) to change the status of subdivision which creates sites at a density greater than that shown in Precinct Plan 2 from Discretionary to Prohibited	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-22	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend 4.2(1)(c) to change the status of subdivision which creates sites at a density greater than that shown in Precinct Plan 2 from Discretionary to Prohibited	3178	Les Wilson	Oppose in Part
2959-22	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend 4.2(1)(c) to change the status of subdivision which creates sites at a density greater than that shown in Precinct Plan 2 from Discretionary to Prohibited	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-22	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend 4.2(1)(c) to change the status of subdivision which creates sites at a density greater than that shown in Precinct Plan 2 from Discretionary to Prohibited	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-23	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete 4.3(1) - Oratia Policy Area subdivision rule.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-23	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete 4.3(1) - Oratia Policy Area subdivision rule.	2320	Preserve the Swanson Foothills Society Incorporated	Support
2959-23	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete 4.3(1) - Oratia Policy Area subdivision rule.	2327	Peter Stubbs	Support
2959-23	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete 4.3(1) - Oratia Policy Area subdivision rule.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-23	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete 4.3(1) - Oratia Policy Area subdivision rule.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-23	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete 4.3(1) - Oratia Policy Area subdivision rule.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-24	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete rule 4.3(3) which provides for subdivision in the Parrs Cross Road, Holdens Road, Forest Hill Road and Pine Avenue (Henderson Valley).	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-24	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete rule 4.3(3) which provides for subdivision in the Parrs Cross Road, Holdens Road, Forest Hill Road and Pine Avenue (Henderson Valley).	2320	Preserve the Swanson Foothills Society Incorporated	Support
2959-24	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete rule 4.3(3) which provides for subdivision in the Parrs Cross Road, Holdens Road, Forest Hill Road and Pine Avenue (Henderson Valley).	2327	Peter Stubbs	Support
2959-24	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete rule 4.3(3) which provides for subdivision in the Parrs Cross Road, Holdens Road, Forest Hill Road and Pine Avenue (Henderson Valley).	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-24	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete rule 4.3(3) which provides for subdivision in the Parrs Cross Road, Holdens Road, Forest Hill Road and Pine Avenue (Henderson Valley).	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-24	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Delete rule 4.3(3) which provides for subdivision in the Parrs Cross Road, Holdens Road, Forest Hill Road and Pine Avenue (Henderson Valley).	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-25	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add assessment criterion for all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities in each sub-precinct [A-F] to read: 'the activity must be consistent with the objectives of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act'	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-25	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add assessment criterion for all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities in each sub-precinct [A-F] to read: 'the activity must be consistent with the objectives of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act'	2320	Preserve the Swanson Foothills Society Incorporated	Support
2959-25	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add assessment criterion for all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities in each sub-precinct [A-F] to read: 'the activity must be consistent with the objectives of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act'	2327	Peter Stubbs	Support
2959-25	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add assessment criterion for all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities in each sub-precinct [A-F] to read: 'the activity must be consistent with the objectives of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act'	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-25	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add assessment criterion for all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities in each sub-precinct [A-F] to read: 'the activity must be consistent with the objectives of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act'	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-25	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Add assessment criterion for all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities in each sub-precinct [A-F] to read: 'the activity must be consistent with the objectives of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act'	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-26	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the 'Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act' to the list of matters for discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities in all sub-precincts [A-F]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-26	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the 'Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act' to the list of matters for discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities in all sub-precincts [A-F]	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-26	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the 'Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act' to the list of matters for discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities in all sub-precincts [A-F]	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-26	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the 'Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act' to the list of matters for discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities in all sub-precincts [A-F]	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-26	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the 'Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act' to the list of matters for discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities in all sub-precincts [A-F]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-26	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the 'Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act' to the list of matters for discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities in all sub-precincts [A-F]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-26	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the 'Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act' to the list of matters for discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities in all sub-precincts [A-F]	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-26	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the 'Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act' to the list of matters for discretion for Restricted Discretionary Activities in all sub-precincts [A-F]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-27	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Amend Policy Area 3 (1) Activity Table to correct errors to activity statuses throughout table [note: submission has not taken into consideration underlying zone - a status of 'NA' means 'non-applicable' in the table because the underlying zone applies]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-27	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Amend Policy Area 3 (1) Activity Table to correct errors to activity statuses throughout table [note: submission has not taken into consideration underlying zone - a status of 'NA' means 'non-applicable' in the table because the underlying zone applies]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-27	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Amend Policy Area 3 (1) Activity Table to correct errors to activity statuses throughout table [note: submission has not taken into consideration underlying zone - a status of 'NA' means 'non-applicable' in the table because the underlying zone applies]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-27	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Amend Policy Area 3 (1) Activity Table to correct errors to activity statuses throughout table [note: submission has not taken into consideration underlying zone - a status of 'NA' means 'non-applicable' in the table because the underlying zone applies]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-28	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Amend 4.1 Matters of discretion - Restricted Discretionary Activities, change 'Restaurant and Cafes and Retail' under 'Views' from Column B to Column A. [Refer to submission page 12/24, Vol 1 for details].	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-28	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Amend 4.1 Matters of discretion - Restricted Discretionary Activities, change 'Restaurant and Cafes and Retail' under 'Views' from Column B to Column A. [Refer to submission page 12/24, Vol 1 for details].	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-28	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Amend 4.1 Matters of discretion - Restricted Discretionary Activities, change 'Restaurant and Cafes and Retail' under 'Views' from Column B to Column A. [Refer to submission page 12/24, Vol 1 for details].	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-28	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.3 Rules (sub-precinct C)	Amend 4.1 Matters of discretion - Restricted Discretionary Activities, change 'Restaurant and Cafes and Retail' under 'Views' from Column B to Column A. [Refer to submission page 12/24, Vol 1 for details].	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-29	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Amend rule 3.3 Building coverage to limit coverage to 20% or 200m^2 whichever is the lesser. [Note: submission has assumed incorrect operative rule for building coverage]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-29	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Amend rule 3.3 Building coverage to limit coverage to 20% or 200m^2 whichever is the lesser. [Note: submission has assumed incorrect operative rule for building coverage]	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-29	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Amend rule 3.3 Building coverage to limit coverage to 20% or 200m^2 whichever is the lesser. [Note: submission has assumed incorrect operative rule for building coverage]	2904	Jonathan Green	Support in Part
2959-29	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Amend rule 3.3 Building coverage to limit coverage to 20% or 200m^2 whichever is the lesser. [Note: submission has assumed incorrect operative rule for building coverage]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-29	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Amend rule 3.3 Building coverage to limit coverage to 20% or 200m^2 whichever is the lesser. [Note: submission has assumed incorrect operative rule for building coverage]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-29	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.4 Rules (sub-precinct D)	Amend rule 3.3 Building coverage to limit coverage to 20% or 200m^2 whichever is the lesser. [Note: submission has assumed incorrect operative rule for building coverage]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-30	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend Development Control for Building Coverage for all sub-precincts [A-F] to make activity status for additional building coverage a Discretionary Activity	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-30	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend Development Control for Building Coverage for all sub-precincts [A-F] to make activity status for additional building coverage a Discretionary Activity	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-30	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend Development Control for Building Coverage for all sub-precincts [A-F] to make activity status for additional building coverage a Discretionary Activity	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-30	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend Development Control for Building Coverage for all sub-precincts [A-F] to make activity status for additional building coverage a Discretionary Activity	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-30	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend Development Control for Building Coverage for all sub-precincts [A-F] to make activity status for additional building coverage a Discretionary Activity	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-30	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend Development Control for Building Coverage for all sub-precincts [A-F] to make activity status for additional building coverage a Discretionary Activity	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-30	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend Development Control for Building Coverage for all sub-precincts [A-F] to make activity status for additional building coverage a Discretionary Activity	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-30	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Amend Development Control for Building Coverage for all sub-precincts [A-F] to make activity status for additional building coverage a Discretionary Activity	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-31	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Add an explanation of the significance of building coverage as a development control in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area precinct.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-32	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Mapping	Delete reference to Appeal numbers 147 and 148 on Precinct Plan 19	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-32	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Mapping	Delete reference to Appeal numbers 147 and 148 on Precinct Plan 19	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-32	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Mapping	Delete reference to Appeal numbers 147 and 148 on Precinct Plan 19	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-32	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Mapping	Delete reference to Appeal numbers 147 and 148 on Precinct Plan 19	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-33	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the operative controls for Waitakere Quarry at Te Henga from the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [See pages 14-24/24 Vol.1 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-33	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the operative controls for Waitakere Quarry at Te Henga from the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [See pages 14-24/24 Vol.1 for details]	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-33	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the operative controls for Waitakere Quarry at Te Henga from the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [See pages 14-24/24 Vol.1 for details]	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-33	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the operative controls for Waitakere Quarry at Te Henga from the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [See pages 14-24/24 Vol.1 for details]	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-33	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the operative controls for Waitakere Quarry at Te Henga from the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [See pages 14-24/24 Vol.1 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-33	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the operative controls for Waitakere Quarry at Te Henga from the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [See pages 14-24/24 Vol.1 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-33	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the operative controls for Waitakere Quarry at Te Henga from the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [See pages 14-24/24 Vol.1 for details]	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-33	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Other provisions	Add the operative controls for Waitakere Quarry at Te Henga from the operative plan [Waitakere Section]. [See pages 14-24/24 Vol.1 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-34	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend 1.1...Supply of land in appropriate locations...to add a statement which expresses a view about the cumulative effects on development [refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-34	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend 1.1...Supply of land in appropriate locations...to add a statement which expresses a view about the cumulative effects on development [refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support
2959-34	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend 1.1...Supply of land in appropriate locations...to add a statement which expresses a view about the cumulative effects on development [refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-34	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend 1.1...Supply of land in appropriate locations...to add a statement which expresses a view about the cumulative effects on development [refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-34	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend 1.1...Supply of land in appropriate locations...to add a statement which expresses a view about the cumulative effects on development [refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-35	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 Explanation, by adding sentences which express a view on the intrinsic values of Auckland's ecosystems and their contribution to Auckland's identity[refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-35	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 Explanation, by adding sentences which express a view on the intrinsic values of Auckland's ecosystems and their contribution to Auckland's identity[refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-35	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 Explanation, by adding sentences which express a view on the intrinsic values of Auckland's ecosystems and their contribution to Auckland's identity[refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-35	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 Explanation, by adding sentences which express a view on the intrinsic values of Auckland's ecosystems and their contribution to Auckland's identity[refer to submission page 12/29 Vol.2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-36	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 'Natural character, landscape and features' by adding sentences which recognise the Waitakere Ranges as an iconic feature and recognising that ecosystems and natural features are constraints which mould the form and direction of development [refer to submission pages 12-13/29 Vol.2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-36	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 'Natural character, landscape and features' by adding sentences which recognise the Waitakere Ranges as an iconic feature and recognising that ecosystems and natural features are constraints which mould the form and direction of development [refer to submission pages 12-13/29 Vol.2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-36	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 'Natural character, landscape and features' by adding sentences which recognise the Waitakere Ranges as an iconic feature and recognising that ecosystems and natural features are constraints which mould the form and direction of development [refer to submission pages 12-13/29 Vol.2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-36	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 'Natural character, landscape and features' by adding sentences which recognise the Waitakere Ranges as an iconic feature and recognising that ecosystems and natural features are constraints which mould the form and direction of development [refer to submission pages 12-13/29 Vol.2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-37	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 'The Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act 2008' by adding sentences which express a view about the values of the Waitakere Ranges, especially their landscape values, the importance of the foothills as a buffer and their susceptilibity to cumulative adverse effects on their heritage features [refer to submission pages 13-14/29 Vol.2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-37	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 'The Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act 2008' by adding sentences which express a view about the values of the Waitakere Ranges, especially their landscape values, the importance of the foothills as a buffer and their susceptilibity to cumulative adverse effects on their heritage features [refer to submission pages 13-14/29 Vol.2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-37	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 'The Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act 2008' by adding sentences which express a view about the values of the Waitakere Ranges, especially their landscape values, the importance of the foothills as a buffer and their susceptilibity to cumulative adverse effects on their heritage features [refer to submission pages 13-14/29 Vol.2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-37	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.3 Protecting historic heritage, spec.character & nat. heritage	Amend 1.3 'The Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act 2008' by adding sentences which express a view about the values of the Waitakere Ranges, especially their landscape values, the importance of the foothills as a buffer and their susceptilibity to cumulative adverse effects on their heritage features [refer to submission pages 13-14/29 Vol.2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-38	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.7 Sustainably managing our rural environment	Amend 1.7 'Rural subdivision' by adding sentences which express a view on the effects of subdivision, use and development on rural landscape character and amenity and where subdivision may be inappropriate [refer to submission page 14/29 Vol.2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-38	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.7 Sustainably managing our rural environment	Amend 1.7 'Rural subdivision' by adding sentences which express a view on the effects of subdivision, use and development on rural landscape character and amenity and where subdivision may be inappropriate [refer to submission page 14/29 Vol.2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-38	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.7 Sustainably managing our rural environment	Amend 1.7 'Rural subdivision' by adding sentences which express a view on the effects of subdivision, use and development on rural landscape character and amenity and where subdivision may be inappropriate [refer to submission page 14/29 Vol.2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-38	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.7 Sustainably managing our rural environment	Amend 1.7 'Rural subdivision' by adding sentences which express a view on the effects of subdivision, use and development on rural landscape character and amenity and where subdivision may be inappropriate [refer to submission page 14/29 Vol.2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-39	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add a new policy 1(k)(vii) which identifies the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area as an area into which the expansion of rural and coastal towns and villages will avoid.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-39	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add a new policy 1(k)(vii) which identifies the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area as an area into which the expansion of rural and coastal towns and villages will avoid.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-39	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add a new policy 1(k)(vii) which identifies the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area as an area into which the expansion of rural and coastal towns and villages will avoid.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-39	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add a new policy 1(k)(vii) which identifies the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area as an area into which the expansion of rural and coastal towns and villages will avoid.	3485	Bronwen J Turner	Oppose in Part
2959-39	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.5 Rural and coastal towns and villages	Add a new policy 1(k)(vii) which identifies the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area as an area into which the expansion of rural and coastal towns and villages will avoid.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-40	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.6 Public open space and recreation facilities	Amend 2.6 'Public open space and recreation facilities' to read: Examples of public open space include: parks and reserves...and the Waitakere Ranges. The regional parks represent many of the special natural and cultural qualities of the Auckland region. The parks are purchased, and are managed, to protect their intrinsic, natural, cultural and landscape values and to provide outdoor recreational opportunities for the enjoyment and benefit of the people of the region and are held in perpetuity for that purpose.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-40	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.6 Public open space and recreation facilities	Amend 2.6 'Public open space and recreation facilities' to read: Examples of public open space include: parks and reserves...and the Waitakere Ranges. The regional parks represent many of the special natural and cultural qualities of the Auckland region. The parks are purchased, and are managed, to protect their intrinsic, natural, cultural and landscape values and to provide outdoor recreational opportunities for the enjoyment and benefit of the people of the region and are held in perpetuity for that purpose.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-40	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.6 Public open space and recreation facilities	Amend 2.6 'Public open space and recreation facilities' to read: Examples of public open space include: parks and reserves...and the Waitakere Ranges. The regional parks represent many of the special natural and cultural qualities of the Auckland region. The parks are purchased, and are managed, to protect their intrinsic, natural, cultural and landscape values and to provide outdoor recreational opportunities for the enjoyment and benefit of the people of the region and are held in perpetuity for that purpose.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-40	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.6 Public open space and recreation facilities	Amend 2.6 'Public open space and recreation facilities' to read: Examples of public open space include: parks and reserves...and the Waitakere Ranges. The regional parks represent many of the special natural and cultural qualities of the Auckland region. The parks are purchased, and are managed, to protect their intrinsic, natural, cultural and landscape values and to provide outdoor recreational opportunities for the enjoyment and benefit of the people of the region and are held in perpetuity for that purpose.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-41	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Amend to include statements regarding the importance of retaining intrinsic value of natural heritage [refer to submission page 15/29 Vol.2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-41	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Amend to include statements regarding the importance of retaining intrinsic value of natural heritage [refer to submission page 15/29 Vol.2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-41	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Amend to include statements regarding the importance of retaining intrinsic value of natural heritage [refer to submission page 15/29 Vol.2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-41	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3 Natural heritage	Amend to include statements regarding the importance of retaining intrinsic value of natural heritage [refer to submission page 15/29 Vol.2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-42	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development in the coastal environment is designed and located to avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on natural character...	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-42	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development in the coastal environment is designed and located to avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on natural character...	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-42	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development in the coastal environment is designed and located to avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on natural character...	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-42	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development in the coastal environment is designed and located to avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on natural character...	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-42	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development in the coastal environment is designed and located to avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on natural character...	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-42	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development in the coastal environment is designed and located to avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on natural character...	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-43	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 3 to read: ~~Where practicable a~~ Areas with degraded natural character are restored or rehabilitated...	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-43	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 3 to read: ~~Where practicable a~~ Areas with degraded natural character are restored or rehabilitated...	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-43	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 3 to read: ~~Where practicable a~~ Areas with degraded natural character are restored or rehabilitated...	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-43	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 3 to read: ~~Where practicable a~~ Areas with degraded natural character are restored or rehabilitated...	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-43	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 3 to read: ~~Where practicable a~~ Areas with degraded natural character are restored or rehabilitated...	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-43	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Objective 3 to read: ~~Where practicable a~~ Areas with degraded natural character are restored or rehabilitated...	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-44	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Natural character values of the coastal environment Policy 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development must avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment, ~~and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities,~~ taking into account...	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2959-44	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Natural character values of the coastal environment Policy 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development must avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment, ~~and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities,~~ taking into account...	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-44	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Natural character values of the coastal environment Policy 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development must avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment, ~~and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities,~~ taking into account...	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-44	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Natural character values of the coastal environment Policy 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development must avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment, ~~and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities,~~ taking into account...	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-44	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Natural character values of the coastal environment Policy 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development must avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment, ~~and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities,~~ taking into account...	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-44	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend Natural character values of the coastal environment Policy 1 to read: Subdivision, use and development must avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment, ~~and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of activities,~~ taking into account...	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-45	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend High natural character of the coastal environment Policy 11 to read: Avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects ~~and avoid, remedy and mitigate other adverse effects~~ on HNC areas by...	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2959-45	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend High natural character of the coastal environment Policy 11 to read: Avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects ~~and avoid, remedy and mitigate other adverse effects~~ on HNC areas by...	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-45	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend High natural character of the coastal environment Policy 11 to read: Avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects ~~and avoid, remedy and mitigate other adverse effects~~ on HNC areas by...	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-45	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend High natural character of the coastal environment Policy 11 to read: Avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects ~~and avoid, remedy and mitigate other adverse effects~~ on HNC areas by...	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-45	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend High natural character of the coastal environment Policy 11 to read: Avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects ~~and avoid, remedy and mitigate other adverse effects~~ on HNC areas by...	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-45	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.1 Natural character of the coastal environment	Amend High natural character of the coastal environment Policy 11 to read: Avoid ~~significant~~ adverse effects ~~and avoid, remedy and mitigate other adverse effects~~ on HNC areas by...	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-46	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend 'Introduction' to include text that describes the effects on Auckland' Region's natural environment, and expands on effects on areas idendified as ONL [refer to submission page 16/29 Vol.2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-46	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend 'Introduction' to include text that describes the effects on Auckland' Region's natural environment, and expands on effects on areas idendified as ONL [refer to submission page 16/29 Vol.2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-46	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend 'Introduction' to include text that describes the effects on Auckland' Region's natural environment, and expands on effects on areas idendified as ONL [refer to submission page 16/29 Vol.2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-46	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend 'Introduction' to include text that describes the effects on Auckland' Region's natural environment, and expands on effects on areas idendified as ONL [refer to submission page 16/29 Vol.2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-47	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to read: Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected ~~form~~ from inappropriate subdivision use the development [sic].	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-47	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to read: Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected ~~form~~ from inappropriate subdivision use the development [sic].	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-47	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to read: Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected ~~form~~ from inappropriate subdivision use the development [sic].	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-47	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to read: Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected ~~form~~ from inappropriate subdivision use the development [sic].	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-48	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add a second Objective to read: Maintain and enhance the overall quality of the environment of the Auckland region, within and outside the urban area, including its unique maritime setting, volcanic features, significant landscapes and natural heritage values (refer 2.6.1 of ARPS).	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-48	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add a second Objective to read: Maintain and enhance the overall quality of the environment of the Auckland region, within and outside the urban area, including its unique maritime setting, volcanic features, significant landscapes and natural heritage values (refer 2.6.1 of ARPS).	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-48	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add a second Objective to read: Maintain and enhance the overall quality of the environment of the Auckland region, within and outside the urban area, including its unique maritime setting, volcanic features, significant landscapes and natural heritage values (refer 2.6.1 of ARPS).	2942	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-48	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add a second Objective to read: Maintain and enhance the overall quality of the environment of the Auckland region, within and outside the urban area, including its unique maritime setting, volcanic features, significant landscapes and natural heritage values (refer 2.6.1 of ARPS).	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-48	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add a second Objective to read: Maintain and enhance the overall quality of the environment of the Auckland region, within and outside the urban area, including its unique maritime setting, volcanic features, significant landscapes and natural heritage values (refer 2.6.1 of ARPS).	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-48	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add a second Objective to read: Maintain and enhance the overall quality of the environment of the Auckland region, within and outside the urban area, including its unique maritime setting, volcanic features, significant landscapes and natural heritage values (refer 2.6.1 of ARPS).	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-49	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend 'Introduction' to incorporate a statement about 'ecosystem services' and the functioning of ecosystems and the natural environment. [Refer to submission page 17/29 Vol.2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-49	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend 'Introduction' to incorporate a statement about 'ecosystem services' and the functioning of ecosystems and the natural environment. [Refer to submission page 17/29 Vol.2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-49	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend 'Introduction' to incorporate a statement about 'ecosystem services' and the functioning of ecosystems and the natural environment. [Refer to submission page 17/29 Vol.2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-49	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend 'Introduction' to incorporate a statement about 'ecosystem services' and the functioning of ecosystems and the natural environment. [Refer to submission page 17/29 Vol.2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-50	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend Objective 2 to read: The contribution of trees and vegetation to the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity, and the provision of ecosystem services ~~including soil conservation, water quality, stormwater control and the mitigation of natural hazards~~ is recognised and enhanced.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-50	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend Objective 2 to read: The contribution of trees and vegetation to the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity, and the provision of ecosystem services ~~including soil conservation, water quality, stormwater control and the mitigation of natural hazards~~ is recognised and enhanced.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-50	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend Objective 2 to read: The contribution of trees and vegetation to the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity, and the provision of ecosystem services ~~including soil conservation, water quality, stormwater control and the mitigation of natural hazards~~ is recognised and enhanced.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-50	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend Objective 2 to read: The contribution of trees and vegetation to the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity, and the provision of ecosystem services ~~including soil conservation, water quality, stormwater control and the mitigation of natural hazards~~ is recognised and enhanced.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-51	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend Objective 3 to read: Identify and protect areas where vegetation contributes significantly to the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and to ecosystem ~~services including soil conservation water quality and quality management and the avoidance and mitigation of natural hazards~~	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-51	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend Objective 3 to read: Identify and protect areas where vegetation contributes significantly to the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and to ecosystem ~~services including soil conservation water quality and quality management and the avoidance and mitigation of natural hazards~~	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-51	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend Objective 3 to read: Identify and protect areas where vegetation contributes significantly to the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and to ecosystem ~~services including soil conservation water quality and quality management and the avoidance and mitigation of natural hazards~~	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-51	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend Objective 3 to read: Identify and protect areas where vegetation contributes significantly to the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and to ecosystem ~~services including soil conservation water quality and quality management and the avoidance and mitigation of natural hazards~~	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-52	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend 'Introduction' to include text describing the interpretation of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area and the relationship between the WRHA Act and the Regional Policy Statement [refer to submission page 17/29 Vol 2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-52	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend 'Introduction' to include text describing the interpretation of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area and the relationship between the WRHA Act and the Regional Policy Statement [refer to submission page 17/29 Vol 2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-52	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend 'Introduction' to include text describing the interpretation of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area and the relationship between the WRHA Act and the Regional Policy Statement [refer to submission page 17/29 Vol 2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-52	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend 'Introduction' to include text describing the interpretation of the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area and the relationship between the WRHA Act and the Regional Policy Statement [refer to submission page 17/29 Vol 2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-53	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend by adding 9 objectives that relate to the heritage features of the Waitakere Ranges and the management of activities within it, and by deleting Objective 3 (cumulative effects avoided) and Objective 6 (providing for wellbeing.) [Refer to submission page 18/29 Vol 2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-53	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend by adding 9 objectives that relate to the heritage features of the Waitakere Ranges and the management of activities within it, and by deleting Objective 3 (cumulative effects avoided) and Objective 6 (providing for wellbeing.) [Refer to submission page 18/29 Vol 2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-53	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend by adding 9 objectives that relate to the heritage features of the Waitakere Ranges and the management of activities within it, and by deleting Objective 3 (cumulative effects avoided) and Objective 6 (providing for wellbeing.) [Refer to submission page 18/29 Vol 2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-53	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend by adding 9 objectives that relate to the heritage features of the Waitakere Ranges and the management of activities within it, and by deleting Objective 3 (cumulative effects avoided) and Objective 6 (providing for wellbeing.) [Refer to submission page 18/29 Vol 2 for details]	3485	Bronwen J Turner	Oppose in Part
2959-53	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend by adding 9 objectives that relate to the heritage features of the Waitakere Ranges and the management of activities within it, and by deleting Objective 3 (cumulative effects avoided) and Objective 6 (providing for wellbeing.) [Refer to submission page 18/29 Vol 2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-54	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend Methods: 'Non Regulatory' third bullet point to read as follows: Advocacy and education including Auckland Council advocacy and support for initiatives that promote the objectives, including weed and pest eradication. Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Covenants, visitor management rural activities in the foothills, design guidelines, and provision of local area plans (refer 2.6.9.11 ARPS).	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-54	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend Methods: 'Non Regulatory' third bullet point to read as follows: Advocacy and education including Auckland Council advocacy and support for initiatives that promote the objectives, including weed and pest eradication. Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Covenants, visitor management rural activities in the foothills, design guidelines, and provision of local area plans (refer 2.6.9.11 ARPS).	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-54	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend Methods: 'Non Regulatory' third bullet point to read as follows: Advocacy and education including Auckland Council advocacy and support for initiatives that promote the objectives, including weed and pest eradication. Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Covenants, visitor management rural activities in the foothills, design guidelines, and provision of local area plans (refer 2.6.9.11 ARPS).	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-54	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend Methods: 'Non Regulatory' third bullet point to read as follows: Advocacy and education including Auckland Council advocacy and support for initiatives that promote the objectives, including weed and pest eradication. Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Covenants, visitor management rural activities in the foothills, design guidelines, and provision of local area plans (refer 2.6.9.11 ARPS).	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-55	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend Explanation and reasons to add text which explains the various components (ecosystem, water catchment area, buffer between urban and rural) which make up the iconic significance of the Waitakere Ranges and its contribution to the cultural heritage of the region. [Refer to submission page 19/29 Vol 2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-55	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend Explanation and reasons to add text which explains the various components (ecosystem, water catchment area, buffer between urban and rural) which make up the iconic significance of the Waitakere Ranges and its contribution to the cultural heritage of the region. [Refer to submission page 19/29 Vol 2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-55	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend Explanation and reasons to add text which explains the various components (ecosystem, water catchment area, buffer between urban and rural) which make up the iconic significance of the Waitakere Ranges and its contribution to the cultural heritage of the region. [Refer to submission page 19/29 Vol 2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-55	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.5 Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	Amend Explanation and reasons to add text which explains the various components (ecosystem, water catchment area, buffer between urban and rural) which make up the iconic significance of the Waitakere Ranges and its contribution to the cultural heritage of the region. [Refer to submission page 19/29 Vol 2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-56	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Retain provisions that recognise the contribution of trees to public open space	1812	The Tree Council	Support
2959-56	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Retain provisions that recognise the contribution of trees to public open space	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-56	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Retain provisions that recognise the contribution of trees to public open space	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-56	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Retain provisions that recognise the contribution of trees to public open space	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-56	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	H3.1 Rules	Retain provisions that recognise the contribution of trees to public open space	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-57	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend background, objectives and policies to give greater recognition to the environmental and ecological function of trees	1812	The Tree Council	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-57	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend background, objectives and policies to give greater recognition to the environmental and ecological function of trees	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-57	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend background, objectives and policies to give greater recognition to the environmental and ecological function of trees	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-57	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend background, objectives and policies to give greater recognition to the environmental and ecological function of trees	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-57	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Trees	Overlay C4.1/H3.1 Trees in streets and public open space	C4.1 Objectives and Policies	Amend background, objectives and policies to give greater recognition to the environmental and ecological function of trees	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-58	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain provisions that recognise the positive contribution vegetation cover makes to the environment.	1812	The Tree Council	Support
2959-58	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain provisions that recognise the positive contribution vegetation cover makes to the environment.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-58	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain provisions that recognise the positive contribution vegetation cover makes to the environment.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-58	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain provisions that recognise the positive contribution vegetation cover makes to the environment.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-58	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain provisions that recognise the positive contribution vegetation cover makes to the environment.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-59	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain objectives and policies which recognise contiguous native vegetation cover and enhance ecological integrity and functions, in particular: Objective 1 and Policies 2 and 3	1812	The Tree Council	Support
2959-59	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain objectives and policies which recognise contiguous native vegetation cover and enhance ecological integrity and functions, in particular: Objective 1 and Policies 2 and 3	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-59	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain objectives and policies which recognise contiguous native vegetation cover and enhance ecological integrity and functions, in particular: Objective 1 and Policies 2 and 3	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-59	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain objectives and policies which recognise contiguous native vegetation cover and enhance ecological integrity and functions, in particular: Objective 1 and Policies 2 and 3	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-59	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Retain objectives and policies which recognise contiguous native vegetation cover and enhance ecological integrity and functions, in particular: Objective 1 and Policies 2 and 3	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-60	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Add additional background, objectives and policies which recognise and protect the positive contribution that vegetation makes to visual amenity	1812	The Tree Council	Support
2959-60	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Add additional background, objectives and policies which recognise and protect the positive contribution that vegetation makes to visual amenity	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-60	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Add additional background, objectives and policies which recognise and protect the positive contribution that vegetation makes to visual amenity	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2959-60	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Add additional background, objectives and policies which recognise and protect the positive contribution that vegetation makes to visual amenity	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-60	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Add additional background, objectives and policies which recognise and protect the positive contribution that vegetation makes to visual amenity	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-60	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Vegetation Management and SEAs	C5.3 Background, objectives and policies		Add additional background, objectives and policies which recognise and protect the positive contribution that vegetation makes to visual amenity	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-61	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend provisions to incorporate consistent references to 'ecosystem services' as outlined in the submission. [See submission page 24/29 Vol 2 for details]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-61	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend provisions to incorporate consistent references to 'ecosystem services' as outlined in the submission. [See submission page 24/29 Vol 2 for details]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-61	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend provisions to incorporate consistent references to 'ecosystem services' as outlined in the submission. [See submission page 24/29 Vol 2 for details]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-61	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.3 Trees and vegetation	Amend provisions to incorporate consistent references to 'ecosystem services' as outlined in the submission. [See submission page 24/29 Vol 2 for details]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-62	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain all objectives and policies that recognise and protect vegetation, natural features and SEAs from inappropriate subdivision activity, in particular the following: Objectives 8 and 10, Policies 4(a), 12, 27(a) and (b) and 35.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-62	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain all objectives and policies that recognise and protect vegetation, natural features and SEAs from inappropriate subdivision activity, in particular the following: Objectives 8 and 10, Policies 4(a), 12, 27(a) and (b) and 35.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-62	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain all objectives and policies that recognise and protect vegetation, natural features and SEAs from inappropriate subdivision activity, in particular the following: Objectives 8 and 10, Policies 4(a), 12, 27(a) and (b) and 35.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-62	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Retain all objectives and policies that recognise and protect vegetation, natural features and SEAs from inappropriate subdivision activity, in particular the following: Objectives 8 and 10, Policies 4(a), 12, 27(a) and (b) and 35.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-63	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend objectives to strengthen them so they relate directly to supporting policies	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-63	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend objectives to strengthen them so they relate directly to supporting policies	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-63	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend objectives to strengthen them so they relate directly to supporting policies	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-63	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - general	C.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend objectives to strengthen them so they relate directly to supporting policies	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-64	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Amend the provisions to integrate with operative provisions for Temporary Activities	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-64	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Amend the provisions to integrate with operative provisions for Temporary Activities	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-64	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Amend the provisions to integrate with operative provisions for Temporary Activities	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-64	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Amend the provisions to integrate with operative provisions for Temporary Activities	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-65	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain the Background	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-65	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain the Background	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-65	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain the Background	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-65	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain the Background	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-66	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Objective 2	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-66	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Objective 2	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-66	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Objective 2	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-66	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Objective 2	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-67	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Add to Objective 3 as follows: ...in relation to public open spaces that exhibit environmental, ecological function, wilderness and tranquility values, such as the Waitakere Range, disturbance to these values is to be avoided by locating such events in other public places where possible.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-67	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Add to Objective 3 as follows: ...in relation to public open spaces that exhibit environmental, ecological function, wilderness and tranquility values, such as the Waitakere Range, disturbance to these values is to be avoided by locating such events in other public places where possible.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-67	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Add to Objective 3 as follows: ...in relation to public open spaces that exhibit environmental, ecological function, wilderness and tranquility values, such as the Waitakere Range, disturbance to these values is to be avoided by locating such events in other public places where possible.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-67	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Add to Objective 3 as follows: ...in relation to public open spaces that exhibit environmental, ecological function, wilderness and tranquility values, such as the Waitakere Range, disturbance to these values is to be avoided by locating such events in other public places where possible.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-68	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Objective 4	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-68	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Objective 4	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-68	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Objective 4	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-68	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Objective 4	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-69	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Add to Policy 5 'Managing effects on public open space and areas for conservation' as follows:...in relation to public open spaces that exhibit environmental, ecological function, wilderness and tranquility values, such as the Waitakere Ranges, disturbance to these values is to be avoided by locating such events in other public places where possible	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-69	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Add to Policy 5 'Managing effects on public open space and areas for conservation' as follows:...in relation to public open spaces that exhibit environmental, ecological function, wilderness and tranquility values, such as the Waitakere Ranges, disturbance to these values is to be avoided by locating such events in other public places where possible	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-69	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Add to Policy 5 'Managing effects on public open space and areas for conservation' as follows:...in relation to public open spaces that exhibit environmental, ecological function, wilderness and tranquility values, such as the Waitakere Ranges, disturbance to these values is to be avoided by locating such events in other public places where possible	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-69	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Add to Policy 5 'Managing effects on public open space and areas for conservation' as follows:...in relation to public open spaces that exhibit environmental, ecological function, wilderness and tranquility values, such as the Waitakere Ranges, disturbance to these values is to be avoided by locating such events in other public places where possible	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-70	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Residential zones	D1.3 Rural and coastal settlement zone desc, obs & pols		Retain second sentence of Zone description	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-70	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Residential zones	D1.3 Rural and coastal settlement zone desc, obs & pols		Retain second sentence of Zone description	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-70	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Residential zones	D1.3 Rural and coastal settlement zone desc, obs & pols		Retain second sentence of Zone description	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-70	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Residential zones	D1.3 Rural and coastal settlement zone desc, obs & pols		Retain second sentence of Zone description	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-71	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Residential zones	D1.3 Rural and coastal settlement zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 3 to limit the density of development	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-71	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Residential zones	D1.3 Rural and coastal settlement zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 3 to limit the density of development	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-71	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Residential zones	D1.3 Rural and coastal settlement zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 3 to limit the density of development	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-71	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Residential zones	D1.3 Rural and coastal settlement zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 3 to limit the density of development	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-72	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objectives and Policies	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-72	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objectives and Policies	2904	Jonathan Green	Support
2959-72	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objectives and Policies	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-72	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objectives and Policies	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-72	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objectives and Policies	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-73	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 1 of the Zone description and Objectives 1 and 2 to enhance specified environmental values and physical and biological activities.	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-73	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 1 of the Zone description and Objectives 1 and 2 to enhance specified environmental values and physical and biological activities.	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-73	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 1 of the Zone description and Objectives 1 and 2 to enhance specified environmental values and physical and biological activities.	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-73	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 1 of the Zone description and Objectives 1 and 2 to enhance specified environmental values and physical and biological activities.	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-74	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 3 of the Zone description and Objective 3 to ensure that while rural and residential activities will continue in the Zone, there is no expected significant increase in their scale or intensity	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-74	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 3 of the Zone description and Objective 3 to ensure that while rural and residential activities will continue in the Zone, there is no expected significant increase in their scale or intensity	2904	Jonathan Green	Support
2959-74	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 3 of the Zone description and Objective 3 to ensure that while rural and residential activities will continue in the Zone, there is no expected significant increase in their scale or intensity	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-74	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 3 of the Zone description and Objective 3 to ensure that while rural and residential activities will continue in the Zone, there is no expected significant increase in their scale or intensity	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-74	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6. 5 Rural Conservation zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Paragraph 3 of the Zone description and Objective 3 to ensure that while rural and residential activities will continue in the Zone, there is no expected significant increase in their scale or intensity	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-75	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6.6 Countryside Living zone desc, obs & pols		Add a new Objective which corresponds with Policy 1(e) by protecting and enhancing environmental features adjacent to existing areas of native vegetation, wetland areas, riparian margins and the coastal edge	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-75	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6.6 Countryside Living zone desc, obs & pols		Add a new Objective which corresponds with Policy 1(e) by protecting and enhancing environmental features adjacent to existing areas of native vegetation, wetland areas, riparian margins and the coastal edge	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-75	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6.6 Countryside Living zone desc, obs & pols		Add a new Objective which corresponds with Policy 1(e) by protecting and enhancing environmental features adjacent to existing areas of native vegetation, wetland areas, riparian margins and the coastal edge	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-75	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6.6 Countryside Living zone desc, obs & pols		Add a new Objective which corresponds with Policy 1(e) by protecting and enhancing environmental features adjacent to existing areas of native vegetation, wetland areas, riparian margins and the coastal edge	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-76	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6.6 Countryside Living zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy 1(e)	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-76	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6.6 Countryside Living zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy 1(e)	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-76	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6.6 Countryside Living zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy 1(e)	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-76	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Rural Zones	D6.6 Countryside Living zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy 1(e)	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-77	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Retain 4.3 Ridgeline Protection and amend to integrate operative controls on ridgeline protection	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-77	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Retain 4.3 Ridgeline Protection and amend to integrate operative controls on ridgeline protection	2904	Jonathan Green	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-77	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Retain 4.3 Ridgeline Protection and amend to integrate operative controls on ridgeline protection	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-77	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Retain 4.3 Ridgeline Protection and amend to integrate operative controls on ridgeline protection	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-77	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay E4.3/J4.3 Ridgeline Protection		Retain 4.3 Ridgeline Protection and amend to integrate operative controls on ridgeline protection	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-78	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Regional Parks	F1.7 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Add a new Policy 2(d) that recognises the desirability of establishing ecological links/corridors between regional parks and open spaces	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-78	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Regional Parks	F1.7 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Add a new Policy 2(d) that recognises the desirability of establishing ecological links/corridors between regional parks and open spaces	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-78	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Regional Parks	F1.7 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Add a new Policy 2(d) that recognises the desirability of establishing ecological links/corridors between regional parks and open spaces	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-78	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Regional Parks	F1.7 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Add a new Policy 2(d) that recognises the desirability of establishing ecological links/corridors between regional parks and open spaces	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-79	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Regional Parks	F1.7 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) to enable larger building thresholds only if appropriate in the context of the scale and particular values of the park	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-79	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Regional Parks	F1.7 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) to enable larger building thresholds only if appropriate in the context of the scale and particular values of the park	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-79	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Regional Parks	F1.7 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) to enable larger building thresholds only if appropriate in the context of the scale and particular values of the park	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-79	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Regional Parks	F1.7 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend Policy 6(a) to enable larger building thresholds only if appropriate in the context of the scale and particular values of the park	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-80	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Penihana North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-80	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Penihana North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	2200	Leonard A C Tucker	Support
2959-80	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Penihana North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	2320	Preserve the Swanson Foothills Society Incorporated	Support
2959-80	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Penihana North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	2327	Peter Stubbs	Support
2959-80	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Penihana North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-80	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Penihana North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-80	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Penihana North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-81	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Swanson North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-81	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Swanson North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-81	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Swanson North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-81	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Swanson North		Retain the Precinct and amend to ensure all operative provisions are integrated	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-82	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend Paragraph 2 of the precinct description to recognise the international significance of the Waitakere Ranges	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-83	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the Sub-precinct description of policy area A so that the recognisable village form is described as being 'open and spacious' rather than incomplete	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-83	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the Sub-precinct description of policy area A so that the recognisable village form is described as being 'open and spacious' rather than incomplete	2320	Preserve the Swanson Foothills Society Incorporated	Support
2959-83	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the Sub-precinct description of policy area A so that the recognisable village form is described as being 'open and spacious' rather than incomplete	2327	Peter Stubbs	Support
2959-83	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the Sub-precinct description of policy area A so that the recognisable village form is described as being 'open and spacious' rather than incomplete	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-83	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the Sub-precinct description of policy area A so that the recognisable village form is described as being 'open and spacious' rather than incomplete	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-83	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	K7.9.1 Rules (sub-precinct A)	Amend the Sub-precinct description of policy area A so that the recognisable village form is described as being 'open and spacious' rather than incomplete	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-84	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Amend the Sub-precinct description to recognise the limited opportunity for expansion of existing settlements	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-85	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Miscellaneous	Other	[no specific relief sought]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-85	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Miscellaneous	Other	[no specific relief sought]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-85	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Miscellaneous	Other	[no specific relief sought]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-85	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	General	Miscellaneous	Other	[no specific relief sought]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-86	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Explanation	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-87	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the Objectives and Policies	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-88	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Policy 1	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-89	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 17	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Support
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	2058	Hugh Nevill-Jackson	Oppose in Part
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	2060	Victoria E Bethell	Oppose in Part
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	2074	Strategic Property Advocacy Network Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	2128	Waitakere Park Property Partnership and Auckland Waitakere Estate	Oppose in Part
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	3003	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Support
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	3340	Trustees of Forest Trust and successors et al	Oppose in Part
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	3777	A Stienstra	Oppose in Part
2959-90	The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society Incorporated	Precincts - West	Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area	F7.9 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies for all sub-precincts [A-F]	3789	Duncan Stuart	Oppose in Part
2960-1	Auckland United Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	D2 Introduction	Retain approach that separates Public Open Spaces into 5 zones, and in particular the separation of Community and Civic zones.			
2960-2	Auckland United Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objective [1] to create a network of quality public open spaces that meet the community's needs.			
2960-3	Auckland United Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [5] to maximise the use of buildings and facilities (such as through multi-functional use and adaptable designs) to increase the capacity of the public open space, and avoid permanent single purpose buildings with restricted use.			
2960-4	Auckland United Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	D2.5 Community zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [1] to provide public open spaces in town centres and urban areas to support community activities, informal recreation and social interaction, and to enable events to take place.			
2960-5	Auckland United Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain Major Recreation Facility zone.			
2960-6	Auckland United Softball Club	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain Special Purpose School zone.			
2960-7	Auckland United Softball Club	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain objective 2.			
2960-8	Auckland United Softball Club	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Delete controls 'Lighting' 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4 and retain control 6.1.5 only.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2960-9	Auckland United Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.11 'Lighting' to include standards that manage spillage and glare, in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4282, rather than level of lighting or the timing of their use. Seek minimum lux for artificial lighting dependent on the sporting code using the grounds, based on Australian Standard AS 2560. The controls would apply between 6am to 11pm Monday to Sunday and public holidays.			
2960-10	Auckland United Softball Club	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Amend land use control 1.3(1) Recreational Noise (Table 12) to prescribe the specific 25 hours per week that the noise limits may be exceeded for each space, to align with the timing of lighting controls as follows: 6.00am-11.00pm Monday to Sunday and Public Holidays - 60dB (LAeq) / 55dB at all other times, and delete reference to 15 minute periods.			
2960-11	Auckland United Softball Club	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend to include earthworks for the construction of sports fields, and to raise the threshold at which earthworks within a Public Open Space zone (including the Sports and Active Recreation zone) require resource consent.			
2960-12	Auckland United Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.9(1) 'Maximum site coverage' to reconsider the thresholds for some activities, such as netball and tennis, to reflect the character of the varying recreational and sporting activities that take place in public open space zones and to anticipate future use.			
2960-13	Auckland United Softball Club	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend development control 3.10(1) 'Maximum impervious area' to reflect that certain sports (e.g. netball and tennis) require impervious area amounting to 90-100% of the public open space.			
2960-14	Auckland United Softball Club	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Clarify the appropriate parking measures to be applied to community sport organisations that manage/own facilities on public open spaces.			
2960-15	Auckland United Softball Club	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Reduce parking thresholds [for organised sports and recreation].			
2960-16	Auckland United Softball Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Rowing and Paddling		Apply the Rowing and Paddling Precinct to existing facilities that are not currently included.			
2961-1	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Zoning	Central		Retain Special Purpose Zone - Healthcare facility for 90 Greenlane East, Greenlane.			
2961-2	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Special Purpose Zone - Healthcare facility zone for 137 Whangaparaoa Road, Red Beach.			
2961-3	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone 119 Apollo Drive, Rosedale from Light Industry to Special Purpose zone - Healthcare Facility.			
2961-4	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Precincts - North	Albany 2		Remove 119 Apollo Drive, Rosedale from the Albany 2 Precinct.			
2961-5	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Precincts - Central	New Precincts	Other New Precincts	Amend the zoning map for 7 Ellerslie Racecourse Drive, Greenlane to create a new precinct to be developed.			
2961-6	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.3/I17 Healthcare facility zone		Retain the provisions of the Special Purpose - Healthcare Facility zone.			
2961-7	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Require further modelling and/or site investigations to better refine the sites affected by flooding. Where sites are affected by such rules, property owners should be notified.			
2961-8	Vital Healthcare Property Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend Activity Table so that applications are either permitted or have a restricted discretionary activity status (i.e. delete the use of fully discretionary and non-complying activity status).			
2962-1	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Further submission	Further submission		Further submission FS # 3612			
2962-2	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Further submission	Further submission		Further submission FS # 3612			
2962-3	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	C5.15.1 Background & Objectives	Add a programme for implementation of Integrated Catchment Management, with effect inclusion of mātaurangai Māori. Refer to page 5/9 of submission for details.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2962-4	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Issues	New Issues	Add new issue: Identification of fisheries resources as a management focus can provide an integrated approach to marine management similar to that of integrated catchment management for land management.			
2962-5	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Issues	New Issues	Add new issue: Methodologies need to be developed for integrated management of fisheries resources.			
2962-6	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Issues	New Issues	Add new issue: Interagency co-ordination can be achieved for management of fisheries resources.			
2962-7	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Coastal	B7.1 Subdivision, use & development - coastal environment	Add new objective: To develop methodologies for management of fisheries resources.			
2962-8	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Coastal	B7.1 Subdivision, use & development - coastal environment	Add new objective: To develop inter-agency co-ordination for management of fisheries resources.			
2962-9	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Coastal	B7.1 Subdivision, use & development - coastal environment	Add new policy: A methodology for integrated management of fisheries resources will be developed through engagement with iwi and relevant stakeholders groups.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Support
2962-9	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Coastal	B7.1 Subdivision, use & development - coastal environment	Add new policy: A methodology for integrated management of fisheries resources will be developed through engagement with iwi and relevant stakeholders groups.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Support
2962-9	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Coastal	B7.1 Subdivision, use & development - coastal environment	Add new policy: A methodology for integrated management of fisheries resources will be developed through engagement with iwi and relevant stakeholders groups.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
2962-9	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Coastal	B7.1 Subdivision, use & development - coastal environment	Add new policy: A methodology for integrated management of fisheries resources will be developed through engagement with iwi and relevant stakeholders groups.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Support
2962-10	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Coastal	B7.1 Subdivision, use & development - coastal environment	Add new policy: Methodologies which have been developed will be implemented through relevant plan changes, variations and plan reviews.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2962-11	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Add new objective: Redevelopment of urban areas with high Māori population provides for cultural practices.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Support
2962-11	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Add new objective: Redevelopment of urban areas with high Māori population provides for cultural practices.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Support
2962-11	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Add new objective: Redevelopment of urban areas with high Māori population provides for cultural practices.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
2962-11	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Add new objective: Redevelopment of urban areas with high Māori population provides for cultural practices.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Support
2962-12	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Add new policy: Ensure a Māori Urban planning perspective is implemented in redevelopment of urban areas with high Māori populations.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Support
2962-12	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Add new policy: Ensure a Māori Urban planning perspective is implemented in redevelopment of urban areas with high Māori populations.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Support
2962-12	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Add new policy: Ensure a Māori Urban planning perspective is implemented in redevelopment of urban areas with high Māori populations.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
2962-12	Ngātiwai Trust Board	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Add new policy: Ensure a Māori Urban planning perspective is implemented in redevelopment of urban areas with high Māori populations.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Support
2962-13	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new objective: Impacts on cultural resources are appropriately managed.			
2962-14	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: Cultural impact assessments are required to be included in assessments of environmental effect when relevant. Relevance will be determined by: Existence of an alert layer in the area of the application. The application is within a known cultural landscape. The application is near to known cultural resources.			
2962-15	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: If the criteria require a cultural impacts assessment, and no adequate cultural impact assessment is included, a consent application will be returned pursuant to s88(3) of the RMA.	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Oppose in Part
2962-15	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: If the criteria require a cultural impacts assessment, and no adequate cultural impact assessment is included, a consent application will be returned pursuant to s88(3) of the RMA.	2898	The Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Auckland	Oppose in Part
2962-15	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: If the criteria require a cultural impacts assessment, and no adequate cultural impact assessment is included, a consent application will be returned pursuant to s88(3) of the RMA.	2938	Diocesan School for Girls	Oppose in Part
2962-15	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: If the criteria require a cultural impacts assessment, and no adequate cultural impact assessment is included, a consent application will be returned pursuant to s88(3) of the RMA.	2940	A G Dryden Limited	Oppose in Part
2962-15	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: If the criteria require a cultural impacts assessment, and no adequate cultural impact assessment is included, a consent application will be returned pursuant to s88(3) of the RMA.	2952	King's College	Oppose in Part
2962-15	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: If the criteria require a cultural impacts assessment, and no adequate cultural impact assessment is included, a consent application will be returned pursuant to s88(3) of the RMA.	2962	The New Zealand Marist Brothers Trust Board	Oppose in Part
2962-15	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: If the criteria require a cultural impacts assessment, and no adequate cultural impact assessment is included, a consent application will be returned pursuant to s88(3) of the RMA.	3019	Saint Kentigern Trust Board	Oppose in Part
2962-15	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Add new policy: If the criteria require a cultural impacts assessment, and no adequate cultural impact assessment is included, a consent application will be returned pursuant to s88(3) of the RMA.	3027	Synergy Properties Limited	Oppose in Part
2962-16	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new objective: Marae-based aquaculture is recognised as a significant opportunity for Māori to enhance provision of kaimoana for traditional non-commercial purposes.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Support
2962-16	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new objective: Marae-based aquaculture is recognised as a significant opportunity for Māori to enhance provision of kaimoana for traditional non-commercial purposes.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Support
2962-16	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new objective: Marae-based aquaculture is recognised as a significant opportunity for Māori to enhance provision of kaimoana for traditional non-commercial purposes.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
2962-16	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new objective: Marae-based aquaculture is recognised as a significant opportunity for Māori to enhance provision of kaimoana for traditional non-commercial purposes.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Support
2962-17	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add policy: The significant opportunity Marae-based aquaculture provides for Māori to enhance kaimoana stocks for their traditional non-commercial purposes should be recognised when considering coastal permits for Mare-based aquaculture.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Support
2962-17	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add policy: The significant opportunity Marae-based aquaculture provides for Māori to enhance kaimoana stocks for their traditional non-commercial purposes should be recognised when considering coastal permits for Mare-based aquaculture.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Support
2962-17	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add policy: The significant opportunity Marae-based aquaculture provides for Māori to enhance kaimoana stocks for their traditional non-commercial purposes should be recognised when considering coastal permits for Mare-based aquaculture.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
2962-17	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add policy: The significant opportunity Marae-based aquaculture provides for Māori to enhance kaimoana stocks for their traditional non-commercial purposes should be recognised when considering coastal permits for Mare-based aquaculture.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support in Part
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support in Part
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support in Part
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Support
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Support
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Support
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support in Part
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support in Part
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	3251	David O Morgan	Support in Part
2962-18	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Aquaculture include associated discharge of contaminants	D5.1.14 Background, objectives and policies	Add new policy: Marae-based aquaculture shall be considered appropriate in areas otherwise restricted or prohibited for aquaculture.	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Support
2962-19	Ngātiwai Trust Board	Definitions	New		Add definition for Marae-based Aquaculture. Refer to page 9/9 of submission for suggested wording.			
2963-1	E Ann Cameron Family Trust	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Delete the overlay (ID 2199) from the property at 13 Ardlui Ave, Manly.			
2964-1	Auckland Football Federation	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	D2 Introduction	Retain approach that separates Public Open Spaces into 5 zones, and in particular the separation of Community and Civic zones.			
2964-2	Auckland Football Federation	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objective [1] to create a network of quality public open spaces that meet the community's needs.			
2964-3	Auckland Football Federation	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [5] to maximise the use of buildings and facilities (such as through multi-functional use and adaptable designs) to increase the capacity of the public open space, and avoid permanent single purpose buildings with restricted use.			
2964-4	Auckland Football Federation	Public Open Space Zones	D2.5 Community zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [1] to provide public open spaces in town centres and urban areas to support community activities, informal recreation and social interaction, and to enable events to take place.			
2964-5	Auckland Football Federation	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain Major Recreation Facility zone.			
2964-6	Auckland Football Federation	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain Special Purpose School zone.			
2964-7	Auckland Football Federation	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain objective 2.			
2964-8	Auckland Football Federation	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Delete controls 'Lighting' 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4 and retain control 6.1.5 only.			
2964-9	Auckland Football Federation	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.11 'Lighting' to include standards that manage spillage and glare, in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4282, rather than level of lighting or the timing of their use. Seek minimum lux for artificial lighting dependent on the sporting code using the grounds, based on Australian Standard AS 2560. The controls would apply between 6am to 11pm Monday to Sunday and public holidays.			
2964-10	Auckland Football Federation	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Amend land use control 1.3(1) Recreational Noise (Table 12) to prescribe the specific 25 hours per week that the noise limits may be exceeded for each space, to align with the timing of lighting controls as follows: 6.00am-11.00pm Monday to Sunday and Public Holidays - 60dB (LAeq) / 55dB at all other times, and delete reference to 15 minute periods.			
2964-11	Auckland Football Federation	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend to include earthworks for the construction of sports fields, and to raise the threshold at which earthworks within a Public Open Space zone (including the Sports and Active Recreation zone) require resource consent.			
2964-12	Auckland Football Federation	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.9(1) 'Maximum site coverage' to reconsider the thresholds for some activities, such as netball and tennis, to reflect the character of the varying recreational and sporting activities that take place in public open space zones and to anticipate future use.			
2964-13	Auckland Football Federation	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend development control 3.10(1) 'Maximum impervious area' to reflect that certain sports (e.g. netball and tennis) require impervious area amounting to 90-100% of the public open space.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2964-14	Auckland Football Federation	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Clarify the appropriate parking measures to be applied to community sport organisations that manage/own facilities on public open spaces.			
2964-15	Auckland Football Federation	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Reduce parking thresholds [for organised sports and recreation]			
2965-1	Bruce Young	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Single House zone for 54 Chelsea View Drive (Lot 264 DP 66877) and the Chatswood suburb - see map 1 on Submission page 3/11			
2965-2	Bruce Young	Zoning	Central		Retain the Mixed Housing Suburban zone for 34/34A Marua Road, Ellerslie (Lot 129 DP 17333) and immediate surrounding residential properties as outlined - see map 2 on Submission Page 8/11			
2965-3	Bruce Young	Zoning	Central		Rezone residential properties located between Marua Road and Abbotts Way from Single House to Mixed Housing Suburban - see map 3 on Submission Page 11/11			
2966-1	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	D2 Introduction	Retain approach that separates Public Open Spaces into 5 zones, and in particular the separation of Community and Civic zones.			
2966-2	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Objective [1] to create a network of quality public open spaces that meet the community's needs.			
2966-3	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [5] to maximise the use of buildings and facilities (such as through multi-functional use and adaptable designs) to increase the capacity of the public open space, and avoid permanent single purpose buildings with restricted use.			
2966-4	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	D2.5 Community zone desc, obs & pols		Retain Policy [1] to provide public open spaces in town centres and urban areas to support community activities, informal recreation and social interaction, and to enable events to take place.			
2966-5	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	D8.4 Descriptions, objectives and policies	Retain Major Recreation Facility zone.			
2966-6	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain Special Purpose School zone.			
2966-7	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.9/I23 School	D8.9 Background, objectives & policies	Retain objective 2.			
2966-8	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Delete controls 'Lighting' 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4 and retain control 6.1.5 only.			
2966-9	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.11 'Lighting' to include standards that manage spillage and glare, in accordance with Australian Standard AS 4282, rather than level of lighting or the timing of their use. Seek minimum lux for artificial lighting dependent on the sporting code using the grounds, based on Australian Standard AS 2560. The controls would apply between 6am to 11pm Monday to Sunday and public holidays.			
2966-10	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Amend land use control 1.3(1) Recreational Noise (Table 12) to prescribe the specific 25 hours per week that the noise limits may be exceeded for each space, to align with the timing of lighting controls as follows: 6.00am-11.00pm Monday to Sunday and Public Holidays - 60dB (LAeq) / 55dB at all other times, and delete reference to 15 minute periods.			
2966-11	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend to include earthworks for the construction of sports fields, and to raise the threshold at which earthworks within a Public Open Space zone (including the Sports and Active Recreation zone) require resource consent.			
2966-12	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend control 3.9(1) 'Maximum site coverage' to reconsider the thresholds for some activities, such as netball and tennis, to reflect the character of the varying recreational and sporting activities that take place in public open space zones and to anticipate future use.			
2966-13	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Amend development control 3.10(1) 'Maximum impervious area' to reflect that certain sports (e.g. netball and tennis) require impervious area amounting to 90-100% of the public open space.			
2966-14	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Clarify the appropriate parking measures to be applied to community sport organisations that manage/own facilities on public open spaces.			
2966-15	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Reduce parking thresholds [for organised sports and recreation].			
2966-16	Auckland Softball Association Incorporated	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Rowing and Paddling		Apply the Rowing and Paddling Precinct to existing facilities that are not currently included.			
2967-1	Tony Bates	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Delete Suttie's Estate Historic Heritage Area from schedule, including the statement of significance in Appendix 9.2.18 and map 40 showing the Extent of Place.			
2967-2	Tony Bates	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend the title of Appendix 9 to read: 'Schedule of Historic Heritage Places and Areas'.			
2967-3	Tony Bates	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend Appendix 9.2.18 Suttie's Estate Historic Heritage Area, to change statement in relation to historical, context, physical attributes, boundary justification and other features. Refer to the submission details [pages 5, 8-9/10].			
2967-4	Tony Bates	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend activity table in relation to 'contributing sites' to provide for 'Total, substantial of partial demolition...identified within a Category B historic heritage area', as a restricted discretionary activity (rather than discretionary).			
2967-5	Tony Bates	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 3 - Historic heritage areas	Amend activity table to provide for subdivision in 'contributing sites' as a restricted discretionary activity (where the proposed lot size is greater than or equal to 450m^2) and as a discretionary activity (where less than 450m^2).			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2967-6	Tony Bates	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.2 Development controls	Amend rule 2.1 Maintenance and repair so that clause (1) applies to individually scheduled buildings which have been assessed as having heritage value and not to buildings identified as 'contributing', and add a new clause in relation to the maintenance and repair of contributing buildings. Refer to submission for details [page 10/10].			
2967-7	Tony Bates	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Add a new clause to rule '4. Assessment - Restricted discretionary activities' to provide a link to a description of heritage values in Appendix 9. Refer to the submission for details [page 10/10].			
2967-8	Tony Bates	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.5 Special information requirements	Add a new clause to exclude any contributing or non-contributing buildings within Historic Heritage Areas from the special information requirements, unless that building or site is individually listed in the PAUP.			
2968-1	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1 Introduction - Issues of Regional significance	Redraft the issues of regional significance so that there is an overview of 'resource management issues'. [pg 11/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-1	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1 Introduction - Issues of Regional significance	Redraft the issues of regional significance so that there is an overview of 'resource management issues'. [pg 11/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-2	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Retain Issue subject to the specific relief sought elsewhere in the submission. [pg 11-13/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-2	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Retain Issue subject to the specific relief sought elsewhere in the submission. [pg 11-13/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-3	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend second bullet point to acknowledge the ongoing development of Auckland's existing area, as follows; 'optimises the efficient use and development of our existing urban area'. [pg 11/46 vol 1]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-3	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend second bullet point to acknowledge the ongoing development of Auckland's existing area, as follows; 'optimises the efficient use and development of our existing urban area'. [pg 11/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-3	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend second bullet point to acknowledge the ongoing development of Auckland's existing area, as follows; 'optimises the efficient use and development of our existing urban area'. [pg 11/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-4	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph in 'Our sense of place', to provide for the concept of functionality. See submission for proposed text. [pg 12/46 vol 1]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-4	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph in 'Our sense of place', to provide for the concept of functionality. See submission for proposed text. [pg 12/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-4	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph in 'Our sense of place', to provide for the concept of functionality. See submission for proposed text. [pg 12/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-5	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fifth bullet point under 'Our sense of place', to provide for a range of transportation choices; 'increased transportation ~~vel~~ choices ~~and a reduction in reliance on private vehicles~~ [pg 12/46 vol 1].	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-5	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fifth bullet point under 'Our sense of place', to provide for a range of transportation choices; 'increased transportation ~~vel~~ choices ~~and a reduction in reliance on private vehicles~~ [pg 12/46 vol 1].	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-5	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fifth bullet point under 'Our sense of place', to provide for a range of transportation choices; 'increased transportation ~~vel~~ choices ~~and a reduction in reliance on private vehicles~~ [pg 12/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-5	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fifth bullet point under 'Our sense of place', to provide for a range of transportation choices; 'increased transportation ~~vel~~ choices ~~and a reduction in reliance on private vehicles~~ [pg 12/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-6	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Our sense of place' to add a new bullet point, to promote and provide for the additional employment, business and infrastructure which will be required to service the proposed residential growth; 'employment, business and infrastructure to service residential growth' [pg 12/46 vol 1].	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-6	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Our sense of place' to add a new bullet point, to promote and provide for the additional employment, business and infrastructure which will be required to service the proposed residential growth; 'employment, business and infrastructure to service residential growth' [pg 12/46 vol 1].	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-6	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Our sense of place' to add a new bullet point, to promote and provide for the additional employment, business and infrastructure which will be required to service the proposed residential growth; 'employment, business and infrastructure to service residential growth' [pg 12/46 vol 1].	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-6	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Our sense of place' to add a new bullet point, to promote and provide for the additional employment, business and infrastructure which will be required to service the proposed residential growth; 'employment, business and infrastructure to service residential growth' [pg 12/46 vol 1].	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-6	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Our sense of place' to add a new bullet point, to promote and provide for the additional employment, business and infrastructure which will be required to service the proposed residential growth; 'employment, business and infrastructure to service residential growth' [pg 12/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-6	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Our sense of place' to add a new bullet point, to promote and provide for the additional employment, business and infrastructure which will be required to service the proposed residential growth; 'employment, business and infrastructure to service residential growth' [pg 12/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-7	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend first paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations', to provide for outward expansion of business and industrial land as follows; '...in a number of areas. Elsewhere, competing residential intensification can present a challenge for the necessary outward expansion and intensification of business and industrial land, including by introducing reverse sensitivity impacts. Development must also...' [pg 12-13/46 vol 1]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-7	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend first paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations', to provide for outward expansion of business and industrial land as follows; '...in a number of areas. Elsewhere, competing residential intensification can present a challenge for the necessary outward expansion and intensification of business and industrial land, including by introducing reverse sensitivity impacts. Development must also...' [pg 12-13/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-7	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend first paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations', to provide for outward expansion of business and industrial land as follows; '...in a number of areas. Elsewhere, competing residential intensification can present a challenge for the necessary outward expansion and intensification of business and industrial land, including by introducing reverse sensitivity impacts. Development must also...' [pg 12-13/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-7	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend first paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations', to provide for outward expansion of business and industrial land as follows; '...in a number of areas. Elsewhere, competing residential intensification can present a challenge for the necessary outward expansion and intensification of business and industrial land, including by introducing reverse sensitivity impacts. Development must also...' [pg 12-13/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-7	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend first paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations', to provide for outward expansion of business and industrial land as follows; '...in a number of areas. Elsewhere, competing residential intensification can present a challenge for the necessary outward expansion and intensification of business and industrial land, including by introducing reverse sensitivity impacts. Development must also...' [pg 12-13/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-8	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations' to ensure greater recognition and enablement of commercial/business growth and the expansion of employment opportunities, as follows; '...while providing high quality urban living, employment and business opportunities, lifestyle choices...'. [pg 13/46 vol 1]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-8	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations' to ensure greater recognition and enablement of commercial/business growth and the expansion of employment opportunities, as follows; '...while providing high quality urban living, employment and business opportunities, lifestyle choices...'. [pg 13/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-8	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations' to ensure greater recognition and enablement of commercial/business growth and the expansion of employment opportunities, as follows; '...while providing high quality urban living, employment and business opportunities, lifestyle choices...'. [pg 13/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-8	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations' to ensure greater recognition and enablement of commercial/business growth and the expansion of employment opportunities, as follows; '...while providing high quality urban living, employment and business opportunities, lifestyle choices...'. [pg 13/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-8	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations' to ensure greater recognition and enablement of commercial/business growth and the expansion of employment opportunities, as follows; '...while providing high quality urban living, employment and business opportunities, lifestyle choices...'. [pg 13/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-8	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend fourth paragraph under 'Supply of land in appropriate locations' to ensure greater recognition and enablement of commercial/business growth and the expansion of employment opportunities, as follows; '...while providing high quality urban living, employment and business opportunities, lifestyle choices...'. [pg 13/46 vol 1]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-9	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend to recognise the Auckland Plan's Strategic Direction 6 and the priority to 'grow a business friendly city'. Insert an additional strategic direction as follows; 'Strategic direction 6: Develop an economy that delivers opportunity and prosperity for all Aucklanders' and New Zealand [new bullet point] Grow a business friendly and well functioning city.' [pg 13/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-9	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend to recognise the Auckland Plan's Strategic Direction 6 and the priority to 'grow a business friendly city'. Insert an additional strategic direction as follows; 'Strategic direction 6: Develop an economy that delivers opportunity and prosperity for all Aucklanders' and New Zealand [new bullet point] Grow a business friendly and well functioning city.' [pg 13/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-9	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend to recognise the Auckland Plan's Strategic Direction 6 and the priority to 'grow a business friendly city'. Insert an additional strategic direction as follows; 'Strategic direction 6: Develop an economy that delivers opportunity and prosperity for all Aucklanders' and New Zealand [new bullet point] Grow a business friendly and well functioning city.' [pg 13/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-9	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend to recognise the Auckland Plan's Strategic Direction 6 and the priority to 'grow a business friendly city'. Insert an additional strategic direction as follows; 'Strategic direction 6: Develop an economy that delivers opportunity and prosperity for all Aucklanders' and New Zealand [new bullet point] Grow a business friendly and well functioning city.' [pg 13/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-10	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Retain Issue subject to the specific relief sought elsewhere in the submission. [pg 13-17/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-10	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Retain Issue subject to the specific relief sought elsewhere in the submission. [pg 13-17/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-11	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph to acknowledge that use and development of business land affects economic strength and prosperity, as follows; '...particularly the use and development of business land...'. [pg 13-14/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-11	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph to acknowledge that use and development of business land affects economic strength and prosperity, as follows; '...particularly the use and development of business land...'. [pg 13-14/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-11	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph to acknowledge that use and development of business land affects economic strength and prosperity, as follows; '...particularly the use and development of business land...'. [pg 13-14/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-11	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph to acknowledge that use and development of business land affects economic strength and prosperity, as follows; '...particularly the use and development of business land...'. [pg 13-14/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-12	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Explanation to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service the expected residential intensification, as follows; ...and a critical mass of business activity. The enhancement, use and development of business land is important to keep abreast with and service population growth. The settlement of Treaty claims...'. [pg 14/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-12	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Explanation to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service the expected residential intensification, as follows; ...and a critical mass of business activity. The enhancement, use and development of business land is important to keep abreast with and service population growth. The settlement of Treaty claims...'. [pg 14/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-12	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Explanation to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service the expected residential intensification, as follows; ...and a critical mass of business activity. The enhancement, use and development of business land is important to keep abreast with and service population growth. The settlement of Treaty claims...'. [pg 14/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-12	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Explanation to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service the expected residential intensification, as follows; ...and a critical mass of business activity. The enhancement, use and development of business land is important to keep abreast with and service population growth. The settlement of Treaty claims...'. [pg 14/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-12	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Explanation to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service the expected residential intensification, as follows; ...and a critical mass of business activity. The enhancement, use and development of business land is important to keep abreast with and service population growth. The settlement of Treaty claims...'. [pg 14/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-13	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Explanation, fourth bullet point, as it is not appropriate or necessary to single out this issue only on this bullet point. Amend as follows; '...traffic congestion ~~which adds to costs and delays business~~ '. [pg 14/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-13	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Explanation, fourth bullet point, as it is not appropriate or necessary to single out this issue only on this bullet point. Amend as follows; '...traffic congestion ~~which adds to costs and delays business~~ '. [pg 14/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-14	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend third paragraph of Explanation, to implement a more positive framework for growth, as follows; 'The Unitary Plan does not directly address economic issues such as skills shortages and business investment; rather it provides an efficient resource management framework that delivers certainty to Aucklanders and businesses, provides for lower compliance costs and ~~enables~~ promotes business investment and growth.'. [pg 14-15/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-14	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend third paragraph of Explanation, to implement a more positive framework for growth, as follows; 'The Unitary Plan does not directly address economic issues such as skills shortages and business investment; rather it provides an efficient resource management framework that delivers certainty to Aucklanders and businesses, provides for lower compliance costs and ~~enables~~ promotes business investment and growth.'. [pg 14-15/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-15	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Urban Form, to recognise the need for new retail floorspace. See submission for proposed changes. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-15	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Urban Form, to recognise the need for new retail floorspace. See submission for proposed changes. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-16	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Urban Form, to recognise the issue of incompatible land uses locating next to one another. Amend as follows; '...and, in some places, incompatible residential growth...'. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-16	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Urban Form, to recognise the issue of incompatible land uses locating next to one another. Amend as follows; '...and, in some places, incompatible residential growth...'. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	3023	Carter Holt Harvey Limited	Support
2968-16	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Urban Form, to recognise the issue of incompatible land uses locating next to one another. Amend as follows; '...and, in some places, incompatible residential growth...'. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	3028	Wilson Hellaby Group of Companies	Support
2968-16	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Urban Form, to recognise the issue of incompatible land uses locating next to one another. Amend as follows; '...and, in some places, incompatible residential growth...'. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-17	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Transport and Land Use, to recognise the road network will remain the main interface of Auckland's transport system in the forseeable future. See submission for proposed text. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
2968-17	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Transport and Land Use, to recognise the road network will remain the main interface of Auckland's transport system in the forseeable future. See submission for proposed text. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-17	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Transport and Land Use, to recognise the road network will remain the main interface of Auckland's transport system in the forseeable future. See submission for proposed text. [pg 15/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-18	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second, third and sixth paragraphs of Transport and Land Use, to recognise that private vehicle choice is a legitimate and practical component of the overall transport system and that public transport networks do not adequately cater to retail shoppers' needs. Alternative transport options are necessary. See submission for proposed text. [pg 16/46 vol 1]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-18	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second, third and sixth paragraphs of Transport and Land Use, to recognise that private vehicle choice is a legitimate and practical component of the overall transport system and that public transport networks do not adequately cater to retail shoppers' needs. Alternative transport options are necessary. See submission for proposed text. [pg 16/46 vol 1]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-18	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second, third and sixth paragraphs of Transport and Land Use, to recognise that private vehicle choice is a legitimate and practical component of the overall transport system and that public transport networks do not adequately cater to retail shoppers' needs. Alternative transport options are necessary. See submission for proposed text. [pg 16/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-18	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second, third and sixth paragraphs of Transport and Land Use, to recognise that private vehicle choice is a legitimate and practical component of the overall transport system and that public transport networks do not adequately cater to retail shoppers' needs. Alternative transport options are necessary. See submission for proposed text. [pg 16/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-19	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend second paragraph of Issue so that an appropriate balance is retained between Mana Whenua requirements and other imperatives such as economic and social wellbeing. See submission for proposed changes. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	2767	Ngāti Tamaterā Treaty Settlement Trust	Oppose in Part
2968-19	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend second paragraph of Issue so that an appropriate balance is retained between Mana Whenua requirements and other imperatives such as economic and social wellbeing. See submission for proposed changes. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	2846	Ngāti Tamaoho Trust	Oppose in Part
2968-19	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend second paragraph of Issue so that an appropriate balance is retained between Mana Whenua requirements and other imperatives such as economic and social wellbeing. See submission for proposed changes. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	2873	Independent Māori Statutory Board	Oppose in Part
2968-19	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend second paragraph of Issue so that an appropriate balance is retained between Mana Whenua requirements and other imperatives such as economic and social wellbeing. See submission for proposed changes. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-19	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend second paragraph of Issue so that an appropriate balance is retained between Mana Whenua requirements and other imperatives such as economic and social wellbeing. See submission for proposed changes. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-19	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.4 Addressing issues of significance to Mana Whenua	Amend second paragraph of Issue so that an appropriate balance is retained between Mana Whenua requirements and other imperatives such as economic and social wellbeing. See submission for proposed changes. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	3647	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua	Oppose in Part
2968-20	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend third paragraph of Introduction so that business land supply and development is considered as part of the 'Enabling quality urban growth' section. That section currently only focuses on residential growth. Amend as follows; 'Objectives, policies, methods relating to business land supply and business activities are <u>also contained</u> in the RPS Commercial and Industrial Growth section.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-20	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend third paragraph of Introduction so that business land supply and development is considered as part of the 'Enabling quality urban growth' section. That section currently only focuses on residential growth. Amend as follows; 'Objectives, policies, methods relating to business land supply and business activities are <u>also contained</u> in the RPS Commercial and Industrial Growth section.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-20	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend third paragraph of Introduction so that business land supply and development is considered as part of the 'Enabling quality urban growth' section. That section currently only focuses on residential growth. Amend as follows; 'Objectives, policies, methods relating to business land supply and business activities are <u>also contained</u> in the RPS Commercial and Industrial Growth section.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-20	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend third paragraph of Introduction so that business land supply and development is considered as part of the 'Enabling quality urban growth' section. That section currently only focuses on residential growth. Amend as follows; 'Objectives, policies, methods relating to business land supply and business activities are <u>also contained</u> in the RPS Commercial and Industrial Growth section.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-21	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Objective 3 to ensure the RPS provides for both residential and business growth in centres. Amend as follows; '3. Land within and adjacent to centres, frequent public transport routes and facilities is the primary focus for <u>business and</u> residential intensification with a lesser degree of intensification in surrounding neighbourhoods.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-21	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Objective 3 to ensure the RPS provides for both residential and business growth in centres. Amend as follows; '3. Land within and adjacent to centres, frequent public transport routes and facilities is the primary focus for <u>business and</u> residential intensification with a lesser degree of intensification in surrounding neighbourhoods.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-21	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Objective 3 to ensure the RPS provides for both residential and business growth in centres. Amend as follows; '3. Land within and adjacent to centres, frequent public transport routes and facilities is the primary focus for <u>business and</u> residential intensification with a lesser degree of intensification in surrounding neighbourhoods.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-21	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Objective 3 to ensure the RPS provides for both residential and business growth in centres. Amend as follows; '3. Land within and adjacent to centres, frequent public transport routes and facilities is the primary focus for <u>business and</u> residential intensification with a lesser degree of intensification in surrounding neighbourhoods.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-21	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Objective 3 to ensure the RPS provides for both residential and business growth in centres. Amend as follows; '3. Land within and adjacent to centres, frequent public transport routes and facilities is the primary focus for business and residential intensification with a lesser degree of intensification in surrounding neighbourhoods.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-21	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Objective 3 to ensure the RPS provides for both residential and business growth in centres. Amend as follows; '3. Land within and adjacent to centres, frequent public transport routes and facilities is the primary focus for business and residential intensification with a lesser degree of intensification in surrounding neighbourhoods.'. [pg 17/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-22	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 2 to include avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business activities in centres as an important factor in selecting areas for residential intensification. Add text as follows; 'e. that avoid any increase in reverse sensitivity effects.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-22	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 2 to include avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business activities in centres as an important factor in selecting areas for residential intensification. Add text as follows; 'e. that avoid any increase in reverse sensitivity effects.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-22	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 2 to include avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business activities in centres as an important factor in selecting areas for residential intensification. Add text as follows; 'e. that avoid any increase in reverse sensitivity effects.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	1741	Lion-Beer,Spirits & Wine (NZ) Limited	Support
2968-22	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 2 to include avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business activities in centres as an important factor in selecting areas for residential intensification. Add text as follows; 'e. that avoid any increase in reverse sensitivity effects.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-22	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 2 to include avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business activities in centres as an important factor in selecting areas for residential intensification. Add text as follows; 'e. that avoid any increase in reverse sensitivity effects.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-22	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 2 to include avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business activities in centres as an important factor in selecting areas for residential intensification. Add text as follows; 'e. that avoid any increase in reverse sensitivity effects.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	3101	R M Macky Family Trust	Support
2968-22	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 2 to include avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business activities in centres as an important factor in selecting areas for residential intensification. Add text as follows; 'e. that avoid any increase in reverse sensitivity effects.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-23	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 3 to recognise and provide for the outward expansion of certain activities from existing centres, to service commercial/business intensification. Amend text as follows; ' 3. Provide for and encourage residential and business intensification within and around centres while ensuring that...c. centres are as compact as reasonably practicable, relative to their role and the hierarchy of centres and the need to provide for outward expansion of commercial activities to meet the demands of residential growth ~~c. development use land efficiently~~ '. [pg 18/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-23	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 3 to recognise and provide for the outward expansion of certain activities from existing centres, to service commercial/business intensification. Amend text as follows; ' 3. Provide for and encourage residential and business intensification within and around centres while ensuring that...c. centres are as compact as reasonably practicable, relative to their role and the hierarchy of centres and the need to provide for outward expansion of commercial activities to meet the demands of residential growth ~~c. development use land efficiently~~ '. [pg 18/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-23	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 3 to recognise and provide for the outward expansion of certain activities from existing centres, to service commercial/business intensification. Amend text as follows; ' 3. Provide for and encourage residential and business intensification within and around centres while ensuring that...c. centres are as compact as reasonably practicable, relative to their role and the hierarchy of centres and the need to provide for outward expansion of commercial activities to meet the demands of residential growth ~~c. development use land efficiently~~ '. [pg 18/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-23	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Policy 3 to recognise and provide for the outward expansion of certain activities from existing centres, to service commercial/business intensification. Amend text as follows; ' 3. Provide for and encourage residential and business intensification within and around centres while ensuring that...c. centres are as compact as reasonably practicable, relative to their role and the hierarchy of centres and the need to provide for outward expansion of commercial activities to meet the demands of residential growth ~~c. development use land efficiently~~ '. [pg 18/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-24	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Delete Policy 3 and create new Policy 4 that deals with residential and business development that uses land efficiently, to correspond with Objective 4. Amend text as follows; '4. Provide for and encourage residential and business development that uses land efficiently.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-24	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Delete Policy 3 and create new Policy 4 that deals with residential and business development that uses land efficiently, to correspond with Objective 4. Amend text as follows; '4. Provide for and encourage residential and business development that uses land efficiently.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-24	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Delete Policy 3 and create new Policy 4 that deals with residential and business development that uses land efficiently, to correspond with Objective 4. Amend text as follows; '4. Provide for and encourage residential and business development that uses land efficiently.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-24	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Delete Policy 3 and create new Policy 4 that deals with residential and business development that uses land efficiently, to correspond with Objective 4. Amend text as follows; '4. Provide for and encourage residential and business development that uses land efficiently.' [pg 18/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-25	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Explanation and reasons to highlight and support business development and intensification. See submission for proposed text. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-25	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Explanation and reasons to highlight and support business development and intensification. See submission for proposed text. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-25	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Explanation and reasons to highlight and support business development and intensification. See submission for proposed text. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-25	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend Explanation and reasons to highlight and support business development and intensification. See submission for proposed text. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-26	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to appropriately refer to the social and economic well-being of communities, as follows; '...A quality built environment is one which maximises opportunities for the social and economic well-being of communities ~~and social and economic exchange~~ by providing...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-26	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to appropriately refer to the social and economic well-being of communities, as follows; '...A quality built environment is one which maximises opportunities for the social and economic well-being of communities ~~and social and economic exchange~~ by providing...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-27	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to recognise the functional and operational requirements of particular activities, as follows; '...by providing safe and lively streets and public spaces, fronted by visually rich, functional and engaging buildings. ...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-27	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to recognise the functional and operational requirements of particular activities, as follows; '...by providing safe and lively streets and public spaces, fronted by visually rich, functional and engaging buildings. ...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-27	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to recognise the functional and operational requirements of particular activities, as follows; '...by providing safe and lively streets and public spaces, fronted by visually rich, functional and engaging buildings. ...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-27	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to recognise the functional and operational requirements of particular activities, as follows; '...by providing safe and lively streets and public spaces, fronted by visually rich, functional and engaging buildings. ...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-28	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to recognise the need for development and built form to respond to both the natural and physical environment, as follows; '...it is one where buildings respect and respond to the natural and physical environment in which they are placed...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-28	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to recognise the need for development and built form to respond to both the natural and physical environment, as follows; '...it is one where buildings respect and respond to the natural and physical environment in which they are placed...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-28	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Introduction to recognise the need for development and built form to respond to both the natural and physical environment, as follows; '...it is one where buildings respect and respond to the natural and physical environment in which they are placed...'. [pg 19/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-29	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Objective 1 to recognise that not all of the elements of the built environment will be able to be achieved across the entire spectrum. Amend text as follows; '1. A quality built environment where development, including subdivision~~, across the site, street, block, neighbourhood and city scales~~ :...'. [pg 20/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-29	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Objective 1 to recognise that not all of the elements of the built environment will be able to be achieved across the entire spectrum. Amend text as follows; '1. A quality built environment where development, including subdivision~~, across the site, street, block, neighbourhood and city scales~~ :...'. [pg 20/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-30	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Objective 1 to recognise the differing functional, practical and operational requirements of the activities which make up Auckland's built form. Add new (e) as follows; '...e. facilitates the intended use (functionality).'. [pg 20/46 vol 1]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-30	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Objective 1 to recognise the differing functional, practical and operational requirements of the activities which make up Auckland's built form. Add new (e) as follows; '...e. facilitates the intended use (functionality).'. [pg 20/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-30	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Objective 1 to recognise the differing functional, practical and operational requirements of the activities which make up Auckland's built form. Add new (e) as follows; '...e. facilitates the intended use (functionality).'. [pg 20/46 vol 1]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-30	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Objective 1 to recognise the differing functional, practical and operational requirements of the activities which make up Auckland's built form. Add new (e) as follows; '...e. facilitates the intended use (functionality).'. [pg 20/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-30	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Objective 1 to recognise the differing functional, practical and operational requirements of the activities which make up Auckland's built form. Add new (e) as follows; '...e. facilitates the intended use (functionality).'. [pg 20/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-31	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 1 so that integrated and coherent design is encouraged rather than required, as follows; '1. ~~Require~~ Encourage development to be designed to integrate all elements of a place, buildings or space into a coherently designed solution.' [pg 20/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-31	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 1 so that integrated and coherent design is encouraged rather than required, as follows; '1. ~~Require~~ Encourage development to be designed to integrate all elements of a place, buildings or space into a coherently designed solution.' [pg 20/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-31	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 1 so that integrated and coherent design is encouraged rather than required, as follows; '1. ~~Require~~ Encourage development to be designed to integrate all elements of a place, buildings or space into a coherently designed solution.' [pg 20/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-31	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 1 so that integrated and coherent design is encouraged rather than required, as follows; '1. ~~Require~~ Encourage development to be designed to integrate all elements of a place, buildings or space into a coherently designed solution.' [pg 20/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-32	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 2 to remove reference to 'planned future character of a place' as this is unclear. Amend as follows; '2. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of development to respond positively to the site~~,~~ and its context, ~~and the planned future character of the place,~~ and to reinforce the role of the public realm as the primary place for public interaction.' [pg 20/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-32	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 2 to remove reference to 'planned future character of a place' as this is unclear. Amend as follows; '2. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of development to respond positively to the site~~,~~ and its context, ~~and the planned future character of the place,~~ and to reinforce the role of the public realm as the primary place for public interaction.' [pg 20/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-32	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 2 to remove reference to 'planned future character of a place' as this is unclear. Amend as follows; '2. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of development to respond positively to the site~~,~~ and its context, ~~and the planned future character of the place,~~ and to reinforce the role of the public realm as the primary place for public interaction.' [pg 20/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-33	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 5 to clarify meaning of the policy, as follows; '5. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of development ~~with~~ to provide a level of amenity that enables long term options for living and working.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-33	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 5 to clarify meaning of the policy, as follows; '5. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of development ~~with~~ to provide a level of amenity that enables long term options for living and working.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-33	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 5 to clarify meaning of the policy, as follows; '5. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of development ~~with~~ to provide a level of amenity that enables long term options for living and working.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-34	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 7 to encourage rather than require a high standard of design, as this is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '7. ~~Require~~ Encourage a high standard of design in areas of residential and business intensification.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-34	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 7 to encourage rather than require a high standard of design, as this is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '7. ~~Require~~ Encourage a high standard of design in areas of residential and business intensification.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-34	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 7 to encourage rather than require a high standard of design, as this is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '7. ~~Require~~ Encourage a high standard of design in areas of residential and business intensification.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-35	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 8 as the policy framework currently places too great an emphasis on residential outcomes. The RPS should also recognise the importance of business. Amend as follows; '8. Enable the development of a range of built forms ~~within neighbourhoods~~ to support maximum choice, functionality, and recognise different lifestyles and business practices.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-35	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 8 as the policy framework currently places too great an emphasis on residential outcomes. The RPS should also recognise the importance of business. Amend as follows; '8. Enable the development of a range of built forms ~~within neighbourhoods~~ to support maximum choice, functionality, and recognise different lifestyles and business practices.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-35	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 8 as the policy framework currently places too great an emphasis on residential outcomes. The RPS should also recognise the importance of business. Amend as follows; '8. Enable the development of a range of built forms ~~within neighbourhoods~~ to support maximum choice, functionality, and recognise different lifestyles and business practices.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-35	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 8 as the policy framework currently places too great an emphasis on residential outcomes. The RPS should also recognise the importance of business. Amend as follows; '8. Enable the development of a range of built forms ~~within neighbourhoods~~ to support maximum choice, functionality, and recognise different lifestyles and business practices.'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-36	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 9 to encourage a high standard of design as the current wording is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '9. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of streets and block patterns that maximise connectivity, provide for a range of travel options...'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-36	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 9 to encourage a high standard of design as the current wording is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '9. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of streets and block patterns that maximise connectivity, provide for a range of travel options...'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-36	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 9 to encourage a high standard of design as the current wording is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '9. Encourage the ~~D~~ design of streets and block patterns that maximise connectivity, provide for a range of travel options...'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-37	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 10 so that streets as 'places for people' are not prioritised over their functional transportation role. Amend as follows; '10. Provide for a ~~B~~ balance between the place and movement functions of streets ~~while emphasising their role as places~~ for people ~~over~~ and the movement functions and requirements of vehicles (including access) in centres and areas of residential intensification....'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-37	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 10 so that streets as 'places for people' are not prioritised over their functional transportation role. Amend as follows; '10. Provide for a ~~B~~ balance between the place and movement functions of streets ~~while emphasising their role as places~~ for people ~~over~~ and the movement functions and requirements of vehicles (including access) in centres and areas of residential intensification....'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-38	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 11 to encourage 'best practice' sustainable design. The current wording is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '11. ~~Require large scale development, and E~~e ncourage ~~all other development,~~ to minimise its environmental impact through best practice sustainable design where appropriate, while having regard to the functionality of those developments ~~which incorporates energy efficiency, renewable energy generation, waste minimisation and water sensitive design~~ .'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-38	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 11 to encourage 'best practice' sustainable design. The current wording is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '11. ~~Require large scale development, and E~~e ncourage ~~all other development,~~ to minimise its environmental impact through best practice sustainable design where appropriate, while having regard to the functionality of those developments ~~which incorporates energy efficiency, renewable energy generation, waste minimisation and water sensitive design~~ .'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-38	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 11 to encourage 'best practice' sustainable design. The current wording is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '11. ~~Require large scale development, and E~~e ncourage ~~all other development,~~ to minimise its environmental impact through best practice sustainable design where appropriate, while having regard to the functionality of those developments ~~which incorporates energy efficiency, renewable energy generation, waste minimisation and water sensitive design~~ .'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-38	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 11 to encourage 'best practice' sustainable design. The current wording is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '11. ~~Require large scale development, and E~~e ncourage ~~all other development,~~ to minimise its environmental impact through best practice sustainable design where appropriate, while having regard to the functionality of those developments ~~which incorporates energy efficiency, renewable energy generation, waste minimisation and water sensitive design~~ .'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-38	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend Policy 11 to encourage 'best practice' sustainable design. The current wording is too prescriptive. Amend as follows; '11. ~~Require large scale development, and E~~e ncourage ~~all other development,~~ to minimise its environmental impact through best practice sustainable design where appropriate, while having regard to the functionality of those developments ~~which incorporates energy efficiency, renewable energy generation, waste minimisation and water sensitive design~~ .'. [pg 21/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-39	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Explanation and reasons to recognise efficiency and functionality, as follows; ' ...Good design is a creative process that takes into account these issues and explores opportunities to deliver quality, efficient, functional, sustainable and aesthetically pleasing development that provides for good public and private amenity.' [22/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-39	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Explanation and reasons to recognise efficiency and functionality, as follows; ' ...Good design is a creative process that takes into account these issues and explores opportunities to deliver quality, efficient, functional, sustainable and aesthetically pleasing development that provides for good public and private amenity.' [22/46 vol 1]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-39	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Explanation and reasons to recognise efficiency and functionality, as follows; ' ...Good design is a creative process that takes into account these issues and explores opportunities to deliver quality, efficient, functional, sustainable and aesthetically pleasing development that provides for good public and private amenity.' [22/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-39	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Amend first paragraph of Explanation and reasons to recognise efficiency and functionality, as follows; ' ...Good design is a creative process that takes into account these issues and explores opportunities to deliver quality, efficient, functional, sustainable and aesthetically pleasing development that provides for good public and private amenity.' [22/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-40	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Retain Objective 1 about sufficient development capacity and land supply. [22/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-40	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Retain Objective 1 about sufficient development capacity and land supply. [22/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-41	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend Policy 1 to better reflect Objective 1 and remove the specificity that ties the supply of residential and business land to an average seven year supply. Amend as follows; '1. Maintain sufficient unconstrained residential and business land and development capacity within the RUB to accommodate ~~an average of seven years land supply at any one time~~ growth.' [22/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-41	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend Policy 1 to better reflect Objective 1 and remove the specificity that ties the supply of residential and business land to an average seven year supply. Amend as follows; '1. Maintain sufficient unconstrained residential and business land and development capacity within the RUB to accommodate ~~an average of seven years land supply at any one time~~ growth.' [22/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-42	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to support and specifically reference the 'centres-based' approach in the proposed RPS. Amend as follows; ' ...It also provides for efficient use of infrastructure, and supports the hierarchy of existing centres, the use of public transport, community facilities and services.' [23/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-42	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Urban growth	B2.3 Dev. capacity & supply of land for urban development	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to support and specifically reference the 'centres-based' approach in the proposed RPS. Amend as follows; ' ...It also provides for efficient use of infrastructure, and supports the hierarchy of existing centres, the use of public transport, community facilities and services.' [23/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-43	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Title to include 'development', to properly capture the intention of this set of objectives and policies. Amend as follows; ' 3.1 - Commercial and industrial growth and development' [23/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-43	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Title to include 'development', to properly capture the intention of this set of objectives and policies. Amend as follows; ' 3.1 - Commercial and industrial growth and development' [23/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-43	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Title to include 'development', to properly capture the intention of this set of objectives and policies. Amend as follows; ' 3.1 - Commercial and industrial growth and development' [23/46 vol 1]	3358	Mansons TCLM Limited	Support
2968-44	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend third bullet point of Introduction to recognise functionality and efficiency, as follows; ' ...new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve high amenity, and a functional, efficiency t and compact urban form.' [23/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-44	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend third bullet point of Introduction to recognise functionality and efficiency, as follows; ' ...new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve high amenity, and a functional, efficiency t and compact urban form.' [23/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-44	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend third bullet point of Introduction to recognise functionality and efficiency, as follows; ' ...new development and commercial intensification and expansion is managed to achieve high amenity, and a functional, efficiency t and compact urban form.' [23/46 vol 1]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-45	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add additional bullet point to Introduction that refers to the significant contribution that Auckland businesses make to the economy of Auckland and New Zealand. Add as follows; 'the contribution that businesses make to the prosperity of Auckland and New Zealand in terms of employment and economic growth is recognised and supported.' [23/46 vol 1]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-45	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add additional bullet point to Introduction that refers to the significant contribution that Auckland businesses make to the economy of Auckland and New Zealand. Add as follows; 'the contribution that businesses make to the prosperity of Auckland and New Zealand in terms of employment and economic growth is recognised and supported.' [23/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-45	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add additional bullet point to Introduction that refers to the significant contribution that Auckland businesses make to the economy of Auckland and New Zealand. Add as follows; 'the contribution that businesses make to the prosperity of Auckland and New Zealand in terms of employment and economic growth is recognised and supported.' [23/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-46	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Objective 2 about the 'centres-based approach'. [23/46 vol 1]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-46	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Objective 2 about the 'centres-based approach'. [23/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-46	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Objective 2 about the 'centres-based approach'. [23/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-47	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3 to recognise that increased community interaction is not the sole purpose of centres, as follows; ' 3. Sustain and enhance the role and function of centres ~~as focal points for community interaction,~~ by ensuring development within centres positively contributes to...'. [24/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-47	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3 to recognise that increased community interaction is not the sole purpose of centres, as follows; ' 3. Sustain and enhance the role and function of centres ~~as focal points for community interaction,~~ by ensuring development within centres positively contributes to...'. [24/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-47	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3 to recognise that increased community interaction is not the sole purpose of centres, as follows; ' 3. Sustain and enhance the role and function of centres ~~as focal points for community interaction,~~ by ensuring development within centres positively contributes to...'. [24/46 vol 1]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-47	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3 to recognise that increased community interaction is not the sole purpose of centres, as follows; ' 3. Sustain and enhance the role and function of centres ~~as focal points for community interaction,~~ by ensuring development within centres positively contributes to...'. [24/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-47	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3 to recognise that increased community interaction is not the sole purpose of centres, as follows; ' 3. Sustain and enhance the role and function of centres ~~as focal points for community interaction,~~ by ensuring development within centres positively contributes to...'. [24/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-48	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(b) because it is not necessary that the City Centre should have the greatest mix and concentration of activities. Amend as follows; ' b. a diversity of activities, including retail, ~~with the greatest mix and concentration of activities in the City Centre,~~ and a distribution of compact centres that provide for the needs of Auckland and its communities'. [24/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-48	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(b) because it is not necessary that the City Centre should have the greatest mix and concentration of activities. Amend as follows; ' b. a diversity of activities, including retail, ~~with the greatest mix and concentration of activities in the City Centre,~~ and a distribution of compact centres that provide for the needs of Auckland and its communities'. [24/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-48	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(b) because it is not necessary that the City Centre should have the greatest mix and concentration of activities. Amend as follows; ' b. a diversity of activities, including retail, ~~with the greatest mix and concentration of activities in the City Centre,~~ and a distribution of compact centres that provide for the needs of Auckland and its communities'. [24/46 vol 1]	2935	Heart of the City	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-48	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(b) because it is not necessary that the City Centre should have the greatest mix and concentration of activities. Amend as follows; ' b. a diversity of activities, including retail, ~~with the greatest mix and concentration of activities in the City Centre,~~ and a distribution of compact centres that provide for the needs of Auckland and its communities'. [24/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-48	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(b) because it is not necessary that the City Centre should have the greatest mix and concentration of activities. Amend as follows; ' b. a diversity of activities, including retail, ~~with the greatest mix and concentration of activities in the City Centre,~~ and a distribution of compact centres that provide for the needs of Auckland and its communities'. [24/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-49	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(c) to reflect potential for residential intensification to have reverse sensitivity effects on business. Amend as follows; ' c. increased employment <u>and business</u> opportunities with compatible residential development'. [24/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-49	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(c) to reflect potential for residential intensification to have reverse sensitivity effects on business. Amend as follows; ' c. increased employment <u>and business</u> opportunities with compatible residential development'. [24/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-49	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(c) to reflect potential for residential intensification to have reverse sensitivity effects on business. Amend as follows; ' c. increased employment <u>and business</u> opportunities with compatible residential development'. [24/46 vol 1]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-49	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(c) to reflect potential for residential intensification to have reverse sensitivity effects on business. Amend as follows; ' c. increased employment <u>and business</u> opportunities with compatible residential development'. [24/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-49	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 3(c) to reflect potential for residential intensification to have reverse sensitivity effects on business. Amend as follows; ' c. increased employment <u>and business</u> opportunities with compatible residential development'. [24/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-50	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Delete Policy 5(e) so that expansion of existing centres is not constrained, as follows; ' ~~e. adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy~~ ' [24/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-50	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Delete Policy 5(e) so that expansion of existing centres is not constrained, as follows; ' ~~e. adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy~~ ' [24/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-50	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Delete Policy 5(e) so that expansion of existing centres is not constrained, as follows; ' ~~e. adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy~~ ' [24/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-50	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Delete Policy 5(e) so that expansion of existing centres is not constrained, as follows; ' ~~e. adversely impacts the role, function and viability of other centres in the hierarchy~~ ' [24/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-51	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(h) to provide an equal emphasis on the use of private vehicles as well as public transport. Amend as follows ' g. maintains the safety and efficiency of the road network in a way that promotes integrated transport~~, by providing strong connections to a range of transport modes including walking and cycling, and enabling efficient connections to the existing public transport network to link with adjoining centres and identified growth corridors~~ .' [25/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-51	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(h) to provide an equal emphasis on the use of private vehicles as well as public transport. Amend as follows ' g. maintains the safety and efficiency of the road network in a way that promotes integrated transport~~, by providing strong connections to a range of transport modes including walking and cycling, and enabling efficient connections to the existing public transport network to link with adjoining centres and identified growth corridors~~ .' [25/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-51	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(h) to provide an equal emphasis on the use of private vehicles as well as public transport. Amend as follows ' g. maintains the safety and efficiency of the road network in a way that promotes integrated transport~~, by providing strong connections to a range of transport modes including walking and cycling, and enabling efficient connections to the existing public transport network to link with adjoining centres and identified growth corridors~~ .' [25/46 vol 1]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-51	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(h) to provide an equal emphasis on the use of private vehicles as well as public transport. Amend as follows ' g. maintains the safety and efficiency of the road network in a way that promotes integrated transport~~, by providing strong connections to a range of transport modes including walking and cycling, and enabling efficient connections to the existing public transport network to link with adjoining centres and identified growth corridors~~ .' [25/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-51	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(h) to provide an equal emphasis on the use of private vehicles as well as public transport. Amend as follows ' g. maintains the safety and efficiency of the road network in a way that promotes integrated transport~~, by providing strong connections to a range of transport modes including walking and cycling, and enabling efficient connections to the existing public transport network to link with adjoining centres and identified growth corridors~~ .' [25/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-51	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend Policy 5(h) to provide an equal emphasis on the use of private vehicles as well as public transport. Amend as follows ' g. maintains the safety and efficiency of the road network in a way that promotes integrated transport~~, by providing strong connections to a range of transport modes including walking and cycling, and enabling efficient connections to the existing public transport network to link with adjoining centres and identified growth corridors~~ .' [25/46 vol 1]	3358	Mansons TCLM Limited	Support
2968-52	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect that not all adverse effects will be avoided in every instance. Amend as follows; ' The Unitary Plan seeks to enable business activity whilst achieving high environmental standards through avoidance, remediation or mitigation of ~~any~~ adverse effects...'. [25/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-52	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect that not all adverse effects will be avoided in every instance. Amend as follows; ' The Unitary Plan seeks to enable business activity whilst achieving high environmental standards through avoidance, remediation or mitigation of ~~any~~ adverse effects...'. [25/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-52	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect that not all adverse effects will be avoided in every instance. Amend as follows; ' The Unitary Plan seeks to enable business activity whilst achieving high environmental standards through avoidance, remediation or mitigation of ~~any~~ adverse effects...'. [25/46 vol 1]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-52	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect that not all adverse effects will be avoided in every instance. Amend as follows; ' The Unitary Plan seeks to enable business activity whilst achieving high environmental standards through avoidance, remediation or mitigation of ~~any~~ adverse effects...'. [25/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-52	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect that not all adverse effects will be avoided in every instance. Amend as follows; ' The Unitary Plan seeks to enable business activity whilst achieving high environmental standards through avoidance, remediation or mitigation of ~~any~~ adverse effects...'. [25/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-53	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect how growth and intensification needs to happen without adversely affecting the ability of business activities in centres to also grow to service the expected residential growth. Amend as follows; ' ...Intervention with regard to the distribution, scale and function of business activity and the management of compatible activities is critical to promote sustainable resource management and a compact urban form....'. [25/46 vol 1]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-53	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect how growth and intensification needs to happen without adversely affecting the ability of business activities in centres to also grow to service the expected residential growth. Amend as follows; ' ...Intervention with regard to the distribution, scale and function of business activity and the management of compatible activities is critical to promote sustainable resource management and a compact urban form....'. [25/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-53	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect how growth and intensification needs to happen without adversely affecting the ability of business activities in centres to also grow to service the expected residential growth. Amend as follows; ' ...Intervention with regard to the distribution, scale and function of business activity and the management of compatible activities is critical to promote sustainable resource management and a compact urban form....'. [25/46 vol 1]	3023	Carter Holt Harvey Limited	Support
2968-53	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect how growth and intensification needs to happen without adversely affecting the ability of business activities in centres to also grow to service the expected residential growth. Amend as follows; ' ...Intervention with regard to the distribution, scale and function of business activity and the management of compatible activities is critical to promote sustainable resource management and a compact urban form....'. [25/46 vol 1]	3028	Wilson Hellaby Group of Companies	Support
2968-53	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Amend second paragraph of Explanation and reasons to reflect how growth and intensification needs to happen without adversely affecting the ability of business activities in centres to also grow to service the expected residential growth. Amend as follows; ' ...Intervention with regard to the distribution, scale and function of business activity and the management of compatible activities is critical to promote sustainable resource management and a compact urban form....'. [25/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-54	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4 to reflect that private vehicles must be properly and effectively provided for, as follows; ' 4. A transport system that recognises the existing dominance of private car travel, facilitates transport choices (both public and private) and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community.' [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-54	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4 to reflect that private vehicles must be properly and effectively provided for, as follows; ' 4. A transport system that recognises the existing dominance of private car travel, facilitates transport choices (both public and private) and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community.' [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-54	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4 to reflect that private vehicles must be properly and effectively provided for, as follows; ' 4. A transport system that recognises the existing dominance of private car travel, facilitates transport choices (both public and private) and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community.' [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-54	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4 to reflect that private vehicles must be properly and effectively provided for, as follows; ' 4. A transport system that recognises the existing dominance of private car travel, facilitates transport choices (both public and private) and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community.' [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-54	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Objective 4 to reflect that private vehicles must be properly and effectively provided for, as follows; ' 4. A transport system that recognises the existing dominance of private car travel, facilitates transport choices (both public and private) and enables accessibility and mobility for all sections of the community.' [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-55	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 5 so that the arterial road network does not give priority to public transport and freight movements. Amend as follows; ' 5. Recognise the arterial road network needs to be managed to safely and effectively accommodate all road users. ~~provide priority to public transport and freight movements.~~ [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-55	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 5 so that the arterial road network does not give priority to public transport and freight movements. Amend as follows; ' 5. Recognise the arterial road network needs to be managed to safely and effectively accommodate all road users. ~~provide priority to public transport and freight movements.~~ [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-55	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 5 so that the arterial road network does not give priority to public transport and freight movements. Amend as follows; ' 5. Recognise the arterial road network needs to be managed to safely and effectively accommodate all road users. ~~provide priority to public transport and freight movements.~~ [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-55	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 5 so that the arterial road network does not give priority to public transport and freight movements. Amend as follows; ' 5. Recognise the arterial road network needs to be managed to safely and effectively accommodate all road users. ~~provide priority to public transport and freight movements.~~ [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-55	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 5 so that the arterial road network does not give priority to public transport and freight movements. Amend as follows; ' 5. Recognise the arterial road network needs to be managed to safely and effectively accommodate all road users. ~~provide priority to public transport and freight movements.~~ [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-55	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 5 so that the arterial road network does not give priority to public transport and freight movements. Amend as follows; ' 5. Recognise the arterial road network needs to be managed to safely and effectively accommodate all road users. ~~provide priority to public transport and freight movements.~~ [refer to page 25/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-56	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 7 to 'provide for' increased transport movements, as follows; ' 7. ~~Manage~~ Provide for the increase in transport movements...'. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-56	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 7 to 'provide for' increased transport movements, as follows; ' 7. ~~Manage~~ Provide for the increase in transport movements...'. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-56	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 7 to 'provide for' increased transport movements, as follows; ' 7. ~~Manage~~ Provide for the increase in transport movements...'. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-56	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 7 to 'provide for' increased transport movements, as follows; ' 7. ~~Manage~~ Provide for the increase in transport movements...'. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-56	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 7 to 'provide for' increased transport movements, as follows; ' 7. ~~Manage~~ Provide for the increase in transport movements...'. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-56	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 7 to 'provide for' increased transport movements, as follows; ' 7. ~~Manage~~ Provide for the increase in transport movements...'. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-57	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b) to enable a framework that enables a 'choice' of efficient transport options, which includes private vehicles. Amend as follows; ' b. ensuring activities likely to generate significant trip numbers ~~support, and~~ can be serviced by those elements of the transportation system that are appropriate to those activities ~~rapid and frequent service network~~ ' [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-57	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b) to enable a framework that enables a 'choice' of efficient transport options, which includes private vehicles. Amend as follows; ' b. ensuring activities likely to generate significant trip numbers ~~support, and~~ can be serviced by those elements of the transportation system that are appropriate to those activities ~~rapid and frequent service network~~ ' [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-57	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b) to enable a framework that enables a 'choice' of efficient transport options, which includes private vehicles. Amend as follows; ' b. ensuring activities likely to generate significant trip numbers ~~support, and~~ can be serviced by those elements of the transportation system that are appropriate to those activities ~~rapid and frequent service network~~ ' [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-57	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 9(b) to enable a framework that enables a 'choice' of efficient transport options, which includes private vehicles. Amend as follows; ' b. ensuring activities likely to generate significant trip numbers ~~support, and~~ can be serviced by those elements of the transportation system that are appropriate to those activities ~~rapid and frequent service network~~ ' [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-58	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a) to appropriately provide for private vehicle travel and recognise that more energy efficient transport options will not always adequately provide for or sufficiently service the activities occurring in Auckland's urban centres. Amend as follows; ' a. developing an urban form which supports ~~more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.~~ travel modes that optimise transportation efficiency; can provide opportunities for walking or cycling that are appropriate to the reason for travel; and can achieve the integration of multiple trip purposes, such as in centres. '. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-58	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a) to appropriately provide for private vehicle travel and recognise that more energy efficient transport options will not always adequately provide for or sufficiently service the activities occurring in Auckland's urban centres. Amend as follows; ' a. developing an urban form which supports ~~more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.~~ travel modes that optimise transportation efficiency; can provide opportunities for walking or cycling that are appropriate to the reason for travel; and can achieve the integration of multiple trip purposes, such as in centres. '. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-58	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a) to appropriately provide for private vehicle travel and recognise that more energy efficient transport options will not always adequately provide for or sufficiently service the activities occurring in Auckland's urban centres. Amend as follows; ' a. developing an urban form which supports ~~more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.~~ travel modes that optimise transportation efficiency; can provide opportunities for walking or cycling that are appropriate to the reason for travel; and can achieve the integration of multiple trip purposes, such as in centres. '. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-58	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a) to appropriately provide for private vehicle travel and recognise that more energy efficient transport options will not always adequately provide for or sufficiently service the activities occurring in Auckland's urban centres. Amend as follows; ' a. developing an urban form which supports ~~more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.~~ travel modes that optimise transportation efficiency; can provide opportunities for walking or cycling that are appropriate to the reason for travel; and can achieve the integration of multiple trip purposes, such as in centres. '. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-58	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a) to appropriately provide for private vehicle travel and recognise that more energy efficient transport options will not always adequately provide for or sufficiently service the activities occurring in Auckland's urban centres. Amend as follows; ' a. developing an urban form which supports ~~more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.~~ travel modes that optimise transportation efficiency; can provide opportunities for walking or cycling that are appropriate to the reason for travel; and can achieve the integration of multiple trip purposes, such as in centres. '. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2968-58	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a) to appropriately provide for private vehicle travel and recognise that more energy efficient transport options will not always adequately provide for or sufficiently service the activities occurring in Auckland's urban centres. Amend as follows; ' a. developing an urban form which supports ~~more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.~~ travel modes that optimise transportation efficiency; can provide opportunities for walking or cycling that are appropriate to the reason for travel; and can achieve the integration of multiple trip purposes, such as in centres. '. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-58	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 10(a) to appropriately provide for private vehicle travel and recognise that more energy efficient transport options will not always adequately provide for or sufficiently service the activities occurring in Auckland's urban centres. Amend as follows; ' a. developing an urban form which supports ~~more energy efficient and active modes of transport, such as buses, walking and cycling, and provides opportunities to reduce both the number and length of vehicle trips.~~ travel modes that optimise transportation efficiency; can provide opportunities for walking or cycling that are appropriate to the reason for travel; and can achieve the integration of multiple trip purposes, such as in centres. '. [refer to page 26/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-59	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13 to focus on limiting long-term commuter parking, not parking for retail activities. Amend as follows; ' 13. Support land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips~~, especially~~ during commuter peak periods.' [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-59	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13 to focus on limiting long-term commuter parking, not parking for retail activities. Amend as follows; ' 13. Support land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips~~, especially~~ during commuter peak periods.' [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-59	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13 to focus on limiting long-term commuter parking, not parking for retail activities. Amend as follows; ' 13. Support land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips~~, especially~~ during commuter peak periods.' [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-59	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 13 to focus on limiting long-term commuter parking, not parking for retail activities. Amend as follows; ' 13. Support land use development and patterns that reduce the rate of growth in demand for private vehicle trips~~, especially~~ during commuter peak periods.' [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-60	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 14(b) to focus on limiting long-term commuter parking, not parking for retail activities. Amend as follows; ' b. where appropriate limiting commuter/long term parking supply in locations served by the rapid and frequent service network'. [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-60	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 14(b) to focus on limiting long-term commuter parking, not parking for retail activities. Amend as follows; ' b. where appropriate limiting commuter/long term parking supply in locations served by the rapid and frequent service network'. [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-60	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 14(b) to focus on limiting long-term commuter parking, not parking for retail activities. Amend as follows; ' b. where appropriate limiting commuter/long term parking supply in locations served by the rapid and frequent service network'. [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-61	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 15 to recognise that shoppers rely on private vehicles to a greater extent than other activities, as follows; ' 15. Recognise that where access to activities cannot be made effectively, efficiently or safely by public transport, walking or cycling~~,~~ ; and/or in the foreseeable future for a range of activities, including retail activity, trips will continue to be made by private vehicle.' [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-61	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 15 to recognise that shoppers rely on private vehicles to a greater extent than other activities, as follows; ' 15. Recognise that where access to activities cannot be made effectively, efficiently or safely by public transport, walking or cycling~~,~~ ; and/or in the foreseeable future for a range of activities, including retail activity, trips will continue to be made by private vehicle.' [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-61	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 15 to recognise that shoppers rely on private vehicles to a greater extent than other activities, as follows; ' 15. Recognise that where access to activities cannot be made effectively, efficiently or safely by public transport, walking or cycling~~,~~ ; and/or in the foreseeable future for a range of activities, including retail activity, trips will continue to be made by private vehicle.' [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-61	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend Policy 15 to recognise that shoppers rely on private vehicles to a greater extent than other activities, as follows; ' 15. Recognise that where access to activities cannot be made effectively, efficiently or safely by public transport, walking or cycling~~,~~ ; and/or in the foreseeable future for a range of activities, including retail activity, trips will continue to be made by private vehicle.' [refer to page 27/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-62	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend second-to-last paragraph of Explanation and reasons to specifically provide for private transport rather than focusing just on demand management. See submission for proposed changes [refer to page 28/46 vol 1].	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-62	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend second-to-last paragraph of Explanation and reasons to specifically provide for private transport rather than focusing just on demand management. See submission for proposed changes [refer to page 28/46 vol 1].	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-62	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Amend second-to-last paragraph of Explanation and reasons to specifically provide for private transport rather than focusing just on demand management. See submission for proposed changes [refer to page 28/46 vol 1].	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-63	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Introduction title to identify viewshafts as a distinct category from ONFs/ONLs, as follows; ' 4.3.2 Landscape, ~~and~~ natural features and viewshafts'. [29/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-63	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Introduction title to identify viewshafts as a distinct category from ONFs/ONLs, as follows; ' 4.3.2 Landscape, ~~and~~ natural features and viewshafts'. [29/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-64	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Introduction third paragraph, fifth sentence as follows; ' These ONF's are vulnerable to damage from new development, and the Unitary Plan ~~promotes~~ provides for the management ~~the protection~~ of their physical and visual integrity, and the integrated management of their multiple values.' [30/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-64	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Introduction third paragraph, fifth sentence as follows; ' These ONF's are vulnerable to damage from new development, and the Unitary Plan ~~promotes~~ provides for the management ~~the protection~~ of their physical and visual integrity, and the integrated management of their multiple values.' [30/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-65	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Introduction to identify viewshafts as a distinct category from ONFs/ONLs and to link to the relevant objective and policy numbers. See additional text in submission. [31/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-65	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Introduction to identify viewshafts as a distinct category from ONFs/ONLs and to link to the relevant objective and policy numbers. See additional text in submission. [31/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-66	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to clarify that the intent is to protect ONLs and ONFs from inappropriate subdivision, use and development on, within or adjacent to those features, rather than to limit development in a broader sense. Amend as follows; ' 1. Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development on, within or adjacent to those ONLs and ONFs.' [32/46 vol 1]	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support
2968-66	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to clarify that the intent is to protect ONLs and ONFs from inappropriate subdivision, use and development on, within or adjacent to those features, rather than to limit development in a broader sense. Amend as follows; ' 1. Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development on, within or adjacent to those ONLs and ONFs.' [32/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-66	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to clarify that the intent is to protect ONLs and ONFs from inappropriate subdivision, use and development on, within or adjacent to those features, rather than to limit development in a broader sense. Amend as follows; ' 1. Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development on, within or adjacent to those ONLs and ONFs.' [32/46 vol 1]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-66	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to clarify that the intent is to protect ONLs and ONFs from inappropriate subdivision, use and development on, within or adjacent to those features, rather than to limit development in a broader sense. Amend as follows; ' 1. Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development on, within or adjacent to those ONLs and ONFs.' [32/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-66	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to clarify that the intent is to protect ONLs and ONFs from inappropriate subdivision, use and development on, within or adjacent to those features, rather than to limit development in a broader sense. Amend as follows; ' 1. Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development on, within or adjacent to those ONLs and ONFs.' [32/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-66	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 1 to clarify that the intent is to protect ONLs and ONFs from inappropriate subdivision, use and development on, within or adjacent to those features, rather than to limit development in a broader sense. Amend as follows; ' 1. Auckland's ONLs and ONFs are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development on, within or adjacent to those ONLs and ONFs.' [32/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-67	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 4 to specify the particular historic, cultural and natural values that need to be balanced and protected. In addition, relief is sought to clarify that Objective 4 relates to ONLs and ONFs, rather than specifically to volcanic features. Amend as follows; ' 4. The multiple visual and physical integrity and historic, cultural and natural heritage values of Auckland's ONLs and ONFs that are of local, regional, national and/or international significance are protected and where practicable enhanced.'. [32/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-67	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 4 to specify the particular historic, cultural and natural values that need to be balanced and protected. In addition, relief is sought to clarify that Objective 4 relates to ONLs and ONFs, rather than specifically to volcanic features. Amend as follows; ' 4. The multiple visual and physical integrity and historic, cultural and natural heritage values of Auckland's ONLs and ONFs that are of local, regional, national and/or international significance are protected and where practicable enhanced.'. [32/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-67	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 4 to specify the particular historic, cultural and natural values that need to be balanced and protected. In addition, relief is sought to clarify that Objective 4 relates to ONLs and ONFs, rather than specifically to volcanic features. Amend as follows; ' 4. The multiple visual and physical integrity and historic, cultural and natural heritage values of Auckland's ONLs and ONFs that are of local, regional, national and/or international significance are protected and where practicable enhanced.'. [32/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-67	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Objective 4 to specify the particular historic, cultural and natural values that need to be balanced and protected. In addition, relief is sought to clarify that Objective 4 relates to ONLs and ONFs, rather than specifically to volcanic features. Amend as follows; ' 4. The multiple visual and physical integrity and historic, cultural and natural heritage values of Auckland's ONLs and ONFs that are of local, regional, national and/or international significance are protected and where practicable enhanced.'. [32/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-68	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 5 and replace with new Objective 8 under volanic viewshafts heading. In addition, specifically refer to regionally significant, scheduled views, as it is only those views which the RPS provisions are intended to address. Heading and new Objective 8 text as follows; 'Volcanic Viewshafts...8. The regionally significant scheduled views to and between Auckland's maunga are identified and protected by avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of inappropriate development within scheduled viewshafts.' [32/46 vol 1]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2968-68	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 5 and replace with new Objective 8 under volanic viewshafts heading. In addition, specifically refer to regionally significant, scheduled views, as it is only those views which the RPS provisions are intended to address. Heading and new Objective 8 text as follows; 'Volcanic Viewshafts...8. The regionally significant scheduled views to and between Auckland's maunga are identified and protected by avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of inappropriate development within scheduled viewshafts.' [32/46 vol 1]	2935	Heart of the City	Oppose in Part
2968-68	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 5 and replace with new Objective 8 under volanic viewshafts heading. In addition, specifically refer to regionally significant, scheduled views, as it is only those views which the RPS provisions are intended to address. Heading and new Objective 8 text as follows; 'Volcanic Viewshafts...8. The regionally significant scheduled views to and between Auckland's maunga are identified and protected by avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of inappropriate development within scheduled viewshafts.' [32/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-68	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 5 and replace with new Objective 8 under volanic viewshafts heading. In addition, specifically refer to regionally significant, scheduled views, as it is only those views which the RPS provisions are intended to address. Heading and new Objective 8 text as follows; 'Volcanic Viewshafts...8. The regionally significant scheduled views to and between Auckland's maunga are identified and protected by avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of inappropriate development within scheduled viewshafts.' [32/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-68	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 5 and replace with new Objective 8 under volanic viewshafts heading. In addition, specifically refer to regionally significant, scheduled views, as it is only those views which the RPS provisions are intended to address. Heading and new Objective 8 text as follows; 'Volcanic Viewshafts...8. The regionally significant scheduled views to and between Auckland's maunga are identified and protected by avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of inappropriate development within scheduled viewshafts.' [32/46 vol 1]	3312	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Maia Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-68	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 5 and replace with new Objective 8 under volanic viewshafts heading. In addition, specifically refer to regionally significant, scheduled views, as it is only those views which the RPS provisions are intended to address. Heading and new Objective 8 text as follows; 'Volcanic Viewshafts...8. The regionally significant scheduled views to and between Auckland's maunga are identified and protected by avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of inappropriate development within scheduled viewshafts.' [32/46 vol 1]	3321	Te Ākitai Waiohua Waka Taua Trust	Oppose in Part
2968-68	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 5 and replace with new Objective 8 under volanic viewshafts heading. In addition, specifically refer to regionally significant, scheduled views, as it is only those views which the RPS provisions are intended to address. Heading and new Objective 8 text as follows; 'Volcanic Viewshafts...8. The regionally significant scheduled views to and between Auckland's maunga are identified and protected by avoiding, remedying or mitigating the effects of inappropriate development within scheduled viewshafts.' [32/46 vol 1]	3514	Auckland Volcanic Cones Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2968-69	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 6 about ONF's as it duplicates Objective 4. [32/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-69	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Objective 6 about ONF's as it duplicates Objective 4. [32/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-70	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policies section to identify what policies apply to ONFS and ONLs. Add 'ONFs and ONLs' as a title below 'Policies'. [33/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-70	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policies section to identify what policies apply to ONFS and ONLs. Add 'ONFs and ONLs' as a title below 'Policies'. [33/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-70	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policies section to identify what policies apply to ONFS and ONLs. Add 'ONFs and ONLs' as a title below 'Policies'. [33/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-71	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 5 to clarify that it applies to ONFs and ONLs rather than specifically to volcanic features, and to include economic values, which are also an important RMA consideration. See submission for proposed changes. [33/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-71	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 5 to clarify that it applies to ONFs and ONLs rather than specifically to volcanic features, and to include economic values, which are also an important RMA consideration. See submission for proposed changes. [33/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-71	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 5 to clarify that it applies to ONFs and ONLs rather than specifically to volcanic features, and to include economic values, which are also an important RMA consideration. See submission for proposed changes. [33/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-71	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 5 to clarify that it applies to ONFs and ONLs rather than specifically to volcanic features, and to include economic values, which are also an important RMA consideration. See submission for proposed changes. [33/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-72	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 7 to recognise within the RPS that, as well as managing the effects of development on ONLs and ONFs, the positive benefits associated with appropriate land use, development and growth must be provided for. Amend as follows; ' 7. Require urban intensification to be ~~consistent with~~ cognisant of the values of ONFs and ONL~~svolcanic features and viewshaft policies~~ . [33/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-72	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 7 to recognise within the RPS that, as well as managing the effects of development on ONLs and ONFs, the positive benefits associated with appropriate land use, development and growth must be provided for. Amend as follows; ' 7. Require urban intensification to be ~~consistent with~~ cognisant of the values of ONFs and ONL~~svolcanic features and viewshaft policies~~ . [33/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	2409	Western Firth Marine Farming Consortium	Support
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2968-73	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 8(c) as the remainder of the policy incorporates the considerations that will be relevant to any application for development on sites adjacent to an ONL. [34/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-74	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 9 as it is not necessary to specifically reference volcanic features within the ONL policies, as follows; '9. Protect ONLs ~~including volcanic features~~ by:'. [34/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-74	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 9 as it is not necessary to specifically reference volcanic features within the ONL policies, as follows; '9. Protect ONLs ~~including volcanic features~~ by:'. [34/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-75	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 10(f) to avoid inappropriately constraining the development of land, as follows; ' f. a significant reduction in the value of the feature as a result of the inappropriate use or development of other land immediately surrounding or adjacent to the feature'. [34/46 vol 1]	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support
2968-75	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 10(f) to avoid inappropriately constraining the development of land, as follows; ' f. a significant reduction in the value of the feature as a result of the inappropriate use or development of other land immediately surrounding or adjacent to the feature'. [34/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-75	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 10(f) to avoid inappropriately constraining the development of land, as follows; ' f. a significant reduction in the value of the feature as a result of the inappropriate use or development of other land immediately surrounding or adjacent to the feature'. [34/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-76	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 13 as those considerations are addressed by new policies under the new sub-heading Volcanic Viewshafts. [35/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-76	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 13 as those considerations are addressed by new policies under the new sub-heading Volcanic Viewshafts. [35/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-76	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 13 as those considerations are addressed by new policies under the new sub-heading Volcanic Viewshafts. [35/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-77	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 15 as those considerations are addressed by new policies under the new sub-heading Volcanic Viewshafts. [36/46 vol 1]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
2968-77	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 15 as those considerations are addressed by new policies under the new sub-heading Volcanic Viewshafts. [36/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-77	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Delete Policy 15 as those considerations are addressed by new policies under the new sub-heading Volcanic Viewshafts. [36/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	2127	Auckland Utility Operators Group Incorporated	Support
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	2931	Chorus New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	2937	Telecom New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	2951	Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-78	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16 to refer specifically to subdivision, use, development and built elements on, within or adjacent to an ONL, in order to focus the application of the Policy on the physical and visual integrity of the ONL itself. See submission for proposed change. [36/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-79	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16(c) to refer to the specific historic, cultural values that are important to the ONL and to confirm that it is only inappropriate activities that will need to be avoided. Amend as follows; ' c. avoiding inappropriate activities that individually or cumulatively detract ~~physically or visually~~ from the historic, cultural and natural heritage values of the landscape'. [36/46 vol 1]	862	Truman Holdings Limited	Support
2968-79	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16(c) to refer to the specific historic, cultural values that are important to the ONL and to confirm that it is only inappropriate activities that will need to be avoided. Amend as follows; ' c. avoiding inappropriate activities that individually or cumulatively detract ~~physically or visually~~ from the historic, cultural and natural heritage values of the landscape'. [36/46 vol 1]	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
2968-79	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16(c) to refer to the specific historic, cultural values that are important to the ONL and to confirm that it is only inappropriate activities that will need to be avoided. Amend as follows; ' c. avoiding inappropriate activities that individually or cumulatively detract ~~physically or visually~~ from the historic, cultural and natural heritage values of the landscape'. [36/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-79	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 16(c) to refer to the specific historic, cultural values that are important to the ONL and to confirm that it is only inappropriate activities that will need to be avoided. Amend as follows; ' c. avoiding inappropriate activities that individually or cumulatively detract ~~physically or visually~~ from the historic, cultural and natural heritage values of the landscape'. [36/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-80	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new sub-headings for policies 18 - 21 relating to identification, management and protection of regionally significant viewshafts. See submission for proposed changes. [37-38/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-80	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new sub-headings for policies 18 - 21 relating to identification, management and protection of regionally significant viewshafts. See submission for proposed changes. [37-38/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-81	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 18 to recognise the importance of regionally significant viewshafts to the overall appreciation of Auckland's significant volcanic features, and provide for their identification in the proposed Plan (within the volcanic viewshafts overlay). [37/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-81	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 18 to recognise the importance of regionally significant viewshafts to the overall appreciation of Auckland's significant volcanic features, and provide for their identification in the proposed Plan (within the volcanic viewshafts overlay). [37/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-82	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 19 to establish a series of key criteria that will assist in the identification and scheduling of regionally significant views. See submission for proposed changes. [37/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-82	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 19 to establish a series of key criteria that will assist in the identification and scheduling of regionally significant views. See submission for proposed changes. [37/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-83	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 20 to provide for the management of built form within viewshafts, and specifically acknowledge that it may be appropriate for development to occur within viewshafts where those viewshafts impact the city, metropolitan or town centres. Add as follows; ' 20. Where appropriate, enable new development, buildings and structures to locate within regionally significant viewshafts in the city, metropolitan or town centres, where that would achieve commercial growth in accordance with the objectives and policies of B.3.3.1 of the Plan. '. [38/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-83	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 20 to provide for the management of built form within viewshafts, and specifically acknowledge that it may be appropriate for development to occur within viewshafts where those viewshafts impact the city, metropolitan or town centres. Add as follows; ' 20. Where appropriate, enable new development, buildings and structures to locate within regionally significant viewshafts in the city, metropolitan or town centres, where that would achieve commercial growth in accordance with the objectives and policies of B.3.3.1 of the Plan. '. [38/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-83	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 20 to provide for the management of built form within viewshafts, and specifically acknowledge that it may be appropriate for development to occur within viewshafts where those viewshafts impact the city, metropolitan or town centres. Add as follows; ' 20. Where appropriate, enable new development, buildings and structures to locate within regionally significant viewshafts in the city, metropolitan or town centres, where that would achieve commercial growth in accordance with the objectives and policies of B.3.3.1 of the Plan. '. [38/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-84	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 21 to provide for the protection of regionally significant views by seeking to avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development where that would result in the permanent modification or destruction of the view, or otherwise significantly detract from the values the viewshaft is intended to protect. See submission for proposed changes. [38/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-84	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Add new Policy 21 to provide for the protection of regionally significant views by seeking to avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of inappropriate development where that would result in the permanent modification or destruction of the view, or otherwise significantly detract from the values the viewshaft is intended to protect. See submission for proposed changes. [38/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-85	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Explanation and reasons to explain the tension between growth and protection of natural heritage and the need to continually plan for growth rather than adopt a "siloed" approach to natural heritage matters. See submission for proposed changes. [38/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2968-85	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Explanation and reasons to explain the tension between growth and protection of natural heritage and the need to continually plan for growth rather than adopt a "siloed" approach to natural heritage matters. See submission for proposed changes. [38/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-85	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Explanation and reasons to explain the tension between growth and protection of natural heritage and the need to continually plan for growth rather than adopt a "siloed" approach to natural heritage matters. See submission for proposed changes. [38/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-86	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.2 Mana Whenua values Mātauranga & tikanga	Amend Objective 1 as follows; ' 1. Mana Whenua values, mātaurangai and tikanga are properly reflected and accorded sufficient weight in resource management decision-making where practicable.'. [41/46 vol 1]	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support
2968-86	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.2 Mana Whenua values Mātauranga & tikanga	Amend Objective 1 as follows; ' 1. Mana Whenua values, mātaurangai and tikanga are properly reflected and accorded sufficient weight in resource management decision-making where practicable.'. [41/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-86	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.2 Mana Whenua values Mātauranga & tikanga	Amend Objective 1 as follows; ' 1. Mana Whenua values, mātaurangai and tikanga are properly reflected and accorded sufficient weight in resource management decision-making where practicable.'. [41/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-87	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.2 Mana Whenua values Mātauranga & tikanga	Amend Policy 4 to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 4. ~~Promote~~ Ensure an ~~the preparation of a cultural impact assessmen t~~ appropriate assessment of ~~for activities that may~~ adverse~~ly a~~ effects ~~the values of~~ on Mana Whenua, where relevant.'. [41/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-87	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.2 Mana Whenua values Mātauranga & tikanga	Amend Policy 4 to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 4. ~~Promote~~ Ensure an ~~the preparation of a cultural impact assessmen t~~ appropriate assessment of ~~for activities that may~~ adverse~~ly a~~ effects ~~the values of~~ on Mana Whenua, where relevant.'. [41/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-88	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.2 Mana Whenua values Mātauranga & tikanga	Amend Policy 7 and 7(d) to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 7. Where relevant, r~~R~~equire resource management decisions to have particular regard to potential impacts on:.... d. scheduled places, sites and areas ~~with significant spiritual or cultural heritage~~ of significance or value to Mana Whenua. '. [41/46 vol 1]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
2968-88	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.2 Mana Whenua values Mātauranga & tikanga	Amend Policy 7 and 7(d) to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 7. Where relevant, r~~R~~equire resource management decisions to have particular regard to potential impacts on:.... d. scheduled places, sites and areas ~~with significant spiritual or cultural heritage~~ of significance or value to Mana Whenua. '. [41/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-88	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.2 Mana Whenua values Mātauranga & tikanga	Amend Policy 7 and 7(d) to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 7. Where relevant, r~~R~~equire resource management decisions to have particular regard to potential impacts on:.... d. scheduled places, sites and areas ~~with significant spiritual or cultural heritage~~ of significance or value to Mana Whenua. '. [41/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-89	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Amend Objective 1 to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 1. The ~~tangible and intangible~~ values of Mana Whenua cultural heritage sites are identified and protected by scheduling in the Unitary Plan and enhanced where possible. '. [42/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-89	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Amend Objective 1 to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 1. The ~~tangible and intangible~~ values of Mana Whenua cultural heritage sites are identified and protected by scheduling in the Unitary Plan and enhanced where possible. '. [42/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-90	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Objective 3 about Mana Whenua cultural, spiritual and historical values. [42/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-90	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Objective 3 about Mana Whenua cultural, spiritual and historical values. [42/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-91	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 5 about Mana Whenua values associated with their cultural landscapes. [42/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-91	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 5 about Mana Whenua values associated with their cultural landscapes. [42/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-91	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 5 about Mana Whenua values associated with their cultural landscapes. [42/46 vol 1]	3312	Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Whai Maia Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-91	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 5 about Mana Whenua values associated with their cultural landscapes. [42/46 vol 1]	3321	Te Ākitai Waiohua Waka Taua Trust	Oppose in Part
2968-92	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 10 about cultural impact assessments. [42/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-92	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 10 about cultural impact assessments. [42/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-93	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 11 about cultural impact assessments. [43/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-93	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 11 about cultural impact assessments. [43/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-94	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 12 about precautionary approach in structure planning. [42/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-94	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Delete Policy 12 about precautionary approach in structure planning. [42/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-95	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Amend Policy 13 to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 13. Encourage best practice in the provision of infrastructure in areas near the coast and around natural waterways and bush environments because of the known to have been areas of historic settlement and occupation patterns of the tūpuna of Mana Whenua. [43/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-95	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5.4 Protection of Mana Whenua culture and heritage / Fig. 1	Amend Policy 13 to reduce the uncertainty for applicants and other participants in the RMA process and reduce costs and delays in the planning process, as follows; ' 13. Encourage best practice in the provision of infrastructure in areas near the coast and around natural waterways and bush environments because of the known to have been areas of historic settlement and occupation patterns of the tūpuna of Mana Whenua. [43/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-96	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend Introduction, second paragraph, to better balance the need to provide for activities which may result in urban air pollution, and achieving acceptable levels of air quality. Amend as follows; '...a balance needs to be struck between providing for a range of activities in appropriate locations, including access to these activities ~~continuing these activities~~ , and achieving acceptable levels of air quality. [44/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-96	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend Introduction, second paragraph, to better balance the need to provide for activities which may result in urban air pollution, and achieving acceptable levels of air quality. Amend as follows; '...a balance needs to be struck between providing for a range of activities in appropriate locations, including access to these activities ~~continuing these activities~~ , and achieving acceptable levels of air quality. [44/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-97	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend the Methods, bullet point 3, to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles during the peak traffic periods, as follows; ' Land use zoning that encourages the development of a compact city and enables greater walking and cycling, use of public transport and a reduced reliance on private motor vehicles during the peak commuter traffic periods.'.[44/46 vol 1]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-97	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend the Methods, bullet point 3, to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles during the peak traffic periods, as follows; ' Land use zoning that encourages the development of a compact city and enables greater walking and cycling, use of public transport and a reduced reliance on private motor vehicles during the peak commuter traffic periods.'.[44/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-97	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend the Methods, bullet point 3, to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles during the peak traffic periods, as follows; ' Land use zoning that encourages the development of a compact city and enables greater walking and cycling, use of public transport and a reduced reliance on private motor vehicles during the peak commuter traffic periods.'.[44/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-98	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend first paragraph of Explanation and reasons to encourage development patterns which reduce the reliance on single purpose trips, rather than reducing private motor vehicles altogether. See submission for proposed changes. [44/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-98	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend first paragraph of Explanation and reasons to encourage development patterns which reduce the reliance on single purpose trips, rather than reducing private motor vehicles altogether. See submission for proposed changes. [44/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-99	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Delete last sentence of first paragraph of Explanation and reasons because its meaning is unclear. Delete as follows; ' ~~Further measures can also be taken such as separating the source of emissions from sensitive activities.~~ '. [44/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-99	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Delete last sentence of first paragraph of Explanation and reasons because its meaning is unclear. Delete as follows; ' ~~Further measures can also be taken such as separating the source of emissions from sensitive activities.~~ '. [44/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-100	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend Objective 5 to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 5. The adverse effects of stormwater runoff and wastewater discharges from development and changes in land use on communities, freshwater systems and coastal waters are minimised ~~and existing adverse effects are progressively reduced~~ . '. [45/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2968-100	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend Objective 5 to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 5. The adverse effects of stormwater runoff and wastewater discharges from development and changes in land use on communities, freshwater systems and coastal waters are minimised ~~and existing adverse effects are progressively reduced~~ . '. [45/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-100	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend Objective 5 to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 5. The adverse effects of stormwater runoff and wastewater discharges from development and changes in land use on communities, freshwater systems and coastal waters are minimised ~~and existing adverse effects are progressively reduced~~ . '. [45/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-100	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend Objective 5 to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 5. The adverse effects of stormwater runoff and wastewater discharges from development and changes in land use on communities, freshwater systems and coastal waters are minimised ~~and existing adverse effects are progressively reduced~~ . '. [45/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-101	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend Policy 3 to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 3. Manage discharges from ~~use and~~ development and changes in land use ~~discharges and other activities~~ to avoid where practicable, and otherwise minimise and reduce:... '. [45/46 vol 1]	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2968-101	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend Policy 3 to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 3. Manage discharges from ~~use and~~ development and changes in land use ~~discharges and other activities~~ to avoid where practicable, and otherwise minimise and reduce:... '. [45/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-101	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend Policy 3 to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 3. Manage discharges from ~~use and~~ development and changes in land use ~~discharges and other activities~~ to avoid where practicable, and otherwise minimise and reduce:... '. [45/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-101	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Amend Policy 3 to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 3. Manage discharges from ~~use and~~ development and changes in land use ~~discharges and other activities~~ to avoid where practicable, and otherwise minimise and reduce:... '. [45/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-102	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Delete Policy 4, to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 4. Use opportunities provided by land use change, and development, and redevelopment to progressively improve the quality of freshwater and coastal waters.'. [45/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-102	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Delete Policy 4, to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 4. Use opportunities provided by land use change, and development, and redevelopment to progressively improve the quality of freshwater and coastal waters.'. [45/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-103	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Delete Policy 10(a), to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 10. Manage the adverse effects of use, development, and the discharge of contaminants from stormwater networks in urban areas on freshwater systems and coastal waters by:...~~a. using land use change and development opportunities to reduce the adverse effects of existing land use~~ '. [45/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-103	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Delete Policy 10(a), to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 10. Manage the adverse effects of use, development, and the discharge of contaminants from stormwater networks in urban areas on freshwater systems and coastal waters by:...~~a. using land use change and development opportunities to reduce the adverse effects of existing land use~~ '. [45/46 vol 1]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-103	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Natural resources	B6.3 Freshwater and Geothermal Water	Delete Policy 10(a), to focus on the effects of new land use and development, not discharges from existing impervious areas, as follows; ' 10. Manage the adverse effects of use, development, and the discharge of contaminants from stormwater networks in urban areas on freshwater systems and coastal waters by:...~~a. using land use change and development opportunities to reduce the adverse effects of existing land use~~ '. [45/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-104	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend third line of Table 1 'Urban Growth' to reflect the PAUP's emphasis on growth and capacity of business land in centres and urban areas. See submission for proposed changes. [45/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-104	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend third line of Table 1 'Urban Growth' to reflect the PAUP's emphasis on growth and capacity of business land in centres and urban areas. See submission for proposed changes. [45/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-104	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend third line of Table 1 'Urban Growth' to reflect the PAUP's emphasis on growth and capacity of business land in centres and urban areas. See submission for proposed changes. [45/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-104	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend third line of Table 1 'Urban Growth' to reflect the PAUP's emphasis on growth and capacity of business land in centres and urban areas. See submission for proposed changes. [45/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-105	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend first line of Table 2 'Economic well-being', to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service residential intensification which may increase during the life of the proposed Plan. Amend as follows; ' The proportion of floorspace (m2) within the centres and corridors ~~as identified in the Unitary Plan~~ for commercial use should keep abreast with residential growth ~~does not reduce below that identified at the date of notification of this Unitary Plan~~ .[46/46 vol 1]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-105	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend first line of Table 2 'Economic well-being', to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service residential intensification which may increase during the life of the proposed Plan. Amend as follows; ' The proportion of floorspace (m2) within the centres and corridors ~~as identified in the Unitary Plan~~ for commercial use should keep abreast with residential growth ~~does not reduce below that identified at the date of notification of this Unitary Plan~~ .[46/46 vol 1]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-105	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend first line of Table 2 'Economic well-being', to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service residential intensification which may increase during the life of the proposed Plan. Amend as follows; ' The proportion of floorspace (m2) within the centres and corridors ~~as identified in the Unitary Plan~~ for commercial use should keep abreast with residential growth ~~does not reduce below that identified at the date of notification of this Unitary Plan~~ .[46/46 vol 1]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-105	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend first line of Table 2 'Economic well-being', to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service residential intensification which may increase during the life of the proposed Plan. Amend as follows; ' The proportion of floorspace (m2) within the centres and corridors ~~as identified in the Unitary Plan~~ for commercial use should keep abreast with residential growth ~~does not reduce below that identified at the date of notification of this Unitary Plan~~ .[46/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-105	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend first line of Table 2 'Economic well-being', to provide for commercial/business development and growth to service residential intensification which may increase during the life of the proposed Plan. Amend as follows; ' The proportion of floorspace (m2) within the centres and corridors ~~as identified in the Unitary Plan~~ for commercial use should keep abreast with residential growth ~~does not reduce below that identified at the date of notification of this Unitary Plan~~ .[46/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-106	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Urban Form to focus on efficient transport infrastructure overall, not just freight. Amend as follows; '...to provide for the future growth of these activities and support them with an efficient transport infrastructure ~~delivering efficient movement of freigh t~~ .'. [15/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-106	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend second paragraph of Urban Form to focus on efficient transport infrastructure overall, not just freight. Amend as follows; '...to provide for the future growth of these activities and support them with an efficient transport infrastructure ~~delivering efficient movement of freigh t~~ .'. [15/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-107	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Transport and Land Use to recognise that well-designed transport systems (integrated transport and land use) actually provide for growth and development for the future, rather than simply servicing growth. Amend as follows; ' ...Well-designed transport systems ~~service~~ provide for growth and development, and reinforce urban development patterns.'. [15/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-107	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend first paragraph of Transport and Land Use to recognise that well-designed transport systems (integrated transport and land use) actually provide for growth and development for the future, rather than simply servicing growth. Amend as follows; ' ...Well-designed transport systems ~~service~~ provide for growth and development, and reinforce urban development patterns.'. [15/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-108	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(a) to improve clarity, as follows; ' a. consider its potential for adverse physical and visual effects on the outstanding natural landscape'. [34/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-108	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(a) to improve clarity, as follows; ' a. consider its potential for adverse physical and visual effects on the outstanding natural landscape'. [34/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	1191	South Pacific Oysters Limited	Support
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	2249	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association (Incorporated)	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	2409	Western Firth Marine Farming Consortium	Support
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	2699	Aquaculture New Zealand	Support
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	3085	Biomarine Limited	Support
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	3239	Pakihi Marine Farms Limited	Support
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	3251	David O Morgan	Support
2968-109	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Amend Policy 8(d) and (e) to recognise that adverse cumulative effects and adverse effects on Mana Whenua values may be able to be remedied or mitigated. Amend as follows; ' d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse cumulative effects on the outstanding natural landscape...e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Mana Whenua values.'. [34/46 vol 1]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-110	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain Infrastructure objectives and policies subject to to specific submissions contained in the submission. [on p6-8/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-110	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain Infrastructure objectives and policies subject to to specific submissions contained in the submission. [on p6-8/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-111	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain Objective 3 about development of infrastructure. [6/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-111	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain Objective 3 about development of infrastructure. [6/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-112	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain Policy 1 with minor amendment to recognise positive benefits (rather than effects) that arise from the provision of infrastructure. Amend as follows; ' 1. Recognise the positive social, economic, environmental and cultural ~~effects~~ benefits that infrastructure provide, including:...'. [6/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-112	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain Policy 1 with minor amendment to recognise positive benefits (rather than effects) that arise from the provision of infrastructure. Amend as follows; ' 1. Recognise the positive social, economic, environmental and cultural ~~effects~~ benefits that infrastructure provide, including:...'. [6/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-113	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 10 to delete the reference to the 'single integrated multi-modal transport system' as it is unclear what this is. Amend as follows; ' 10. Provide for the construction, use, operation, maintenance and development of the road network in a manner which:..~~a. contributes to the operation of the single integrated multi-modal transport system~~ '. [7/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-113	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 10 to delete the reference to the 'single integrated multi-modal transport system' as it is unclear what this is. Amend as follows; ' 10. Provide for the construction, use, operation, maintenance and development of the road network in a manner which:..~~a. contributes to the operation of the single integrated multi-modal transport system~~ '. [7/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-114	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 11 to balance safety and efficiency with placemaking, movement and access functions of roads, as follows; ' 11. Provide access to the road network which is safe and efficient and ~~minimises conflict between~~ balances the placemaking, movement and access functions of roads.'. [7/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-114	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Amend Policy 11 to balance safety and efficiency with placemaking, movement and access functions of roads, as follows; ' 11. Provide access to the road network which is safe and efficient and ~~minimises conflict between~~ balances the placemaking, movement and access functions of roads.'. [7/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-115	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain Policy 12(a) which recognises the functions and operational characteristics of roads. [7/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-115	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Retain Policy 12(a) which recognises the functions and operational characteristics of roads. [7/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-116	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.2 - Roads	Retain Activity Table - Roads, line 4, and the restricted discretionary activity status for pedestrian overpasses and underpasses. [8/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-116	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1 Activity table 1.2 - Roads	Retain Activity Table - Roads, line 4, and the restricted discretionary activity status for pedestrian overpasses and underpasses. [8/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-117	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(a)(i) assessment criteria to encourage and not unduly restrict pedestrian overpasses and underpasses. Include criteria that addresses the positive contribution that such facilities provide for. See submission for proposed changes and additions. [9/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-117	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(a)(i) assessment criteria to encourage and not unduly restrict pedestrian overpasses and underpasses. Include criteria that addresses the positive contribution that such facilities provide for. See submission for proposed changes and additions. [9/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-118	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(b)(i) assessment criteria, first bullet point, so that the standard of design required is not inappropriate. Amend as follows; ' Both pedestrian overpasses and underpasses should be:...of an ~~exceptional~~ high standard of design'. [9/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-118	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(b)(i) assessment criteria, first bullet point, so that the standard of design required is not inappropriate. Amend as follows; ' Both pedestrian overpasses and underpasses should be:...of an ~~exceptional~~ high standard of design'. [9/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-119	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(b)(i) assessment criteria, second bullet point, second dash point, so that there is no, or minimal, obstruction of specifically scheduled historic places, rather than any undefined historic place. Amend as follows; ' Not obstruct views of visually prominent features such as scheduled historic buildings and landmarks, or significant natural and cultural features identified in the Unitary Plan, or where there is any view obstruction, the impact is minimal '. [10/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-119	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(b)(i) assessment criteria, second bullet point, second dash point, so that there is no, or minimal, obstruction of specifically scheduled historic places, rather than any undefined historic place. Amend as follows; ' Not obstruct views of visually prominent features such as scheduled historic buildings and landmarks, or significant natural and cultural features identified in the Unitary Plan, or where there is any view obstruction, the impact is minimal '. [10/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-120	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(b)(i) assessment criteria, second bullet point, fifth dash point, so that pedestrian overpasses and underpasses of a functional size in relation to width and height, relative to the activity they are primarily serving. Amend as follows; ' be of ~~the minimum~~ a width and height necessary to accommodate the movement of pedestrians relative to the function. '. [10/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-120	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(b)(i) assessment criteria, second bullet point, fifth dash point, so that pedestrian overpasses and underpasses of a functional size in relation to width and height, relative to the activity they are primarily serving. Amend as follows; ' be of ~~the minimum~~ a width and height necessary to accommodate the movement of pedestrians relative to the function. '. [10/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-121	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(c)(i) assessment criteria, so that it is only necessary for overpasses and underpasses to be available to the public during the operational hours of the buildings that an overpass or underpass connects. Amend as follows; ' i. the structure should be available for public use at all times that the buildings that it connects are operational, and provide convenient physical access for people of all ages and abilities.'. [10/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-121	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(c)(i) assessment criteria, so that it is only necessary for overpasses and underpasses to be available to the public during the operational hours of the buildings that an overpass or underpass connects. Amend as follows; ' i. the structure should be available for public use at all times that the buildings that it connects are operational, and provide convenient physical access for people of all ages and abilities.'. [10/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-122	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(d)(i) assessment criteria, so that the default minimum clearance for overpasses or underpasses be 5.3 metres and a 6.5 metre clearance be only required for defined over-dimension routes. Amend as follows; ' ...Pedestrian overpasses should have a vertical clearance from the crown of the road to the underside of the overpass structure of not less than 5.3 metres, or 6.5 metres for over-dimension routes as identified in the 2007 NZTA Guide "Over-dimension vehicle route maps 04 Auckland" or its equivalent.'. [11/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-122	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Amend 5.2(9)(d)(i) assessment criteria, so that the default minimum clearance for overpasses or underpasses be 5.3 metres and a 6.5 metre clearance be only required for defined over-dimension routes. Amend as follows; ' ...Pedestrian overpasses should have a vertical clearance from the crown of the road to the underside of the overpass structure of not less than 5.3 metres, or 6.5 metres for over-dimension routes as identified in the 2007 NZTA Guide "Over-dimension vehicle route maps 04 Auckland" or its equivalent.'. [11/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-123	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Retain Transport objectives and policies subject to to specific submissions contained in the submission. [on p11-19/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-123	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Retain Transport objectives and policies subject to to specific submissions contained in the submission. [on p11-19/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-124	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend first paragraph, [infer second paragraph] to give appropriate recognition to private vehicle movements and sufficient convenient parking to service particular activities. See submission for proposed changes. [11/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-124	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend first paragraph, [infer second paragraph] to give appropriate recognition to private vehicle movements and sufficient convenient parking to service particular activities. See submission for proposed changes. [11/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-125	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend first bullet point, to remove Metropolitan and Town Centres from the locations where parking need not be provided, as follows; ' There is no requirement for activities or development to provide parking in the following zones and locations:...the City~~, Metropolitan, Town~~ and Local Centres (with the exception of identified non-urban ~~town and~~ local centres) zones'. [12/43 vol 2]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-125	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend first bullet point, to remove Metropolitan and Town Centres from the locations where parking need not be provided, as follows; ' There is no requirement for activities or development to provide parking in the following zones and locations:...the City~~, Metropolitan, Town~~ and Local Centres (with the exception of identified non-urban ~~town and~~ local centres) zones'. [12/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-125	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend first bullet point, to remove Metropolitan and Town Centres from the locations where parking need not be provided, as follows; ' There is no requirement for activities or development to provide parking in the following zones and locations:...the City~~, Metropolitan, Town~~ and Local Centres (with the exception of identified non-urban ~~town and~~ local centres) zones'. [12/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-126	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend second bullet point, to recognise that maximum parking standards are often linked to the management of traffic associated with a specific type of activity (ie commuter movements), rather than being linked to a particular location. See submission for proposed changes. [13/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-126	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Amend second bullet point, to recognise that maximum parking standards are often linked to the management of traffic associated with a specific type of activity (ie commuter movements), rather than being linked to a particular location. See submission for proposed changes. [13/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-127	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Add new bullet point to acknowledge that some activities do not respond well to parking restraints, such as non-regular travel activities that do not correspond with public transport that caters for commuter travel. Amend as follows; ' For some activities, particularly those involving non-regular travel (such as retail and entertainment), a maximum parking limit is inappropriate. [13/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-127	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Add new bullet point to acknowledge that some activities do not respond well to parking restraints, such as non-regular travel activities that do not correspond with public transport that caters for commuter travel. Amend as follows; ' For some activities, particularly those involving non-regular travel (such as retail and entertainment), a maximum parking limit is inappropriate. [13/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-127	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Add new bullet point to acknowledge that some activities do not respond well to parking restraints, such as non-regular travel activities that do not correspond with public transport that caters for commuter travel. Amend as follows; ' For some activities, particularly those involving non-regular travel (such as retail and entertainment), a maximum parking limit is inappropriate. [13/43 vol 2]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-128	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Delete sixth paragraph as it is unclear who will develop the non-statutory 'Comprehensive parking management plans', as follows. '~~The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres. Comprehensive parking management plans assist with the integrated management of both off-street and on- street parking in centres, and will provide guidance for assessing applications which affect the supply of parking.~~ '. [13/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-128	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Delete sixth paragraph as it is unclear who will develop the non-statutory 'Comprehensive parking management plans', as follows. '~~The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres. Comprehensive parking management plans assist with the integrated management of both off-street and on- street parking in centres, and will provide guidance for assessing applications which affect the supply of parking.~~ '. [13/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-128	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Delete sixth paragraph as it is unclear who will develop the non-statutory 'Comprehensive parking management plans', as follows. '~~The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres. Comprehensive parking management plans assist with the integrated management of both off-street and on- street parking in centres, and will provide guidance for assessing applications which affect the supply of parking.~~ '. [13/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-128	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Delete sixth paragraph as it is unclear who will develop the non-statutory 'Comprehensive parking management plans', as follows. '~~The Unitary Plan's approach to parking will be supported by the development and implementation of comprehensive parking management plans for centres, with particular priority given to the metropolitan centres. Comprehensive parking management plans assist with the integrated management of both off-street and on- street parking in centres, and will provide guidance for assessing applications which affect the supply of parking.~~ '. [13/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-129	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Delete second to last paragraph of the Background as the reference to Auckland Transport's Code of practice is not a statutory document and is not supported. See submission for proposed changes. [14/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-129	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Background	Delete second to last paragraph of the Background as the reference to Auckland Transport's Code of practice is not a statutory document and is not supported. See submission for proposed changes. [14/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-130	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' 2. An integrated public transport, private vehicle, walking and cycling network is provided for.'. [14/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-130	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' 2. An integrated public transport, private vehicle, walking and cycling network is provided for.'. [14/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-130	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' 2. An integrated public transport, private vehicle, walking and cycling network is provided for.'. [14/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-130	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 2 to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' 2. An integrated public transport, private vehicle, walking and cycling network is provided for.'. [14/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-131	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c) to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' c. the use of ~~more sustainable~~ an integrated transport network that ~~options~~ includesing public transport, private vehicles, cycling and walking'. [14/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-131	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c) to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' c. the use of ~~more sustainable~~ an integrated transport network that ~~options~~ includesing public transport, private vehicles, cycling and walking'. [14/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-131	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c) to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' c. the use of ~~more sustainable~~ an integrated transport network that ~~options~~ includesing public transport, private vehicles, cycling and walking'. [14/43 vol 2]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-131	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c) to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' c. the use of ~~more sustainable~~ an integrated transport network that ~~options~~ includesing public transport, private vehicles, cycling and walking'. [14/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-131	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(c) to recognise that shoppers depend on cars as public transport does not adequately cater for their needs, as follows; ' c. the use of ~~more sustainable~~ an integrated transport network that ~~options~~ includesing public transport, private vehicles, cycling and walking'. [14/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-132	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(d) to support business and stimulate Auckland's economy and provide for its economic wellbeing, as follows; '3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:...d. the economic activity and effectiveness of businesses'. [14/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-132	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(d) to support business and stimulate Auckland's economy and provide for its economic wellbeing, as follows; '3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:...d. the economic activity and effectiveness of businesses'. [14/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-132	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(d) to support business and stimulate Auckland's economy and provide for its economic wellbeing, as follows; '3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:...d. the economic activity and effectiveness of businesses'. [14/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-132	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 3(d) to support business and stimulate Auckland's economy and provide for its economic wellbeing, as follows; '3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:...d. the economic activity and effectiveness of businesses'. [14/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-133	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Add Objective 3(f) to take into account the practical realities of parking and loading requirements, as follows; '3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:...f. the operational and functional requirements of activities.'. [15/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-133	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Add Objective 3(f) to take into account the practical realities of parking and loading requirements, as follows; '3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:...f. the operational and functional requirements of activities.'. [15/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-133	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Add Objective 3(f) to take into account the practical realities of parking and loading requirements, as follows; '3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:...f. the operational and functional requirements of activities.'. [15/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-133	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Add Objective 3(f) to take into account the practical realities of parking and loading requirements, as follows; '3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:...f. the operational and functional requirements of activities.'. [15/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-134	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Add Objective 3(g) to take into account the continued provision of on-street parking within residential zones, as follows; ' 3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:... g. the continuing availability of on-street parking within residential zones adjacent to Centres and business zoned areas. '. [15/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-134	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Add Objective 3(g) to take into account the continued provision of on-street parking within residential zones, as follows; ' 3. The number, location and type (short-term or long-term, public or private) of parking and loading spaces, including cycle parking and associated end-of-trip facilities, support:... g. the continuing availability of on-street parking within residential zones adjacent to Centres and business zoned areas. '. [15/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-135	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 4 to recognise functional and operational requirements of activities, as follows; ' 4. Parking and loading is designed, located and accessed safely and efficiently for pedestrians and vehicles within and outside the site and in a manner which contributes to quality design of the built environment, while recognising functional and operational requirements. ' . [15/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-135	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Amend Objective 4 to recognise functional and operational requirements of activities, as follows; ' 4. Parking and loading is designed, located and accessed safely and efficiently for pedestrians and vehicles within and outside the site and in a manner which contributes to quality design of the built environment, while recognising functional and operational requirements. ' . [15/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-136	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete Objective 5(b) so that pedestrian amenity is not unduly prioritised when providing access between the road and activities. The balancing approach in Objective 5(c) is preferable. Amend as follows; ' 5. Development provides access between the road and activities by:... ~~b. prioritising pedestrian safety and amenity along public footpaths~~ ...be . achieving a balance between the placemaking, pedestrian safety movement and access functions of the road.'. ' . [15/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-136	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Objectives	Delete Objective 5(b) so that pedestrian amenity is not unduly prioritised when providing access between the road and activities. The balancing approach in Objective 5(c) is preferable. Amend as follows; ' 5. Development provides access between the road and activities by:... ~~b. prioritising pedestrian safety and amenity along public footpaths~~ ...be . achieving a balance between the placemaking, pedestrian safety movement and access functions of the road.'. ' . [15/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-137	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Retain Policy 1 about high traffic generating activities.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-137	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Retain Policy 1 about high traffic generating activities.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-138	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 to distinguish long-term commuter parking from other types of parking and provide for activities like speciality and large format retail, as follows; ' 2. ~~Limit~~ Manage the supply of on-site long-term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations~~ to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, while acknowledging that some activities will continue to require access to parking, ~~recognisinge the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling;~~ '. [16/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-138	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 to distinguish long-term commuter parking from other types of parking and provide for activities like speciality and large format retail, as follows; ' 2. ~~Limit~~ Manage the supply of on-site long-term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations~~ to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, while acknowledging that some activities will continue to require access to parking, ~~recognisinge the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling;~~ '. [16/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-138	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 to distinguish long-term commuter parking from other types of parking and provide for activities like speciality and large format retail, as follows; ' 2. ~~Limit~~ Manage the supply of on-site long-term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations~~ to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, while acknowledging that some activities will continue to require access to parking, ~~recognisinge the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling;~~ '. [16/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-138	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 2 to distinguish long-term commuter parking from other types of parking and provide for activities like speciality and large format retail, as follows; ' 2. ~~Limit~~ Manage the supply of on-site long-term (commuter) parking ~~in the following locations~~ to support the planned growth and intensification provided for in the Unitary Plan, while acknowledging that some activities will continue to require access to parking, ~~recognisinge the existing and future accessibility of these locations to the Rapid and Frequent Service Network, and support walking and cycling;~~ '. [16/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-139	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 2 (a)-(d) as the listed zones are unnecessary because the management of commuter parking is relevant across all of urban Auckland. See submission for proposed changes. [16/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-139	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 2 (a)-(d) as the listed zones are unnecessary because the management of commuter parking is relevant across all of urban Auckland. See submission for proposed changes. [16/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2968-139	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 2 (a)-(d) as the listed zones are unnecessary because the management of commuter parking is relevant across all of urban Auckland. See submission for proposed changes. [16/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-139	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 2 (a)-(d) as the listed zones are unnecessary because the management of commuter parking is relevant across all of urban Auckland. See submission for proposed changes. [16/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-140	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 so that providing a minimum level of car parking for certain activities is not be restricted to particular zones. Instead it should focus on the type of activity to be served by carparking and its particular demand and locational characteristics. Amend as follows; 3. ~~Require~~ Recognise that it is appropriate for some activities and development ~~located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above~~ to provide a minimum level of on-site car parking in recognition of their demand, locational characteristics and/or more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal. '. [17/43 vol 2]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-140	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 so that providing a minimum level of car parking for certain activities is not be restricted to particular zones. Instead it should focus on the type of activity to be served by carparking and its particular demand and locational characteristics. Amend as follows; 3. ~~Require~~ Recognise that it is appropriate for some activities and development ~~located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above~~ to provide a minimum level of on-site car parking in recognition of their demand, locational characteristics and/or more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal. '. [17/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-140	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 3 so that providing a minimum level of car parking for certain activities is not be restricted to particular zones. Instead it should focus on the type of activity to be served by carparking and its particular demand and locational characteristics. Amend as follows; 3. ~~Require~~ Recognise that it is appropriate for some activities and development ~~located outside the areas covered by policy 2 above~~ to provide a minimum level of on-site car parking in recognition of their demand, locational characteristics and/or more limited alternatives to private vehicle travel unless it can be demonstrated that a lesser amount of on-site parking is needed for a particular site or proposal. '. [17/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-141	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 14(a) as avoiding adverse effects on amenity is not as important as pedestrian, cyclist and driver safety. There are also amenity matters addressed in Policy 17. Refer submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-141	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 14(a) as avoiding adverse effects on amenity is not as important as pedestrian, cyclist and driver safety. There are also amenity matters addressed in Policy 17. Refer submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-142	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 17(c) as Policy 17(e) serves the same purpose and there is no reason why all car parking in Auckland must be entirely screened from view. Refer submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-142	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Delete Policy 17(c) as Policy 17(e) serves the same purpose and there is no reason why all car parking in Auckland must be entirely screened from view. Refer submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-143	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(f) to recognise that in some cases buildings may be readily dismantled or removed, as an alternative to being adapted for other uses, if no longer required for car parking. Amend as follows; ' f. provide for any buildings to be adapted (or readily removed) for other uses if no longer required for parking...'. [18/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-143	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 17(f) to recognise that in some cases buildings may be readily dismantled or removed, as an alternative to being adapted for other uses, if no longer required for car parking. Amend as follows; ' f. provide for any buildings to be adapted (or readily removed) for other uses if no longer required for parking...'. [18/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-144	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 20 to remove the reference to arterial roads, as for high traffic generators it is much more efficient, effective and safe to get the large traffic volumes onto and from a site at a high capacity arterial road driveway or intersection. Amend as follows; ' 20. Avoid or restrict vehicle access to and from sites adjacent to motorway interchanges, and on ~~arterial roads, including~~ state highways, so that the:...'. [18/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-144	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 20 to remove the reference to arterial roads, as for high traffic generators it is much more efficient, effective and safe to get the large traffic volumes onto and from a site at a high capacity arterial road driveway or intersection. Amend as follows; ' 20. Avoid or restrict vehicle access to and from sites adjacent to motorway interchanges, and on ~~arterial roads, including~~ state highways, so that the:...'. [18/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-145	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 22 to acknowledge the efficient functioning of activities and operational and safety requirements in respect of the provision of access. Amend as follows; 22. ~~Avoid~~ manage the location and function of vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Key Retail Frontage overlay in the Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones having regard to: a. ~~give high priority to~~ pedestrian ~~movement~~ , safety and amenity...b. ~~provide for~~ continuity of building frontage and associated activities at street level...c. functionality and specific operational requirements '. [19/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-145	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 22 to acknowledge the efficient functioning of activities and operational and safety requirements in respect of the provision of access. Amend as follows; 22. ~~Avoid~~ manage the location and function of vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Key Retail Frontage overlay in the Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones having regard to: a. ~~give high priority to~~ pedestrian ~~movement~~ , safety and amenity...b. ~~provide for~~ continuity of building frontage and associated activities at street level...c. functionality and specific operational requirements '. [19/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-146	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 23 to acknowledge the efficient functioning of activities and operational and safety requirements in respect of the provision of access. Amend as follows; 23. ~~Restrict~~ manage the location and function of vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Commercial Frontage overlay in Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones having regard to:...a. ~~support~~ pedestrian safety and amenity...b. ~~provide for~~ continuity of building frontage and associated activities at street level...c. functionality and specific operational requirements. '. [19/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-146	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 23 to acknowledge the efficient functioning of activities and operational and safety requirements in respect of the provision of access. Amend as follows; 23. ~~Restrict~~ manage the location and function of vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Commercial Frontage overlay in Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones having regard to:...a. ~~support~~ pedestrian safety and amenity...b. ~~provide for~~ continuity of building frontage and associated activities at street level...c. functionality and specific operational requirements. '. [19/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-146	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 23 to acknowledge the efficient functioning of activities and operational and safety requirements in respect of the provision of access. Amend as follows; 23. ~~Restrict~~ manage the location and function of vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Commercial Frontage overlay in Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones having regard to:...a. ~~support~~ pedestrian safety and amenity...b. ~~provide for~~ continuity of building frontage and associated activities at street level...c. functionality and specific operational requirements. '. [19/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-146	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	C1.2 Policies	Amend Policy 23 to acknowledge the efficient functioning of activities and operational and safety requirements in respect of the provision of access. Amend as follows; 23. ~~Restrict~~ manage the location and function of vehicle access to and from sites subject to the Commercial Frontage overlay in Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre and Mixed Use zones having regard to:...a. ~~support~~ pedestrian safety and amenity...b. ~~provide for~~ continuity of building frontage and associated activities at street level...c. functionality and specific operational requirements. '. [19/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-147	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.1 Activity table H1.2.2 Notification	Amend Activity Table line 5 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [19/43 vol 2]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-147	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.1 Activity table H1.2.2 Notification	Amend Activity Table line 5 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [19/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-147	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.1 Activity table H1.2.2 Notification	Amend Activity Table line 5 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [19/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-147	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.1 Activity table H1.2.2 Notification	Amend Activity Table line 5 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [19/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-148	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', line 1, so parking within a Key Retail Frontage overlay is not prohibited and the default maximum parking rate of the underlying zone applies. See submission for proposed changes. [20/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-148	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', line 1, so parking within a Key Retail Frontage overlay is not prohibited and the default maximum parking rate of the underlying zone applies. See submission for proposed changes. [20/43 vol 2]	2797	Monaro Properties Limited and Takapuna Properties Limited	Support
2968-148	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', line 1, so parking within a Key Retail Frontage overlay is not prohibited and the default maximum parking rate of the underlying zone applies. See submission for proposed changes. [20/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-148	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', line 1, so parking within a Key Retail Frontage overlay is not prohibited and the default maximum parking rate of the underlying zone applies. See submission for proposed changes. [20/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-149	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Offices, as the maximum parking rate for offices is unrealistic, as follows; ' 1 per ~~6~~ 40 m^2 GFA within the parking overlay - city centre fringe'. [21/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-149	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Offices, as the maximum parking rate for offices is unrealistic, as follows; ' 1 per ~~6~~ 40 m^2 GFA within the parking overlay - city centre fringe'. [21/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-149	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Offices, as the maximum parking rate for offices is unrealistic, as follows; ' 1 per ~~6~~ 40 m^2 GFA within the parking overlay - city centre fringe'. [21/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-149	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Offices, as the maximum parking rate for offices is unrealistic, as follows; ' 1 per ~~6~~ 40 m^2 GFA within the parking overlay - city centre fringe'. [21/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-150	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', heading line 2 as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Amend as follows; 'Maximum rate (unless specified)'. [21/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-150	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', heading line 2 as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Amend as follows; 'Maximum rate (unless specified)'. [21/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-151	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Amend food and beverage section as follows; '~~1 per 10m2 GFA and outdoor seating area~~ No maximum'. [21/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-151	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Amend food and beverage section as follows; '~~1 per 10m2 GFA and outdoor seating area~~ No maximum'. [21/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-152	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Add new section for 'Integrated shopping centres' as follows; ' No maximum...Minimum rate: 2 per 100m^2 GFA ' . [21/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-152	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Add new section for 'Integrated shopping centres' as follows; ' No maximum...Minimum rate: 2 per 100m^2 GFA ' . [21/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-152	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Add new section for 'Integrated shopping centres' as follows; ' No maximum...Minimum rate: 2 per 100m^2 GFA ' . [21/43 vol 2]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-152	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Add new section for 'Integrated shopping centres' as follows; ' No maximum...Minimum rate: 2 per 100m^2 GFA ' . [21/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-152	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of parking maximums for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Add new section for 'Integrated shopping centres' as follows; ' No maximum...Minimum rate: 2 per 100m^2 GFA ' . [21/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-153	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of maximum parking rules for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Amend 'All other retail (including taverns)' section as follows; ' ~~1 per 20m2 GFA ...~~ No maximum... Minimum rate: 2 per 100m2 GFA'. [21/43 vol 2]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-153	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of maximum parking rules for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Amend 'All other retail (including taverns)' section as follows; ' ~~1 per 20m2 GFA ...~~ No maximum... Minimum rate: 2 per 100m2 GFA'. [21/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-153	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of maximum parking rules for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Amend 'All other retail (including taverns)' section as follows; ' ~~1 per 20m2 GFA ...~~ No maximum... Minimum rate: 2 per 100m2 GFA'. [21/43 vol 2]	3051	The Strand Trust	Oppose in Part
2968-153	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Retail section, as the provision of maximum parking rules for retail activities should be treated differently from other activities when seeking to restrict parking as a means of encouraging public transport. Amend 'All other retail (including taverns)' section as follows; ' ~~1 per 20m2 GFA ...~~ No maximum... Minimum rate: 2 per 100m2 GFA'. [21/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-154	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for studio or 1 bedroom dwellings, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 1 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-154	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for studio or 1 bedroom dwellings, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 1 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-154	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for studio or 1 bedroom dwellings, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 1 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-154	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for studio or 1 bedroom dwellings, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 1 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	3051	The Strand Trust	Oppose in Part
2968-154	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for studio or 1 bedroom dwellings, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 1 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-155	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for dwellings with 2 or more bedrooms, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 2 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Oppose in Part
2968-155	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for dwellings with 2 or more bedrooms, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 2 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-155	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for dwellings with 2 or more bedrooms, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 2 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-155	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for dwellings with 2 or more bedrooms, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 2 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	3051	The Strand Trust	Oppose in Part
2968-155	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 3 ' Parking rates for sites within the City Centre Fringe overlay and the Metropolitan, Town, Local Centres, Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zones', Residential section, to provide an appropriate minimum car parking rate for dwellings with 2 or more bedrooms, as follows; ' Minimum 1 per dwelling... Maximum 2 per dwelling '. [22/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-156	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 7 'Minimum loading space requirements', line 1 so that loading within a Vehicle Access Restriction or a Key Retail Frontage overlay is not prohibited, and the default minimum loading space rate of the underlying zone should apply. See submission for proposed changes. [23/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-156	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 7 'Minimum loading space requirements', line 1 so that loading within a Vehicle Access Restriction or a Key Retail Frontage overlay is not prohibited, and the default minimum loading space rate of the underlying zone should apply. See submission for proposed changes. [23/43 vol 2]	2797	Monaro Properties Limited and Takapuna Properties Limited	Support
2968-156	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 7 'Minimum loading space requirements', line 1 so that loading within a Vehicle Access Restriction or a Key Retail Frontage overlay is not prohibited, and the default minimum loading space rate of the underlying zone should apply. See submission for proposed changes. [23/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-156	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 7 'Minimum loading space requirements', line 1 so that loading within a Vehicle Access Restriction or a Key Retail Frontage overlay is not prohibited, and the default minimum loading space rate of the underlying zone should apply. See submission for proposed changes. [23/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-157	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 7 'Minimum loading space requirements', for Retail and industrial activities greater than or equal to 10,000m2, as the proposed minimum is too high for such activities. Amend as follows; 'Minimum rate; 3 plus 1 for every additional ~~7,500~~ 10,000m2'. [23/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-157	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 7 'Minimum loading space requirements', for Retail and industrial activities greater than or equal to 10,000m2, as the proposed minimum is too high for such activities. Amend as follows; 'Minimum rate; 3 plus 1 for every additional ~~7,500~~ 10,000m2'. [23/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-157	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 7 'Minimum loading space requirements', for Retail and industrial activities greater than or equal to 10,000m2, as the proposed minimum is too high for such activities. Amend as follows; 'Minimum rate; 3 plus 1 for every additional ~~7,500~~ 10,000m2'. [23/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-157	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.2 Number of parking and loading spaces, Table 7 'Minimum loading space requirements', for Retail and industrial activities greater than or equal to 10,000m2, as the proposed minimum is too high for such activities. Amend as follows; 'Minimum rate; 3 plus 1 for every additional ~~7,500~~ 10,000m2'. [23/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-158	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development controls 3.3 Design of parking and loading spaces, so it is clear that the prescribed dimensions are minimums and do not have to be met exactly. See submission for proposed changes. [23/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-158	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development controls 3.3 Design of parking and loading spaces, so it is clear that the prescribed dimensions are minimums and do not have to be met exactly. See submission for proposed changes. [23/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-159	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1)(d) to state that parking and loading spaces are not required to be kept clear when the activity they serve is not in operation, eg at night. Amend as follows; ' d. be kept clear and available at all times the activity is in operation, except where stacked parking is permitted by clause 3.3.3.1 below '. [23/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-159	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend development control 3.3(1)(d) to state that parking and loading spaces are not required to be kept clear when the activity they serve is not in operation, eg at night. Amend as follows; ' d. be kept clear and available at all times the activity is in operation, except where stacked parking is permitted by clause 3.3.3.1 below '. [23/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-160	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.3 Table 8 'Car parking space and manoeuvring dimensions', to add additional rows that enables parking dimensions for regular users (eg employees, residents and long term parking users) to be smaller than parking dimensions for casual users. See submission for proposed dimensions. [24/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-160	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.3 Table 8 'Car parking space and manoeuvring dimensions', to add additional rows that enables parking dimensions for regular users (eg employees, residents and long term parking users) to be smaller than parking dimensions for casual users. See submission for proposed dimensions. [24/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-161	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.3 development control 2 'Size and location of loading spaces' to correct a typographical error, as follows; ' 1.Every loading space must: a.comply with the dimensions given in Table ~~7~~ 9.'. [24/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-161	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.3 development control 2 'Size and location of loading spaces' to correct a typographical error, as follows; ' 1.Every loading space must: a.comply with the dimensions given in Table ~~7~~ 9.'. [24/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-162	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.3(5) development control 'Vertical clearance' to acknowledge that 4.5m vertical clearance is unnecessary in all retail areas and that 3.8m is more appropriate for retail loading areas. See submission for proposed changes. [25/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-162	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.3(5) development control 'Vertical clearance' to acknowledge that 4.5m vertical clearance is unnecessary in all retail areas and that 3.8m is more appropriate for retail loading areas. See submission for proposed changes. [25/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-162	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.3(5) development control 'Vertical clearance' to acknowledge that 4.5m vertical clearance is unnecessary in all retail areas and that 3.8m is more appropriate for retail loading areas. See submission for proposed changes. [25/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-163	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4(1) development control 'Vehicle Access Restrictions' to correct ambiguous wording, as it could be read as saying that even if only a small part of a frontage falls within a Key Retail Frontage overlay, then no vehicle crossings can locate anywhere on that site. Amend as follows; ' 1. Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across ~~any~~ that part of a site boundary which is subject to:'. [26/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-163	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4(1) development control 'Vehicle Access Restrictions' to correct ambiguous wording, as it could be read as saying that even if only a small part of a frontage falls within a Key Retail Frontage overlay, then no vehicle crossings can locate anywhere on that site. Amend as follows; ' 1. Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across ~~any~~ that part of a site boundary which is subject to:'. [26/43 vol 2]	2919	Argosy Property Limited	Support
2968-163	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4(1) development control 'Vehicle Access Restrictions' to correct ambiguous wording, as it could be read as saying that even if only a small part of a frontage falls within a Key Retail Frontage overlay, then no vehicle crossings can locate anywhere on that site. Amend as follows; ' 1. Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across ~~any~~ that part of a site boundary which is subject to:'. [26/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-163	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4(1) development control 'Vehicle Access Restrictions' to correct ambiguous wording, as it could be read as saying that even if only a small part of a frontage falls within a Key Retail Frontage overlay, then no vehicle crossings can locate anywhere on that site. Amend as follows; ' 1. Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across ~~any~~ that part of a site boundary which is subject to:'. [26/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-163	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4(1) development control 'Vehicle Access Restrictions' to correct ambiguous wording, as it could be read as saying that even if only a small part of a frontage falls within a Key Retail Frontage overlay, then no vehicle crossings can locate anywhere on that site. Amend as follows; ' 1. Vehicle Access Restrictions apply and vehicle crossings must not be constructed to provide vehicle access across ~~any~~ that part of a site boundary which is subject to:'. [26/43 vol 2]	3350	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-164	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4(1) development control 'Vehicle Access Restrictions' so that infringement of this development control is a restricted discretionary rather than a non-complying activity. [26/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-164	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4(1) development control 'Vehicle Access Restrictions' so that infringement of this development control is a restricted discretionary rather than a non-complying activity. [26/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-164	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4(1) development control 'Vehicle Access Restrictions' so that infringement of this development control is a restricted discretionary rather than a non-complying activity. [26/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-165	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' Heading row to state that the maximum vehicle crossing allowance is one per "frontage" rather than "site", as limiting access to one vehicle crossing per site is unnecessarily restrictive. Amend as follows; 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings per ~~site~~ frontage'. [26/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-165	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' Heading row to state that the maximum vehicle crossing allowance is one per "frontage" rather than "site", as limiting access to one vehicle crossing per site is unnecessarily restrictive. Amend as follows; 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings per ~~site~~ frontage'. [26/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-165	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' Heading row to state that the maximum vehicle crossing allowance is one per "frontage" rather than "site", as limiting access to one vehicle crossing per site is unnecessarily restrictive. Amend as follows; 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings per ~~site~~ frontage'. [26/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-165	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' Heading row to state that the maximum vehicle crossing allowance is one per "frontage" rather than "site", as limiting access to one vehicle crossing per site is unnecessarily restrictive. Amend as follows; 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings per ~~site~~ frontage'. [26/43 vol 2]	3350	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Support
2968-166	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' rows 1 and 2 to state 'that' part of a site, rather than 'any' to avoid any ambiguity in potentially applying a blanket restriction on access to any part of a site subject to a Vehicle Access Restriction. See submission for proposed change. [26/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-166	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' rows 1 and 2 to state 'that' part of a site, rather than 'any' to avoid any ambiguity in potentially applying a blanket restriction on access to any part of a site subject to a Vehicle Access Restriction. See submission for proposed change. [26/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-166	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' rows 1 and 2 to state 'that' part of a site, rather than 'any' to avoid any ambiguity in potentially applying a blanket restriction on access to any part of a site subject to a Vehicle Access Restriction. See submission for proposed change. [26/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-167	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' row 1 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed change. [26/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-167	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' row 1 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed change. [26/43 vol 2]	2797	Monaro Properties Limited and Takapuna Properties Limited	Support
2968-167	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' row 1 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed change. [26/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-167	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' row 1 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed change. [26/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-167	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4 Table 13 'Maximum number of vehicle crossings and separation distance between crossings' row 1 so that the non-complying status for access ways within a Key Retail frontage becomes a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed change. [26/43 vol 2]	3350	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Support
2968-168	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.4(2) 'Width and number of vehicle crossings' clause (3) development control, so that access from a non arterial road is not required, as follows; ' ~~3. Where a site has frontage to both an arterial and non arterial road, the vehicle crossing must be on the non arterial roa d.~~ '. [26/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-168	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.4(2) 'Width and number of vehicle crossings' clause (3) development control, so that access from a non arterial road is not required, as follows; ' ~~3. Where a site has frontage to both an arterial and non arterial road, the vehicle crossing must be on the non arterial roa d.~~ '. [26/43 vol 2]	2565	Crown Corporation Limited	Support
2968-168	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.4(2) 'Width and number of vehicle crossings' clause (3) development control, so that access from a non arterial road is not required, as follows; ' ~~3. Where a site has frontage to both an arterial and non arterial road, the vehicle crossing must be on the non arterial roa d.~~ '. [26/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-168	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Delete 3.4(2) 'Width and number of vehicle crossings' clause (3) development control, so that access from a non arterial road is not required, as follows; ' ~~3. Where a site has frontage to both an arterial and non arterial road, the vehicle crossing must be on the non arterial roa d.~~ '. [26/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-169	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4.3 Table 15 Vehicle crossing and vehicle access widths so that the maximum width of crossings at the site boundary in 'Centres, Mixed Use and all other zones not listed below' is 9m, not 6m. See submission for proposed change. [27/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-169	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4.3 Table 15 Vehicle crossing and vehicle access widths so that the maximum width of crossings at the site boundary in 'Centres, Mixed Use and all other zones not listed below' is 9m, not 6m. See submission for proposed change. [27/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-169	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4.3 Table 15 Vehicle crossing and vehicle access widths so that the maximum width of crossings at the site boundary in 'Centres, Mixed Use and all other zones not listed below' is 9m, not 6m. See submission for proposed change. [27/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-169	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4.3 Table 15 Vehicle crossing and vehicle access widths so that the maximum width of crossings at the site boundary in 'Centres, Mixed Use and all other zones not listed below' is 9m, not 6m. See submission for proposed change. [27/43 vol 2]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-169	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4.3 Table 15 Vehicle crossing and vehicle access widths so that the maximum width of crossings at the site boundary in 'Centres, Mixed Use and all other zones not listed below' is 9m, not 6m. See submission for proposed change. [27/43 vol 2]	2565	Crown Corporation Limited	Support
2968-169	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4.3 Table 15 Vehicle crossing and vehicle access widths so that the maximum width of crossings at the site boundary in 'Centres, Mixed Use and all other zones not listed below' is 9m, not 6m. See submission for proposed change. [27/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-169	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.3 Development controls H1.2.6 Special information requirements	Amend 3.4.3 Table 15 Vehicle crossing and vehicle access widths so that the maximum width of crossings at the site boundary in 'Centres, Mixed Use and all other zones not listed below' is 9m, not 6m. See submission for proposed change. [27/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-170	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(1)(a) matters of discretion to clarify the purpose of the assessment, as follows; 'a. Adequacy of the numbers of spaces available for the site and the proposal'. [28/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-170	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(1)(a) matters of discretion to clarify the purpose of the assessment, as follows; 'a. Adequacy of the numbers of spaces available for the site and the proposal'. [28/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-170	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(1)(a) matters of discretion to clarify the purpose of the assessment, as follows; 'a. Adequacy of the numbers of spaces available for the site and the proposal'. [28/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-170	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(1)(a) matters of discretion to clarify the purpose of the assessment, as follows; 'a. Adequacy of the numbers of spaces available for the site and the proposal'. [28/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-171	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2)(a) matters of discretion to clarify the purpose of the assessment, as follows; 'a. Adequacy of the number of parking spaces available for the ~~site and the~~ proposal'. [28/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-171	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2)(a) matters of discretion to clarify the purpose of the assessment, as follows; 'a. Adequacy of the number of parking spaces available for the ~~site and the~~ proposal'. [28/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-171	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2)(a) matters of discretion to clarify the purpose of the assessment, as follows; 'a. Adequacy of the number of parking spaces available for the ~~site and the~~ proposal'. [28/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-171	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2)(a) matters of discretion to clarify the purpose of the assessment, as follows; 'a. Adequacy of the number of parking spaces available for the ~~site and the~~ proposal'. [28/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-172	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2) to add new matters of discretion that considers the parking supply available being inappropriately utilised by neighbouring retailers who elect not to provide their own parking'. Amend as follows; 'aa. Effects on adjacent activities...bb. Sufficiency and suitability of alternate supply '. [28/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-172	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2) to add new matters of discretion that considers the parking supply available being inappropriately utilised by neighbouring retailers who elect not to provide their own parking'. Amend as follows; 'aa. Effects on adjacent activities...bb. Sufficiency and suitability of alternate supply '. [28/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-172	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2) to add new matters of discretion that considers the parking supply available being inappropriately utilised by neighbouring retailers who elect not to provide their own parking'. Amend as follows; 'aa. Effects on adjacent activities...bb. Sufficiency and suitability of alternate supply '. [28/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-172	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2) to add new matters of discretion that considers the parking supply available being inappropriately utilised by neighbouring retailers who elect not to provide their own parking'. Amend as follows; 'aa. Effects on adjacent activities...bb. Sufficiency and suitability of alternate supply '. [28/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-172	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(2) to add new matters of discretion that considers the parking supply available being inappropriately utilised by neighbouring retailers who elect not to provide their own parking'. Amend as follows; 'aa. Effects on adjacent activities...bb. Sufficiency and suitability of alternate supply '. [28/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-173	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(5) to provide matters of discretion for vehicle crossings within a Key Retail Frontage, as follows ' 5. Construction or use of a vehicle crossing where a Vehicle Access Restriction or Key Retail Frontage applies under clause 3.4.1.1, 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.1.3 '. [28/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-173	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(5) to provide matters of discretion for vehicle crossings within a Key Retail Frontage, as follows ' 5. Construction or use of a vehicle crossing where a Vehicle Access Restriction or Key Retail Frontage applies under clause 3.4.1.1, 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.1.3 '. [28/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-173	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.1(5) to provide matters of discretion for vehicle crossings within a Key Retail Frontage, as follows ' 5. Construction or use of a vehicle crossing where a Vehicle Access Restriction or Key Retail Frontage applies under clause 3.4.1.1, 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.1.3 '. [28/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-174	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1) assessment criteria so it is clear that the purpose of assessment criteria is not for the activity to meet all criteria listed. Amend as follows; 'When considering an application for a restricted discretionary activity for a proposal listed below, the council will consider the ~~whether the~~ proposal ~~meets~~ against the criteria listed below.'. [28/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-174	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1) assessment criteria so it is clear that the purpose of assessment criteria is not for the activity to meet all criteria listed. Amend as follows; 'When considering an application for a restricted discretionary activity for a proposal listed below, the council will consider the ~~whether the~~ proposal ~~meets~~ against the criteria listed below.'. [28/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-175	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(a) assessment criteria so that efficiency is not included as part of the assessment for the number of parking spaces. Amend as follows; "a. the additional floorspace or land used for parking will not undermine ~~efficient use of land and~~ the growth and intensification provided...' . [29/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-175	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(a) assessment criteria so that efficiency is not included as part of the assessment for the number of parking spaces. Amend as follows; "a. the additional floorspace or land used for parking will not undermine ~~efficient use of land and~~ the growth and intensification provided...' . [29/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-176	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(b) assessment criteria to remove the term 'unique', as follows; 'b. The ~~unique~~ nature and/or operation of the proposed activities on the site requires additional car parking spaces.'. [29/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-176	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(b) assessment criteria to remove the term 'unique', as follows; 'b. The ~~unique~~ nature and/or operation of the proposed activities on the site requires additional car parking spaces.'. [29/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-177	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(c) assessment criteria as follows; 'c. the effect of the vehicle movements associated with the additional parking spaces ~~will not have a more than minor adverse effect~~ on the safe and efficient operation... '. [29/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-177	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(c) assessment criteria as follows; 'c. the effect of the vehicle movements associated with the additional parking spaces ~~will not have a more than minor adverse effect~~ on the safe and efficient operation... '. [29/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-178	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(d) assessment criteria to avoid on-street parking which may affect the amenity of residential zones and use of on-street parking by residents and their visitors, as follows; 'd. Whether there is insufficient alternative and practical parking in the surrounding area, including on street and public parking, to sufficiently provide the additional parking sought for the proposal, which does not detract from the residential use and amenity of the surrounding streets '. [29/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-178	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(d) assessment criteria to avoid on-street parking which may affect the amenity of residential zones and use of on-street parking by residents and their visitors, as follows; 'd. Whether there is insufficient alternative and practical parking in the surrounding area, including on street and public parking, to sufficiently provide the additional parking sought for the proposal, which does not detract from the residential use and amenity of the surrounding streets '. [29/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-179	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(e) assessment criteria as follows; 'e. Whether there is a lack of access... '. [29/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-179	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(e) assessment criteria as follows; 'e. Whether there is a lack of access... '. [29/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-179	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(e) assessment criteria as follows; 'e. Whether there is a lack of access... '. [29/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-180	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(f) assessment criteria as follows; ' f. the ~~applicant has demonstrated that it is not the~~ practicability~~e~~ of ~~to~~ providing~~e~~ the additional parking by entering into a shared parking arrangement with another site or sites in the immediate vicinity'. [30/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-180	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(f) assessment criteria as follows; ' f. the ~~applicant has demonstrated that it is not the~~ practicability~~e~~ of ~~to~~ providing~~e~~ the additional parking by entering into a shared parking arrangement with another site or sites in the immediate vicinity'. [30/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-181	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(g) assessment criteria as follows; ' g. the ~~applicant has demonstrated that the~~ demand for the additional parking...or activities onsite that will minimise the need....'. [30/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-181	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(g) assessment criteria as follows; ' g. the ~~applicant has demonstrated that the~~ demand for the additional parking...or activities onsite that will minimise the need....'. [30/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-181	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(g) assessment criteria as follows; ' g. the ~~applicant has demonstrated that the~~ demand for the additional parking...or activities onsite that will minimise the need....'. [30/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-181	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1)(g) assessment criteria as follows; ' g. the ~~applicant has demonstrated that the~~ demand for the additional parking...or activities onsite that will minimise the need....'. [30/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-182	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1) by adding new assessment criteria (h) to address those circumstances when parking maxima are exceeded, as follows; ' h. the extent that not being able to provide more parking than is allowed for by the parking maximums will likely generate undesirable on-street parking demands, particularly in surrounding residential streets; and/or will result in adverse effects from car parking overspill impacting on the safe and efficient operation of the adjoining transport network.'. [30/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-182	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1) by adding new assessment criteria (h) to address those circumstances when parking maxima are exceeded, as follows; ' h. the extent that not being able to provide more parking than is allowed for by the parking maximums will likely generate undesirable on-street parking demands, particularly in surrounding residential streets; and/or will result in adverse effects from car parking overspill impacting on the safe and efficient operation of the adjoining transport network.'. [30/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-182	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1) by adding new assessment criteria (h) to address those circumstances when parking maxima are exceeded, as follows; ' h. the extent that not being able to provide more parking than is allowed for by the parking maximums will likely generate undesirable on-street parking demands, particularly in surrounding residential streets; and/or will result in adverse effects from car parking overspill impacting on the safe and efficient operation of the adjoining transport network.'. [30/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-183	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1) by adding new assessment criteria (i) to address those circumstances when parking maxima are exceeded, as follows; ' i. the extent that other sources of private car parking in the area (for example shopping centre car parks) would be inappropriately used if actual likely parking demands are not able to be met by the maximum parking allowances that are provided.'. [30/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-183	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1) by adding new assessment criteria (i) to address those circumstances when parking maxima are exceeded, as follows; ' i. the extent that other sources of private car parking in the area (for example shopping centre car parks) would be inappropriately used if actual likely parking demands are not able to be met by the maximum parking allowances that are provided.'. [30/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-183	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(1) by adding new assessment criteria (i) to address those circumstances when parking maxima are exceeded, as follows; ' i. the extent that other sources of private car parking in the area (for example shopping centre car parks) would be inappropriately used if actual likely parking demands are not able to be met by the maximum parking allowances that are provided.'. [30/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-184	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(4)(a) assessment criteria to improve the syntax of this criterion, as follows; ' a. The effect of the loading arrangements proposed on the safe and efficient operation of adjacent transport network...'. [31/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-184	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(4)(a) assessment criteria to improve the syntax of this criterion, as follows; ' a. The effect of the loading arrangements proposed on the safe and efficient operation of adjacent transport network...'. [31/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-184	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(4)(a) assessment criteria to improve the syntax of this criterion, as follows; ' a. The effect of the loading arrangements proposed on the safe and efficient operation of adjacent transport network...'. [31/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-185	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(a) and (b) so that the assessment focuses on the effects of the overall proposed parking, loading area and/or access, not the modification. See submission for proposed changes. [31/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-185	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(a) and (b) so that the assessment focuses on the effects of the overall proposed parking, loading area and/or access, not the modification. See submission for proposed changes. [31/43 vol 2]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-185	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(a) and (b) so that the assessment focuses on the effects of the overall proposed parking, loading area and/or access, not the modification. See submission for proposed changes. [31/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-185	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(a) and (b) so that the assessment focuses on the effects of the overall proposed parking, loading area and/or access, not the modification. See submission for proposed changes. [31/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-185	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(a) and (b) so that the assessment focuses on the effects of the overall proposed parking, loading area and/or access, not the modification. See submission for proposed changes. [31/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-186	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(a)(iv) assessment criteria to consider footpaths, as follows 'iv. existing community or public infrastructure located in the adjoining road, such as bus stops, bus lanes, footpaths and cycleways.'. [31/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-186	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(a)(iv) assessment criteria to consider footpaths, as follows 'iv. existing community or public infrastructure located in the adjoining road, such as bus stops, bus lanes, footpaths and cycleways.'. [31/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-187	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(c)(ii) bullet point 1 to correct a drafting error, as follows; 'comply with the tracking curve applicable to the largest ~~site~~ vehicle anticipated to use the site regularly'. [32/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-187	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(5)(c)(ii) bullet point 1 to correct a drafting error, as follows; 'comply with the tracking curve applicable to the largest ~~site~~ vehicle anticipated to use the site regularly'. [32/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-188	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6) assessment criteria to provide for infringement of 3.4.1.1 [as contained in other submission points] where a vehicle crossing is within a Key Retail Frontage overlay, as follows; 'Construction or use of a vehicle crossing where a Vehicle Access Restriction or a Key Retail Frontage overlay applies... a. This applies where a Vehicle Access Restriction is identified in 3.4.1.1, 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.1.3...'. [32/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-188	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6) assessment criteria to provide for infringement of 3.4.1.1 [as contained in other submission points] where a vehicle crossing is within a Key Retail Frontage overlay, as follows; 'Construction or use of a vehicle crossing where a Vehicle Access Restriction or a Key Retail Frontage overlay applies... a. This applies where a Vehicle Access Restriction is identified in 3.4.1.1, 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.1.3...'. [32/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-188	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6) assessment criteria to provide for infringement of 3.4.1.1 [as contained in other submission points] where a vehicle crossing is within a Key Retail Frontage overlay, as follows; 'Construction or use of a vehicle crossing where a Vehicle Access Restriction or a Key Retail Frontage overlay applies... a. This applies where a Vehicle Access Restriction is identified in 3.4.1.1, 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.1.3...'. [32/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-189	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(i) assessment criteria as follows; 'i. the effect of the location and design of the access on the safe and efficient operation...'. [32/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-189	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(i) assessment criteria as follows; 'i. the effect of the location and design of the access on the safe and efficient operation...'. [32/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-189	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(i) assessment criteria as follows; 'i. the effect of the location and design of the access on the safe and efficient operation...'. [32/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-190	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(ii) assessment criteria as follows; 'ii. the ~~access will not have an adverse~~ effect on pedestrian or streetscape amenity...'. [32/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-190	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(ii) assessment criteria as follows; 'ii. the ~~access will not have an adverse~~ effect on pedestrian or streetscape amenity...'. [32/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-190	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(ii) assessment criteria as follows; 'ii. the ~~access will not have an adverse~~ effect on pedestrian or streetscape amenity...'. [32/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-191	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(iii) first bullet point as follows; 'whether the site can be reasonably served by different access arrangements ...'. [33/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-191	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(iii) first bullet point as follows; 'whether the site can be reasonably served by different access arrangements ...'. [33/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-191	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	H1.2.4 - 5 Assessment	Amend 5.2(6)(a)(iii) first bullet point as follows; 'whether the site can be reasonably served by different access arrangements ...'. [33/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-192	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1 because it focuses solely on avoidance, as follows; '1. New development vulnerable to the adverse effects of flooding ~~does not occur in areas at~~ either avoids or mitigates the risk of flooding.' [page 33/43 vol 2]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
2968-192	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1 because it focuses solely on avoidance, as follows; '1. New development vulnerable to the adverse effects of flooding ~~does not occur in areas at~~ either avoids or mitigates the risk of flooding.' [page 33/43 vol 2]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2968-192	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1 because it focuses solely on avoidance, as follows; '1. New development vulnerable to the adverse effects of flooding ~~does not occur in areas at~~ either avoids or mitigates the risk of flooding.' [page 33/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-192	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 1 because it focuses solely on avoidance, as follows; '1. New development vulnerable to the adverse effects of flooding ~~does not occur in areas at~~ either avoids or mitigates the risk of flooding.' [page 33/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-193	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 2 to ensure that only significant redevelopment is targeted and to align the objective with the function of territorial authorities under the Act. Amend as follows; ' 2. Development or significant redevelopment necessary in existing flood prone areas is designed and managed to ~~prevent~~ avoid or mitigate any increase in flood-related risks.'.[page 33/43 vol 2]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support in Part
2968-193	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 2 to ensure that only significant redevelopment is targeted and to align the objective with the function of territorial authorities under the Act. Amend as follows; ' 2. Development or significant redevelopment necessary in existing flood prone areas is designed and managed to ~~prevent~~ avoid or mitigate any increase in flood-related risks.'.[page 33/43 vol 2]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2968-193	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 2 to ensure that only significant redevelopment is targeted and to align the objective with the function of territorial authorities under the Act. Amend as follows; ' 2. Development or significant redevelopment necessary in existing flood prone areas is designed and managed to ~~prevent~~ avoid or mitigate any increase in flood-related risks.'.[page 33/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-193	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Objective 2 to ensure that only significant redevelopment is targeted and to align the objective with the function of territorial authorities under the Act. Amend as follows; ' 2. Development or significant redevelopment necessary in existing flood prone areas is designed and managed to ~~prevent~~ avoid or mitigate any increase in flood-related risks.'.[page 33/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-194	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 4 to align the policy with the function of territorial authorities under the Act. Amend as follows; ' 4. ~~Allow~~ Enable activities that are less vulnerable to the effects of flooding, such as commercial and industrial development, to locate in 1 per cent AEP flood plains within existing urban areas ~~only~~ where that activity ~~does not increase risks to people or property of~~ avoids or mitigates adverse effects from flooding.'. [page 33/43 vol 2]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support in Part
2968-194	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 4 to align the policy with the function of territorial authorities under the Act. Amend as follows; ' 4. ~~Allow~~ Enable activities that are less vulnerable to the effects of flooding, such as commercial and industrial development, to locate in 1 per cent AEP flood plains within existing urban areas ~~only~~ where that activity ~~does not increase risks to people or property of~~ avoids or mitigates adverse effects from flooding.'. [page 33/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-194	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 4 to align the policy with the function of territorial authorities under the Act. Amend as follows; ' 4. ~~Allow~~ Enable activities that are less vulnerable to the effects of flooding, such as commercial and industrial development, to locate in 1 per cent AEP flood plains within existing urban areas ~~only~~ where that activity ~~does not increase risks to people or property of~~ avoids or mitigates adverse effects from flooding.'. [page 33/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-195	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5 as it fails to provide any direction or certainty, makes no sense and does not appear to link to any objectives. [page 33/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-195	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 5 as it fails to provide any direction or certainty, makes no sense and does not appear to link to any objectives. [page 33/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-196	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 6 as it fails to provide any direction or certainty, makes no sense and does not appear to link to any objectives. [page 34/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-196	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Delete Policy 6 as it fails to provide any direction or certainty, makes no sense and does not appear to link to any objectives. [page 34/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-197	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 20 to recognise that sometimes it is not possible or practicable to retain existing overland flow paths, as follows; 20. Require overland flow paths to ~~remain~~ be ~~unobstructed by development and~~ able to convey storm water runoff safely into the reticulated stormwater network, waterways or to the CMA.'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-197	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 20 to recognise that sometimes it is not possible or practicable to retain existing overland flow paths, as follows; 20. Require overland flow paths to ~~remain~~ be ~~unobstructed by development and~~ able to convey storm water runoff safely into the reticulated stormwater network, waterways or to the CMA.'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-197	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 20 to recognise that sometimes it is not possible or practicable to retain existing overland flow paths, as follows; 20. Require overland flow paths to ~~remain~~ be ~~unobstructed by development and~~ able to convey storm water runoff safely into the reticulated stormwater network, waterways or to the CMA.'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-198	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 22 to strengthen the recognition that sometimes it is not possible or practicable to avoid the modification of overland flow paths. Amend as follows; ' 22. Avoid where practicable building over, and the piping of, overland flow paths...'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
2968-198	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 22 to strengthen the recognition that sometimes it is not possible or practicable to avoid the modification of overland flow paths. Amend as follows; ' 22. Avoid where practicable building over, and the piping of, overland flow paths...'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-198	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	C5.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend Policy 22 to strengthen the recognition that sometimes it is not possible or practicable to avoid the modification of overland flow paths. Amend as follows; ' 22. Avoid where practicable building over, and the piping of, overland flow paths...'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-199	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend all references that refer to 'buildings" to 'new buildings'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-199	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend all references that refer to 'buildings" to 'new buildings'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support in Part
2968-199	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend all references that refer to 'buildings" to 'new buildings'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-199	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend all references that refer to 'buildings" to 'new buildings'. [page 34/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-200	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend Activity Table line 6 under 'Activities within overland flow paths' to ensure that consent is required only if there are off-site effects, as follows; ' Any new buildings or structures, including retaining walls (but excluding permitted fences) located within or over an overland flow path that divert the entry or exit point or reduce the capacity of the site '. [page 35/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-200	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend Activity Table line 6 under 'Activities within overland flow paths' to ensure that consent is required only if there are off-site effects, as follows; ' Any new buildings or structures, including retaining walls (but excluding permitted fences) located within or over an overland flow path that divert the entry or exit point or reduce the capacity of the site '. [page 35/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-200	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend Activity Table line 6 under 'Activities within overland flow paths' to ensure that consent is required only if there are off-site effects, as follows; ' Any new buildings or structures, including retaining walls (but excluding permitted fences) located within or over an overland flow path that divert the entry or exit point or reduce the capacity of the site '. [page 35/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-201	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete Activity table lines under 'Activities in Flood prone areas' as these areas should not be the basis for controlling building levels, as the level of flooding predicted for flood prone areas may not occur. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-201	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete Activity table lines under 'Activities in Flood prone areas' as these areas should not be the basis for controlling building levels, as the level of flooding predicted for flood prone areas may not occur. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-201	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete Activity table lines under 'Activities in Flood prone areas' as these areas should not be the basis for controlling building levels, as the level of flooding predicted for flood prone areas may not occur. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-201	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete Activity table lines under 'Activities in Flood prone areas' as these areas should not be the basis for controlling building levels, as the level of flooding predicted for flood prone areas may not occur. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-202	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 10 to clarify that the intent of the policy is to address effects of stormwater runoff from new development and intensification. See submission for proposed changes. [36/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-202	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 10 to clarify that the intent of the policy is to address effects of stormwater runoff from new development and intensification. See submission for proposed changes. [36/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-203	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 10 (a), (b) and (c) as follows; 'a. requiring measures to be adopted to ~~reduce~~ address contaminant loads, with a focus on activities that have the potential to generate high contaminant concentrations and loads...b. implementing measures to ~~reduce~~ mitigate the discharge of gross stormwater pollutants, such as litter, in areas where the generation of these may be an issue...c. requiring measures to be adopted to ~~reduce~~ manage the peak flow rate and volume of stormwater flows:...'. [36/43 vol 2]	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Support
2968-203	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 10 (a), (b) and (c) as follows; 'a. requiring measures to be adopted to ~~reduce~~ address contaminant loads, with a focus on activities that have the potential to generate high contaminant concentrations and loads...b. implementing measures to ~~reduce~~ mitigate the discharge of gross stormwater pollutants, such as litter, in areas where the generation of these may be an issue...c. requiring measures to be adopted to ~~reduce~~ manage the peak flow rate and volume of stormwater flows:...'. [36/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-203	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 10 (a), (b) and (c) as follows; 'a. requiring measures to be adopted to ~~reduce~~ address contaminant loads, with a focus on activities that have the potential to generate high contaminant concentrations and loads...b. implementing measures to ~~reduce~~ mitigate the discharge of gross stormwater pollutants, such as litter, in areas where the generation of these may be an issue...c. requiring measures to be adopted to ~~reduce~~ manage the peak flow rate and volume of stormwater flows:...'. [36/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-204	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 12 to address contaminant runoff solely from new contaminant generating activities, as follows; '12. Ensure the concentration of contaminants in stormwater runoff from new or redeveloped high contaminant- generating activities are managed to ~~l evels established to reduce existing and prevent or minimise~~ avoid, remedy or mitigate new adverse effects on water and sediment quality in freshwater systems and coastal waters.'.[36/43 vol 2]	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Support
2968-204	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 12 to address contaminant runoff solely from new contaminant generating activities, as follows; '12. Ensure the concentration of contaminants in stormwater runoff from new or redeveloped high contaminant- generating activities are managed to ~~l evels established to reduce existing and prevent or minimise~~ avoid, remedy or mitigate new adverse effects on water and sediment quality in freshwater systems and coastal waters.'.[36/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-204	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 12 to address contaminant runoff solely from new contaminant generating activities, as follows; '12. Ensure the concentration of contaminants in stormwater runoff from new or redeveloped high contaminant- generating activities are managed to ~~l evels established to reduce existing and prevent or minimise~~ avoid, remedy or mitigate new adverse effects on water and sediment quality in freshwater systems and coastal waters.'.[36/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2666	I and M Selak Limited	Support
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2709	Westgate Joint Venture	Support
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2726	Nuich Trust	Support
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2728	Atlas Concrete Limited (Warkworth)	Support
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2729	Atlas Concrete Limited (Kumeu)	Support
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2731	Atlas Concrete Limited (Silverdale)	Support
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2736	Atlas Concrete Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-205	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 17,18 Groundwater soakage	Amend Policy 13 to reflect that stormwater quality controls should only appropriately be applied in the case of a change in land use activities or the removal of existing stormwater controls. Amend as follows; 13. Require stormwater quality controls to be applied to high contaminant generating activities at the time of their construction~~, initiation on an existing developed site~~ in response to a change to high contaminant generating activities or the removal of existing stormwater quality controls associated with ~~or~~ site re--development.'. [37/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-206	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 15 so that on-site treatment is not necessarily preferred over other stormwater management options. Amend as follows '15. Require any necessary stormwater quality or flow management to be ~~achieved on--site unless there is a downstream communal device or facility designed to cater for the site's stormwater runoff that will achieve the same or better level of stormwater management performance~~ selected based on best practicable option approach (BPO) as set out in section 2 of the RMA.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support
2968-206	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 15 so that on-site treatment is not necessarily preferred over other stormwater management options. Amend as follows '15. Require any necessary stormwater quality or flow management to be ~~achieved on--site unless there is a downstream communal device or facility designed to cater for the site's stormwater runoff that will achieve the same or better level of stormwater management performance~~ selected based on best practicable option approach (BPO) as set out in section 2 of the RMA.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Support
2968-206	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 15 so that on-site treatment is not necessarily preferred over other stormwater management options. Amend as follows '15. Require any necessary stormwater quality or flow management to be ~~achieved on--site unless there is a downstream communal device or facility designed to cater for the site's stormwater runoff that will achieve the same or better level of stormwater management performance~~ selected based on best practicable option approach (BPO) as set out in section 2 of the RMA.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-206	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Water quality and integrated management - objectives & policies	Policies 9-16 Stormwater management	Amend Policy 15 so that on-site treatment is not necessarily preferred over other stormwater management options. Amend as follows '15. Require any necessary stormwater quality or flow management to be ~~achieved on--site unless there is a downstream communal device or facility designed to cater for the site's stormwater runoff that will achieve the same or better level of stormwater management performance~~ selected based on best practicable option approach (BPO) as set out in section 2 of the RMA.'. [37/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-207	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14 Introduction	Amend Introduction by deleting the paragraphs on stormwater management flow and quality rules. [37/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-207	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14 Introduction	Amend Introduction by deleting the paragraphs on stormwater management flow and quality rules. [37/43 vol 2]	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-207	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14 Introduction	Amend Introduction by deleting the paragraphs on stormwater management flow and quality rules. [37/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-208	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14 Introduction	Amend Introduction, first [infer fourth] paragraph, to focus on the control of new impervious areas or on changes in land use activities which may result in additional contaminant runoff. Amend as follows; ' The diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas existing at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan are permitted provided that they ~~and their associated effects~~ do not increase above those that existed on that date.'. [37/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-208	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14 Introduction	Amend Introduction, first [infer fourth] paragraph, to focus on the control of new impervious areas or on changes in land use activities which may result in additional contaminant runoff. Amend as follows; ' The diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas existing at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan are permitted provided that they ~~and their associated effects~~ do not increase above those that existed on that date.'. [37/43 vol 2]	3031	Bates Industrial Finishes Limited	Support
2968-208	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14 Introduction	Amend Introduction, first [infer fourth] paragraph, to focus on the control of new impervious areas or on changes in land use activities which may result in additional contaminant runoff. Amend as follows; ' The diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas existing at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan are permitted provided that they ~~and their associated effects~~ do not increase above those that existed on that date.'. [37/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-209	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 12(f) as it is inappropriate to refer to such principles in the PAUP, as follows; ' ~~f. design principles for streets and the street design process.~~ '. [7/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-209	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	C1.1 Infrastructure background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 12(f) as it is inappropriate to refer to such principles in the PAUP, as follows; ' ~~f. design principles for streets and the street design process.~~ '. [7/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-210	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table line 1 under 'Existing impervious areas, as follows; 'Impervious areas existing or consented at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan'. [38/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-210	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table line 1 under 'Existing impervious areas, as follows; 'Impervious areas existing or consented at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan'. [38/43 vol 2]	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-210	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table line 1 under 'Existing impervious areas, as follows; 'Impervious areas existing or consented at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan'. [38/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-210	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table line 1 under 'Existing impervious areas, as follows; 'Impervious areas existing or consented at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan'. [38/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-211	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table line 1 under 'All other diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas', so that 'The diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas not otherwise authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules' is a restricted discretionary activity, not discretionary. [38/43 vol 2]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-211	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table line 1 under 'All other diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas', so that 'The diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas not otherwise authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules' is a restricted discretionary activity, not discretionary. [38/43 vol 2]	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Support
2968-211	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table line 1 under 'All other diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas', so that 'The diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas not otherwise authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules' is a restricted discretionary activity, not discretionary. [38/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-211	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend Activity Table line 1 under 'All other diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas', so that 'The diversion and discharge of stormwater from impervious areas not otherwise authorised by stormwater discharge and diversion rules' is a restricted discretionary activity, not discretionary. [38/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-212	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Delete entire Stormwater Management (flow) section and cross references to this section. [38/43 vol 2]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-212	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Delete entire Stormwater Management (flow) section and cross references to this section. [38/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-212	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Delete entire Stormwater Management (flow) section and cross references to this section. [38/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-213	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete entire Stormwater Management (Quality) section and cross references to this section. [38/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-213	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete entire Stormwater Management (Quality) section and cross references to this section. [38/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-214	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9 about applications for consents or designations for a high traffic generating activity. [40/43 vol 2]	2368	New Zealand Steel Limited	Support
2968-214	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9 about applications for consents or designations for a high traffic generating activity. [40/43 vol 2]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-214	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9 about applications for consents or designations for a high traffic generating activity. [40/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-214	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9 about applications for consents or designations for a high traffic generating activity. [40/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-215	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend first paragraph of Background as follows; 'Signs have ~~an important~~ critical role in providing identification of places or buildings...'. [40/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-215	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend first paragraph of Background as follows; 'Signs have ~~an important~~ critical role in providing identification of places or buildings...'. [40/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-216	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Delete Policy 7 about billboards, as follows; '~~7 . Take an approach to billboards that recognise the changes likely to occur in the form and use of urban and rural areas by limiting the duration of consents for billboards.~~ '. [40/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-216	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Delete Policy 7 about billboards, as follows; '~~7 . Take an approach to billboards that recognise the changes likely to occur in the form and use of urban and rural areas by limiting the duration of consents for billboards.~~ '. [40/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-217	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table so that the activity status for 'Billboards on a street facing building facade' in Town Centres and Metropolitan Centres are restricted discretionary activities (from discretionary and non-complying respectively). See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-217	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table so that the activity status for 'Billboards on a street facing building facade' in Town Centres and Metropolitan Centres are restricted discretionary activities (from discretionary and non-complying respectively). See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-218	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table so that the activity status for 'All free standing billboards' in Metropolitan Centres is changed from a non-complying activity to a discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	2012	Blind Foundation	Oppose in Part
2968-218	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table so that the activity status for 'All free standing billboards' in Metropolitan Centres is changed from a non-complying activity to a discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-218	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table so that the activity status for 'All free standing billboards' in Metropolitan Centres is changed from a non-complying activity to a discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-219	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table so that the activity status for 'Billboards on a side or rear building facade' in Metropolitan Centres is changed from a discretionary activity to a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-219	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table so that the activity status for 'Billboards on a side or rear building facade' in Metropolitan Centres is changed from a discretionary activity to a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-220	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table provision 1 so that permitted signs do not require consent as part of a wider application. See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-220	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	C7.4/H6.3 Signs		Amend Activity Table provision 1 so that permitted signs do not require consent as part of a wider application. See submission for proposed changes. [41/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-221	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Amend Policy 3 to remove the prescriptive design elements. See submission for proposed changes. [42/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-221	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Amend Policy 3 to remove the prescriptive design elements. See submission for proposed changes. [42/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-221	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Amend Policy 3 to remove the prescriptive design elements. See submission for proposed changes. [42/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-222	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete entire Sustainable development section. [43/43 vol 2]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-222	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete entire Sustainable development section. [43/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-222	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Delete entire Sustainable development section. [43/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-223	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Retain 5.2(8) matters of discretion for pedestrian overpasses and underpasses. [8/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-223	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Infrastructure	H1.1 Network Utilities and Energy	H1.1.4-6 Assessment	Retain 5.2(8) matters of discretion for pedestrian overpasses and underpasses. [8/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-224	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend Activity Table line 6 under 'Activities within overland flow paths' so that new buildings or structures located within an overland flow path are restricted discretionary activities, not discretionary activities. See submission for proposed change. [35/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-224	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend Activity Table line 6 under 'Activities within overland flow paths' so that new buildings or structures located within an overland flow path are restricted discretionary activities, not discretionary activities. See submission for proposed change. [35/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-224	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend Activity Table line 6 under 'Activities within overland flow paths' so that new buildings or structures located within an overland flow path are restricted discretionary activities, not discretionary activities. See submission for proposed change. [35/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-225	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete 2.1(5) development controls concerning 'Activities in flood prone areas'. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-225	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete 2.1(5) development controls concerning 'Activities in flood prone areas'. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support
2968-225	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete 2.1(5) development controls concerning 'Activities in flood prone areas'. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-225	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete 2.1(5) development controls concerning 'Activities in flood prone areas'. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-225	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete 2.1(5) development controls concerning 'Activities in flood prone areas'. See submission for proposed changes. [35/43 vol 2]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-226	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction paragraph two as follows; 'These zones reinforce the critical role of centres as focal points for business and community investment, intensification and expansion. The zones also ~~and~~ recognise the need to provide suitable locations for specific industries. '. [6/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-226	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction paragraph two as follows; 'These zones reinforce the critical role of centres as focal points for business and community investment, intensification and expansion. The zones also ~~and~~ recognise the need to provide suitable locations for specific industries. '. [6/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-226	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction paragraph two as follows; 'These zones reinforce the critical role of centres as focal points for business and community investment, intensification and expansion. The zones also ~~and~~ recognise the need to provide suitable locations for specific industries. '. [6/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-226	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction paragraph two as follows; 'These zones reinforce the critical role of centres as focal points for business and community investment, intensification and expansion. The zones also ~~and~~ recognise the need to provide suitable locations for specific industries. '. [6/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-227	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, first sentence, to lessen the PAUP's undue emphasis on public transport, as follows ' The centre zones provide for activities that support a public realm of well connected streets, a high-quality pedestrian environment, and efficient and accessible ~~public~~ transport networks.'. [6/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-227	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, first sentence, to lessen the PAUP's undue emphasis on public transport, as follows ' The centre zones provide for activities that support a public realm of well connected streets, a high-quality pedestrian environment, and efficient and accessible ~~public~~ transport networks.'. [6/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-227	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, first sentence, to lessen the PAUP's undue emphasis on public transport, as follows ' The centre zones provide for activities that support a public realm of well connected streets, a high-quality pedestrian environment, and efficient and accessible ~~public~~ transport networks.'. [6/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-227	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, first sentence, to lessen the PAUP's undue emphasis on public transport, as follows ' The centre zones provide for activities that support a public realm of well connected streets, a high-quality pedestrian environment, and efficient and accessible ~~public~~ transport networks.'. [6/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-227	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, first sentence, to lessen the PAUP's undue emphasis on public transport, as follows ' The centre zones provide for activities that support a public realm of well connected streets, a high-quality pedestrian environment, and efficient and accessible ~~public~~ transport networks.'. [6/72 vol 3]	2919	Argosy Property Limited	Support
2968-227	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, first sentence, to lessen the PAUP's undue emphasis on public transport, as follows ' The centre zones provide for activities that support a public realm of well connected streets, a high-quality pedestrian environment, and efficient and accessible ~~public~~ transport networks.'. [6/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-227	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, first sentence, to lessen the PAUP's undue emphasis on public transport, as follows ' The centre zones provide for activities that support a public realm of well connected streets, a high-quality pedestrian environment, and efficient and accessible ~~public~~ transport networks.'. [6/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-228	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, third sentence, to recognise that centres must be able to grow, as follows ' The City Centre, Metropolitan Centre and Town Centre zones are the primary location for growth and intensification of ~~commercial~~ business activities. '. [6/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-228	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, third sentence, to recognise that centres must be able to grow, as follows ' The City Centre, Metropolitan Centre and Town Centre zones are the primary location for growth and intensification of ~~commercial~~ business activities. '. [6/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-228	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, third sentence, to recognise that centres must be able to grow, as follows ' The City Centre, Metropolitan Centre and Town Centre zones are the primary location for growth and intensification of ~~commercial~~ business activities. '. [6/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-228	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 3, third sentence, to recognise that centres must be able to grow, as follows ' The City Centre, Metropolitan Centre and Town Centre zones are the primary location for growth and intensification of ~~commercial~~ business activities. '. [6/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-229	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 5, so that residential density in centres does not impact on the ability for centres to provide for Auckland's commercial growth. Amend as follows; 'The centres zones and Mixed Use zone are also expected to accommodate an increase in the density and diversity of housing provided that it does not preclude opportunities for business development, including the outward expansion of business activities, especially retailing. Residential development in the centres and Mixed Use zones will therefore be managed so that residential activities do not compromise the ability for centres to provide the primary focus for business growth, including by avoiding or mitigating the potential for reverse sensitivity effects. '. [6/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-229	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 5, so that residential density in centres does not impact on the ability for centres to provide for Auckland's commercial growth. Amend as follows; 'The centres zones and Mixed Use zone are also expected to accommodate an increase in the density and diversity of housing provided that it does not preclude opportunities for business development, including the outward expansion of business activities, especially retailing. Residential development in the centres and Mixed Use zones will therefore be managed so that residential activities do not compromise the ability for centres to provide the primary focus for business growth, including by avoiding or mitigating the potential for reverse sensitivity effects. '. [6/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-229	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 5, so that residential density in centres does not impact on the ability for centres to provide for Auckland's commercial growth. Amend as follows; 'The centres zones and Mixed Use zone are also expected to accommodate an increase in the density and diversity of housing provided that it does not preclude opportunities for business development, including the outward expansion of business activities, especially retailing. Residential development in the centres and Mixed Use zones will therefore be managed so that residential activities do not compromise the ability for centres to provide the primary focus for business growth, including by avoiding or mitigating the potential for reverse sensitivity effects. '. [6/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-229	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 5, so that residential density in centres does not impact on the ability for centres to provide for Auckland's commercial growth. Amend as follows; 'The centres zones and Mixed Use zone are also expected to accommodate an increase in the density and diversity of housing provided that it does not preclude opportunities for business development, including the outward expansion of business activities, especially retailing. Residential development in the centres and Mixed Use zones will therefore be managed so that residential activities do not compromise the ability for centres to provide the primary focus for business growth, including by avoiding or mitigating the potential for reverse sensitivity effects. '. [6/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-229	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 5, so that residential density in centres does not impact on the ability for centres to provide for Auckland's commercial growth. Amend as follows; 'The centres zones and Mixed Use zone are also expected to accommodate an increase in the density and diversity of housing provided that it does not preclude opportunities for business development, including the outward expansion of business activities, especially retailing. Residential development in the centres and Mixed Use zones will therefore be managed so that residential activities do not compromise the ability for centres to provide the primary focus for business growth, including by avoiding or mitigating the potential for reverse sensitivity effects. '. [6/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-229	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 5, so that residential density in centres does not impact on the ability for centres to provide for Auckland's commercial growth. Amend as follows; 'The centres zones and Mixed Use zone are also expected to accommodate an increase in the density and diversity of housing provided that it does not preclude opportunities for business development, including the outward expansion of business activities, especially retailing. Residential development in the centres and Mixed Use zones will therefore be managed so that residential activities do not compromise the ability for centres to provide the primary focus for business growth, including by avoiding or mitigating the potential for reverse sensitivity effects. '. [6/72 vol 3]	3352	Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2968-230	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 8, first bullet point, to remove reference to previously developed controls, as follows; 'The different attributes include:...~~height controls previously developed through a precinct or master planning exercise~~ . '. [7/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-230	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Introduction, paragraph 8, first bullet point, to remove reference to previously developed controls, as follows; 'The different attributes include:...~~height controls previously developed through a precinct or master planning exercise~~ . '. [7/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-231	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Objective 3(a) as follows; '3. Business activity is distributed in locations and is of a scale and form that: a. provides for the community's social and economic needs, and residential growth and intensification '. [7/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-231	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Objective 3(a) as follows; '3. Business activity is distributed in locations and is of a scale and form that: a. provides for the community's social and economic needs, and residential growth and intensification '. [7/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-231	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Objective 3(a) as follows; '3. Business activity is distributed in locations and is of a scale and form that: a. provides for the community's social and economic needs, and residential growth and intensification '. [7/72 vol 3]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-231	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Objective 3(a) as follows; '3. Business activity is distributed in locations and is of a scale and form that: a. provides for the community's social and economic needs, and residential growth and intensification '. [7/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-231	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Objective 3(a) as follows; '3. Business activity is distributed in locations and is of a scale and form that: a. provides for the community's social and economic needs, and residential growth and intensification '. [7/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-232	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add new Policy 2A as follows; '2A. Achieve high levels of employment and business opportunities in the centres and Mixed-Use zones. '. [8/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-232	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add new Policy 2A as follows; '2A. Achieve high levels of employment and business opportunities in the centres and Mixed-Use zones. '. [8/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-232	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add new Policy 2A as follows; '2A. Achieve high levels of employment and business opportunities in the centres and Mixed-Use zones. '. [8/72 vol 3]	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2968-232	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add new Policy 2A as follows; '2A. Achieve high levels of employment and business opportunities in the centres and Mixed-Use zones. '. [8/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-232	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Add new Policy 2A as follows; '2A. Achieve high levels of employment and business opportunities in the centres and Mixed-Use zones. '. [8/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-233	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2 to state the reason why it is necessary to manage the increased noise and reduced privacy that increased residential activity within centres will be subject to, as follows; 2. Accommodate an increase in the density, diversity and quality of housing in centres and Mixed Use zones while managing the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy it may be subject to, without compromise to the primary focus and function of centres for commercial activity and intensification. ' . [8/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-233	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2 to state the reason why it is necessary to manage the increased noise and reduced privacy that increased residential activity within centres will be subject to, as follows; 2. Accommodate an increase in the density, diversity and quality of housing in centres and Mixed Use zones while managing the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy it may be subject to, without compromise to the primary focus and function of centres for commercial activity and intensification. ' . [8/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-233	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2 to state the reason why it is necessary to manage the increased noise and reduced privacy that increased residential activity within centres will be subject to, as follows; 2. Accommodate an increase in the density, diversity and quality of housing in centres and Mixed Use zones while managing the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy it may be subject to, without compromise to the primary focus and function of centres for commercial activity and intensification. ' . [8/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-233	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2 to state the reason why it is necessary to manage the increased noise and reduced privacy that increased residential activity within centres will be subject to, as follows; 2. Accommodate an increase in the density, diversity and quality of housing in centres and Mixed Use zones while managing the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy it may be subject to, without compromise to the primary focus and function of centres for commercial activity and intensification. ' . [8/72 vol 3]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-233	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2 to state the reason why it is necessary to manage the increased noise and reduced privacy that increased residential activity within centres will be subject to, as follows; 2. Accommodate an increase in the density, diversity and quality of housing in centres and Mixed Use zones while managing the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy it may be subject to, without compromise to the primary focus and function of centres for commercial activity and intensification. ' . [8/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-233	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2 to state the reason why it is necessary to manage the increased noise and reduced privacy that increased residential activity within centres will be subject to, as follows; 2. Accommodate an increase in the density, diversity and quality of housing in centres and Mixed Use zones while managing the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy it may be subject to, without compromise to the primary focus and function of centres for commercial activity and intensification. ' . [8/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-233	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 2 to state the reason why it is necessary to manage the increased noise and reduced privacy that increased residential activity within centres will be subject to, as follows; 2. Accommodate an increase in the density, diversity and quality of housing in centres and Mixed Use zones while managing the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy it may be subject to, without compromise to the primary focus and function of centres for commercial activity and intensification. ' . [8/72 vol 3]	3352	Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2968-234	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 3 as follows; '~~3. Require development of a quality and design that positively contributes to the public realm and maximises pedestrian amenity, movement, safety and convenience for people of all ages and abilities.~~ '. [8/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-234	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Delete Policy 3 as follows; '~~3. Require development of a quality and design that positively contributes to the public realm and maximises pedestrian amenity, movement, safety and convenience for people of all ages and abilities.~~ '. [8/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-235	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 5 to have regard to the functional and operational needs of activities, as follows; ' 5. ~~Require~~ Encourage the design of buildings to ~~contribute positively~~ respond to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces, while having regard to the functional requirements of the activities which are to be accommodated. '. [8/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-235	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 5 to have regard to the functional and operational needs of activities, as follows; ' 5. ~~Require~~ Encourage the design of buildings to ~~contribute positively~~ respond to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces, while having regard to the functional requirements of the activities which are to be accommodated. '. [8/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-235	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 5 to have regard to the functional and operational needs of activities, as follows; ' 5. ~~Require~~ Encourage the design of buildings to ~~contribute positively~~ respond to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces, while having regard to the functional requirements of the activities which are to be accommodated. '. [8/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-235	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 5 to have regard to the functional and operational needs of activities, as follows; ' 5. ~~Require~~ Encourage the design of buildings to ~~contribute positively~~ respond to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces, while having regard to the functional requirements of the activities which are to be accommodated. '. [8/72 vol 3]	2919	Argosy Property Limited	Support
2968-235	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 5 to have regard to the functional and operational needs of activities, as follows; ' 5. ~~Require~~ Encourage the design of buildings to ~~contribute positively~~ respond to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces, while having regard to the functional requirements of the activities which are to be accommodated. '. [8/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-235	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 5 to have regard to the functional and operational needs of activities, as follows; ' 5. ~~Require~~ Encourage the design of buildings to ~~contribute positively~~ respond to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces, while having regard to the functional requirements of the activities which are to be accommodated. '. [8/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-235	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 5 to have regard to the functional and operational needs of activities, as follows; ' 5. ~~Require~~ Encourage the design of buildings to ~~contribute positively~~ respond to the visual quality, pedestrian vitality, safety and interest of streets and public open spaces, while having regard to the functional requirements of the activities which are to be accommodated. '. [8/72 vol 3]	3337	Z Energy Limited and BP Oil New Zealand Limited and Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited	Support
2968-236	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows; ' 7. Require at grade parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to ~~avoid~~ mitigate adverse impacts on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape. '. [8/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-236	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows; ' 7. Require at grade parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to ~~avoid~~ mitigate adverse impacts on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape. '. [8/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-236	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows; ' 7. Require at grade parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to ~~avoid~~ mitigate adverse impacts on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape. '. [8/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-236	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 7 as follows; ' 7. Require at grade parking to be located and designed in such a manner as to ~~avoid~~ mitigate adverse impacts on pedestrian amenity and the streetscape. '. [8/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-237	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 as follows; ' 9. Require development ~~close~~ adjacent to residential zones to mitigate adverse visual effects, acoustic privacy, and minimise overlooking and shadow effects to residential neighbours. '. [9/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-237	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 9 as follows; ' 9. Require development ~~close~~ adjacent to residential zones to mitigate adverse visual effects, acoustic privacy, and minimise overlooking and shadow effects to residential neighbours. '. [9/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-238	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11 to recognise that there are no corresponding rules or development controls that address the effects of development on streets. Amend as follows; '11. Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and street~~ s. '. [9/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-238	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	D3 Business Zones / D3.1 General objectives and policies	Amend Policy 11 to recognise that there are no corresponding rules or development controls that address the effects of development on streets. Amend as follows; '11. Require development to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse wind, glare and shading effects on public open spaces ~~and street~~ s. '. [9/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-239	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph 1, to remove implication that the the primary purpose of these centres is to act as a hub for high frequency transport. Amend as follows; ' This zone applies to centres located in different sub-regional catchments of Auckland. These centres are second only to the city centre in overall scale and intensity and act as ~~hubs~~ focal points for commercial and retail growth and development, and can contain hubs serving high frequency transport within their catchments for those within or visiting the centres. [Page 9/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-239	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph 1, to remove implication that the the primary purpose of these centres is to act as a hub for high frequency transport. Amend as follows; ' This zone applies to centres located in different sub-regional catchments of Auckland. These centres are second only to the city centre in overall scale and intensity and act as ~~hubs~~ focal points for commercial and retail growth and development, and can contain hubs serving high frequency transport within their catchments for those within or visiting the centres. [Page 9/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-239	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph 1, to remove implication that the the primary purpose of these centres is to act as a hub for high frequency transport. Amend as follows; ' This zone applies to centres located in different sub-regional catchments of Auckland. These centres are second only to the city centre in overall scale and intensity and act as ~~hubs~~ focal points for commercial and retail growth and development, and can contain hubs serving high frequency transport within their catchments for those within or visiting the centres. [Page 9/72 vol 3]	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2968-239	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph 1, to remove implication that the the primary purpose of these centres is to act as a hub for high frequency transport. Amend as follows; ' This zone applies to centres located in different sub-regional catchments of Auckland. These centres are second only to the city centre in overall scale and intensity and act as ~~hubs~~ focal points for commercial and retail growth and development, and can contain hubs serving high frequency transport within their catchments for those within or visiting the centres. [Page 9/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-239	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph 1, to remove implication that the the primary purpose of these centres is to act as a hub for high frequency transport. Amend as follows; ' This zone applies to centres located in different sub-regional catchments of Auckland. These centres are second only to the city centre in overall scale and intensity and act as ~~hubs~~ focal points for commercial and retail growth and development, and can contain hubs serving high frequency transport within their catchments for those within or visiting the centres. [Page 9/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-240	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows; '1. A network of Metropolitan Centres are developed, that are second only to the city centre in diversity, scale, form and function, and which are a sub-regional focus for commercial, residential, community and civic activities and their growth. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-240	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows; '1. A network of Metropolitan Centres are developed, that are second only to the city centre in diversity, scale, form and function, and which are a sub-regional focus for commercial, residential, community and civic activities and their growth. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-240	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows; '1. A network of Metropolitan Centres are developed, that are second only to the city centre in diversity, scale, form and function, and which are a sub-regional focus for commercial, residential, community and civic activities and their growth. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-240	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows; '1. A network of Metropolitan Centres are developed, that are second only to the city centre in diversity, scale, form and function, and which are a sub-regional focus for commercial, residential, community and civic activities and their growth. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-240	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows; '1. A network of Metropolitan Centres are developed, that are second only to the city centre in diversity, scale, form and function, and which are a sub-regional focus for commercial, residential, community and civic activities and their growth. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-241	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; 1. Enable significant growth, intensification and outward expansion ~~change~~ in ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-241	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; 1. Enable significant growth, intensification and outward expansion ~~change~~ in ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-241	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; 1. Enable significant growth, intensification and outward expansion ~~change~~ in ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-241	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; 1. Enable significant growth, intensification and outward expansion ~~change~~ in ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-241	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; 1. Enable significant growth, intensification and outward expansion ~~change~~ in ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-242	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2 as follows; ' 2. Provide for the greatest concentration, ~~quality~~ and scale of buildings within Metropolitan Centres, second only to the City Centre. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-242	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2 as follows; ' 2. Provide for the greatest concentration, ~~quality~~ and scale of buildings within Metropolitan Centres, second only to the City Centre. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-243	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new policy as follows; ' Encourage economic development in the Metropolitan Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-243	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new policy as follows; ' Encourage economic development in the Metropolitan Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-243	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new policy as follows; ' Encourage economic development in the Metropolitan Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-243	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new policy as follows; ' Encourage economic development in the Metropolitan Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-243	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new policy as follows; ' Encourage economic development in the Metropolitan Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [Page 10/72 vol 3]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-244	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4 as follows; ' 4. ~~Enable~~ Encourage high intensity activities and economic opportunities within metropolitan centres emphasising a wide range of commercial, leisure, tourist, cultural, community and civic services.' . [Page 11/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-244	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4 as follows; ' 4. ~~Enable~~ Encourage high intensity activities and economic opportunities within metropolitan centres emphasising a wide range of commercial, leisure, tourist, cultural, community and civic services.' . [Page 11/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-244	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4 as follows; ' 4. ~~Enable~~ Encourage high intensity activities and economic opportunities within metropolitan centres emphasising a wide range of commercial, leisure, tourist, cultural, community and civic services.' . [Page 11/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-244	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 4 as follows; ' 4. ~~Enable~~ Encourage high intensity activities and economic opportunities within metropolitan centres emphasising a wide range of commercial, leisure, tourist, cultural, community and civic services.' . [Page 11/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-245	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 5 as follows; ' 5. Enable residential development above street level while recognising the primary focus of the zone for business activity, and acknowledging that residential activities will be required to manage the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy those activities may be subject to. '. [Page 11/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-245	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 5 as follows; ' 5. Enable residential development above street level while recognising the primary focus of the zone for business activity, and acknowledging that residential activities will be required to manage the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy those activities may be subject to. '. [Page 11/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-245	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 5 as follows; ' 5. Enable residential development above street level while recognising the primary focus of the zone for business activity, and acknowledging that residential activities will be required to manage the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy those activities may be subject to. '. [Page 11/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-245	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 5 as follows; ' 5. Enable residential development above street level while recognising the primary focus of the zone for business activity, and acknowledging that residential activities will be required to manage the higher levels of ambient noise and reduced privacy those activities may be subject to. '. [Page 11/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-246	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7 as follows; ' 7. Recognise the importance of particular streets identified on the Key Retail and General Commercial Frontage overlay as primary places for public interaction while acknowledging the need for activities to be practically serviced (with parking and loading):...'. [Page 11/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-246	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7 as follows; ' 7. Recognise the importance of particular streets identified on the Key Retail and General Commercial Frontage overlay as primary places for public interaction while acknowledging the need for activities to be practically serviced (with parking and loading):...'. [Page 11/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-247	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7 to add a criterion about safety, as follows; ' iv. design and locate vehicle crossings to manage conflict with primary pedestrian activity. '. [Page 11/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-247	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 7 to add a criterion about safety, as follows; ' iv. design and locate vehicle crossings to manage conflict with primary pedestrian activity. '. [Page 11/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-248	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8 as follows; ' 8. Support the development of a range of ~~public~~ transport modes, ~~pedestrian and cycle networks~~ and the ability to change transport modes. '. [Page 12/72 vol 3]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-248	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8 as follows; ' 8. Support the development of a range of ~~public~~ transport modes, ~~pedestrian and cycle networks~~ and the ability to change transport modes. '. [Page 12/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-248	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8 as follows; ' 8. Support the development of a range of ~~public~~ transport modes, ~~pedestrian and cycle networks~~ and the ability to change transport modes. '. [Page 12/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-248	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 8 as follows; ' 8. Support the development of a range of ~~public~~ transport modes, ~~pedestrian and cycle networks~~ and the ability to change transport modes. '. [Page 12/72 vol 3]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-249	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9 as follows; ' 9. Encourage integrated shopping centres, supermarkets and departments stores within ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres by recognising:...a. the positive contribution these activities make to the ~~centre~~ viability and function of centres, and...'. [Page 12/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-249	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9 as follows; ' 9. Encourage integrated shopping centres, supermarkets and departments stores within ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres by recognising:...a. the positive contribution these activities make to the ~~centre~~ viability and function of centres, and...'. [Page 12/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-249	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9 as follows; ' 9. Encourage integrated shopping centres, supermarkets and departments stores within ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres by recognising:...a. the positive contribution these activities make to the ~~centre~~ viability and function of centres, and...'. [Page 12/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-249	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.3 Metropolitan Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 9 as follows; ' 9. Encourage integrated shopping centres, supermarkets and departments stores within ~~m~~ Metropolitan ~~c~~ Centres by recognising:...a. the positive contribution these activities make to the ~~centre~~ viability and function of centres, and...'. [Page 12/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-250	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph 1, second sentence, to recognise the necessity of both private and public transport links, as follows; ' The centres are typically located on main arterial roads, which provide for good public and private vehicular~~public transport~~ accessability. '. [12/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-250	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph 1, second sentence, to recognise the necessity of both private and public transport links, as follows; ' The centres are typically located on main arterial roads, which provide for good public and private vehicular~~public transport~~ accessability. '. [12/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-250	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph 1, second sentence, to recognise the necessity of both private and public transport links, as follows; ' The centres are typically located on main arterial roads, which provide for good public and private vehicular~~public transport~~ accessability. '. [12/72 vol 3]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-251	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph two, as follows; ' The zone provides for a wide range of activities including commercial, leisure, residential, tourist, cultural, community and civic services, providing a focus for commercial, retail and business growth. '. [13/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-251	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph two, as follows; ' The zone provides for a wide range of activities including commercial, leisure, residential, tourist, cultural, community and civic services, providing a focus for commercial, retail and business growth. '. [13/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-251	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph two, as follows; ' The zone provides for a wide range of activities including commercial, leisure, residential, tourist, cultural, community and civic services, providing a focus for commercial, retail and business growth. '. [13/72 vol 3]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-251	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph two, as follows; ' The zone provides for a wide range of activities including commercial, leisure, residential, tourist, cultural, community and civic services, providing a focus for commercial, retail and business growth. '. [13/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-251	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph two, as follows; ' The zone provides for a wide range of activities including commercial, leisure, residential, tourist, cultural, community and civic services, providing a focus for commercial, retail and business growth. '. [13/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-252	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph three, sentence two, as follows; ' ~~Increased~~ The height opportunity within the centres will facilitate increased intensification, and ~~office and~~ residential ~~living~~ opportunities (at upper floors), but will not compromise the function of the Centres as a location for commercial activities, or limit the opportunities for particular activities, such as retail, to expand outward where it is appropriate to do so. '. [13/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-252	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph three, sentence two, as follows; ' ~~Increased~~ The height opportunity within the centres will facilitate increased intensification, and ~~office and~~ residential ~~living~~ opportunities (at upper floors), but will not compromise the function of the Centres as a location for commercial activities, or limit the opportunities for particular activities, such as retail, to expand outward where it is appropriate to do so. '. [13/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-252	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph three, sentence two, as follows; ' ~~Increased~~ The height opportunity within the centres will facilitate increased intensification, and ~~office and~~ residential ~~living~~ opportunities (at upper floors), but will not compromise the function of the Centres as a location for commercial activities, or limit the opportunities for particular activities, such as retail, to expand outward where it is appropriate to do so. '. [13/72 vol 3]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-252	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph three, sentence two, as follows; ' ~~Increased~~ The height opportunity within the centres will facilitate increased intensification, and ~~office and~~ residential ~~living~~ opportunities (at upper floors), but will not compromise the function of the Centres as a location for commercial activities, or limit the opportunities for particular activities, such as retail, to expand outward where it is appropriate to do so. '. [13/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-252	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Introduction, paragraph three, sentence two, as follows; ' ~~Increased~~ The height opportunity within the centres will facilitate increased intensification, and ~~office and~~ residential ~~living~~ opportunities (at upper floors), but will not compromise the function of the Centres as a location for commercial activities, or limit the opportunities for particular activities, such as retail, to expand outward where it is appropriate to do so. '. [13/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-253	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows; 1. A network of ~~t~~ Town ~~c~~ Centres that are the focus of commercial, ~~residential,~~ community and civic activities for the surrounding area, and which provide for residential intensification. '. [13/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-253	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 1 as follows; 1. A network of ~~t~~ Town ~~c~~ Centres that are the focus of commercial, ~~residential,~~ community and civic activities for the surrounding area, and which provide for residential intensification. '. [13/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-254	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2 as follows; ' 2. The scale and intensity of development in ~~t~~ Town ~~c~~ Centres is increased ~~while ensuring development is in keeping with the centre's planned future character~~ . '. [13/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-254	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2 as follows; ' 2. The scale and intensity of development in ~~t~~ Town ~~c~~ Centres is increased ~~while ensuring development is in keeping with the centre's planned future character~~ . '. [13/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-254	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2 as follows; ' 2. The scale and intensity of development in ŧ Town ɕ Centres is increased ~~while ensuring development is in keeping with the centre's planned future character~~ . '. [13/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-254	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2 as follows; ' 2. The scale and intensity of development in ŧ Town ɕ Centres is increased ~~while ensuring development is in keeping with the centre's planned future character~~ . '. [13/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-255	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; ' 1. Enable significant ~~change~~ growth and development, including the outward expansion of existing centres, in ŧ Town ɕ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . ' .[14/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-255	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; ' 1. Enable significant ~~change~~ growth and development, including the outward expansion of existing centres, in ŧ Town ɕ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . ' .[14/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-255	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; ' 1. Enable significant ~~change~~ growth and development, including the outward expansion of existing centres, in ŧ Town ɕ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . ' .[14/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-255	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 1 as follows; ' 1. Enable significant ~~change~~ growth and development, including the outward expansion of existing centres, in ŧ Town ɕ Centres ~~where the outcome can be shown to contribute to the function, amenity, and vitality of the centre and is an efficient use of a centre's infrastructure~~ . ' .[14/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-256	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2 as follows; ' 2. Provide a distribution of ŧ Town ɕ Centres, including the provision of new ŧ Town ɕ Centres, of different scales and locations, that:...' .[14/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-256	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2 as follows; ' 2. Provide a distribution of ŧ Town ɕ Centres, including the provision of new ŧ Town ɕ Centres, of different scales and locations, that:...' .[14/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-257	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(b) as follows; 'b. enable residential development above street level while avoiding conflict with commercial activity '. [14/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-257	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(b) as follows; 'b. enable residential development above street level while avoiding conflict with commercial activity '. [14/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-257	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(b) as follows; 'b. enable residential development above street level while avoiding conflict with commercial activity '. [14/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-257	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 2(b) as follows; 'b. enable residential development above street level while avoiding conflict with commercial activity '. [14/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-258	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3(c) as follows; 'c. support a range of ~~public~~ transport modes, ~~pedestrian and cycle networks~~ and the ability to change transport modes. '. [14/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-258	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3(c) as follows; 'c. support a range of ~~public~~ transport modes, ~~pedestrian and cycle networks~~ and the ability to change transport modes. '. [14/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-258	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 3(c) as follows; 'c. support a range of ~~public~~ transport modes, ~~pedestrian and cycle networks~~ and the ability to change transport modes. '. [14/72 vol 3]	3496	Property Council New Zealand	Support
2968-259	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete policy 4 as follows; '~~4. Require development to achieve a high standard of design.~~ '. [14/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-259	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Delete policy 4 as follows; '~~4. Require development to achieve a high standard of design.~~ '. [14/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-260	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new Policy 3A as follows; '3A. Encourage economic development in the Town Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [14/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-260	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new Policy 3A as follows; '3A. Encourage economic development in the Town Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [14/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-260	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new Policy 3A as follows; '3A. Encourage economic development in the Town Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [14/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-260	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Insert new Policy 3A as follows; '3A. Encourage economic development in the Town Centres and achieve high levels of business activity and employment opportunities. '. [14/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-261	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 5 as follows; ' 5. Recognise the importance of streets identified in the Key Retail and General Commercial Frontage overlay as primary places for public interaction while acknowledging the need for activities to be practically serviced (with parking and loading):...'. [14/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-261	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 5 as follows; ' 5. Recognise the importance of streets identified in the Key Retail and General Commercial Frontage overlay as primary places for public interaction while acknowledging the need for activities to be practically serviced (with parking and loading):...'. [14/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-262	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 5 to add a criterion about safety, as follows; ' iv. design and locate vehicle crossings to avoid conflict with primary pedestrian activity. '. [15/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-262	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 5 to add a criterion about safety, as follows; ' iv. design and locate vehicle crossings to avoid conflict with primary pedestrian activity. '. [15/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-263	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6 as follows; '6. Encourage integrated shopping centres, supermarkets and departments stores within ŧ Town ɕ Centres by recognising:...a. the positive contribution these activities make to the ~~centre~~ viability and function of centres, and...' .[15/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-263	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6 as follows; '6. Encourage integrated shopping centres, supermarkets and departments stores within ŧ Town ɕ Centres by recognising:...a. the positive contribution these activities make to the ~~centre~~ viability and function of centres, and...' .[15/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-263	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6 as follows; '6. Encourage integrated shopping centres, supermarkets and departments stores within ŧ Town ~~c~~ Centres by recognising:...a. the positive contribution these activities make to the ~~centre~~ viability and function of centres, and...' .[15/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-263	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.4 Town Centre zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Policy 6 as follows; '6. Encourage integrated shopping centres, supermarkets and departments stores within ŧ Town ~~c~~ Centres by recognising:...a. the positive contribution these activities make to the ~~centre~~ viability and function of centres, and...' .[15/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-264	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table under development, line 2, so that demolition of buildings in Metropolitan Centres changes from a restricted discretionary activity to a permitted activity. See submission for proposed changes. [15/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-264	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.1 Activity table 1 for Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend Activity Table under development, line 2, so that demolition of buildings in Metropolitan Centres changes from a restricted discretionary activity to a permitted activity. See submission for proposed changes. [15/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-265	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.3 Land use controls	Amend 3.1 Activities within 30m of a residential zone, as follows; 1. The following activities are restricted discretionary activities where the extent of the tenancy is ~~they are~~ located within 30m of a residential zone and are listed as a permitted activity in the zone activity table:...' . [16/72 vol 3]	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2968-265	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.3 Land use controls	Amend 3.1 Activities within 30m of a residential zone, as follows; 1. The following activities are restricted discretionary activities where the extent of the tenancy is ~~they are~~ located within 30m of a residential zone and are listed as a permitted activity in the zone activity table:...' . [16/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-265	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.3 Land use controls	Amend 3.1 Activities within 30m of a residential zone, as follows; 1. The following activities are restricted discretionary activities where the extent of the tenancy is ~~they are~~ located within 30m of a residential zone and are listed as a permitted activity in the zone activity table:...' . [16/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-266	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height first bullet point to enable greater height, as follows; ' Purpose:...manage the effects of building height and enable greater height in areas identified for substantial growth...'. [16/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-266	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height first bullet point to enable greater height, as follows; ' Purpose:...manage the effects of building height and enable greater height in areas identified for substantial growth...'. [16/72 vol 3]	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2968-266	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height first bullet point to enable greater height, as follows; ' Purpose:...manage the effects of building height and enable greater height in areas identified for substantial growth...'. [16/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-266	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height first bullet point to enable greater height, as follows; ' Purpose:...manage the effects of building height and enable greater height in areas identified for substantial growth...'. [16/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-266	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height first bullet point to enable greater height, as follows; ' Purpose:...manage the effects of building height and enable greater height in areas identified for substantial growth...'. [16/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-267	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height, second bullet point, so that the purpose of building height to allow for sunlight access is deleted, as follows; '~~allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to streets, public open space, the subject site and nearby sites~~ ...'. [16/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-267	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height, second bullet point, so that the purpose of building height to allow for sunlight access is deleted, as follows; '~~allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to streets, public open space, the subject site and nearby sites~~ ...'. [16/72 vol 3]	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2968-267	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height, second bullet point, so that the purpose of building height to allow for sunlight access is deleted, as follows; '~~allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to streets, public open space, the subject site and nearby sites~~ ...'. [16/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-267	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height, second bullet point, so that the purpose of building height to allow for sunlight access is deleted, as follows; '~~allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to streets, public open space, the subject site and nearby sites~~ ...'. [16/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-267	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2 Building height, second bullet point, so that the purpose of building height to allow for sunlight access is deleted, as follows; '~~allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to streets, public open space, the subject site and nearby sites~~ ...'. [16/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-268	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(1) and (3) and Table 1 so that the control on storeys is deleted. A height control in metres is sufficient to manage the effects of building height. See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-268	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(1) and (3) and Table 1 so that the control on storeys is deleted. A height control in metres is sufficient to manage the effects of building height. See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2968-268	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(1) and (3) and Table 1 so that the control on storeys is deleted. A height control in metres is sufficient to manage the effects of building height. See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-268	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(1) and (3) and Table 1 so that the control on storeys is deleted. A height control in metres is sufficient to manage the effects of building height. See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-268	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(1) and (3) and Table 1 so that the control on storeys is deleted. A height control in metres is sufficient to manage the effects of building height. See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-269	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(2) and Table 1, so that a standard building height for the Town Centre zone is included in Table 1, with a height of 32.5m. Add new line to Table 1 and delete 4.2(2) as follows; '~~2. All heights in the Town Centre zone are shown on the Additional Zone Height Control overlay.~~' . See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-269	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(2) and Table 1, so that a standard building height for the Town Centre zone is included in Table 1, with a height of 32.5m. Add new line to Table 1 and delete 4.2(2) as follows; '~~2. All heights in the Town Centre zone are shown on the Additional Zone Height Control overlay.~~' . See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-269	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(2) and Table 1, so that a standard building height for the Town Centre zone is included in Table 1, with a height of 32.5m. Add new line to Table 1 and delete 4.2(2) as follows; '~~2. All heights in the Town Centre zone are shown on the Additional Zone Height Control overlay.~~' . See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-269	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.2(2) and Table 1, so that a standard building height for the Town Centre zone is included in Table 1, with a height of 32.5m. Add new line to Table 1 and delete 4.2(2) as follows; '~~2. All heights in the Town Centre zone are shown on the Additional Zone Height Control overlay.~~' . See submission for proposed changes. [17/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-270	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.4(2) (Building setback at upper floors) Table 3 and delete Figure 9 and Figure 10, so that there is no requirement for a building setback at upper floors in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones. See submission for proposed changes. [19/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-270	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.4(2) (Building setback at upper floors) Table 3 and delete Figure 9 and Figure 10, so that there is no requirement for a building setback at upper floors in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones. See submission for proposed changes. [19/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-270	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.4(2) (Building setback at upper floors) Table 3 and delete Figure 9 and Figure 10, so that there is no requirement for a building setback at upper floors in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones. See submission for proposed changes. [19/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-270	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.4(2) (Building setback at upper floors) Table 3 and delete Figure 9 and Figure 10, so that there is no requirement for a building setback at upper floors in the Metropolitan and Town Centre zones. See submission for proposed changes. [19/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-271	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.5 Maximum tower dimension and tower separation provisions and delete Figures 12-15. [20/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-271	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.5 Maximum tower dimension and tower separation provisions and delete Figures 12-15. [20/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-271	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.5 Maximum tower dimension and tower separation provisions and delete Figures 12-15. [20/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-271	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.5 Maximum tower dimension and tower separation provisions and delete Figures 12-15. [20/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-271	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.5 Maximum tower dimension and tower separation provisions and delete Figures 12-15. [20/72 vol 3]	3358	Mansons TCLM Limited	Support
2968-272	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6(2) (Buildings fronting the street) to allow existing or consented access points, as follows; ' 2. Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1(b) and 1(c) above. Existing and consented vehicle access points (as at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan) are excluded from the requirements of clause 1(a) above. '. [21/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-272	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6(2) (Buildings fronting the street) to allow existing or consented access points, as follows; ' 2. Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1(b) and 1(c) above. Existing and consented vehicle access points (as at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan) are excluded from the requirements of clause 1(a) above. '. [21/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-272	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6(2) (Buildings fronting the street) to allow existing or consented access points, as follows; ' 2. Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1(b) and 1(c) above. Existing and consented vehicle access points (as at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan) are excluded from the requirements of clause 1(a) above. '. [21/72 vol 3]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-272	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6(2) (Buildings fronting the street) to allow existing or consented access points, as follows; ' 2. Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1(b) and 1(c) above. Existing and consented vehicle access points (as at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan) are excluded from the requirements of clause 1(a) above. '. [21/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-272	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6(2) (Buildings fronting the street) to allow existing or consented access points, as follows; ' 2. Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1(b) and 1(c) above. Existing and consented vehicle access points (as at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan) are excluded from the requirements of clause 1(a) above. '. [21/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-272	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.6(2) (Buildings fronting the street) to allow existing or consented access points, as follows; ' 2. Vehicle access areas are excluded from the requirements of clauses 1(b) and 1(c) above. Existing and consented vehicle access points (as at the date of notification of the Unitary Plan) are excluded from the requirements of clause 1(a) above. '. [21/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-273	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.15 Yards. The height in relation to boundary controls ensures the building mass is appropriate for the site. [22/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-273	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.15 Yards. The height in relation to boundary controls ensures the building mass is appropriate for the site. [22/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-273	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.15 Yards. The height in relation to boundary controls ensures the building mass is appropriate for the site. [22/72 vol 3]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-273	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.15 Yards. The height in relation to boundary controls ensures the building mass is appropriate for the site. [22/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-273	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Delete 4.15 Yards. The height in relation to boundary controls ensures the building mass is appropriate for the site. [22/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-274	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.1(5)(b) Matters of discretion for new buildings as follows; ' ~~b. design and scale of buildings adjoining historic heritage places~~ ...'. [22/72 vol 3]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-274	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.1(5)(b) Matters of discretion for new buildings as follows; ' ~~b. design and scale of buildings adjoining historic heritage places~~ ...'. [22/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-274	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.1(5)(b) Matters of discretion for new buildings as follows; ' ~~b. design and scale of buildings adjoining historic heritage places~~ ...'. [22/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-275	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.1(5)(b) Matters of discretion for new buildings as follows; ' ~~h. water sensitive design~~ ...'. [22/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-275	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.1(5)(b) Matters of discretion for new buildings as follows; ' ~~h. water sensitive design~~ ...'. [22/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-276	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.1(6)(b) to add a matter of discretion to the conversion of a building, as follows; ' acoustic insulation...'. [22/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-276	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.1(6)(b) to add a matter of discretion to the conversion of a building, as follows; ' acoustic insulation...'. [22/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-277	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.1(7) Matters of discretion on demolition of buildings. See submission for proposed changes. [22/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-277	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.1(7) Matters of discretion on demolition of buildings. See submission for proposed changes. [22/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-278	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(a)(i) Assessment criteria for 'intensity and scale' as follows; ' i. ...compatible with ~~the planned future form and characte r of~~ the amenity of the surrounding area. '. [23/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-278	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(a)(i) Assessment criteria for 'intensity and scale' as follows; ' i. ...compatible with ~~the planned future form and characte r of~~ the amenity of the surrounding area. '. [23/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-279	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(a) and (b) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [23/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-279	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(a) and (b) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [23/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-280	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(c)(i)-(iii) Assessment criteria to better enable the unique situation of each application to be assessed in terms of parking solutions. See submission for proposed changes. [24/72 vol 3]	2733	Hugh Green Limited	Support
2968-280	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(c)(i)-(iii) Assessment criteria to better enable the unique situation of each application to be assessed in terms of parking solutions. See submission for proposed changes. [24/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-280	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(c)(i)-(iii) Assessment criteria to better enable the unique situation of each application to be assessed in terms of parking solutions. See submission for proposed changes. [24/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-281	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(c)(ii) Assessment criteria to introduce functional requirements, as follows; 'ii. Vehicle crossings and access ways are ~~should be~~ designed to reduce vehicle speed, be ~~visually a~~ttractive, functional, and clearly signal to both vehicles and pedestrians the presence of a crossing or access way. '. [24/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-281	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(c)(ii) Assessment criteria to introduce functional requirements, as follows; 'ii. Vehicle crossings and access ways are ~~should be~~ designed to reduce vehicle speed, be ~~visually a~~ttractive, functional, and clearly signal to both vehicles and pedestrians the presence of a crossing or access way. '. [24/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-281	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(c)(ii) Assessment criteria to introduce functional requirements, as follows; 'ii. Vehicle crossings and access ways are ~~should be~~ designed to reduce vehicle speed, be ~~visually a~~ttractive, functional, and clearly signal to both vehicles and pedestrians the presence of a crossing or access way. '. [24/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-282	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(c) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [24-5/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-282	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(1)(c) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [24-5/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-283	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Retain 6.2(2)(b) Assessment criteria about centre vitality. [25/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-283	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Retain 6.2(2)(b) Assessment criteria about centre vitality. [25/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-284	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5) Assessment criteria so that it is clear that applications for the activities specified are dealt with separately in clause 8.1. Amend as follows; 5. New buildings and alterations and additions to buildings not otherwise provided for. For new buildings and alterations and additions for integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers refer to the criteria in 8.1 below. '. [26/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-284	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5) Assessment criteria so that it is clear that applications for the activities specified are dealt with separately in clause 8.1. Amend as follows; 5. New buildings and alterations and additions to buildings not otherwise provided for. For new buildings and alterations and additions for integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers refer to the criteria in 8.1 below. '. [26/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-284	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5) Assessment criteria so that it is clear that applications for the activities specified are dealt with separately in clause 8.1. Amend as follows; 5. New buildings and alterations and additions to buildings not otherwise provided for. For new buildings and alterations and additions for integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers refer to the criteria in 8.1 below. '. [26/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-285	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(i) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; 'i. The design of buildings ~~should~~ contributes to the ~~lo cal streetscape and sense of place by responding to the planned future form and character~~ visual amenity of the surrounding area. '. [26/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-285	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(i) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; 'i. The design of buildings ~~should~~ contributes to the ~~lo cal streetscape and sense of place by responding to the planned future form and character~~ visual amenity of the surrounding area. '. [26/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-286	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~ii. In the Metropolitan Centre zone, the silhouette of the building as viewed from areas surrounding the centre should positively contribute to the centre's skyline.~~ '. [26/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-286	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~ii. In the Metropolitan Centre zone, the silhouette of the building as viewed from areas surrounding the centre should positively contribute to the centre's skyline.~~ '. [26/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-286	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~ii. In the Metropolitan Centre zone, the silhouette of the building as viewed from areas surrounding the centre should positively contribute to the centre's skyline.~~ '. [26/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-286	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~ii. In the Metropolitan Centre zone, the silhouette of the building as viewed from areas surrounding the centre should positively contribute to the centre's skyline.~~ '. [26/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-287	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(iii)-(ix) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [27-8/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-287	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(iii)-(ix) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [27-8/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-288	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(vii) Assessment criteria for Building design and external appearance, as follows; 'vii. The extent to which ~~i~~ internal space utilised for office/residential activity ~~at all levels~~ within buildings ~~should be~~ is designed to optimise ~~maximise~~ outlook onto street and public open spaces. [27/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-288	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(vii) Assessment criteria for Building design and external appearance, as follows; 'vii. The extent to which ~~i~~ internal space utilised for office/residential activity ~~at all levels~~ within buildings ~~should be~~ is designed to optimise ~~maximise~~ outlook onto street and public open spaces. [27/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-289	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(x)-(xxi) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [28-30/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-289	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(x)-(xxi) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [28-30/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-290	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(x)-(xxi) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance to achieve an appropriate balance between good design and functionality. See submission for proposed changes. [29-30/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-290	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(x)-(xxi) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance to achieve an appropriate balance between good design and functionality. See submission for proposed changes. [29-30/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-290	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(a)(x)-(xxi) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance to achieve an appropriate balance between good design and functionality. See submission for proposed changes. [29-30/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-291	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.5(5)(b) Assessment criteria for the demolition of buildings. See submission for proposed changes. [30/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-291	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.5(5)(b) Assessment criteria for the demolition of buildings. See submission for proposed changes. [30/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-292	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.5(5)(c)(ii) Assessment criteria about design of public open space, as follows; 'ii. Through--site links are ~~should be~~ publicly accessible~~ preferably with 24 hour a day and seven day a week access.~~ '. [31/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-292	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.5(5)(c)(ii) Assessment criteria about design of public open space, as follows; 'ii. Through--site links are ~~should be~~ publicly accessible~~ preferably with 24 hour a day and seven day a week access.~~ '. [31/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-293	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(c) and (d) Assessment criteria for Design of public open space so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [31-32/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-293	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(c) and (d) Assessment criteria for Design of public open space so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [31-32/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-294	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(e) Assessment criteria for Topography, earthworks and natural features so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [32/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-294	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(e) Assessment criteria for Topography, earthworks and natural features so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [32/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-295	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(e) fourth bullet point Assessment criteria for Topography, earthworks and natural features as follows; '~~Where practicable retain mature vegetation and large trees on site. Retention of mature trees is particularly encouraged where their size, location or species makes a significant contribution to the streetscape or where they could be logically incorporated to enhance on-site amenity.so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes.~~ [32/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-295	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(e) fourth bullet point Assessment criteria for Topography, earthworks and natural features as follows; '~~Where practicable retain mature vegetation and large trees on site. Retention of mature trees is particularly encouraged where their size, location or species makes a significant contribution to the streetscape or where they could be logically incorporated to enhance on-site amenity.so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes.~~ [32/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-295	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(e) fourth bullet point Assessment criteria for Topography, earthworks and natural features as follows; '~~Where practicable retain mature vegetation and large trees on site. Retention of mature trees is particularly encouraged where their size, location or species makes a significant contribution to the streetscape or where they could be logically incorporated to enhance on-site amenity.so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes.~~ [32/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-295	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(e) fourth bullet point Assessment criteria for Topography, earthworks and natural features as follows; '~~Where practicable retain mature vegetation and large trees on site. Retention of mature trees is particularly encouraged where their size, location or species makes a significant contribution to the streetscape or where they could be logically incorporated to enhance on-site amenity.so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes.~~ [32/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-296	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(g)(i) Assessment criteria for Design and layout of dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, by adding new bullet point as follows; 'i. Dwellings should be located, proportioned and orientated within a site to maximise the amenity of future residents by:...providing sufficient acoustic insulation. '. [33/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-296	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 6.2(5)(g)(i) Assessment criteria for Design and layout of dwellings, visitor accommodation and boarding houses, by adding new bullet point as follows; 'i. Dwellings should be located, proportioned and orientated within a site to maximise the amenity of future residents by:...providing sufficient acoustic insulation. '. [33/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-297	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(h) Assessment criteria for Water sensitive design. [33/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-297	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(5)(h) Assessment criteria for Water sensitive design. [33/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-298	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(7) Assessment criteria for Demolition of buildings. [32/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-298	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 6.2(7) Assessment criteria for Demolition of buildings. [32/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-299	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.1(1) Matter of discretion as follows; '1. Building height, height in relation to boundary~~, building setbacks at upper floors, maximum tower dimension and tower separation~~ '. [34/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-299	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.1(1) Matter of discretion as follows; '1. Building height, height in relation to boundary~~, building setbacks at upper floors, maximum tower dimension and tower separation~~ '. [34/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-300	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.1(1)(b) Matter of discretion for Building height and height in relation to boundary as follows; ' ~~b. consistency with the planned future form and character of the area/zone.~~ '. [35/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-300	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.1(1)(b) Matter of discretion for Building height and height in relation to boundary as follows; ' ~~b. consistency with the planned future form and character of the area/zone.~~ '. [35/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-301	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.1(2)(b) Matter of discretion as follows; ' ~~b. effects on historic heritage and historic character buildings and notable trees .~~ '. [35/72 vol 3]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-301	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.1(2)(b) Matter of discretion as follows; ' ~~b. effects on historic heritage and historic character buildings and notable trees .~~ '. [35/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-301	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.1(2)(b) Matter of discretion as follows; ' ~~b. effects on historic heritage and historic character buildings and notable trees .~~ '. [35/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-302	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(1)(a) and (b) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [35/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-302	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(1)(a) and (b) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [35/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-303	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(1)(a) and (b) to reflect the recently operative provisions of North Shore District Plan Change 30 in respect of functionality and an understanding of integrated shopping centre design. See submission for proposed changes. [35/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-303	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(1)(a) and (b) to reflect the recently operative provisions of North Shore District Plan Change 30 in respect of functionality and an understanding of integrated shopping centre design. See submission for proposed changes. [35/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-303	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(1)(a) and (b) to reflect the recently operative provisions of North Shore District Plan Change 30 in respect of functionality and an understanding of integrated shopping centre design. See submission for proposed changes. [35/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-304	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(2)(a) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [35/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-304	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(2)(a) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [35/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-305	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 7.2(2)(b) which is the assessment criteria for effects on historic heritage, historic character buildings and notable trees as they relate to development control infringements for the following activities; Buildings fronting the street, building entrances, minimum floor to floor height, glazing, roller doors, residential at ground floor, ground floor at street frontage level, verandahs, building frontage height. See submission for proposed changes. [36/72 vol 3]	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
2968-305	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 7.2(2)(b) which is the assessment criteria for effects on historic heritage, historic character buildings and notable trees as they relate to development control infringements for the following activies; Buildings fronting the street, building entrances, minimum floor to floor height, glazing, roller doors, residential at ground floor, ground floor at street frontage level, verandahs, building frontage height. See submission for proposed changes. [36/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-305	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 7.2(2)(b) which is the assessment criteria for effects on historic heritage, historic character buildings and notable trees as they relate to development control infringements for the following activies; Buildings fronting the street, building entrances, minimum floor to floor height, glazing, roller doors, residential at ground floor, ground floor at street frontage level, verandahs, building frontage height. See submission for proposed changes. [36/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-306	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1 assessment criteria so that no other matters of discretion need to be taken into account when considering applications for integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers. See submission for proposed changes. [37/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-306	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1 assessment criteria so that no other matters of discretion need to be taken into account when considering applications for integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers. See submission for proposed changes. [37/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-306	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1 assessment criteria so that no other matters of discretion need to be taken into account when considering applications for integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers. See submission for proposed changes. [37/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-306	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1 assessment criteria so that no other matters of discretion need to be taken into account when considering applications for integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers. See submission for proposed changes. [37/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-306	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1 assessment criteria so that no other matters of discretion need to be taken into account when considering applications for integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail and trade suppliers. See submission for proposed changes. [37/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-307	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(1)(a) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~a. The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '. [38/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-307	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(1)(a) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~a. The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '. [38/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-307	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(1)(a) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~a. The preferred option for development is building up to the street boundary with no parking to the street.~~ '. [38/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-308	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [38/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-308	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [38/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-308	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [38/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-309	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1)(b) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance so that there is not a hierarchy of design techniques, as follows; '~~One or more of the following~~ Tt echniques to facilitate this can include ~~should be used in order of importance, having regard to the context of the site~~ :. [38/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-309	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1)(b) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance so that there is not a hierarchy of design techniques, as follows; '~~One or more of the following~~ Tt echniques to facilitate this can include ~~should be used in order of importance, having regard to the context of the site~~ :. [38/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-309	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1)(b) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance so that there is not a hierarchy of design techniques, as follows; '~~One or more of the following~~ Tt echniques to facilitate this can include ~~should be used in order of importance, having regard to the context of the site~~ :. [38/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-310	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(1)(c) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~c. Frontages should be integrated with the prevailing rhythm and scale of existing or intended future frontages along streets, whichever is appropriate. The stepping of building mass should be used on street frontages where adjoining buildings are of a smaller scale.~~ '. [39/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-310	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(1)(c) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~c. Frontages should be integrated with the prevailing rhythm and scale of existing or intended future frontages along streets, whichever is appropriate. The stepping of building mass should be used on street frontages where adjoining buildings are of a smaller scale.~~ '. [39/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-310	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(1)(c) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance, as follows; '~~c. Frontages should be integrated with the prevailing rhythm and scale of existing or intended future frontages along streets, whichever is appropriate. The stepping of building mass should be used on street frontages where adjoining buildings are of a smaller scale.~~ '. [39/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-311	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1)(d) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance as follows; 'd. Where alterations and additions are proposed to buildings that are set back from the road with parking in front, or those buildings are proposed to be demolished and rebuilt, the continuation of this form of site layout is acceptable.'. [39/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-311	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1)(d) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance as follows; 'd. Where alterations and additions are proposed to buildings that are set back from the road with parking in front, or those buildings are proposed to be demolished and rebuilt, the continuation of this form of site layout is acceptable.'. [39/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-311	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(1)(d) Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance as follows; 'd. Where alterations and additions are proposed to buildings that are set back from the road with parking in front, or those buildings are proposed to be demolished and rebuilt, the continuation of this form of site layout is acceptable.'. [39/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-312	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Add 8.1(1)(e) new Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance as follows; 'e. Buildings which contain integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail, or trade suppliers will be assessed in terms of the above having regard to the operational and functional requirements of those retail activities. '. [39/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-312	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Add 8.1(1)(e) new Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance as follows; 'e. Buildings which contain integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail, or trade suppliers will be assessed in terms of the above having regard to the operational and functional requirements of those retail activities. '. [39/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-312	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Add 8.1(1)(e) new Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance as follows; 'e. Buildings which contain integrated shopping centres, supermarkets, department stores, large format retail, or trade suppliers will be assessed in terms of the above having regard to the operational and functional requirements of those retail activities. '. [39/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-313	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Add 8.1(1)(f) new Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance to cross reference to some general criteria in Business zones, as follows; ' f. In addition to the above, Council will also consider criteria 6.2.5(a)(v), (viii), (xx), (xi), (xix), (xvi).'. [39/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-313	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Add 8.1(1)(f) new Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance to cross reference to some general criteria in Business zones, as follows; ' f. In addition to the above, Council will also consider criteria 6.2.5(a)(v), (viii), (xx), (xi), (xix), (xvi).'. [39/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-313	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Add 8.1(1)(f) new Assessment criteria for building design and external appearance to cross reference to some general criteria in Business zones, as follows; ' f. In addition to the above, Council will also consider criteria 6.2.5(a)(v), (viii), (xx), (xi), (xix), (xvi).'. [39/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-314	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (d) and (e) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'd. High--quality pedestrian connections should be ~~are~~ provided between ~~the main building entrances~~ car parking areas and the street~~, and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ ...~~e. High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	2558	Generation Zero	Oppose in Part
2968-314	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (d) and (e) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'd. High--quality pedestrian connections should be ~~are~~ provided between ~~the main building entrances~~ car parking areas and the street~~, and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ ...~~e. High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-314	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (d) and (e) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'd. High--quality pedestrian connections should be ~~are~~ provided between ~~the main building entrances~~ car parking areas and the street~~, and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ ...~~e. High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-314	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (d) and (e) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'd. High--quality pedestrian connections should be ~~are~~ provided between ~~the main building entrances~~ car parking areas and the street~~, and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ ...~~e. High quality pedestrian connections through a site should be provided to main building entrances and the street and through the site where the site has two or more street frontages.~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-315	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (g)(ii) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'ii. has~~ve~~ landscaping, including tree planting, of a scale and amount that visually breaks up the parking area. ~~As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-315	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (g)(ii) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'ii. has~~ve~~ landscaping, including tree planting, of a scale and amount that visually breaks up the parking area. ~~As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-315	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (g)(ii) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'ii. has~~ve~~ landscaping, including tree planting, of a scale and amount that visually breaks up the parking area. ~~As a guide, one tree should be planted every sixth parking bay~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-316	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(2) (g)(iii) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; ~~'iii. of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-316	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(2) (g)(iii) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; ~~'iii. of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-316	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 8.1(2) (g)(iii) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; ~~'iii. of a depth that minimises building setback from the street.~~ '. [40/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-317	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (h) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'g~~h~~ . Where practicable, separate access are provided for delivery vehicles ~~should enter the site by way of a rear lane or access way which leads directly to loading and storage areas~~ . '. [41/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-317	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (h) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'g~~h~~ . Where practicable, separate access are provided for delivery vehicles ~~should enter the site by way of a rear lane or access way which leads directly to loading and storage areas~~ . '. [41/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-317	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (h) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'g~~h~~ . Where practicable, separate access are provided for delivery vehicles ~~should enter the site by way of a rear lane or access way which leads directly to loading and storage areas~~ . '. [41/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-318	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (i) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'hi . Where a site adjoins or contains on its rear or side boundary a service lane or access way (whether private or public ownership) that serves a significant pedestrian role, that service lane or access way should be considered as a street for the purpose of assessment criteria and in regard to the appropriate level of provides for pedestrian safety and amenity. '. [41/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-318	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (i) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'hi . Where a site adjoins or contains on its rear or side boundary a service lane or access way (whether private or public ownership) that serves a significant pedestrian role, that service lane or access way should be considered as a street for the purpose of assessment criteria and in regard to the appropriate level of provides for pedestrian safety and amenity. '. [41/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-318	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) (i) Assessment criteria for Design of parking, access and servicing as follows; 'hi . Where a site adjoins or contains on its rear or side boundary a service lane or access way (whether private or public ownership) that serves a significant pedestrian role, that service lane or access way should be considered as a street for the purpose of assessment criteria and in regard to the appropriate level of provides for pedestrian safety and amenity. '. [41/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-319	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [39/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-319	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [39/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-319	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [39/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-320	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(3) Assessment criteria so that integrated shopping centres are added to the criteria for Supermarkets and department stores in Metropolitan and Town Centre zones. See submission for proposed changes. [41/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-320	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(3) Assessment criteria so that integrated shopping centres are added to the criteria for Supermarkets and department stores in Metropolitan and Town Centre zones. See submission for proposed changes. [41/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-320	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(3) Assessment criteria so that integrated shopping centres are added to the criteria for Supermarkets and department stores in Metropolitan and Town Centre zones. See submission for proposed changes. [41/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-321	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(3)(a) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [41/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-321	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(3)(a) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [41/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-321	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(3)(a) Assessment criteria so that the criteria aid the interpretation of the matters of control /discretion and are not drafted as standards to be met. See submission for proposed changes. [41/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-322	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria as follows; 'ii. the benefits of having that integrated shopping centre, supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies '. [41/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-322	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria as follows; 'ii. the benefits of having that integrated shopping centre, supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies '. [41/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-322	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria as follows; 'ii. the benefits of having that integrated shopping centre, supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies '. [41/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-322	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria as follows; 'ii. the benefits of having that integrated shopping centre, supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies '. [41/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-322	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2)(a)(ii) Assessment criteria as follows; 'ii. the benefits of having that integrated shopping centre, supermarket or department store locate within the centre outweigh the failure to fully implement the zone's design policies '. [41/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-323	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2)(a)(iii) by deleting Assessment criteria (iii) and adding new (iii) and (iv), as follows; 'iii. the pedestrian amenity of the public realm...iv. the accessibility of the building from the street...iii. the development is not located on a street that:... is subject to a Key Retail Frontage overlay...is an important part of the centre's retail or pedestrian activity... has an existing continuous built edge. '. [41/72 vol 3]	2878	The Warehouse Limited	Support
2968-323	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2)(a)(iii) by deleting Assessment criteria (iii) and adding new (iii) and (iv), as follows; 'iii. the pedestrian amenity of the public realm...iv. the accessibility of the building from the street...iii. the development is not located on a street that:... is subject to a Key Retail Frontage overlay...is an important part of the centre's retail or pedestrian activity... has an existing continuous built edge. '. [41/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-323	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8.1(2)(a)(iii) by deleting Assessment criteria (iii) and adding new (iii) and (iv), as follows; 'iii. the pedestrian amenity of the public realm...iv. the accessibility of the building from the street...~~iii. the development is not located on a street that:... is subject to a Key Retail Frontage overlay...is an important part of the centre's retail or pedestrian activity... has an existing continuous built edge.~~ '. [41/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-324	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 10(1) Design Statement requirements. [42/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-324	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 10(1) Design Statement requirements. [42/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-325	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 10(2) Wind report requirement as follows; '~~2. Wind report... a. any building greater than 20m in the Metropolitan Centre zone, Town Centre zone, Mixed Use zone or Business Park zone must submit a report by a registered engineer qualified in wind assessments.~~ '. [42/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-325	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Delete 10(2) Wind report requirement as follows; '~~2. Wind report... a. any building greater than 20m in the Metropolitan Centre zone, Town Centre zone, Mixed Use zone or Business Park zone must submit a report by a registered engineer qualified in wind assessments.~~ '. [42/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-326	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 Activities, third-to-last paragraph, as follows; 'Proposals for non-complying activities must meet one of two following tests as set out in s. 104D of the RMA:...- the adverse effects of the ~~proposed development~~ activity on the environment are minor, or...- the proposed development activity is not contrary to the objectives and policies of the Unitary Plan. '. [47/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-326	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 Activities, third-to-last paragraph, as follows; 'Proposals for non-complying activities must meet one of two following tests as set out in s. 104D of the RMA:...- the adverse effects of the ~~proposed development~~ activity on the environment are minor, or...- the proposed development activity is not contrary to the objectives and policies of the Unitary Plan. '. [47/72 vol 3]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-326	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 Activities, third-to-last paragraph, as follows; 'Proposals for non-complying activities must meet one of two following tests as set out in s. 104D of the RMA:...- the adverse effects of the ~~proposed development~~ activity on the environment are minor, or...- the proposed development activity is not contrary to the objectives and policies of the Unitary Plan. '. [47/72 vol 3]	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-326	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 Activities, third-to-last paragraph, as follows; 'Proposals for non-complying activities must meet one of two following tests as set out in s. 104D of the RMA:...- the adverse effects of the ~~proposed development~~ activity on the environment are minor, or...- the proposed development activity is not contrary to the objectives and policies of the Unitary Plan. '. [47/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-326	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.2 Activities, third-to-last paragraph, as follows; 'Proposals for non-complying activities must meet one of two following tests as set out in s. 104D of the RMA:...- the adverse effects of the ~~proposed development~~ activity on the environment are minor, or...- the proposed development activity is not contrary to the objectives and policies of the Unitary Plan. '. [47/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-327	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 Applying for a resource consent, third paragraph under Making a resource consent application, as it is not appropriate to bundle 'district' consents required for a proposal with any necessary 'regional' consents. See submission for proposed changes. [47/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-327	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 Applying for a resource consent, third paragraph under Making a resource consent application, as it is not appropriate to bundle 'district' consents required for a proposal with any necessary 'regional' consents. See submission for proposed changes. [47/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-328	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 Applying for a resource consent, first paragraph under Consultation, to correct a minor typographical error, as follows; 'Section 36A of the RMA does not require an applicant to consult any party about a resource consent application. '. [48/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-328	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 Applying for a resource consent, first paragraph under Consultation, to correct a minor typographical error, as follows; 'Section 36A of the RMA does not require an applicant to consult any party about a resource consent application. '. [48/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-329	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 Applying for a resource consent, second paragraph as follows; 'Applicants are encouraged to consult with the following parties prior to lodging a resource consent application:..1. Mana Whenua where the proposal involves an activity that is on land scheduled as Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua or Sites and Places of Value to Mana Whenua~~, adjacent to or likely to impact on Mana Whenua values~~ . '. [48/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-329	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 Applying for a resource consent, second paragraph as follows; 'Applicants are encouraged to consult with the following parties prior to lodging a resource consent application:..1. Mana Whenua where the proposal involves an activity that is on land scheduled as Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua or Sites and Places of Value to Mana Whenua~~, adjacent to or likely to impact on Mana Whenua values~~ . '. [48/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-330	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 Applying for a resource consent, under Assessment criteria, to provide certainty to applicants when considering applications for controlled and restricted discretionary activities. See submission for proposed changes. [48/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-330	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 Applying for a resource consent, under Assessment criteria, to provide certainty to applicants when considering applications for controlled and restricted discretionary activities. See submission for proposed changes. [48/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-331	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 Fees and charges to add Sites and Places of Significance and Value to Mana Whenua, as follows; 'For a schedule of fees and charges associated with resource consent application, contact the council. Resource consent applications for activities listed in the Notable Tree overlay, Auckland--wide or Overlay - Vegetation Management rules (including SEAs), Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua, Sites and Place of Value to Mana Whenua, Auckland--wide...'. [49/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-331	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 Fees and charges to add Sites and Places of Significance and Value to Mana Whenua, as follows; 'For a schedule of fees and charges associated with resource consent application, contact the council. Resource consent applications for activities listed in the Notable Tree overlay, Auckland--wide or Overlay - Vegetation Management rules (including SEAs), Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua, Sites and Place of Value to Mana Whenua, Auckland--wide...'. [49/72 vol 3]	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-331	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 Fees and charges to add Sites and Places of Significance and Value to Mana Whenua, as follows; 'For a schedule of fees and charges associated with resource consent application, contact the council. Resource consent applications for activities listed in the Notable Tree overlay, Auckland--wide or Overlay - Vegetation Management rules (including SEAs), Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua, Sites and Place of Value to Mana Whenua, Auckland--wide...'. [49/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-331	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 Fees and charges to add Sites and Places of Significance and Value to Mana Whenua, as follows; 'For a schedule of fees and charges associated with resource consent application, contact the council. Resource consent applications for activities listed in the Notable Tree overlay, Auckland--wide or Overlay - Vegetation Management rules (including SEAs), Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua, Sites and Place of Value to Mana Whenua, Auckland--wide...'. [49/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-332	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 Fees and charges to clarify the use of both heritage and special character buildings are also exempt, as follows; "...Auckland--wide Trees in Streets and Public Open Space rules and for the use, maintenance and modification of heritage and special character buildings will not be charged an application fee ~~unless the activity is associated with proposed new development~~ .'. [49/72 vol 3]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-332	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 Fees and charges to clarify the use of both heritage and special character buildings are also exempt, as follows; "...Auckland--wide Trees in Streets and Public Open Space rules and for the use, maintenance and modification of heritage and special character buildings will not be charged an application fee ~~unless the activity is associated with proposed new development~~ .'. [49/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-332	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.5 Fees and charges to clarify the use of both heritage and special character buildings are also exempt, as follows; "...Auckland--wide Trees in Streets and Public Open Space rules and for the use, maintenance and modification of heritage and special character buildings will not be charged an application fee ~~unless the activity is associated with proposed new development~~ .'. [49/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-333	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] as follows; '1. General rule... a. Generally, t~~T~~he most restrictive activity status determines the overall activity status of the proposal, within each zone, overlay or precinct, subject to Rule G.1.4 - applying for resource consents. '. [49/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-333	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] as follows; '1. General rule... a. Generally, t~~T~~he most restrictive activity status determines the overall activity status of the proposal, within each zone, overlay or precinct, subject to Rule G.1.4 - applying for resource consents. '. [49/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-333	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] as follows; '1. General rule... a. Generally, t~~T~~he most restrictive activity status determines the overall activity status of the proposal, within each zone, overlay or precinct, subject to Rule G.1.4 - applying for resource consents. '. [49/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-333	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 1 [General rule] as follows; '1. General rule... a. Generally, t~~T~~he most restrictive activity status determines the overall activity status of the proposal, within each zone, overlay or precinct, subject to Rule G.1.4 - applying for resource consents. '. [49/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-334	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2(a)(ii) [same matter controlled by more than 1 rule]. Taking the activity status resulting from clause i above, the user must then review any overlays that apply to the site. If an overlay rule applies to the same matter then the most restrictive activity status will apply unless the precinct rule specifies otherwise.'. [50/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-334	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2(a)(ii) [same matter controlled by more than 1 rule]. Taking the activity status resulting from clause i above, the user must then review any overlays that apply to the site. If an overlay rule applies to the same matter then the most restrictive activity status will apply unless the precinct rule specifies otherwise.'. [50/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-334	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2(a)(ii) [same matter controlled by more than 1 rule]. Taking the activity status resulting from clause i above, the user must then review any overlays that apply to the site. If an overlay rule applies to the same matter then the most restrictive activity status will apply unless the precinct rule specifies otherwise.'. [50/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-334	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.1 Determining activity status	Amend rule 2(a)(ii) [same matter controlled by more than 1 rule]. Taking the activity status resulting from clause i above, the user must then review any overlays that apply to the site. If an overlay rule applies to the same matter then the most restrictive activity status will apply unless the precinct rule specifies otherwise.'. [50/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-335	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend (1) so that activities which are not specifically provided for are discretionary activities, as follows; '1. Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, ~~discretionary~~ non-complying or prohibited activity is a ~~non-complying~~ discretionary activity. '.[50/72 vol 3]	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2968-335	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend (1) so that activities which are not specifically provided for are discretionary activities, as follows; '1. Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, ~~discretionary~~ non-complying or prohibited activity is a ~~non-complying~~ discretionary activity. '.[50/72 vol 3]	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
2968-335	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend (1) so that activities which are not specifically provided for are discretionary activities, as follows; '1. Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, ~~discretionary~~ non-complying or prohibited activity is a ~~non-complying~~ discretionary activity. '.[50/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-335	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend (1) so that activities which are not specifically provided for are discretionary activities, as follows; '1. Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, ~~discretionary~~ non-complying or prohibited activity is a ~~non-complying~~ discretionary activity. '.[50/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-336	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Retain (1)-(3). [51/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support in Part
2968-336	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Retain (1)-(3). [51/72 vol 3]	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-336	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Retain (1)-(3). [51/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-336	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Retain (1)-(3). [51/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-337	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Amend (4)(a) so that the assessment of restricted discretionary activities focuses on the effects of the infringement of the particular land use or development control in question, rather than effects relating to the wider neighbourhood context or general amenity considerations. See submission for proposed changes. [52/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-337	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Amend (4)(a) so that the assessment of restricted discretionary activities focuses on the effects of the infringement of the particular land use or development control in question, rather than effects relating to the wider neighbourhood context or general amenity considerations. See submission for proposed changes. [52/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-337	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Amend (4)(a) so that the assessment of restricted discretionary activities focuses on the effects of the infringement of the particular land use or development control in question, rather than effects relating to the wider neighbourhood context or general amenity considerations. See submission for proposed changes. [52/72 vol 3]	884	DB Breweries Limited	Support
2968-337	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Amend (4)(a) so that the assessment of restricted discretionary activities focuses on the effects of the infringement of the particular land use or development control in question, rather than effects relating to the wider neighbourhood context or general amenity considerations. See submission for proposed changes. [52/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-337	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Amend (4)(a) so that the assessment of restricted discretionary activities focuses on the effects of the infringement of the particular land use or development control in question, rather than effects relating to the wider neighbourhood context or general amenity considerations. See submission for proposed changes. [52/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-338	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete (4)(b) to remove the reference to the Auckland Design Manual, as follows; '~~b. While not exhaustive, for applicable developments the ADM may offer guidance on these matters.~~'. [52/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-338	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete (4)(b) to remove the reference to the Auckland Design Manual, as follows; '~~b. While not exhaustive, for applicable developments the ADM may offer guidance on these matters.~~'. [52/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-338	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete (4)(b) to remove the reference to the Auckland Design Manual, as follows; '~~b. While not exhaustive, for applicable developments the ADM may offer guidance on these matters.~~'. [52/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-338	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Delete (4)(b) to remove the reference to the Auckland Design Manual, as follows; '~~b. While not exhaustive, for applicable developments the ADM may offer guidance on these matters.~~'. [52/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-339	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Amend (1) as follows; '1. Controlled and restricted discretionary activities will be considered without public or limited notification, or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties, unless otherwise specified in the Unitary Plan or the consent authority decides that special circumstances exist in accordance with s. 95A (4) of the RMA ~~that make notification desirable~~ .' . [52/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-339	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Amend (1) as follows; '1. Controlled and restricted discretionary activities will be considered without public or limited notification, or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties, unless otherwise specified in the Unitary Plan or the consent authority decides that special circumstances exist in accordance with s. 95A (4) of the RMA ~~that make notification desirable~~ .' . [52/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-340	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete entire historic heritage section in G.2.5 Accidental discovery protocols.	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-340	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete entire historic heritage section in G.2.5 Accidental discovery protocols.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-340	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete entire historic heritage section in G.2.5 Accidental discovery protocols.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-341	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend G.2.5(5) Accidental discovery protocols, Contaminated land section, as follows' ... the site owner or site manager must take the following steps:...a. the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease and immediate measures must be are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment'. [53/72 vol 3]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
2968-341	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend G.2.5(5) Accidental discovery protocols, Contaminated land section, as follows' ... the site owner or site manager must take the following steps:...a. the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease and immediate measures must be are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment'. [53/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-341	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Contaminated Land	G2.5 (5) Accidental discovery protocols		Amend G.2.5(5) Accidental discovery protocols, Contaminated land section, as follows' ... the site owner or site manager must take the following steps:...a. the works in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated land or landfill material encountered must cease and immediate measures must be are implemented to protect the health and safely of people and the environment'. [53/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-342	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule G2.7.1.2 Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places. The requirements should relate only to the extent of land that is scheduled. See submission for proposed changes. [53/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-342	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule G2.7.1.2 Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places. The requirements should relate only to the extent of land that is scheduled. See submission for proposed changes. [53/72 vol 3]	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-342	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule G2.7.1.2 Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places. The requirements should relate only to the extent of land that is scheduled. See submission for proposed changes. [53/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-342	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete rule G2.7.1.2 Information requirements for resource consent and subdivision consent applications adjacent to scheduled historic heritage places. The requirements should relate only to the extent of land that is scheduled. See submission for proposed changes. [53/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-343	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete entire Mana Whenua cultural heritage section in G.2.5 Accidental discovery protocols.	2746	Lee W and Susan C Short	Support
2968-343	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete entire Mana Whenua cultural heritage section in G.2.5 Accidental discovery protocols.	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-343	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete entire Mana Whenua cultural heritage section in G.2.5 Accidental discovery protocols.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-343	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete entire Mana Whenua cultural heritage section in G.2.5 Accidental discovery protocols.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-344	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete 2.7.2 Design statements. [54/72 vol 3]	668	Bunnings Limited	Support
2968-344	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete 2.7.2 Design statements. [54/72 vol 3]	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-344	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete 2.7.2 Design statements. [54/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-344	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.7.1 General information requirements & G2.7.2 Design statements	Delete 2.7.2 Design statements. [54/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-345	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete G.2.7(4)(4) relating to activities requiring a cultural impact assessment. [55/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-345	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete G.2.7(4)(4) relating to activities requiring a cultural impact assessment. [55/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-346	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete entire Natural Hazards section. Refer to page 57/72 vol 3 of the submission for details.	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-346	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete entire Natural Hazards section. Refer to page 57/72 vol 3 of the submission for details.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-346	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete entire Natural Hazards section. Refer to page 57/72 vol 3 of the submission for details.	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-346	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete entire Natural Hazards section. Refer to page 57/72 vol 3 of the submission for details.	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-347	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete entire G.2.7(8) Historic Heritage section. [58/72 vol 3]	2806	PACT Group (New Zealand) Limited	Support
2968-347	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete entire G.2.7(8) Historic Heritage section. [58/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-347	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Delete entire G.2.7(8) Historic Heritage section. [58/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-348	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete 2.7.9.1(3) about integrated transport guidelines; alternatively, if submitter is satisfied with the final form of Auckland Transport's proposed integrated transport assessment guidelines after, amend clause as follows; ' 3. Matters to be assessed as part of an integrated transport assessment should be ~~prepared in accordance with any integrated transport assessment guidelines adopted~~ guided by matters identified in the ~~by~~ Auckland Transport integrated transport assessment guidelines 2014 ~~and must meet the information requirements set out below~~ . [58/72 vol 3]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-348	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete 2.7.9.1(3) about integrated transport guidelines; alternatively, if submitter is satisfied with the final form of Auckland Transport's proposed integrated transport assessment guidelines after, amend clause as follows; ' 3. Matters to be assessed as part of an integrated transport assessment should be ~~prepared in accordance with any integrated transport assessment guidelines adopted~~ guided by matters identified in the ~~by~~ Auckland Transport integrated transport assessment guidelines 2014 ~~and must meet the information requirements set out below~~ . [58/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-348	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete 2.7.9.1(3) about integrated transport guidelines; alternatively, if submitter is satisfied with the final form of Auckland Transport's proposed integrated transport assessment guidelines after, amend clause as follows; ' 3. Matters to be assessed as part of an integrated transport assessment should be ~~prepared in accordance with any integrated transport assessment guidelines adopted~~ guided by matters identified in the ~~by~~ Auckland Transport integrated transport assessment guidelines 2014 ~~and must meet the information requirements set out below~~ . [58/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-349	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete 2.7.9.2 Information requirements for an integrated transport assessment section. [59/72 vol 3]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-349	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete 2.7.9.2 Information requirements for an integrated transport assessment section. [59/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-349	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	G2.7.9 Integrated transport assessment		Delete 2.7.9.2 Information requirements for an integrated transport assessment section. [59/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-350	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Insert 'integrated shopping centres' into the commerce nesting table as a retail sub-category. [59/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-350	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Insert 'integrated shopping centres' into the commerce nesting table as a retail sub-category. [59/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-350	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Insert 'integrated shopping centres' into the commerce nesting table as a retail sub-category. [59/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-350	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Interpretation, Nesting, Abbreviation and Acronyms		Insert 'integrated shopping centres' into the commerce nesting table as a retail sub-category. [59/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-351	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that all parking and associated access, circulation and manoeuvring areas be excluded from the calculation of GFA, except in the city centre. See submission for proposed changes. [60/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-351	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that all parking and associated access, circulation and manoeuvring areas be excluded from the calculation of GFA, except in the city centre. See submission for proposed changes. [60/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2968-351	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that all parking and associated access, circulation and manoeuvring areas be excluded from the calculation of GFA, except in the city centre. See submission for proposed changes. [60/72 vol 3]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-351	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that all parking and associated access, circulation and manoeuvring areas be excluded from the calculation of GFA, except in the city centre. See submission for proposed changes. [60/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-351	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that all parking and associated access, circulation and manoeuvring areas be excluded from the calculation of GFA, except in the city centre. See submission for proposed changes. [60/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-351	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that all parking and associated access, circulation and manoeuvring areas be excluded from the calculation of GFA, except in the city centre. See submission for proposed changes. [60/72 vol 3]	3358	Mansons TCLM Limited	Support
2968-352	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that public toilets are excluded from the calculation of GFA. See submission for proposed changes. [61/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-352	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that public toilets are excluded from the calculation of GFA. See submission for proposed changes. [61/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-352	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that public toilets are excluded from the calculation of GFA. See submission for proposed changes. [61/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-352	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Gross Floor Area (GFA) definition so that public toilets are excluded from the calculation of GFA. See submission for proposed changes. [61/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	3091	AJK Investments Limited	Support
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	3100	Aryan Equities Limited	Support
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	3107	G&C Worger Family Trust	Support
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	3110	Monte Holdings Limited	Support
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	3112	Stingray Bay Farms Limited	Support
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	3350	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Support
2968-353	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition as follows; ' Outside the CMA, it is the vertical distance between ground level at any point and the highest part of the building immediately above that point, or the vertical distance between the highest part of the building and the average ground level, being the average ground level at the external foundations of the building... '. [61/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	3091	AJK Investments Limited	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	3100	Aryan Equities Limited	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	3107	G&C Worger Family Trust	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	3110	Monte Holdings Limited	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	3112	Stingray Bay Farms Limited	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	3350	Samson Corporation Limited and Sterling Nominees Limited	Support
2968-354	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Height definition to add air conditioning plant as one of the listed exclusions, as follows; ' ...- cooling towers...- air conditioning plant...- chimneys...'. [62/72 vol 3]	3358	Mansons TCLM Limited	Support
2968-355	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Integrated retail developments definition, as follows; 'Integrated ~~retail developments~~ shopping centres' [62/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-355	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Integrated retail developments definition, as follows; 'Integrated ~~retail developments~~ shopping centres' [62/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-355	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Integrated retail developments definition, as follows; 'Integrated ~~retail developments~~ shopping centres' [62/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-355	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Integrated retail developments definition, as follows; 'Integrated ~~retail developments~~ shopping centres' [62/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-356	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Integrated retail developments definition to provide guidance as to elements that may be included, not requirements, in order to provide sufficient operational flexibility. See submission for proposed changes. [62/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-356	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Integrated retail developments definition to provide guidance as to elements that may be included, not requirements, in order to provide sufficient operational flexibility. See submission for proposed changes. [62/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2968-356	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Integrated retail developments definition to provide guidance as to elements that may be included, not requirements, in order to provide sufficient operational flexibility. See submission for proposed changes. [62/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-356	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend Integrated retail developments definition to provide guidance as to elements that may be included, not requirements, in order to provide sufficient operational flexibility. See submission for proposed changes. [62/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-357	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Sign' definition by removing shop display window advertising from the definition of sign, as follows; ' ~~- any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '. [63/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-357	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Sign' definition by removing shop display window advertising from the definition of sign, as follows; ' ~~- any advertising matter placed on, affixed to, or located within a shop display window.~~ '. [63/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-358	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Travel Plan' definition, bullet point 2 as follows; ' A plan which sets out how travel demand is to be managed for a particular site or proposal to:...- ~~to~~ promote the use of ~~more~~ sustainable and active modes of transport such as public transport...'. [63/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-358	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Travel Plan' definition, bullet point 2 as follows; ' A plan which sets out how travel demand is to be managed for a particular site or proposal to:...- ~~to~~ promote the use of ~~more~~ sustainable and active modes of transport such as public transport...'. [63/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-359	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Travel Plan' definition, second-to-last paragraph, as follows; ' A travel plan should be ~~prepared in accordance with~~ guided by current best practice guidance adopted by Auckland Transport.'. [64/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-359	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Definitions	Existing		Amend 'Travel Plan' definition, second-to-last paragraph, as follows; ' A travel plan should be ~~prepared in accordance with~~ guided by current best practice guidance adopted by Auckland Transport.'. [64/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-360	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	West		Retain Metropolitan Centre zoning over Westfield's site in Henderson, as shown in 'Submission 6 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Henderson' on p 72/72 vol 3 of submission. [68/72 vol 3]			
2968-361	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend third paragraph of the text before the Activity Table to add the following at the end of the paragraph; 'For the avoidance of doubt, where the historic heritage plan is not annotated with a # and its extent is delineated, then the rules in activity tables 1 and 2 only apply to the geographic extent of the land or water that has been delineated.'. [68/72 vol 3]			
2968-362	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Delete entire Stormwater Management Area - Flow section. If this relief is not granted, alternatively remove the the SMAF 1 overlay from Westfield's site in Henderson. [68/72 vol 3]			
2968-363	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	C5.14/H4.13Lakes, rivers streams & wetland management area overlays	H4.13.1 Introduction & Activity table	Amend location of indicative stream shown on the Natural Resources Map, Urban Grid 30, and on p71/72 vol 3 of submission, to run through the mapped stream. [71/72 vol 3]			
2968-364	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.3 Height in relation to boundary, Purpose, as follows; ' Purpose:... - manage the effects of building height...- allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to ~~streets,~~ public open space, the subject site and nearby sites. [18/72 vol 3]	831	AMP Capital Investors New Zealand Limited and AMP Capital Property Portfolio Limited	Support
2968-364	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.3 Height in relation to boundary, Purpose, as follows; ' Purpose:... - manage the effects of building height...- allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to ~~streets,~~ public open space, the subject site and nearby sites. [18/72 vol 3]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-364	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.3 Height in relation to boundary, Purpose, as follows; ' Purpose:... - manage the effects of building height...- allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to ~~streets,~~ public open space, the subject site and nearby sites. [18/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-364	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend 4.3 Height in relation to boundary, Purpose, as follows; ' Purpose:... - manage the effects of building height...- allow reasonable sunlight and daylight access to ~~streets,~~ public open space, the subject site and nearby sites. [18/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-365	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(1) Assessment criteria as follows; '1. Building height, height in relation to boundary~~, building setbacks at upper floors, maximum tower dimension and tower separation~~ '. [35/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-365	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 7.2(1) Assessment criteria as follows; '1. Building height, height in relation to boundary~~, building setbacks at upper floors, maximum tower dimension and tower separation~~ '. [35/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-366	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8 and 8.1 Assessment criteria so that 'integrated retail developments' are replaced by 'integrated shopping centres''. See submission for proposed changes. [37/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part
2968-366	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.6 - I3.9 Assessment & I3.10 Special information requirements	Amend 8 and 8.1 Assessment criteria so that 'integrated retail developments' are replaced by 'integrated shopping centres''. See submission for proposed changes. [37/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-367	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete G.2.7(4)(5) under Information requirements, as follows; 5. A cultural impact assessment should be prepared by an iwi authority or a person or entity nominated by the iwi authority with confirmation of this nomination provided in writing by the relevant iwi authority representative (or representatives where there is more than one potentially affected iwi or hapū). '. [56/72 vol 3]	2963	The National Trading Company of New Zealand Limited	Support in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-367	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete G.2.7(4)(5) under Information requirements, as follows; 5. A cultural impact assessment should be prepared by an iwi authority or a person or entity nominated by the iwi authority with confirmation of this nomination provided in writing by the relevant iwi authority representative (or representatives where there is more than one potentially affected iwi or hapū). '. [56/72 vol 3]	3297	Kiwi Income Property Trust and Kiwi Property Holdings Limited	Support in Part
2968-368	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Town Centre zoning over Westfield's site in Glenfield, as shown in 'Submission 7 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Glenfield' on p 7/43 vol 4 of submission. [4/43 vol 4]			
2968-369	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Amend Additional Zone Height Control overlay on Westfield's Glenfield site to allow for up to 32.5m. See submission for map of area. [4/43 vol 4]			
2968-370	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Remove Key Retail Frontage overlay from existing access ways in Glenfield Shopping centre, as shown in map on p5/43 vol 4 of submission. [4/43 vol 4]			
2968-371	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.1 Activity table 1 - Significant historic heritage places	Amend third paragraph of the text before the Activity Table to add the following; For the avoidance of doubt, where the historic heritage plan is not annotated with a # and its extent is delineated, then the rules in activity tables 1 and 2 only apply to the geographic extent of the land or water that has been delineated. '. [5/43 vol 4]			
2968-372	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone the land adjacent to Westfield's Glenfield site (as shown on p6/43 vol 4 of submission) from Public Open Space - Sport and Active Recreation to Mixed Use. [6/43 vol 4]			
2968-373	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Metropolitan Centre zoning over Westfield's site in Newmarket, as shown in 'Submission 8 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Newmarket' on p 19/43 vol 4 of submission. [11/43 vol 4]	3168	Tram Lease Limited and Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited and Viaduct Harbour Management Limited	Support
2968-374	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Mixed Use Centre zoning over part of Westfield's site on Nuffield Street in Newmarket, as shown in 'Submission 8 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Newmarket' on p 19/43 vol 4 of submission. [11/43 vol 4]	3168	Tram Lease Limited and Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited and Viaduct Harbour Management Limited	Support
2968-375	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Newmarket 1	F2.11 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Delete the entire Newmarket 1 precinct. [8/43 vol 4]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-376	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Newmarket 2		Retain Objective 2 [in F2.12] as follows; '2. Commercial and retail activities are enabled at a scale and intensity which ensures that adverse effects on the function of the Newmarket metropolitan centre are avoided. '. [11/43 vol 4]			
2968-377	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Newmarket 2		Retain Policy 2 [in F2.12] as follows; ' 2. Enable a range of activities to establish within the precinct without:...a. undermining the viability and vitality of the Newmarket metropolitan centre as the primary location for business activities ...'. [12/43 vol 4]			
2968-378	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Special Character	Overlay Special character Business	J3.1.6 Maps, App. 10.1 Spec. Character Statements - Bus.	Delete the Special Character overlay from Westfield's sites at 277 and 309 Broadway and Nuffield Street, as shown in 'Submission 8 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Newmarket' on p 19/43 vol 4 of submission. [12/43 vol 4]			
2968-379	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Delete the Key Retail Frontages from Westfield's site in Newmarket, as shown on map in submission. [12/43 vol 4]			
2968-380	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	Mapping	Delete Parking overlay from Westfield's sites at 277 and 309 Broadway and Nuffield Street, as shown in 'Submission 8 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Newmarket' on p 19/43 vol 4 of submission. [13/43 vol 4]			
2968-381	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Delete Additional Height overlay from Westfield's sites at 277 and 309 Broadway and Nuffield Street, as shown in 'Submission 8 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Newmarket' on p 19/43 vol 4 of submission, so that the height limits of 32.5m and 24.5m are removed. [13/43 vol 4]			
2968-382	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Remove General Commercial Frontage overlay from the southern half of the western boundary (along Glenfield road), and the southern boundary (along Downing Street) in Glenfield Shopping centre, as shown in map on p5/43 vol 4 of submission. [4/43 vol 4]			
2968-383	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Delete the T7 Rangitoto Island Viewshaft. [14/43 vol 4]	3168	Tram Lease Limited and Viaduct Harbour Holdings Limited and Viaduct Harbour Management Limited	Support
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	2935	Heart of the City	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	3386	Dilworth Trust Board	Support
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	3391	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Support
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	3504	Southpark Corporation Limited	Support
2968-384	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend first paragraph [of the Introduction] as follows; '1. The volcanic viewshafts protect identified regionally significant views to the volcanic features. The volcanic viewshafts are identified as a layer on the planning maps. It is a restricted discretionary ~~non-complying~~ activity for buildings to penetrate the floor of the volcanic viewshafts except where the land is within a height sensitive area, as explained below. '. [15/43 vol 4]	3514	Auckland Volcanic Cones Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2968-385	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area' from a non-complying to a restricted discretionary activity. 16/43 vol 4]	3386	Dilworth Trust Board	Support
2968-385	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area' from a non-complying to a restricted discretionary activity. 16/43 vol 4]	3391	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Support
2968-385	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area' from a non-complying to a restricted discretionary activity. 16/43 vol 4]	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2968-385	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Amend activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area' from a non-complying to a restricted discretionary activity. 16/43 vol 4]	3504	Southpark Corporation Limited	Support
2968-386	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new matters of discretion for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', as follows; ' 4. Restricted discretionary activities - Matters of discretion...Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to the matters below:...1. Visual integrity of the view of the volcanic cones...2. The contribution the building or structure makes to achieving amenity, efficiency and a compact urban form. '.[16/43 vol 4]	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2968-386	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new matters of discretion for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', as follows; ' 4. Restricted discretionary activities - Matters of discretion...Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to the matters below:...1. Visual integrity of the view of the volcanic cones...2. The contribution the building or structure makes to achieving amenity, efficiency and a compact urban form. '.[16/43 vol 4]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-386	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new matters of discretion for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', as follows; ' 4. Restricted discretionary activities - Matters of discretion...Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to the matters below:...1. Visual integrity of the view of the volcanic cones...2. The contribution the building or structure makes to achieving amenity, efficiency and a compact urban form. '.[16/43 vol 4]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
2968-386	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new matters of discretion for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', as follows; ' 4. Restricted discretionary activities - Matters of discretion...Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to the matters below:...1. Visual integrity of the view of the volcanic cones...2. The contribution the building or structure makes to achieving amenity, efficiency and a compact urban form. '.[16/43 vol 4]	3391	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Support
2968-386	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new matters of discretion for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', as follows; ' 4. Restricted discretionary activities - Matters of discretion...Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to the matters below:...1. Visual integrity of the view of the volcanic cones...2. The contribution the building or structure makes to achieving amenity, efficiency and a compact urban form. '.[16/43 vol 4]	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2968-386	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new matters of discretion for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', as follows; ' 4. Restricted discretionary activities - Matters of discretion...Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to the matters below:...1. Visual integrity of the view of the volcanic cones...2. The contribution the building or structure makes to achieving amenity, efficiency and a compact urban form. '.[16/43 vol 4]	3402	The Elizabeth Knox Home and Hospital	Support
2968-386	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new matters of discretion for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', as follows; ' 4. Restricted discretionary activities - Matters of discretion...Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to the matters below:...1. Visual integrity of the view of the volcanic cones...2. The contribution the building or structure makes to achieving amenity, efficiency and a compact urban form. '.[16/43 vol 4]	3504	Southpark Corporation Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-386	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new matters of discretion for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', as follows; ' 4. Restricted discretionary activities - Matters of discretion...Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to the matters below:...1. Visual integrity of the view of the volcanic cones...2. The contribution the building or structure makes to achieving amenity, efficiency and a compact urban form. '.[16/43 vol 4]	3514	Auckland Volcanic Cones Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
2968-387	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new assessment criteria for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', about the integrity of the view of the volcanic feature and the positive effects of the building or structure. See submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 4]	855	Les Mills Holdings Limited	Support
2968-387	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new assessment criteria for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', about the integrity of the view of the volcanic feature and the positive effects of the building or structure. See submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 4]	868	DNZ Property Fund Limited et al	Support
2968-387	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new assessment criteria for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', about the integrity of the view of the volcanic feature and the positive effects of the building or structure. See submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 4]	3386	Dilworth Trust Board	Support
2968-387	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new assessment criteria for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', about the integrity of the view of the volcanic feature and the positive effects of the building or structure. See submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 4]	3391	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Support
2968-387	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new assessment criteria for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', about the integrity of the view of the volcanic feature and the positive effects of the building or structure. See submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 4]	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2968-387	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Add new assessment criteria for the proposed restricted activity status of 'Buildings and structures except in a height sensitive area', about the integrity of the view of the volcanic feature and the positive effects of the building or structure. See submission for proposed changes. [17/43 vol 4]	3504	Southpark Corporation Limited	Support
2968-388	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete 2(1) Notification as follows; ~~1. The council will publicly notify resource consent applications for all non-complying activities within the volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive areas overlay.~~'. [16/43 vol 4]	3386	Dilworth Trust Board	Support
2968-388	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete 2(1) Notification as follows; ~~1. The council will publicly notify resource consent applications for all non-complying activities within the volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive areas overlay.~~'. [16/43 vol 4]	3391	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Support
2968-388	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete 2(1) Notification as follows; ~~1. The council will publicly notify resource consent applications for all non-complying activities within the volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive areas overlay.~~'. [16/43 vol 4]	3393	Auckland District Health Board	Support
2968-388	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete 2(1) Notification as follows; ~~1. The council will publicly notify resource consent applications for all non-complying activities within the volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive areas overlay.~~'. [16/43 vol 4]	3402	The Elizabeth Knox Home and Hospital	Support
2968-388	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	J6.3 Rules		Delete 2(1) Notification as follows; ~~1. The council will publicly notify resource consent applications for all non-complying activities within the volcanic viewshafts and height sensitive areas overlay.~~'. [16/43 vol 4]	3504	Southpark Corporation Limited	Support
2968-389	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Designations	New Zealand Transport Agency	General	Delete NZTA designation ID 6727 as shown on map in submission. [17/43 vol 4]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-390	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone Coventry Lane in the Newmarket Metropolitan Centre to a Metropolitan Centre zoning. See map in submission. [18/43 vol 4]			
2968-391	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	South		Retain Metropolitan Centre zoning over Westfield's site in Manukau, as shown in 'Submission 9 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Manukau' on p 27/43 vol 4 of submission. [23/43 vol 4]	2925	McDonalds Restaurants (NZ) Limited	Support
2968-392	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Remove Key Retail Frontage overlay from Ronwood Ave in Manukau Shopping centre, as shown on map in submission, and replace with a General Commercial Frontage. [23/43 vol 4]			
2968-393	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Transport	Auckland -wide	Mapping	Remove part of the Vehicle Access Restriction (Motorway Interchange Control) from Manukau Station Road at the south-western tip of the Manukau Metropolitan Centre. See submission for map. [24/43 vol 4]	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2968-394	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Delete entire Stormwater Management Area - Flow section and remove overlay from maps. If this is not granted then remove the SMAF 1 overlay from Westfield's site in Manukau. [24/43 vol 4]			
2968-395	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Town Centre zoning over Westfield's site in Saint Lukes, as shown in 'Submission 10 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Saint Lukes' on p 43/43 vol 4 of submission. [31/43 vol 4]			
2968-396	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	F2.20 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend references to 'Saint Lukes Centre' in the Precinct description to 'Saint Lukes Shopping Centre' and continue this throughout the whole Saint Lukes precinct provisions. [31/43 vol 4]			
2968-397	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	F2.20 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend references to 'concept plan' in the Precinct description to 'precinct plan' and continue this throughout the whole Saint Lukes precinct provisions. [31/43 vol 4]			
2968-398	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	F2.20 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Precinct Description, paragraph 5, to reflect requested changes to accommodate additional height in the precinct, as follows; ' ~~Floor area limitations~~ Intensity controls reflect the ~~level of development~~ floor area of activity considered appropriate and sustainable, particularly in terms of potential traffic generation effects. In addition to the precinct plans providing for lateral expansion, the height ~~limits~~ controls provide for ~~allow~~ flexibility in the configuration of floor area, including higher buildings on two prime corners of the site, and a mixture of uses... '. [32/43 vol 4]			
2968-399	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	F2.20 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Delete the following sentence at the beginning of the objectives section, as follows; 'Objectives...~~The objectives are as listed in the Town Centre zone except as specified below:~~ '. [33/43 vol 4]			
2968-400	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	F2.20 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Delete the following sentence at the beginning of the policies section, as follows; '~~Policies...The policies are as listed in the Town Centre zone except as specified below:~~ '. [33/43 vol 4]			
2968-401	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	F2.20 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 1 as follows; '1. Enable t~~T~~ he future expansion~~, and re~~ development and intensification of the Saint Lukes Shopping C~~e~~ entre~~is enabled~~ . '. [33/43 vol 4]			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-402	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	F2.20 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Objective 2 as follows; '2. Integrate t~~T~~he ~~future~~ outward expansion and ~~re~~ development of the Saint Lukes Shopping C~~e~~ entre ~~is integrated~~ with nearby and adjoining residential and commercial areas.'. [33/43 vol 4]			
2968-403	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	F2.20 Precinct Description, Objectives & Policies	Amend Policy 3 as follows; ' 3. Enable a private road extension linking Exeter Road and Aroha Avenue, that provides a safe, pleasant and convenient pedestrian access to the Saint Lukes Shopping C~~e~~ entre from the residential areas to the east, as well as promoting a pedestrian-oriented focus for the expanded Shopping C~~e~~ entre. ' [33/43 vol 4]			
2968-404	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend Activity table so that Recreation Facilities are shown as permitted activities in the table. See submission for proposed changes. [34/43 vol 4]			
2968-405	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend Activity table so that 'Accessory buildings or accessory activity for any permitted activities' are shown as permitted activities in the table. See submission for proposed changes. [34/43 vol 4]			
2968-406	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend Activity table so that 'Exterior signs which are part of an activity or development requiring resource consent' are shown as restricted discretionary activities in the table. See submission for proposed changes. [34/43 vol 4]			
2968-407	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 3(1) and (2) Development controls, so that non-compliance with development controls is a restricted discretionary activity. See submission for proposed changes. [34/43 vol 4]			
2968-408	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 3.1 Table 1, so that the height limit in the centre is 32.5m. See submission for proposed changes. [34/43 vol 4]			
2968-409	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 3.6(2)(c) Development controls for Parking, loading and access as follows; '2. For GFA in excess of 45,473m2, parking spaces are to be provided on the site in accordance with the following rates as a minimum: for dwellings: one space per dwelling ~~the rates set out in Table 4 in clause 3.2 of the Auckland-wide rules - Transport section~~ in addition to the table below '. [35/43 vol 4]			
2968-410	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 3.6(2)(d) Development controls for Parking, loading and access to specifically reference Table 4 in clause 3.2 as follows; '2. For GFA in excess of 45,473m2, parking spaces are to be provided on the site in accordance with the following rates as a minimum: for other activities: the rates set out in Table 4 clause 3.2 of the Auckland-wide rules - Transport section.. '. [35/43 vol 4]			
2968-411	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 3.8(1) Development controls for Tree protection as follows; '~~3.8 Tree protection...1. Trees identified on Map 3: Concept plan 3 as trees subject to the tree protection rule and described and identified in Table 3 must not be altered, removed or have works undertaken within the dripline.~~ '. [35/43 vol 4]			
2968-412	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 4 - Additional Controls as follows; '4. ~~Additional controls~~ Required Works and Financial Contributions '. [36/43 vol 4]			
2968-413	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 4 - Additional Controls, first sentence, as follows; ' ~~An application to infringe the additional rules below will be considered as a discretionary activity.~~ '. [36/43 vol 4]			
2968-414	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.1 Matters of discretion as follows; 'For activities/development that is a restricted discretionary activity in the Saint Lukes precinct, the council will restrict its discretion to the following matters, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the underlying zone. For the avoidance of doubt, these general matters of discretion do not apply to an activity that is restricted discretionary due to an infringement of the specific additional controls in section 4 above. '. [36/43 vol 4]			
2968-415	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.2(7) assessment criteria for 'Parking not meeting the requirements of clause 3.6 above' as follows; '7. Parking and loading areas not meeting the requirements of clause 3.6 above. '. [36/43 vol 4]			
2968-416	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.2(7)(a)(i) to include new assessment criteria for parking and loading areas. See submission for proposed changes. [36/43 vol 4]			
2968-417	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.2(7)(a)(ii) to include new assessment criteria for reduction in parking spaces. See submission for proposed changes. [37/43 vol 4]			
2968-418	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Amend 6.2(7)(a)(iii) to include new assessment criteria for departure from loading space requirements, as follows; 'iii. Departure from loading space requirements: Whether departing from the requirements of clauses 3.2 and 3.3 of the Auckland-wide rules – Transport section will cause adverse off-site traffic effects or adverse effects to on-site safety. '. [37/43 vol 4]			
2968-419	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Add new 6.2(9) assessment criteria for subdivision. See submission for proposed changes. [38/43 vol 4]			
2968-420	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Add new 6.2(10) assessment criteria for Exterior signs, as follows; '10. Exterior signs...a. Applications will be assessed in terms of the extent to which exterior signage is integrated with the exterior design of the buildings. '. '. [39/43 vol 4]			
2968-421	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	K2.20 Precinct Rules	Add a definition of 'site' to be used in the precinct, as follows; 'Except where context requires otherwise, for the purpose of this Precinct and other provisions of the Unitary Plan applying to the Precinct, site means the area covered by the Precinct as shown on the Precinct Plan. '. [39/43 vol 4]			
2968-422	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	l3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend height of 82-84 and 86b St Lukes Road, Mt Albert (the Council library site bordering the south-east corner of Saint Lukes precinct) so that the height limit increases from 16.5m to 32.5m. See submission for map. [39/43 vol 4]			
2968-423	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from 48 and 50 Aroha Avenue, on the northern edge of the St Lukes precinct, as shown in map in submission. [40/43 vol 4]			
2968-424	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Remove Key Retail frontage and General Commercial Frontage from the opposite side of Morningside Drive to the St Lukes precinct, as shown on map in submission. [40/43 vol 4]			
2968-425	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	Central		Rezone a portion of Exeter Road, Mt Albert (adjacent to the St Lukes precinct) to Town Centre zone. See submission for map of area. [41/43 vol 4]			
2968-426	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - Central	Saint Lukes	Mapping	Amend the Saint Lukes precinct boundary so that the precinct includes the portion of Exeter Road, Mt Albert (adjacent to the St Lukes precinct) shown on the map in submission. [41/43 vol 4]			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-427	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	Central		Retain Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone around St Lukes precinct, as shown on map in submission. [42/43 vol 4]			
2968-428	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Metropolitan Centre zoning over Westfield's site in Albany, as shown in 'Submission 11 Schedule 2: Map of Westfield's site in Albany' on p 14/14 vol 5 of submission. [4/14 vol 5]			
2968-429	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Precinct Description, paragraph 2, as follows; 'The precinct recognises the component parts of the Metropolitan Centre zone ~~on the north facing sections of Albany Centre~~ and ~~also~~ the supporting role of the southern section covered by the Business Park zone. It identifies four sub-precincts with different development emphases and requires activities to develop in accordance with the Albany Centre precinct plan 1. '. [4/14 vol 5]			
2968-430	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Precinct Description, paragraphs 3 and 4 to recognise the importance of the Albany Shopping centre within the context of the Albany Centre precinct. See submission for proposed changes. [4/14 vol 5]			
2968-431	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Replace references to the 'structure plan' with 'precinct plan' throughout the precinct, for consistency with other parts of the proposed Unitary Plan that refer to similar types of plans. See submission for proposed changes to the objectives and policies section of the precinct. [5/14 vol 5]			
2968-432	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Objective 3 as follows; 'Ongoing use and development of the Albany integrated shopping centre, North Shore Domain and North Harbour Stadium is not compromised by the location and development of other activities within Albany centre. '. [6/14 vol 5]	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Oppose
2968-432	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Objective 3 as follows; 'Ongoing use and development of the Albany integrated shopping centre, North Shore Domain and North Harbour Stadium is not compromised by the location and development of other activities within Albany centre. '. [6/14 vol 5]	3508	Albany Rose Garden Development Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-433	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove the overlay from Exeter Road properties on the northern edge of the St Lukes precinct [44-48 Morningside Drive, Morningside and 2-4 Exeter Road, Sandringham] as shown on map in submission. [40/43 vol 4]			
2968-434	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Add new Objective 4 as follows; '4. The use and development of the Civic Crescent area is maintained and enhanced as the retail focus for the centre and is not compromised by the location and development of other activities within Albany Centre.'. [6/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support in Part
2968-434	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Add new Objective 4 as follows; '4. The use and development of the Civic Crescent area is maintained and enhanced as the retail focus for the centre and is not compromised by the location and development of other activities within Albany Centre.'. [6/14 vol 5]	3508	Albany Rose Garden Development Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-435	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Objective 4 as follows; '4. High-density residential development is the primary activity, and a limited range of retail uses is enabled where they support the desirability and attractiveness of the area and do not detract from the retail focus provided in the Civic Crescent and Main Street areas of Albany Centre.'. [6/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-435	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Objective 4 as follows; '4. High-density residential development is the primary activity, and a limited range of retail uses is enabled where they support the desirability and attractiveness of the area and do not detract from the retail focus provided in the Civic Crescent and Main Street areas of Albany Centre.'. [6/14 vol 5]	3508	Albany Rose Garden Development Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-436	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Objective 5 as follows; '5. Significant employment opportunities are provided by high--intensity development ~~in Albany centre~~ to support future population growth.'. [7/14 vol 5]			
2968-437	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Objective 6 as follows; '6. High--density office development is the primary activity, with a limited range of retail uses where they support the desirability and attractiveness of the area and do not detract from the retail focus provided in the Civic Crescent and Main Street areas of Albany Centre.'. [7/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-438	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Objective 7 as follows; '7. Car--orientated commercial and entertainment activities are the primary use, with a limited range of retail uses where they support the desirability and attractiveness of the area and do not detract from the retail focus provided in the Civic Crescent and Main Street areas of Albany Centre.'. [7/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-439	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Objective 8 as follows; '8. Office development in a business park environment is the primary activity, with a limited and specific location for retail uses to support the desirability and attractiveness of the area and ~~that~~ which do not detract from the retail focus provided in the Civic Crescent and Main Street areas ~~central and northern part~~ of Albany centre.'. [7/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-440	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Policy 2 as follows; '2. Provide for a range of opportunities for retail activities within the centre that support the desired employment, urban form and character outcomes for its different sub--precincts, while recognizing the overarching role of the Civic Crescent area as the retail focus.'. [8/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support in Part
2968-441	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Retain Policy 8 as follows; '8. Focus retail and entertainment activities within the centre predominantly on public streets, particularly the central block south of the Civic Crescent and the development of a Main Street area linking the busway station with this area. '. [8/14 vol 5]			
2968-442	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Policy 6(a) so that it is not interpreted as requiring buildings to be constructed right to the Civic Crescent street frontage, as follows; 'a. creation of an attractive, active and lively retail street frontage ~~to Civic Crescent and~~ Cornerstone Drive between Don Mackinnon Drive and Corban Avenue'. [8/14 vol 5]			
2968-443	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Policy 6(b) as it is not appropriate to provide for a new street between Don McKinnon Drive and Civic Crescent. Amend as follows, and make consequential changes to maps; 'b. enabling vehicle and pedestrian access throughout the ~~C~~ centre ~~to help link the northern and southern areas of the centre, including a new street between Don McKinnon Drive and Civic Crescent~~ '. [8/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2968-444	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Policy 11 to remove the extensive criteria which are inappropriate for a policy. Amend the beginning of the policy as follows, and delete clauses a.-i.. '11. Require development within the centre to be of an urban character appropriate to its sub regional role, with the creation of a strong local identity. ~~Elements that contribute to the creation of strong local identity include the various features shown in the Albany Centre Structure Plan and:...~~ . [9/14 vol 5]			
2968-445	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Policy 12 as follows; '12. Require development of high-density residential to provide for apartments with a high standard of residential amenity and a limited amount of convenience retail that does not detract from the retail focus provided in the Civic Crescent and Main Street areas of Albany Centre. '. [12/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-445	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Policy 12 as follows; '12. Require development of high-density residential to provide for apartments with a high standard of residential amenity and a limited amount of convenience retail that does not detract from the retail focus provided in the Civic Crescent and Main Street areas of Albany Centre. '. [12/14 vol 5]	3508	Albany Rose Garden Development Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-446	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Policy 17 as follows; '17. Limit retailing to locations that provide for the needs of office activities and which do not diminish the business park amenity of the sub--precinct, or conflict with the focus of such activity in the Civic Crescent area. '. [12/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support in Part
2968-447	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Activity Table 1 - Sub-precinct A so that line 9 under Commerce, 'Retail greater than 100m2 GFA per site', changes from a discretionary activity to a non-complying activity'. [10/14 vol 5]	3508	Albany Rose Garden Development Limited	Oppose in Part
2968-448	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend Activity Table 2 - Sub-precinct B so that line 8 under Commerce, 'Retail greater than 100m2 GFA per site', changes from a discretionary activity to a non-complying activity'. [10/14 vol 5]			
2968-449	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Amend 3.3(4) development control, maximum building setback, as follows; '4. Any development not meeting the building setback controls set out above is a non complying activity except for the following which are restricted discretionary activities: '. [11/14 vol 5]			
2968-450	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Precincts - North	Albany Centre		Delete 3.4(1) development control for location of parking, as follows; '~~1. Ground floor parking within a building must not be located adjacent to the street frontage or any space in public ownership. Buildings must be designed to accommodate a business or residential activity, depending on the zone, between any ground floor parking and the building frontage.~~ '. [11/14 vol 5]			
2968-451	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Business (excluding City Centre)	Overlay Building Frontage Maps only		Remove all Key Retail frontage and General Commercial Frontage from Westfield's Albany Shopping Centre site, as shown on map in submission. [1/14 vol 5]	2039	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support
2968-452	Westfield (New Zealand) Limited	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Delete entire Stormwater Management Area - Flow section and remove overlay from maps. If this is not granted then remove the SMAF 1 overlay from Westfield's site in Albany. [12/14 vol 5]			
2969-1	Gabrielle Becroft	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone 1 Kowhai Street, Takapuna from Single House to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2969-2	Gabrielle Becroft	Residential zones	Residential	Land use controls	Amend minimum site size Rule 3.1 for unlimited density to 1000m^2			
2969-3	Gabrielle Becroft	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Delete Rule 2.7.4 requirements for Cultural Impact Assessments.			
2969-4	Gabrielle Becroft	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Delete the 3rd paragraph under the heading "Assessment Criteria' of 1.4			
2969-5	Gabrielle Becroft	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend 1.4 to clarify that the matters for discretion and assessment criteria listed for controlled and restricted discretionary activities are the sole matters for assessment for these types of consent.			
2970-1	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Single House, Large Lot Residential, Rural and Coastal Settlements	Include appropriate development controls which impose limitations on multi-unit housing development and intensive residential uses and assessment criteria in the Single House zone that applies to Omaha Beach North, to recognise the servicing limitations of the Jones Road Sewerage Treatment System.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-2	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Precincts - North	Omaha South		Retain (replicate) the existing Special Purpose Zone Special 16 (Omaha South) Development Zone; or alternatively amend the Omaha South Precinct provisions to replicate in their entirety the objectives, policies, activity table, development controls and assessment criteria presently found in the Special 16 (Omaha South Development) Zone save for those provisions which have no further utility or relevance.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-3	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Rural Zones	D6.4 Rural Coastal zone desc, obs & pols		Retain the zone and associated plan provisions including all objectives and policies in respect of the rural coastal land to the south of Omaha Beach South Settlement through to Tawharanui Regional Park.	891	Whangateau HarbourCare Group (Incorporated)	Support
2970-3	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Rural Zones	D6.4 Rural Coastal zone desc, obs & pols		Retain the zone and associated plan provisions including all objectives and policies in respect of the rural coastal land to the south of Omaha Beach South Settlement through to Tawharanui Regional Park.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-4	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Delete the overlays relating to possible flooding affecting Omaha Beach Settlement [refer alternative relief, submission points 5 - 9].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-5	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Amend the overlay maps to accurately depict/identify flood sensitive areas, flood plains, overland flow paths or flood prone areas [refer alternative relief, submission point 4].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-6	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete all rules and provisions relating to flood prone areas [refer alternative relief, submission point 4].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-7	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Delete requirements for resource consenting relating to potential flooding hazard where the building consent process will achieve the appropriate outcome [refer alternative relief, submission point 4].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-8	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend provisions relating to flood prone areas: (a) change discretionary or non-complying activity requirements to restricted discretionary, so that all activity requirements are permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary; (b) Introduce performance standards and/or a requirement for a flood hazard assessment where the effects of any works or structures in flood prone areas would be no more than minor and therefore the activity should be permitted [refer alternative relief, submission point 4].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2970-8	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Flooding	H4.12 Rules	Amend provisions relating to flood prone areas: (a) change discretionary or non-complying activity requirements to restricted discretionary, so that all activity requirements are permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary; (b) Introduce performance standards and/or a requirement for a flood hazard assessment where the effects of any works or structures in flood prone areas would be no more than minor and therefore the activity should be permitted [refer alternative relief, submission point 4].	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-9	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Delete all existing rule provisions and revert to those in the Regional Land, Air and Water Plan and/or Operative District Plan (Rodney Section) in relation to Omaha Beach Settlement [refer alternative relief, submission points 10, 15, 16].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-10	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend the Activity Table Performance Standards and other provisions so that all stormwater management activity provisions have a permitted controlled or (at worst) restricted discretionary activity status. Ensure the Activity Table relating to impervious area thresholds or other provisions relating to control of discharge of stormwater to ground soakage enables all ground soakage from residential dwellings as a permitted activity at Omaha Beach Settlement [refer alternative relief, submission point 9].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-11	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Definitions	Existing		Delete definition 'land which may be subject to natural hazard' or alternatively, delete the 4th bullet point of the definition, which refers to 'any natural hazard area identified in a Council natural hazard register/database or GIS viewer'.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-12	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Definitions	Existing		Utilise existing definitions in the Regional Plan and/or the Operative District Plan (Rodney Section) in respect of rivers, streams and water courses.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-13	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.2 Stormwater management -flow rules	Delete all existing rule provisions and revert to those in the Regional Land, Air and Water Plan and/or Operative District Plan (Rodney Section) in relation to Omaha Beach Settlement [refer alternative relief, submission points 10-13].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-14	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Delete all existing rule provisions and revert to those in the Regional Land, Air and Water Plan and/or Operative District Plan (Rodney Section) in relation to Omaha Beach Settlement [refer alternative relief, submission points 10-13].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-15	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.1 Stormwater discharge rules	Amend the Activity Table Performance Standards and other provisions so that all stormwater management activity provisions have a permitted controlled or (at worst) restricted discretionary activity status. Ensure the Activity Table relating to impervious area thresholds or other provisions relating to control of discharge of stormwater to ground soakage enables all ground soakage from residential dwellings as a permitted activity at Omaha Beach Settlement [refer alternative relief, submission point 13].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-16	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Water	Stormwater	H4.14.3 Stormwater - quality rules	Amend the Activity Table Performance Standards and other provisions so that all stormwater management activity provisions have a permitted controlled or (at worst) restricted discretionary activity status. Ensure the Activity Table relating to impervious area thresholds or other provisions relating to control of discharge of stormwater to ground soakage enables all ground soakage from residential dwellings as a permitted activity at Omaha Beach Settlement [refer alternative relief, submission point 14].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-17	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Delete Appendix 4.2, the objectives and policies, description, rules, assessment criteria and extent of Council's discretion relating to site or places of value to Mana Whenua [refer alternative relief at submission points 18 and 19].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-17	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	Appendix 4.2 Sites and Places of value to Mana Whenua	Delete Appendix 4.2, the objectives and policies, description, rules, assessment criteria and extent of Council's discretion relating to site or places of value to Mana Whenua [refer alternative relief at submission points 18 and 19].	2940	A G Dryden Limited	Support
2970-18	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.1/J5.1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua	E5.1 Overlay description, objectives and policies	Identify the sites or places of value to iwi on the mapping through the historic overlay as locations (not 200m circles), and the extent of protection and any requirement for consenting should be in respect of the identified sites as recorded in the CHI and NZAA records, save that any that have been modified, destroyed or lost since those records were made should be reviewed and where the sites no longer have signficance to mana whenua they should be deleted from the appendix.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-19	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Amend [the rules] for the sites or places of value to Mana Whenua (if retained) so that a restricted discretionary activity is identified for earthworks within a site or place of value to Mana Whenua, being the site as recorded in the CHI and NZAA records.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-20	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Restrict the extent of discretion for earthworks within a site or place of value to Mana Whenua to the effect of the proposal on the values and associations of Mana Whenua with the recorded site or place i.e. eliminating the reference to the 'context of the Māori cultural landscape'.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-21	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Mana Whenua	Overlay E5.2/J5.2 Sites & Places of value to Mana Whenua	J5.2 Rules	Delete the reference to 'the context of the Māori cultural landscape and cumulative effects' from the assessment criteria [under J5.2.3].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-22	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Mana Whenua	General provisions	G2.7.4 Cultural impact assessment	Amend to require only consultation with the mandated iwi for the site or place of value in question, without a requirement for a wider consultation or a cultural heritage assessment.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-23	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Mangroves	D5.1.6 Background, objectives and policies	Rewrite the introduction, objectives and polices [Vegetation: mangrove management inferred] so that there is recognition that in some parts of the region, coastal ecosystems have been invaded by mangroves, with resultant adverse effects and the removal of them should not only be enabled but encouraged including by enabling in those locations mangrove removal (and better still eradication) as a permitted activity.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-24	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Amend [1.5 Our Rural and Coastal Environment] to recognise the Whangateau as an important regional harbour system.	891	Whangateau HarbourCare Group (Incorporated)	Support
2970-24	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Amend [1.5 Our Rural and Coastal Environment] to recognise the Whangateau as an important regional harbour system.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2970-25	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	RPS	Coastal	B7 Introduction	Amend the introductory statements to recognise the [Whangateau Harbour] coastal environment and the adverse effects of mangroves upon it [Chapter B.7 inferred].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-26	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Mangroves	D5.1.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend the introductory statements, objectives and policies to recognise that where mangroves are not a "natural" part of a particular coastal ecosystem then the invasion by mangroves of that ecosystem will inevitably have adverse effects on ecological values, traditional shellfish and fish populations and species, access to food for wading birds, as well as adverse effects on public access, navigation and amenity values [D 5.1.6 inferred].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-27	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Amend the rules to appropriately recognise that where mangroves are not a "natural" part of a particular coastal ecosystem then the invasion by mangroves of that ecosystem will inevitably have adverse effects on ecological values, traditional shellfish and fish populations and species, access to food for wading birds, as well as adverse effects on public access, navigation and amenity values [I.6.1.4 inferred].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-28	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Rewrite the rules to remove 1996 as the "cut-off point" for permitted mangrove removal.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-29	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Amend the rules so that for important harbour river and estuarine systems, permitted activity status should be applied in a way which recognises available evidence as to whether or not mangroves are a natural part of the coastal ecosystem or an invasive species. Make provision for mangrove removal as a permitted activity (without any timeline constraint) in the Whangateu Harbour, the Waikokupu Estuary and the Omaha River.	235	Mangrove Protection Society	Oppose in Part
2970-29	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Amend the rules so that for important harbour river and estuarine systems, permitted activity status should be applied in a way which recognises available evidence as to whether or not mangroves are a natural part of the coastal ecosystem or an invasive species. Make provision for mangrove removal as a permitted activity (without any timeline constraint) in the Whangateu Harbour, the Waikokupu Estuary and the Omaha River.	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2970-30	Omaha Beach Community (Incorporated)	RPS	Coastal	B7.1 Subdivision, use & development - coastal environment	Amend the policies and objectives to recognise the [Whangateau Harbour] coastal environment and the adverse effects of mangroves upon it [1 Chapter B.7 inferred].	2593	Omaha Park Limited	Oppose in Part
2971-1	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.6 Public open space and recreation facilities	Retain all provisions.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-2	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.7 Social infrastructure	Retain all provisions.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-3	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	General	Noise and vibration	C7.3 Background, objectives and policies	Add a new objective (as outlined in submission) seeking to address reverse sensitivity effects on established recreational and sport activities occurring with public spaces.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2971-4	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	D2.3 Sport & Active Recreation zone desc, obs & pols		Retain all the provisions.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support in Part
2971-5	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Retain provision.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-6	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	G2.7.5 Information requirements	Delete provision.			
2971-7	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.2 Controls /H4.11.3 Assessment	Add new Rule regarding Special Information Requirements which includes the existing G2.7.5 [which is to be deleted].			
2971-8	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	G2.7.8 Spec. info. req. & G2.5-1 Accidental discovery protocols	Amend Rule 2.7.8(3) as follows: "Any application for resource consent on land or affected water that shares a site boundary with, and is located within 20 metres of, ~~adjacent to~~ a scheduled historic heritage place must include a heritage impact assessment."	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-9	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	Delete the coastal inundation overlay from the Devonport Domain, Devonport.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-10	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	General	Non-statutory information on GIS viewer		Delete the Flood Plain and Flood Prone Areas from the Devonport Domain, Devonport.			
2971-11	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	General	Temporary Activities (C7.5 and H6.5)		Retain Temporary Activities 1.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-12	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Add new rules to provide for "Earthworks for operation, maintenance and repair of sports fields" as a permitted activity in all overlays.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-13	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the Activity Table - overlays to "Align the earthworks thresholds to reflect actual and potential adverse effects from earthworks in general".	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-14	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the Activity Table - overlays to increase the threholds which trigger the need for resource consent.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-14	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the Activity Table - overlays to increase the threholds which trigger the need for resource consent.	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
2971-14	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Earthworks	H4.2.1.2 Activity table - Overlays		Amend the Activity Table - overlays to increase the threholds which trigger the need for resource consent.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
2971-15	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Amend Rule 3(2) "Recreational noise" Clauses (b) and (c) to allow organised sport and recreation to occur between the hours of 8am and 8pm on Sunday and public holidays during daylight-savings time.			
2971-16	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Retain the existing rules for the Sport and Active Recreation zone.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2971-17	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.2-3 & I2.5 Land use & Dev. controls & Spec. info. req.	Retain the existing development controls as they relate to the Sport and Active Recreation zone.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-18	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Temporary Activities".	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-18	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Definitions	Existing		Retain the definition of "Temporary Activities".	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2971-19	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Parks maintenance" to include: "compaction, re-topsoiling, reseeding, moving and marking as part of preparation of cricket pitches".	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-20	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of "Clubroom" to include the follows: "Includes activities ancillary to any public open space, sport, recreational or community organisation such as cafes, bars, offices, public amenities, changing rooms and indoor training facilities."	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support
2971-21	North Shore Cricket Club Incorporated	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Retain the existing Activity Table - zones as they relate to the maintenance and development of sports fields and facilities.	1554	Auckland Cricket Association	Support in Part
2972-1	Robert E and Linda D Uren	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2972-1	Robert E and Linda D Uren	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2972-2	Robert E and Linda D Uren	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2972-2	Robert E and Linda D Uren	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2973-1	Glen and Wendy Nasmith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2973-1	Glen and Wendy Nasmith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2973-2	Glen and Wendy Nasmith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2973-2	Glen and Wendy Nasmith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2974-1	In Kyu Shin and Hyung Ryun Kim	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2974-1	In Kyu Shin and Hyung Ryun Kim	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2974-2	In Kyu Shin and Hyung Ryun Kim	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2974-2	In Kyu Shin and Hyung Ryun Kim	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2975-1	David B and Gaynor Ronkowski	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2975-1	David B and Gaynor Ronkowski	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2975-2	David B and Gaynor Ronkowski	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2975-2	David B and Gaynor Ronkowski	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2976-1	Hans Heumann	Zoning	Central		Rezone 38 Oliver St Pt Chevalier from Single House to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2976-2	Hans Heumann	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Remove 38 Oliver St Pt Chevalier from this overlay.			
2976-3	Hans Heumann	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	Coastal inundation - maps only	No specific relief sought re coastal innudation in the vicinity of 38 Oliver St Pt Chevalier [infer seeks confrimation a second dwelling can be built].			
2976-4	Hans Heumann	Trees	Overlay E6.1/J6.4 Notable Trees	Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees	Remove this overlay from 38 Oliver St Pt Chevalier [rule reference unknown]	148	Peter Waddell	Support
2977-1	John and Beo Smith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2977-1	John and Beo Smith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2977-2	John and Beo Smith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2977-2	John and Beo Smith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2978-1	Xiu F Dong	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2978-1	Xiu F Dong	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2978-2	Xiu F Dong	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2978-2	Xiu F Dong	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2979-1	Maree A J Coley	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2979-1	Maree A J Coley	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2979-2	Maree A J Coley	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2979-2	Maree A J Coley	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2980-1	Zhi M Zhang and Xiao L Ge	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2980-1	Zhi M Zhang and Xiao L Ge	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2980-2	Zhi M Zhang and Xiao L Ge	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2980-2	Zhi M Zhang and Xiao L Ge	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2981-1	Kwang Soo and Young Mi Han	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2981-1	Kwang Soo and Young Mi Han	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2981-2	Kwang Soo and Young Mi Han	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2981-2	Kwang Soo and Young Mi Han	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2982-1	Patrich (Trish) Hutt	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2982-1	Patrich (Trish) Hutt	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2982-2	Patrich (Trish) Hutt	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2982-2	Patrich (Trish) Hutt	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2983-1	Pai-ta and Shiao C H Chou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2983-1	Pai-ta and Shiao C H Chou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2983-2	Pai-ta and Shiao C H Chou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2983-2	Pai-ta and Shiao C H Chou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2984-1	John and Pauline Scott	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2984-1	John and Pauline Scott	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2984-2	John and Pauline Scott	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2984-2	John and Pauline Scott	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2985-1	Wilkinson Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2985-1	Wilkinson Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2985-2	Wilkinson Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2985-2	Wilkinson Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2986-1	Ian T and Florence J Gatman	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2986-1	Ian T and Florence J Gatman	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2986-2	Ian T and Florence J Gatman	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2986-2	Ian T and Florence J Gatman	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2987-1	Nicola Moen	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
2987-2	Nicola Moen	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
2987-3	Nicola Moen	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2987-4	Nicola Moen	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
2987-5	Nicola Moen	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
2987-6	Nicola Moen	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
2987-7	Nicola Moen	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
2987-8	Nicola Moen	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
2987-9	Nicola Moen	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2988-1	Yoshie Mahn	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
2988-2	Yoshie Mahn	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
2988-3	Yoshie Mahn	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2988-4	Yoshie Mahn	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
2988-5	Yoshie Mahn	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
2988-6	Yoshie Mahn	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
2988-7	Yoshie Mahn	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
2988-8	Yoshie Mahn	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
2988-9	Yoshie Mahn	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
2989-1	Keun K Lim and Soon H Kim	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2989-1	Keun K Lim and Soon H Kim	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2989-2	Keun K Lim and Soon H Kim	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2989-2	Keun K Lim and Soon H Kim	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2990-1	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the use of GFA as a trigger for determing activity status in the Activity Table and use site coverage and/or specific building/activity footprint areas to determine activity status. Refer to Submission pg 7/71 for details.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
2990-1	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the use of GFA as a trigger for determing activity status in the Activity Table and use site coverage and/or specific building/activity footprint areas to determine activity status. Refer to Submission pg 7/71 for details.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support in Part
2990-2	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Replace the following statement that precedes the Activity Table: "To avoid doubt the GFA applies to the whole area of the major recreation facility (i.e. cumulative total within the facility)" with "..., the area (m^2) thresholds in the Activity Table for any activity apply to the individual area of each premises or space used for a listed activity (and do not refer to a cumulative total within any building or the site as a whole for any particular activity type)".	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support in Part
2990-3	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the Activity Table description and status as follows: Carnivals, concerts, fairs, markets and festivals located within existing buildings or if in open air locations, at least 50m from the nearest residential boundary. - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-4	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the Activity Table status as follows: Entertainment facilities accessory to a major recreation facility - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-5	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the Activity Table description as follows: Food and beverage (excluding drive-through and restaurants and cafes) accessory to a major recreation facility and equal to or less than 200m^2 ~~GFA~~ - Permitted			
2990-6	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 2.2.1 Licensed premises as follows: 1. Licensed premises must be located within ~~the main structure~~ buildings forming part of the major recreation facility and must only operate ~~during the operation of the primary function of the~~ in association with any permitted activity or consented activity operating within the area defined in the Plan as the BPP ~~m~~ Major ~~r~~ Recreation ~~f~~ Facility.			
2990-7	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Food and beverage (excluding drive-through and restaurants and cafes) accessory to a major recreation facility and greater than 200m2 ~~GFA~~ - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-8	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: Licensed premises accessory to a major recreation facility and equal to or less than 200m^2 ~~GFA~~ - Permitted			
2990-9	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Licensed premises accessory to a major recreation facility and greater than 200m2 ~~GFA~~ - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-10	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: Offices accessory to a major recreation facility and equal to or less than ~~200~~ 400m^2 ~~GFA~~ - Permitted			
2990-11	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Offices accessory to a major recreation facility and greater than ~~200~~ 400m2 ~~GFA~~ - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-12	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: Retail accessory to a major recreation facility and equal to or less than ~~200~~ 400m^2 GFA - Permitted			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2990-13	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Retail accessory to a major recreation facility and greater than ~~200~~ 400m^2 ~~GFA~~ - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-14	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: Community facilities equal to or less than ~~200~~ 400m^2 ~~GFA~~ - Permitted			
2990-15	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Community Facilities greater than ~~200~~ 400m^2 ~~GFA~~ - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-16	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: Education facilities equal to or less than ~~200~~ 400m^2 ~~GFA~~ - Permitted			
2990-17	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Education facilities greater than ~~200~~ 400m^2 ~~GFA~~ - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-18	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: Healthcare facilities equal to or less than ~~200~~ 400m^2 ~~GFA~~ - Permitted			
2990-19	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Healthcare faciilities greater than ~~200~~ 400m^2 ~~GFA~~ - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-20	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: Recreation activities not accessory to a major recreation facility equal to or less than 500m2 ~~GFA~~ - Permitted			
2990-21	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Recreation activities not accessory to a major recreation facility greater than 500m2 ~~GFA~~ - ~~Discretioanry~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-22	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: Visitor and/or athlete accomodation ~~accessory~~ ancillary to a major recreation facility equal to or less than ~~200~~ 1000m^2 GFA - Permitted			
2990-23	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Visitor and/or athlete accomodation ~~accessory~~ ancillary to a major recreation facility greater than ~~200~~ 1000m^2 GFA - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary			
2990-24	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: New buildings less than or equal to ~~200~~ 500m^2 ~~GFA~~ , including additions and alterations to existing buildings - Permitted			
2990-25	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: New buildings more than ~~200~~ 500m^2 ~~GFA~~ building footprint but no greater than 100m2 GFA, including additions and alterations to existing buildings - ~~Restricted Discretionary~~ Controlled			
2990-26	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend Activity Table description as follows: New buildings greater than 1000m^2 ~~GFA~~ - Discretionary			
2990-27	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Retain the Rule 2.1 Noise, in particular retain the rule that crowd noise in not regulated by the Unitary Plan provisions.			
2990-28	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Retain Rule 2.1.3 Noise - that any activity in the Racing, Sports and Stadiums and Showgrounds precincts other than in Eden Park, North Harbour Stadium, North Shore Events Centre, Trusts Stadium and Western Springs that infringes the relevent noise controls is a restricted discretionary activity.			
2990-29	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 18.2.2.(1) Licensed Premises as follows: 1. Licensed premises must be located within the main structure(s) of the major recreation facility and must only operate ~~during the operation of the primary function of the major recreation facility~~ in association with any permitted or approved activities occuring at the major recreation facility.			
2990-30	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 18.2.3 Traffic to make it clear, by cross referencing, that Bruce Pulman Park is subject to its own traffic rules which are included in the relevant sub-precinct rules.			
2990-31	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Retain Rule 18. 3.1 Application of controls.			
2990-32	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Retain Rule 3.2 Building height - Stadiums and Showgrounds precinct (excluding the North Shore Events Centre).	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2990-33	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 3.3 Building height - All other major recreation facilities by increasing the permitted activity height from 18m to 35m.	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2990-34	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 18.3.4 Height in relation to boundary as follows: Buildings must not exceed a height of ~~2.5~~ 3.0m measured vertically ...	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2990-35	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Retain Rule 18.3.5 Screening.			
2990-36	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend Rule 18.4.1 Matters of discretion as follows: The council will restrict its discretion to the matters below for the activities listed as restricted discretionary in the activity table. 1.Buildings and structures greater than ~~200~~ 500m^2 ~~GFA~~ but no greater than 1000m2 ~~GFA~~ .			
2990-37	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend Rule 18.4.2 Assessment Criteria as follows: 1. Buildings and structures greater than ~~200~~ 500m^2 ~~GFA~~ but no greater than 1000m^2 GFA.			
2990-38	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend Rule 18.4.1 Matters of discretion as follows: In addition to the general matters of discretion in clause 2.3 of the general provisions, the council will restrict its discretion to the matters below for the listed development control infringement. 1. Height and height in relation to boundary ... c. the operational, technical or locational needs of the activities provided for in the Major Recreation Facilities zone and Sports Precinct	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
2990-39	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend Rule 18.6.1 Design statement, Table 2 headings as follows: Buildings or structures greater than ~~200~~ 500m^2 ~~GFA~~			
2990-40	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	F1.9 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies.	Retain Introduction.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2990-41	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	K1.9 Precinct Rules	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Temporary activities ~~camping grounds~~ ~~Non Complying~~ Permitted (AUT Millennium Institute), ~~Controlled~~ Permitted (Bruce Pulman Park)			
2990-42	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	K1.9 Precinct Rules	Amend Activity Table description and status as follows: Food and beverage (including licensed premises~~)~~ but excluding drive-through restaurants and ~~takeaway food premises permitted in the~~ cafes) accessory to a major recreation facility zone equal to or closer than 50m from a residential zone - Permitted (AUT Millennium Institute), ~~Discretionary~~ Permitted (Bruce Pulman Park)			
2990-43	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	K1.9 Precinct Rules	Amend Activity Table status as follows: Grandstands within 50m of a residential zone - ~~Permitted~~ Restricted Discretionary (AUT Millennium Institute), ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary (Bruce Pulman Park)			
2990-44	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Temporary Activities to include camping grounds where the use of the land for that purpose does not exceed a duration of more than 3 days.			
2990-45	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	K1.9 Precinct Rules	Delete the following rule from Land use controls: ~~1. The Auckland Wide Tempoary activities rules do not apply to the Sports Precinct.~~			
2990-46	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	K1.9 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.1 Noise as follows: Up to ~~5~~ 20 events in any 12 month period between 8:00am and 10:00pm for a cumulatve duration of no more than ~~6~~ 8 hours each			
2990-47	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	K1.9 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.1 Noise as follows: Up to an additional ~~15~~ 10 event days in any 12 month period between 8:00 am and 10:00pm for a cumulative duration of no more than ~~6~~ 8 hours each			
2990-48	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	K1.9 Precinct Rules	Retain Rule 2.2 Bruce Pulman Park (traffic and transport controls).			
2990-49	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Sports	K1.9 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.2.1 Temporary camping grounds as follows: 1. Any temporary camping ground ~~must not be in place for more than 72 hours~~ may be in place for a maximum of three consecutive nights or the full length stay of an event when the stopover is for a specific Sporting or Community event			
2990-50	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	General	C7.2/H6.1 Lighting		Amend 6.1 Lighting, 1.Development Controls, as follows: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting (except for floodlighting within the Major Recreation Facilities zone and associated sports precincts) must not produce an illuminance exceeding 150 lux measured horizontally or vertically: ...	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Oppose
2990-51	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Major recreation facility by adding the following accessory facilities and/or activities as follows: Floodlighting, Retail, Offices, Licensed premises, Food and beverage, Public amenities			
2990-52	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of temporary activity by adding the following: camping grounds			
2991-1	Nicola Waldren	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
2991-2	Nicola Waldren	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
2991-3	Nicola Waldren	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	2440	Birkenhead Town Centre Association	Oppose in Part
2991-3	Nicola Waldren	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2991-4	Nicola Waldren	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
2991-5	Nicola Waldren	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
2991-6	Nicola Waldren	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
2991-7	Nicola Waldren	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
2991-8	Nicola Waldren	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
2991-9	Nicola Waldren	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
2992-1	Denis Reid	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets	1615	Simon Fordham	Support
2992-2	Denis Reid	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
2992-3	Denis Reid	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2992-4	Denis Reid	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
2992-5	Denis Reid	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
2992-6	Denis Reid	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
2992-7	Denis Reid	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
2992-8	Denis Reid	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2992-9	Denis Reid	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
2993-1	Kirsty Donnan	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend the PAUP to better recognise the importance of retaining the residential character of Auckland's suburbs. Distinguish between areas which should be progressively redeveloped with more intensive urban form and the majority of residential areas which should retain their existing character with some limited intensification. For example limit development in the Mixed Housing Suburban zone to fit in with existing residential character. Areas considered for higher densities, eg the Mixed Housing Urban and Terrace Housing and Apartment zones, should be identified through local area studies and focussed around larger commercial areas or along major transport routes			
2993-2	Kirsty Donnan	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend the PAUP to better recognise the potential for a north-south urban growth corridor with improved transport links and to provide for satellite town development eg at Helensville and Warkworth, as an alternative to accommodating Auckland's growth predominantly by intensification of the existing urban area			
2993-3	Kirsty Donnan	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Include policies and rules to achieve the objectives fo having a 'quality built environment' or a 'quality compact urban form'			
2993-4	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: General	Amend the PAUP to apply Council design assessments to more developments rather than limiting them to developments of 4 or more dwellings			
2993-5	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban	Amend the alternative height to boundary control for the Mixed Housing Suburban zone to make it less permissive			
2993-6	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban	Increase the rear yard in the Mixed Housing Suburban zone from 1m to 4m			
2993-7	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban	Reduce the maximum building coverage in the Mixed Housing Suburban zone			
2993-8	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Land use controls	Amend the maximum density for the Mixed Housing Suburban zone for sites of more than 1200m2 from one dwelling per 200m2 to one dwelling per 300m2			
2993-9	Kirsty Donnan	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Amend the PAUP so that developments which infringe less than three rules require the consent of those affected eg neighbours.			
2993-10	Kirsty Donnan	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Amend the PAUP so that for rule infringements which are Restricted Discretionary Activities, the council is able to consider the potential effect of a development on neighbouring properties or the character of an area or its design	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Oppose in Part
2993-10	Kirsty Donnan	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.3 Rule infringements for permitted controlled & restricted discretionary activities	Amend the PAUP so that for rule infringements which are Restricted Discretionary Activities, the council is able to consider the potential effect of a development on neighbouring properties or the character of an area or its design	2139	Ports of Auckland Limited	Oppose in Part
2993-11	Kirsty Donnan	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Delete the blanket provision stating that all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities will be considered without public or limited notification or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties unless the PAUP specifically states otherwise. Assess these applications against tests for public or limited notification or written approval from affected parties	3136	Tara Iti Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
2993-11	Kirsty Donnan	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Delete the blanket provision stating that all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities will be considered without public or limited notification or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties unless the PAUP specifically states otherwise. Assess these applications against tests for public or limited notification or written approval from affected parties	3142	Te Arai Coastal Lands Limited	Oppose in Part
2993-11	Kirsty Donnan	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Delete the blanket provision stating that all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities will be considered without public or limited notification or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties unless the PAUP specifically states otherwise. Assess these applications against tests for public or limited notification or written approval from affected parties	3151	Te Arai South Joint Venture/ Ngāti Manuhiri Settlement Trust	Oppose in Part
2993-11	Kirsty Donnan	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Delete the blanket provision stating that all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities will be considered without public or limited notification or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties unless the PAUP specifically states otherwise. Assess these applications against tests for public or limited notification or written approval from affected parties	3157	Education Holdings (2008) Limited (In Receivership)	Oppose in Part
2993-11	Kirsty Donnan	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.4 Notification	Delete the blanket provision stating that all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activities will be considered without public or limited notification or the need to obtain written approval from affected parties unless the PAUP specifically states otherwise. Assess these applications against tests for public or limited notification or written approval from affected parties	3276	Darby Partners Limited	Oppose in Part
2993-12	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban	Amend the rules governing the interface between the Mixed Housing Suburban and Urban zones to protect these areas from building dominance, overshadowing, loss of privacy and sunlight, and other adverse effects			
2993-13	Kirsty Donnan	Business (excluding City Centre)	Business	I3.4 Dev. controls Centres, Mixed Use, Gen. Bus. & Bus. Park zones	Amend the rules governing the interface between the Mixed Use and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to protect these areas from building dominance, overshadowing, loss of privacy and sunlight, and other adverse effects	2224	Fletcher Construction Developments (a division of the Fletcher Construction Company Limited)	Oppose in Part
2993-14	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Remove the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in or around Town and Local Centres. Apply it only around larger centres, and only following strong endorsement by and consultation with residents within those areas	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2993-14	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	D1.7 Terrace Housing and Apartment zone desc, obs & pols		Remove the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone in or around Town and Local Centres. Apply it only around larger centres, and only following strong endorsement by and consultation with residents within those areas	3157	Education Holdings (2008) Limited (In Receivership)	Oppose in Part
2993-15	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban	Retain the development controls for the Mixed Housing Urban and Suburban zones (except where amendments otherwise sought by this submission)			
2993-16	Kirsty Donnan	Sustainable Development	C7.7/H6.4 Sustainable design		Retain the sustainable development requirement for dwellings in Rule 6.4 but make the controls mandatory and not optional or discretionary	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Oppose in Part
2993-17	Kirsty Donnan	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Mixed Housing Suburban and Urban zoning for the Belmont and Hauraki area (Devonport peninsula)			
2993-18	Kirsty Donnan	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone at Hauraki local centre (Devonport peninsula) to a less intensive zoning			
2993-19	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban	Prohibit the ability to develop in accordance with the Mixed Housing Suburban and Mixed Housing Urban zoning at Belmont and Hauraki area (Devonport peninsula) until a master plan is completed and the necessary infrastructure established			
2993-20	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	K5.11 Precinct rules	Amend sub-precinct E Vauxhall Rd, to reduce the maximum height from four storeys			
2993-21	Kirsty Donnan	Zoning	North and Islands		Identify sub-precinct E Vauxhall Rd, in the Devonport Peninsula precinct, as a special character area for Devonport, subject to the objectives and policies outlined in p4/10, vol 2 of the submission. The objectives seek the following outcomes: development maintains and positively responds to the neighbourhood's low density suburban residential character; development provides high quality on-site amenity for residents and maintains the amenity of adjoining sites			
2993-22	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	F5.11 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Apply the objectives, policies and guidelines of the Single House zone to sub-precinct E Vauxhall Rd, Devonport Peninsula precinct			
2993-23	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	K5.11 Precinct rules	Reduce the maximum height in sub-precinct F Wakakura Cres from four storeys to two storeys			
2993-24	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	F5.11 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Rezone sub-precinct F Wakakura Cres, Devonport Peninsula precinct, from Mixed Housing Suburban to Single House			
2993-25	Kirsty Donnan	Volcanic Viewshafts and height sensitive areas rules	Appendix 3.3 - Volcanic view shafts survey coordinates		Apply an 8m height sensitive overlay to the Devonport commercial area			
2993-26	Kirsty Donnan	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Amend the Special Character North Shore overlay to include the demolition controls from the Special Character General overlay where they are currently missing			
2993-27	Kirsty Donnan	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Amend the PAUP so that grandstands, stadia, other large buildings and commercialisation are not included on the open space areas Conservation and Recreation.			
2993-28	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Bayswater	F5.6 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Remove provision for residential development in the Bayswater Marina and return focus to community recreation / open space, public transport, and marine related boating activities			
2993-29	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	F5.11 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain the objectives and policies			
2993-30	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Devonport Naval Base		Retain the objectives and policies	2265	New Zealand Defence Force	Support in Part
2993-31	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	HMNZ Dockyard		Retain the objectives and policies [in K5.15].	2265	New Zealand Defence Force	Support
2993-32	Kirsty Donnan	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Retain the objectives and policy in E3.1 Business and Residential Special Character Areas			
2993-33	Kirsty Donnan	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	E3.2 Description, objectives and policies	Retain the objectives and policies			
2993-34	Kirsty Donnan	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.1 Historic heritage	Retain these provisions			
2993-35	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	K5.11 Precinct rules	Require notification of any development in sub-precinct E			
2993-36	Kirsty Donnan	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	F5.11 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Protect the built and cultural heritage associated with sub-precinct E			
2993-37	Kirsty Donnan	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
2993-38	Kirsty Donnan	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning			
2993-39	Kirsty Donnan	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2993-40	Kirsty Donnan	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads			
2993-41	Kirsty Donnan	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote)			
2993-42	Kirsty Donnan	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
2993-43	Kirsty Donnan	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
2993-44	Kirsty Donnan	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
2993-45	Kirsty Donnan	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
2994-1	Peter A and Katherene J Small	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2994-1	Peter A and Katherene J Small	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
2994-2	Peter A and Katherene J Small	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2994-2	Peter A and Katherene J Small	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2995-1	Lisa Clements	Precincts - North	Bayswater	F5.6 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Reduce the number of dwellings provided for in the Bayswater Marina Precinct.			
2996-1	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
2996-2	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
2996-3	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
2996-4	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
2996-5	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
2996-6	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
2996-7	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
2996-8	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
2996-9	Jonathan P and Rebecca M E Armour	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
2997-1	Ian W Crowther	Zoning	Central		Rezone the area around Daventry Street, Waterbank Street and Herdman Street in Waterview from Mixed Housing Urban to Mixed Housing Suburban.			
2998-1	Brian and Janet Smith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2998-1	Brian and Janet Smith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2998-2	Brian and Janet Smith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
2998-2	Brian and Janet Smith	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
2999-1	ZPR Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone the northern side of the Coatesville-Riverhead highway from the Riverhead Bridge to the Old Albany Highway (and its tributary roads e.g. Mill Flat Road) to from Rural Production to Countryside living.	1980	Benjamin d'Anvers	Oppose in Part
2999-1	ZPR Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone the northern side of the Coatesville-Riverhead highway from the Riverhead Bridge to the Old Albany Highway (and its tributary roads e.g. Mill Flat Road) to from Rural Production to Countryside living.	2960	Te Kawerau Iwi Tribal Authority	Oppose in Part
2999-1	ZPR Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone the northern side of the Coatesville-Riverhead highway from the Riverhead Bridge to the Old Albany Highway (and its tributary roads e.g. Mill Flat Road) to from Rural Production to Countryside living.	3441	Water Securities Limited	Support
3000-1	Teresa M Porter	Zoning	Central		Rezone the Remuera Town Centre area from Town Centre to Local Centre or Neighbourhood Centre.			
3001-1	Suzie and Pat McCarthy	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Ensure public open space areas are not encroached upon by buildings, especially those of a commercial nature.			
3002-1	M Carol Scott	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Reject population projections and the premise that high rise buildings and intensification are needed to accommodate another 1 million people into Auckland over the next 30 years,			
3002-2	M Carol Scott	Residential zones	Residential	D1.1 General objectives and policies	Reject residential objectives, policies and development controls as an overly simplistic approach to Auckland's growth which does not sufficiently acknowledge existing residential character including the unique and varying character of different residential areas.			
3002-3	M Carol Scott	Residential zones	Residential	D1.1 General objectives and policies	Reject location of the higher intensity zones due to absence of in-depth and local research studies to determine appropriate locations for more intensive development. Make a clear distinction between areas appropriate for redevelopment and residential zones where preservation of existing residential character is a prime objective			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3002-4	M Carol Scott	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Reject assertions that the PAUP provides a high level of design control. Expresses concern at the non-statutory nature of the Auckland Design Manual, the introduction of multi-storey apartments into mature residential areas, and the relaxation of development controls to allow greater bulk, height and coverage	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Oppose in Part
3002-5	M Carol Scott	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Replace Open Space provisions with more restrictive rules to protect parks from development activities. Emphasise maximum free space for passive recreational use.			
3002-6	M Carol Scott	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Make further proposals for development activities and facilities in parks discretionary with compulsory notification. Allow existing use rights for facilities already in place.			
3002-7	M Carol Scott	Precincts - North	Bayswater	K5.6 Precinct rules	Amend the precinct so that any residential development is non-complying			
3002-8	M Carol Scott	Precincts - North	Bayswater	K5.6 Precinct rules	Amend the precinct so that any change to existing activity is publicly notified			
3002-9	M Carol Scott	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Continue with the heritage protection rules in the North Shore District Plan rather than the PAUP overlay system. Failing a return to these rules, include in the North Shore Special Character overlay, the same demolition controls as in J3.5 Special Character General	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
3002-10	M Carol Scott	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	J2.3 & J2.4 Assessment	Ensure that resource consent decisions [related to protecting heritage / special character] are not left to the discretion of council officers			
3002-11	M Carol Scott	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.1 Historic heritage	Provide sufficient funding to complete immediately the identification and professional assessment of heritage / character items all over Auckland	2235	Remuera Heritage Incorporated	Support in Part
3002-12	M Carol Scott	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Require public notification of all applications for changes, developments and demolitions of heritage / character items or changes to any items such as streetscapes, road surfaces, public buildings in heritage zones, including 'spot' business zones	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
3002-13	M Carol Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets.			
3002-14	M Carol Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit.			
3002-15	M Carol Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) due to concerns about 6 storey height, character and other adverse effects.	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3002-16	M Carol Scott	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect.			
3002-17	M Carol Scott	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3002-18	M Carol Scott	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours.			
3002-19	M Carol Scott	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones.			
3002-20	M Carol Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead.			
3002-21	M Carol Scott	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay.			
3003-1	Kiong S Tan and Peng Jiang	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3003-1	Kiong S Tan and Peng Jiang	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3003-2	Kiong S Tan and Peng Jiang	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3003-2	Kiong S Tan and Peng Jiang	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3004-1	Yong K Lee and Young H Ko	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3004-1	Yong K Lee and Young H Ko	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3004-2	Yong K Lee and Young H Ko	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3004-2	Yong K Lee and Young H Ko	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3005-1	Olivera Ljubisa	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3005-2	Olivera Ljubisa	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3005-3	Olivera Ljubisa	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3005-4	Olivera Ljubisa	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
3005-5	Olivera Ljubisa	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3005-6	Olivera Ljubisa	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3005-7	Olivera Ljubisa	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3005-8	Olivera Ljubisa	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
3005-9	Olivera Ljubisa	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
3006-1	Karren Beanland	Precincts - North	Devonport Peninsula	F5.11 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain proposed intensification at Fort Takapuna and Wakakura Crescent to a height that is agreed after consultation with local communities.			
3006-2	Karren Beanland	Precincts - North	Bayswater	F5.6 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain proposed intensification at Bayswater Marina.			
3006-3	Karren Beanland	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Retain intensification around transport hubs.			
3006-4	Karren Beanland	RPS	Urban growth	B2.2 A quality built environment	Retain some control over the design quality of proposed developments.			
3007-1	Bobae Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3007-1	Bobae Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3007-2	Bobae Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3007-2	Bobae Family Trust	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3008-1	Henry Ou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 320ha in Pine Valley to Future Urban (see attached map).	674	Pine Valley Road Landowners Association	Support
3008-1	Henry Ou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 320ha in Pine Valley to Future Urban (see attached map).	680	Mick McLiver	Support
3008-1	Henry Ou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 320ha in Pine Valley to Future Urban (see attached map).	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3008-1	Henry Ou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 320ha in Pine Valley to Future Urban (see attached map).	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3008-1	Henry Ou	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone 320ha in Pine Valley to Future Urban (see attached map).	2924	Seven Oaks Securities Ltd	Support
3009-1	Christina T M K Pepers	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3009-1	Christina T M K Pepers	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Extend the RUB to include Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3009-2	Christina T M K Pepers	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose in Part
3009-2	Christina T M K Pepers	RPS	Changes to the RUB	North and Waiheke Island	Rezone Wilks Rd South area, Dairy Flat from Countryside Living to Future Urban. Refer plan attached to submission - includes approximately 220ha located between Dairy Flat Highway, Wilks Rd, and Postman Rd. Rely on future structure planning and detailed zone rules to resolve density and other planning issues	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Oppose in Part
3010-1	Kathleen Shaw	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3010-2	Kathleen Shaw	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
3010-3	Kathleen Shaw	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3010-4	Kathleen Shaw	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
3010-5	Kathleen Shaw	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3010-6	Kathleen Shaw	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3010-7	Kathleen Shaw	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3010-8	Kathleen Shaw	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3010-9	Kathleen Shaw	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
3011-1	Archilles Management Limited	Precincts - North	Kawau Island		Retain Kawau Island Precinct.			
3011-2	Archilles Management Limited	Vegetation Management and SEAs	Appendix 5.1 - Schedule of Significant Ecological Areas - Land		Retain the situation where there is no SEA overlay on Kawau Island in particular Kookaburra Point, Kawau Island.			
3011-3	Archilles Management Limited	Further submission	Further submission		Further submission FS # 3613			
3011-4	Archilles Management Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Use, activities, development and occupation	D5.1.13 Background, objectives and policies	Amend approach to 'use and occupation of the coastal marine area' by ensuring consultation is carried out with Kawau property owners and matters raised in the Kawau Island Advisory Committee (KIAC) submission in relation to special access circumstances on Kawau Island are considered.			
3011-5	Archilles Management Limited	Precincts - North	Kawau Island		Amend the PAUP to recognise the content and approach of the Kawau Vision Document.			
3011-6	Archilles Management Limited	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Appendix 6 - Coastal excluding sch. 6.2 ONC/HNC	6.1 Schedule SEA marine/Overlay 8.1 SEAs Marine 1 and 2	Amend the descriptions given in the plan relating to Kawau Island in light of the Kawau Island Advisory Committee (KIAC) submission.			
3012-1	Joyce Harvey	Zoning	Central		Reject intensification on Kings Rd, Allenby Rd and Queens Rd, Panmure.	1737	Mahoe Trust	Support
3012-1	Joyce Harvey	Zoning	Central		Reject intensification on Kings Rd, Allenby Rd and Queens Rd, Panmure.	1747	Richard Mackay	Support
3012-1	Joyce Harvey	Zoning	Central		Reject intensification on Kings Rd, Allenby Rd and Queens Rd, Panmure.	1754	ACP 2 Trust	Support
3012-1	Joyce Harvey	Zoning	Central		Reject intensification on Kings Rd, Allenby Rd and Queens Rd, Panmure.	1764	Belgrave Trust	Support
3013-1	Angelina Di Maio	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3013-2	Angelina Di Maio	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
3013-3	Angelina Di Maio	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3013-4	Angelina Di Maio	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
3013-5	Angelina Di Maio	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3013-6	Angelina Di Maio	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3013-7	Angelina Di Maio	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3013-8	Angelina Di Maio	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
3013-9	Angelina Di Maio	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
3014-1	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Support for the Upper North Island regions and cities working together on strategic matters as described in the Upper North Island Strategic Alliance".	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
3014-1	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Support for the Upper North Island regions and cities working together on strategic matters as described in the Upper North Island Strategic Alliance".	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-2	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Acknowledgement of various growth strategies within the upper North Island (including the Future Proof Growth Strategy, the former Franklin District's Growth Strategy that is currently under review, Bay of Plenty's Smart Growth and Coromandel's Blueprint) and the need to ensure consistency in planning for growth within this comprehensive area".	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-3	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need for on-going alignment of transport planning across the upper North Island (strategic priorities and optimising network development and investment)".	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
3014-3	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need for on-going alignment of transport planning across the upper North Island (strategic priorities and optimising network development and investment)".	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-4	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the inter-relationships between the Waikato region's economy (particularly the primary production sector) and the Auckland economy, and the benefits of having coordinated strategies between the these two regions".	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
3014-4	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the inter-relationships between the Waikato region's economy (particularly the primary production sector) and the Auckland economy, and the benefits of having coordinated strategies between the these two regions".	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-4	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the inter-relationships between the Waikato region's economy (particularly the primary production sector) and the Auckland economy, and the benefits of having coordinated strategies between the these two regions".	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-5	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Support for coordination of freight movement and related facilities across the upper North Island, including the strategic development of inland ports".	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
3014-6	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the importance of the Waikato region's mineral/aggregate industry for Auckland and the implications of this on transport and freight systems".	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
3014-7	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the role that the Waikato region plays in providing locations and facilities for tourism and recreation for Auckland's population (such as regional parks and holiday homes), and the need to strategically plan for increasing demand on such areas/resources as Auckland's population grows".			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3014-8	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the range of infrastructure in the Waikato region and beyond, which supports Auckland, including road and rail infrastructure, electricity transmission infrastructure, the Waikato River water treatment plant and pipeline, and the Natural Gas Corporation pipeline."	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-8	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the range of infrastructure in the Waikato region and beyond, which supports Auckland, including road and rail infrastructure, electricity transmission infrastructure, the Waikato River water treatment plant and pipeline, and the Natural Gas Corporation pipeline."	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
3014-9	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need to align key infrastructure policy between the Auckland and Waikato regions and the future possibility of shared infrastructure corridors".	1394	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support
3014-9	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need to align key infrastructure policy between the Auckland and Waikato regions and the future possibility of shared infrastructure corridors".	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose
3014-9	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need to align key infrastructure policy between the Auckland and Waikato regions and the future possibility of shared infrastructure corridors".	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-10	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the facilities in the Waikato region which service Auckland (such as the Hampton Downs Landfill, the Hampton Downs racetrack and Springhill Prison) and the need for appropriate forward planning of other services and facilities in the Waikato region which may need to service Auckland (particularly those that require extensive land areas and sufficient buffers from neighbours)".			
3014-11	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need for a consistent and collaborative planning regime for future rural-residential development across the Auckland/Waikato regional boundary, to ensure that controls in one region will not lead to unforeseen development in the other. This is because the influence of Auckland's countryside living development extends considerably into the northern Waikato)."	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-12	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need for strategic integrated planning discussions about future urban form and the ultimate urban footprint for residential, business, industrial and other land use development on either side of the Auckland/Waikato regional boundary to ensure that there are no unintended cross-boundary effects on regional/district land use planning."			
3014-13	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need for natural hazard and civil defence emergency management to be aligned across regional boundaries."	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support in Part
3014-14	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Encouragement of common/consistent approaches to the management of the Hauraki Gulf and Manukau Harbour, such as those relating to navigation safety and aquaculture. Specific recognition of the proposal for Auckland Council and Waikato Regional Council to jointly development a marine strategy for the Hauraki Gulf, and the potential relationships between this and the Auckland Plan."	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part
3014-15	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue as follows: "Recognition of the need to coordinate pest management across the regional boundary, acknowledging that if pest control on one side of the boundary is not consistent with that on the other side, pest control activities could be less efficient than otherwise would be the case."	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-16	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Retain existing cross boundary issues.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-17	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add the following statement to provisions: "All cross-boundary issues will be addressed through a proactive collaboration with neighbouring local authorities and other affected stakeholders".	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-17	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add the following statement to provisions: "All cross-boundary issues will be addressed through a proactive collaboration with neighbouring local authorities and other affected stakeholders".	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support
3014-18	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new provisions which recognise that "a broad range of stakeholders may need to be consulted to identify and resolve cross-boundary issues and that these include, but are not limited to iwi, energy providers, infrastructure providers, landowners and industry organisations".	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-19	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B13 RPS - Monitoring and review procedures	Add new provisions that Auckland Council and Waikato District Council will jointly monitor the corss-boundary effects of land and transport over time.			
3014-20	Waikato District Council	RPS	Urban growth	App. 1.1-2 Structure Plan req. & Metropolitan Urban Area 2010	Amend the structure plan requirements to "make explicit reference to urban growth capacity, cross-boundary effects, the need to collaborate with neighbouring authorities and other stakeholders (including landowners whose properties immediately sit outside the RUB).	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support
3014-21	Waikato District Council	Mineral extraction	C5.4 Mineral extraction from land - background, objectives and policies		Add new provisions regarding the need for collaborative engagements between Auckland and Waikato regions to address the regional and national benefits resulting from the sustainable management of aggregate resources.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
3014-22	Waikato District Council	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add new provisions regarding the need for collaborative engagements between Auckland and Waikato regions to address the regional and national benefits resulting from the generation and distribution of energy.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-22	Waikato District Council	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.2 Significant infrastructure and energy	Add new provisions regarding the need for collaborative engagements between Auckland and Waikato regions to address the regional and national benefits resulting from the generation and distribution of energy.	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose
3014-23	Waikato District Council	Mineral extraction	C5.4 Mineral extraction from land - background, objectives and policies		Amend text to specifically identify the location of mineral resources to improve the workability of the policies that seek to protect this valuable resource and enable prudent transport and growth planning.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support
3014-24	Waikato District Council	Mineral extraction	C5.4 Mineral extraction from land - background, objectives and policies		Add new maps to specifically identify the location of mineral resources to improve the workability of the policies that seek to protect this valuable resource and enable prudent transport and growth planning.	3492	Winstone Aggregates	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3014-25	Waikato District Council	Zoning	South		Retain the Special Purpose Quarry Zone for part of the Holcim Quarry located at bombay, together with the notation of the Quarry Proximity Area.			
3014-26	Waikato District Council	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Retain the minimum lot size of 150 hectares in the Rural Production Zone.	706	Kent and Diana Robertson	Oppose in Part
3014-26	Waikato District Council	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Retain the minimum lot size of 150 hectares in the Rural Production Zone.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Oppose in Part
3014-27	Waikato District Council	Rural Zones	D6.2 Rural Production zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the objectives and policies to align with the provisions in the Waikato Regional Policy Statement and the recently settled Rural Plan Change 14 provisions in the Waikato District plan (Franklin Section) that deal that elite soils.	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part
3014-27	Waikato District Council	Rural Zones	D6.2 Rural Production zone desc, obs & pols		Amend the objectives and policies to align with the provisions in the Waikato Regional Policy Statement and the recently settled Rural Plan Change 14 provisions in the Waikato District plan (Franklin Section) that deal that elite soils.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-28	Waikato District Council	Water	C5.15.2 Water quantity, allocation & use - obs & pols	C5.15.2 Background & Objectives	Retain the objectives and policies regarding water quantity, allocation and use.			
3014-29	Waikato District Council	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Amend provisions of Section 3.3 "Sustainable Management" to note "sustainable development practices as being central to urban development in that these will result in more effective and efficient [use] of resources, less construction and demolition waste and lower demands for energy."	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-30	Waikato District Council	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Amend the PAUP to include a discussion on the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River.	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part
3014-30	Waikato District Council	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Amend the PAUP to include a discussion on the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-31	Waikato District Council	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Amend the PAUP to identify the areas within the Waikato River Catchment that are within Auckland Council's jurisdiction.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-32	Waikato District Council	RPS	Mana Whenua	B5 Strategic	Amend the PAUP to set out objectives, policies and rules for these identified areas that accord with the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010.			
3014-33	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Amend the PAUP to set out the "process methods that will be implemeted when dealing with developments within the catchment [of the Waikato River] that may have any cross-boundary effects."	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-34	Waikato District Council	Water	C5.15.2 Water quantity, allocation & use - obs & pols	C5.15.2 Background & Objectives	Amend the PAUP to specifically note the need to sustainably use the water resource within the Waikato River.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-34	Waikato District Council	Water	C5.15.2 Water quantity, allocation & use - obs & pols	C5.15.2 Background & Objectives	Amend the PAUP to specifically note the need to sustainably use the water resource within the Waikato River.	3348	Hamilton City Council	Support
3014-35	Waikato District Council	RPS	Urban growth	App. 1.1-2 Structure Plan req. & Metropolitan Urban Area 2010	Amend the PAUP to specifically consider any cross-boundary effects where structure plan developments within the Auckland region are to rely on the water resource within the Waikato River.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support
3014-35	Waikato District Council	RPS	Urban growth	App. 1.1-2 Structure Plan req. & Metropolitan Urban Area 2010	Amend the PAUP to specifically consider any cross-boundary effects where structure plan developments within the Auckland region are to rely on the water resource within the Waikato River.	3348	Hamilton City Council	Support
3014-36	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue which "specifically notes waste management as a discussion topic between Auckland Council and Waikato Regional Council".			
3014-37	Waikato District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add new issue regarding the "need to minimise, re-use or divert waste generated by activities within the Auckland Council area to reduce impact on the national waste stream."			
3014-38	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Add a new policy that "addresses the management of biodiversity resources that cross local authority/regional council boundaries or public/private land boundaries and effectively integrates biodiversity management under other legislation".			
3014-39	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Add new objectives which "address the continued functioning of ecosystems and other areas with biodiversity values that do not meet the criteria for signficance, and the provision of ecosystem services and their maintennance and enhancement".	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
3014-39	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Add new objectives which "address the continued functioning of ecosystems and other areas with biodiversity values that do not meet the criteria for signficance, and the provision of ecosystem services and their maintennance and enhancement".	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
3014-39	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Add new objectives which "address the continued functioning of ecosystems and other areas with biodiversity values that do not meet the criteria for signficance, and the provision of ecosystem services and their maintennance and enhancement".	2737	Rayonier New Zealand Limited	Oppose
3014-39	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Add new objectives which "address the continued functioning of ecosystems and other areas with biodiversity values that do not meet the criteria for signficance, and the provision of ecosystem services and their maintennance and enhancement".	3059	Hancock Forest Management (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
3014-40	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend Policy 1(a) as follows: "The area is important for the indigenous habitats and/or ecosystems it supports because they are ecologically representative of the habitats (including mature and successional stages of the ~~vegetation~~ vegetated habitats) of each ecological district in Auckland and provide cumulatively for at least 10 per cent of the natural extent of each ecosystem type".			
3014-41	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend the PAUP to "clairfy where and how the PAUP will manage the impacts on biodiversity outside of Signficant Ecological Areas in order to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects and implement the directions of Policy 7".	1974	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Oppose in Part
3014-41	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend the PAUP to "clairfy where and how the PAUP will manage the impacts on biodiversity outside of Signficant Ecological Areas in order to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects and implement the directions of Policy 7".	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3014-41	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend the PAUP to "clairfy where and how the PAUP will manage the impacts on biodiversity outside of Signficant Ecological Areas in order to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects and implement the directions of Policy 7".	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
3014-41	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend the PAUP to "clairfy where and how the PAUP will manage the impacts on biodiversity outside of Signficant Ecological Areas in order to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects and implement the directions of Policy 7".	2737	Rayonier New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
3014-41	Waikato District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Amend the PAUP to "clairfy where and how the PAUP will manage the impacts on biodiversity outside of Signficant Ecological Areas in order to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects and implement the directions of Policy 7".	3059	Hancock Forest Management (New Zealand) Limited	Oppose in Part
3014-42	Waikato District Council	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Add "appropriate mitigation measures for the loss of indigenous vegetation within Significant Ecological Areas, such as restoration planting and/or through active management measures (such as pest control)".	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part
3014-42	Waikato District Council	Vegetation Management and SEAs	H4.3 Significant Ecological Areas - Land rules (all)		Add "appropriate mitigation measures for the loss of indigenous vegetation within Significant Ecological Areas, such as restoration planting and/or through active management measures (such as pest control)".	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support
3014-43	Waikato District Council	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Amend the Activity Table so that at least controlled activity be conferred for mangrove removal in order for the council to retain control over the areas to be cleared and to monitor habitats and the effects of the rules.			
3014-44	Waikato District Council	RPS	Natural resources	B6.7 Natural hazards	Amend Objective 1 to read: "Avoid risk to people, property and infrastructure by identifying the areas at highest risk from natural hazards".	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Support in Part
3014-44	Waikato District Council	RPS	Natural resources	B6.7 Natural hazards	Amend Objective 1 to read: "Avoid risk to people, property and infrastructure by identifying the areas at highest risk from natural hazards".	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support in Part
3014-45	Waikato District Council	RPS	Natural resources	B6.7 Natural hazards	Retain the non-regulatory methods of increasing public awareness of the causes and potential effects of natural hazard events.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support in Part
3014-46	Waikato District Council	RPS	Natural resources	B6.7 Natural hazards	Amend Policy 2 to read as follows: "Undertake hazard identification and risk assessment for subdivision and the cumulative effects of subdivision, use and development using the best available and up-to-date hazard information."	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-47	Waikato District Council	Zoning	South		Rezone the part of the Hunua Forest adjoining Waikato District Council area, which enjoys an existing commercial forestry right, from Public Open Space to Rural Production Zone.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
3014-48	Waikato District Council	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the rules for anciliary rural earthworks so that they reflect the same approach as was settled through Franklin District Council's Plan Change 14.	2156	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Support
3014-48	Waikato District Council	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the rules for anciliary rural earthworks so that they reflect the same approach as was settled through Franklin District Council's Plan Change 14.	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part
3014-48	Waikato District Council	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the rules for anciliary rural earthworks so that they reflect the same approach as was settled through Franklin District Council's Plan Change 14.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
3014-48	Waikato District Council	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the rules for anciliary rural earthworks so that they reflect the same approach as was settled through Franklin District Council's Plan Change 14.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Oppose in Part
3014-48	Waikato District Council	Earthworks	H4.2.1.1 Activity table - Zones		Amend the rules for anciliary rural earthworks so that they reflect the same approach as was settled through Franklin District Council's Plan Change 14.	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose
3014-49	Waikato District Council	Rural Zones	General	I13.1 Activity table	Amend the Activity Table to provide for portable sawmills as a permitted activity in the Rural Production Zone.	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support in Part
3014-49	Waikato District Council	Rural Zones	General	I13.1 Activity table	Amend the Activity Table to provide for portable sawmills as a permitted activity in the Rural Production Zone.	2715	Waytemore Forests Limited, Waytemore Farms Limited, Adfordston Farms Limited and Kauri Hiwi Limited	Support
3014-50	Waikato District Council	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	F1.6 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend the provisions of the Counties Racing Club Precinct to clarify the status of the noise management framework for Pukekohe Park Raceway.	1628	Penelope Aston	Support
3014-50	Waikato District Council	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	F1.6 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend the provisions of the Counties Racing Club Precinct to clarify the status of the noise management framework for Pukekohe Park Raceway.	1965	Pukekohe South Residents Group	Support
3014-51	Waikato District Council	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Add a new rule which prohibits the transfer of lots accross the jurisdictional boundaries of Auckland Council.	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part
3014-52	Waikato District Council	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Amend the PAUP to clarify the status of the Outstanding Natural landscape annotations over various properties located on the western fringe of the Hunua Ranges (shown in Map book 3 Rural Grid 18 - Natural Heritage).			
3014-53	Waikato District Council	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend provisions to specifically note the importance of inter-regional collaboration on integrated planning to enhance economic outcomes for the Auckland region and the upper North Island. [P6/20]	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3014-53	Waikato District Council	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend provisions to specifically note the importance of inter-regional collaboration on integrated planning to enhance economic outcomes for the Auckland region and the upper North Island. [P6/20]	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3014-54	Waikato District Council	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Collaboration between Auckland and Waikato districts in respect of modeling and analysis of urban growth capacity, staging and timing and infrastructure demands within Auckland and in particular and expected influences on the Waikato district and Waikato region.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3014-55	Waikato District Council	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Request that Auckland Council and Waikato Regional Council jointly model the inter-regional transport and land use effects of both residential and industrial settlement patterns envisaged under the PAUP and in the North Waikato.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support
3014-55	Waikato District Council	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Request that Auckland Council and Waikato Regional Council jointly model the inter-regional transport and land use effects of both residential and industrial settlement patterns envisaged under the PAUP and in the North Waikato.	3348	Hamilton City Council	Support in Part
3014-56	Waikato District Council	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Requests continued collaboration between Waikato District Council and Auckland Council on the noise management framework for the Pukekohe Park Raceway.	1628	Penelope Aston	Support
3014-56	Waikato District Council	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Requests continued collaboration between Waikato District Council and Auckland Council on the noise management framework for the Pukekohe Park Raceway.	1965	Pukekohe South Residents Group	Support
3014-57	Waikato District Council	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Add details of the Outstanding Natural Landscape on western fringe of the Hunua Rangers if these annotations are intended to be included in the PAUP.			
3014-58	Waikato District Council	Mineral extraction	Overlay E1.6/J1.6 Quarry Buffer Area		Retain the Quarry Proximity Area around the Holcim Quarry located at Bombay.			
3015-1	Clyde H Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3015-2	Clyde H Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
3015-3	Clyde H Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3015-4	Clyde H Scott	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
3015-5	Clyde H Scott	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3015-6	Clyde H Scott	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3015-7	Clyde H Scott	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3015-8	Clyde H Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
3015-9	Clyde H Scott	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
3016-1	Rachael Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3016-2	Rachael Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
3016-3	Rachael Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3016-4	Rachael Scott	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
3016-5	Rachael Scott	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3016-6	Rachael Scott	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3016-7	Rachael Scott	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3016-8	Rachael Scott	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
3016-9	Rachael Scott	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
3017-1	Air New Zealand	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Retain Background relating to Our Economy [1.6].	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3017-2	Air New Zealand	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Retain B1.1 - Enabling Quality Urban Growth (in particular the classification of Auckland Airport as significant infrastructure).			
3017-3	Air New Zealand	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.3 Transport	Retain provisions [in particular "Explanation and reasons" paragraphs 3 and 4].			
3017-4	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Air Quality to recognise the difficulties associated with managing transport-related emissions. Refer to details in submission.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
3017-4	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Air Quality to recognise the difficulties associated with managing transport-related emissions. Refer to details in submission.	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
3017-4	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Air Quality to recognise the difficulties associated with managing transport-related emissions. Refer to details in submission.	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3017-5	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Air quality is maintained in those parts of Auckland that have excellent or good air quality, and air quality is enhanced to the extent reasonably practicable in those parts of Auckland where it is poor, whilst recognising the operational requirements of industry and other infrastructure activities."	884	DB Breweries Limited	Support
3017-5	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Air quality is maintained in those parts of Auckland that have excellent or good air quality, and air quality is enhanced to the extent reasonably practicable in those parts of Auckland where it is poor, whilst recognising the operational requirements of industry and other infrastructure activities."	2087	Contact Energy Limited	Support
3017-5	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Air quality is maintained in those parts of Auckland that have excellent or good air quality, and air quality is enhanced to the extent reasonably practicable in those parts of Auckland where it is poor, whilst recognising the operational requirements of industry and other infrastructure activities."	2368	New Zealand Steel Limited	Support
3017-5	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Air quality is maintained in those parts of Auckland that have excellent or good air quality, and air quality is enhanced to the extent reasonably practicable in those parts of Auckland where it is poor, whilst recognising the operational requirements of industry and other infrastructure activities."	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support
3017-5	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Air quality is maintained in those parts of Auckland that have excellent or good air quality, and air quality is enhanced to the extent reasonably practicable in those parts of Auckland where it is poor, whilst recognising the operational requirements of industry and other infrastructure activities."	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3017-5	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Air quality is maintained in those parts of Auckland that have excellent or good air quality, and air quality is enhanced to the extent reasonably practicable in those parts of Auckland where it is poor, whilst recognising the operational requirements of industry and other infrastructure activities."	3376	Tegel Foods Limited	Support
3017-5	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Amend Objective 1 as follows: "Air quality is maintained in those parts of Auckland that have excellent or good air quality, and air quality is enhanced to the extent reasonably practicable in those parts of Auckland where it is poor, whilst recognising the operational requirements of industry and other infrastructure activities."	3379	Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand and Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	Support
3017-6	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	2368	New Zealand Steel Limited	Support
3017-6	Air New Zealand	Air Quality	C5.1 Background, objectives and policies		Delete Policy 9.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Oppose in Part
3017-7	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	F6.3 Precinct description, objectives and policies	Retain Objectives and Policies , in particular Policy 1.			
3017-8	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Retain Activity Table 1 to allow office as a permitted activity in the Core sub-precinct.	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support
3017-8	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Retain Activity Table 1 to allow office as a permitted activity in the Core sub-precinct.	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
3017-9	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Retain Activity Table 1 to allow buildings outside policy areas A-F as a permitted activity in the Core sub-precinct.	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support in Part
3017-9	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Retain Activity Table 1 to allow buildings outside policy areas A-F as a permitted activity in the Core sub-precinct.	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support in Part
3017-10	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Retain Activity Table 1 to allow additions and alterations to buildings outside policy areas A-F as a permitted activity in the Core sub-precinct .	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support in Part
3017-10	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Retain Activity Table 1 to allow additions and alterations to buildings outside policy areas A-F as a permitted activity in the Core sub-precinct .	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support in Part
3017-11	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Amend notification provisions to require Council to consider restricted discretionary activity resource consent applications for framework plans on a limited notification basis, in particular requiring limited notification to those with existing interests in the Auckland Airport site.	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Oppose in Part
3017-12	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Delete Land Use Controls (Office) (1)(b) and (1)(c).	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support
3017-12	Air New Zealand	Precincts - South	Auckland Airport	K6.3 Precinct rules	Delete Land Use Controls (Office) (1)(b) and (1)(c).	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
3017-13	Air New Zealand	Built Environment (Overlays)	Overlay J4.2 Additional Zone Height Control		Increase Built Environment Additional Zone Height Control Overlay 4.2 at "Data Centre" (Lot 1 DP 57515 - NA 14C/1218) [infer 10-12 Exmouth Street, Eden Terrace].			
3017-14	Air New Zealand	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete Pre-1944 Demolition Control Overlay 3.6 at "Data Centre" (Lot 1 DP 57515 - NA 14C/1218).			
3018-1	Morning Star Development Limited	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Delete 2.3.3. Table 10 provision - subdivision minimum of 2ha in Whitford and not applicable status for transferable rural site subdivision.	879	Glencally Trust	Oppose in Part
3019-1	Winton J Mccormick	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3019-2	Winton J Mccormick	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
3019-3	Winton J Mccormick	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3019-4	Winton J Mccormick	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3019-5	Winton J Mccormick	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3019-6	Winton J Mccormick	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3019-7	Winton J Mccormick	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3019-8	Winton J Mccormick	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
3019-9	Winton J Mccormick	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
3020-1	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	Residential zones	Residential	Activity Table	Amend the Activity Table so that provision is made for 'removal, re-siting and relocation' of buildings as a permitted activity. Include relevant performance standards including: a. that the building is on foundations within 2 months of delivery to the site b. that any other work to reinstate the building is carried out within 12 months c. any other performance standards as per the Environment Court Judgement against the Central Otago District Council in 2004. Any infringement of these criteria would result in a restricted discretionary consent for 'removal, re-siting and relocation' of buildings.			
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	237	Seetha Kamineni	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	256	Rodney (Roddy) Thompson	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	277	Lisa Rimmer	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	282	Sir/Madam Stoev, Zan and Iva	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	283	Jimmy Chan	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	284	Catherine McArdle	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	2235	Remuera Heritage Incorporated	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	3208	Nigel Cartmell	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	3213	Joanne Pilgrem	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	3215	Vanitha Govini	Oppose in Part
3020-2	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.2 Special character	Remove the Pre-1944 building demolition control overlay, rely instead on existing special character areas and the process of identifying specific heritage buildings.	3217	Anna Purushotham	Oppose in Part
3020-3	Craig Walker Building Removals Limited	Special Character	Overlay - Business and residential	Overlay E3.1 Bus. & Res. special character areas	Amend special character assessment criteria so they are the same across all areas including: a. whether a new building can contribute to the character of the area b. the benefits of the newer house complying with today's building code c. whether the existing house is to be recycled on another site elsewhere.			
3021-1	Kaipara District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.2 Landscape and natural features	Retain the approach in the PAUP in respect of providing for outstanding natural landscapes.			
3021-2	Kaipara District Council	RPS	Historic heritage, special character and natural heritage	B4.3.4 Biodiversity	Retain the approach in the PAUP in respect of providing for significant areas of indigenous vegetation.			
3021-3	Kaipara District Council	Rural Zones	D6.2 Rural Production zone desc, obs & pols		Retain the approach to addressing rural issues in the Rural Production Zone.			
3021-4	Kaipara District Council	Rural Zones	D6.4 Rural Coastal zone desc, obs & pols		Retain the approach to addressing rural issues in the Rural Coastal Zone.	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Oppose in Part
3021-4	Kaipara District Council	Rural Zones	D6.4 Rural Coastal zone desc, obs & pols		Retain the approach to addressing rural issues in the Rural Coastal Zone.	3379	Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand and Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	Support
3021-5	Kaipara District Council	RPS	Rural	B8.3 Rural subdivision	Retain the approach to lifestyle lot development in zones which adjoin the Kaipara Rural zone.			
3021-6	Kaipara District Council	Precincts - North	Te Arai North		Amend the objective and policy framework for the Te Arai North Precinct to make it more user friendly so it is clear and certain to a reader what Objectives and Policies apply.	3136	Tara Iti Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
3021-6	Kaipara District Council	Precincts - North	Te Arai North		Amend the objective and policy framework for the Te Arai North Precinct to make it more user friendly so it is clear and certain to a reader what Objectives and Policies apply.	3142	Te Arai Coastal Lands Limited	Oppose in Part
3021-6	Kaipara District Council	Precincts - North	Te Arai North		Amend the objective and policy framework for the Te Arai North Precinct to make it more user friendly so it is clear and certain to a reader what Objectives and Policies apply.	3151	Te Arai South Joint Venture/ Ngāti Manuhiri Settlement Trust	Oppose in Part
3021-7	Kaipara District Council	Precincts - North	Te Arai North		Amend the objective and policies to take into account potential effects on the Reserve management Unit number 177 that it adjoins to the north and which is within the Kaipara District.	3136	Tara Iti Holdings Limited	Oppose in Part
3021-7	Kaipara District Council	Precincts - North	Te Arai North		Amend the objective and policies to take into account potential effects on the Reserve management Unit number 177 that it adjoins to the north and which is within the Kaipara District.	3142	Te Arai Coastal Lands Limited	Oppose in Part
3021-7	Kaipara District Council	Precincts - North	Te Arai North		Amend the objective and policies to take into account potential effects on the Reserve management Unit number 177 that it adjoins to the north and which is within the Kaipara District.	3151	Te Arai South Joint Venture/ Ngāti Manuhiri Settlement Trust	Oppose in Part
3021-8	Kaipara District Council	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone the underlying zone of the Kakanui Precinct from Rural Production to Rural Coastal.	2918	The Gibbs Foundation	Oppose in Part
3021-9	Kaipara District Council	RPS	General	B11 RPS - Cross boundary issues	Add an explanatory paragraph as follows: "The Council in respect of both the integrated Kaipara Management Group and the Joint Kaipara Harbour Political Working Party liaises and works actively with mana Whenua and the Kaipara and Whangarei District Councils."			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3021-10	Kaipara District Council	RPS	Coastal	B7.3 Areas of degraded water quality	Retain Section B7.3 including specified methods.			
3021-11	Kaipara District Council	Rural Zones	D6.4 Rural Coastal zone desc, obs & pols		Retain section 6.4.3 regarding the West Coast area - South Kaipara Head and harbour.	3320	Ngāti Whātua o Kaipara	Support in Part
3021-12	Kaipara District Council	Designations	New Zealand Transport Agency	General	Retain designations in the PAUP for NZ Transport Agency.			
3021-13	Kaipara District Council	Designations	New Zealand Transport Agency	General	Add the designations for the Puhoi to Warkworth (and alter extension to Wellsford) Road of National Significance for NZ Transport Agency, once the designations are confirmed.	3294	Warkworth Area Business Association	Support
3021-14	Kaipara District Council	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	C7.6 Vehicles on beaches		Retain the proposed method of addressing vehicles on beaches by way of a bylaw.			
3021-15	Kaipara District Council	General	Eplan		Amend the planning maps related to natural heritage so that they are made clearer and more user friendly.			
3022-1	Eileen Rishworth	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets.			
3022-2	Eileen Rishworth	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit.			
3022-3	Eileen Rishworth	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) due to concerns about 6 storey height, character and other adverse effects.	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3022-4	Eileen Rishworth	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect.			
3022-5	Eileen Rishworth	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3022-6	Eileen Rishworth	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours.			
3022-7	Eileen Rishworth	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones.			
3022-8	Eileen Rishworth	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead.			
3022-9	Eileen Rishworth	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay.			
3023-1	Margaret M Cook	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3023-2	Margaret M Cook	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
3023-3	Margaret M Cook	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3023-4	Margaret M Cook	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
3023-5	Margaret M Cook	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3023-6	Margaret M Cook	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3023-7	Margaret M Cook	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3023-8	Margaret M Cook	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
3023-9	Margaret M Cook	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
3024-1	Point Wells Community and Ratepayers Association (Incorporated)	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Amend [1.5 Our Rural and Coastal environment] to include reference to the Whangateau harbour.			
3024-2	Point Wells Community and Ratepayers Association (Incorporated)	RPS	Coastal	B7 Introduction	Retain reference to the 'Whangateau harbour' in B:7 Sustainably managing our coastal environment.			
3024-3	Point Wells Community and Ratepayers Association (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Mangroves	D5.1.6 Background, objectives and policies	Amend D5.1.6 Vegetation: mangrove management, background to acknowledge that in some locations (the Whangateau harbour and Omaha River) mangroves are not a valuable part of the coastal ecosystem and have adverse effects.			
3024-4	Point Wells Community and Ratepayers Association (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Add a permitted activity for the removal of mangroves in areas where coastal ecosystems have been invaded by mangroves with resultant adverse effects.			
3024-5	Point Wells Community and Ratepayers Association (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Remove 1996 as a cut off date for permitted mangrove removal and replace with a method that recognises available evidence as to whether or not mangroves are a natural part of the coastal ecosystem or an invasive species.			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3024-6	Point Wells Community and Ratepayers Association (Incorporated)	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed include associated discharges of contaminants	I6.1.4 Activity table	Add a permitted activity for mangrove removal (without any time constraint) in the Whangateau harbour, the Waikokupu Estuary and the Omaha River.			
3025-1	Mary E Fairhurst	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3025-2	Mary E Fairhurst	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
3025-3	Mary E Fairhurst	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3025-4	Mary E Fairhurst	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
3025-5	Mary E Fairhurst	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3025-6	Mary E Fairhurst	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3025-7	Mary E Fairhurst	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3025-8	Mary E Fairhurst	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
3025-9	Mary E Fairhurst	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			
3026-1	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Operation of major recreation facilities ~~for their primary purpose as identified in the applicable precinct~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-2	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity status as follows: Carnivals, concerts, fairs, markets and festivals - ~~Discretionary~~ Permitted, particularly in respect of Ellerslie Racecourse.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-3	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Entertainment facilities ~~accessory to a major recreation facility~~ - ~~Discretionary~~ Permitted, particularly in respect of Ellerslie Racecourse.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-4	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Food and beverage (excluding drive-through and restaurants and cafes) ~~accessory to a major recreation facility and equal to or less than 200m^2 GFA~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-5	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the activity description as follows: ~~Food and beverage (excluding drive-through and restaurants and cafes accessory to a major recreation facility and greater than 200m^2 GFA - Discretionary~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-6	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Licensed premises ~~accessory to a major recreation facility and equal to or less than 200m^2 GFA~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-7	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the activity description as follows: ~~Licensed premises accessory to a major recreation facility and greater than 200m2 GFA - Discretionary~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-8	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Retail ~~accessory to a major recreation facility and~~ equal to or less than ~~200~~ 500m^2 GFA - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-9	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description and status as follows: Retail ~~accessory to a major recreation facility and~~ greater than ~~200~~ 500m2 GFA - Restricted Discretionary	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-10	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Offices accessory to a major recreation facility and equal to or less than ~~200~~ 500m2 GFA - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-11	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description and status as follows: Offices accessory to a major recreation facility and greater than ~~200~~ 500m2 GFA - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-12	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity status as follows: Care Centres - ~~Discretionary~~ Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-12	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity status as follows: Care Centres - ~~Discretionary~~ Permitted	2581	Regional Facilities Auckland	Support
3026-13	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Community facilities ~~equal to or less than 200m2 GFA~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-14	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the activity as follows: ~~Community facilities greater than 200m2 GFA - Discretionary~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-15	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Clubrooms ~~accessory to the major recreation facility~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-16	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Education facilities ~~equal to or less than 200m2 GFA~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-17	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the activity as follows: ~~Education facilities greater than 200m2 GFA - Discretionary~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-18	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Healthcare facilities ~~equal to or less than 200m2 GFA~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-19	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the activity as follows: ~~Healthcare facilities greater than 200m2 GFA - Discretionary~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-20	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Recreation facilities ~~activities not accessory to a major recreation facility equal to or less than 500m2 GFA~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-21	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the activity as follows: ~~Recreation activities not accessory to a major recreation facility greater than 500m2 GFA - Discretionary~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3026-22	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: Visitor and/or athlete accomodation accessory to a major recreation facility equal to or less than ~~200~~ 500 m2 GFA - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-23	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description and status as follows: Visitor and/or athlete accomodation accessory to a major recreation facility greater than ~~200~~ 500m^2 GFA - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-24	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description as follows: New buildings less than or equal to ~~200~~ 500m^2 GFA, including additions and alterations to existing buildings - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-25	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Delete the activity as follows: ~~New buildings more than 200m2 GFA but no greater than 100m2 GFA, including additions and alterations to existing buildings - Restricted Discretionary~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-26	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.1 Activity table	Amend the activity description and status as follows: New buildings greater than ~~1000~~ 500m^2 GFA - ~~Discretionary~~ Restricted Discretionary	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-27	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Delete the licensed premises control.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-28	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.2-3 Land use & Development controls	Amend the Height in relation to boundary control to exclude Ellerslie Racecourse.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-29	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend matters of discretion 4.1.1 for restricted discretionary activities in the following manner: 4.1 Matters of discretion 1. Buildings and structures greater than ~~200~~ 500m^2 GFA ~~but no greater than 1,000m^2 GFA~~ a. ~~noise ,~~ lighting ~~and hours of operation~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-30	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment Criteria 4.2.1 for buildings greater than 500m^2 GFA in the following manner: 4.2 Assessment Criteria 1. Buildings and structures greater than ~~200~~ 500m^2 GFA ~~but no greater than 1000m GFA~~ a.~~Noise ,~~ l Lighting ~~and hours of operation~~ … iii.~~Noise and l~~ Lighting from the activity shoudl not unreasonably adversely affect the amenity of surrounding residential properties. ~~In determining this, consideration will be given to the location of any potentially noisy activities e.g. air conditioning plant, and any proposed measures to mitigate noise including: locating noisy activities away from neighbouring residential boundaries screening or other design features controls on the proposed hours of operation of potentially noisy activities~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-31	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend 5.1 Matters of discretion for development control infringements in the following manner: 5.1 Matters of discretion 1. Height ~~and height in relation to boundary~~ a. ~~noise and~~ lighting	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-32	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend Assessment Criteria 5.2 for development control infringements in the following manner: 5.2 Assessment criteria 1. Height ~~and height in reation to boundary~~ a.~~Noise, l~~ Lighting ~~and hours of operation~~ iii. ~~Noise and l~~ Lighting from the activity should not unreasonably adversely affect the amenity of surrounding residential properties.~~In determining this, consideration will be given to the location of any potentially noisy activities e.g. air conditioning plant, and any proposed measures to mitigate noise including: locating noisy activities away from neighbouring residential boundaries screening or other design features controls on the proposed hours of operation of potentially noisy activities~~ .	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-33	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Delete 6.1 Design Statement, Table 2 in its entirety.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-33	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Delete 6.1 Design Statement, Table 2 in its entirety.	2889	Eden Park Trust Board	Support
3026-33	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Delete 6.1 Design Statement, Table 2 in its entirety.	3512	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Support
3026-34	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend Rule 6.2 Traffic and transport management plan to require a traffic and transport management plan for any event at Eden Park, Mt Smart Stadium, North Harbour Stadium or Western Springs Stadium exceeding the anticipated crowd capacity identified in the controls.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-34	Auckland Racing Club	Public Open Space Zones	Special Purpose Zone Major Recreation Facilities	I18.4-5 Assessment I8.6 Special information requirements	Amend Rule 6.2 Traffic and transport management plan to require a traffic and transport management plan for any event at Eden Park, Mt Smart Stadium, North Harbour Stadium or Western Springs Stadium exceeding the anticipated crowd capacity identified in the controls.	2889	Eden Park Trust Board	Oppose in Part
3026-35	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	Mapping	Add a Precinct Plan for the Ellerslie Racecourse Major Recreation Facility Zone as contained in Figure 2 of the submission.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-36	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	F1.6 Precinct Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend paragraph 4 of the introductory text for the Racing precinct to read: The activities, controls and assessment criteria in the underlying zone apply in the following precinct unless specified below. For example, where an activity is provided for within the precinct then that activity status and the corresponding controls shall prevail over the underlying zone provisions, including any more restrictive provisions.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-37	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend K.1.6 Racing 1 Activity table as follows: Helicopter flights ~~where the number of flights is limited to 15 per calendar year~~ - Permitted	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-38	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend the Activity table to make retail between 500m2 and 2000m2 GFA a restricted discretionary activty at Ellerslie Racecourse.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-39	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend the Land use controls 2.1 as follows: 1. The Auckland - wide Temporary activities rules ~~do not~~ apply to the Racing precinct as if the precinct is a public place.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-40	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.1 Noise, Table 1 as follows: Up to 5 event days in any 12 month period between 8.00am and ~~10.30~~ 11.00pm ~~for a cumulatiuve duration of no more than 6 hours each~~ - 65dBL$_{Aeq\ (T}$) and 70 dBL$_{Ao1\ (T)}$~~-2 ?~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3026-41	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.1 Noise, Table 1 as follows: Up to an additional 15 event days in any 12 month period between 8:00am and ~~10:30~~ 11:00pm ~~for a cumulative duration of no more than 6 hours each~~ 60 $dBLAeq(T)$ ~~and 65dBL$_{A01(T)}$~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-42	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.1 Noise, Table 1 as follows: All other days ~~including Christmas Day, Good Friday and and Anzac Day~~ between 8:00am and 10:00pm - 55dBL$_{Aeq(T)}$	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-43	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.1 Noise, Table 1 as follows: All other times - ~~40~~ 45dBLAeq$_{(T)}$ and ~~70~~ 75 dBL$_{AMax\ (T)}$	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-44	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.1 Noise as follows: ~~F~~ 2.2. Helicopter movements - Helicopter movements are restricted to no more than 30 in any 12 month period and 10 on any day (where an arriving flight and a departing flight comprises 2 movements) provided the landing and departures take place at least 150m from any neighbouring site.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-45	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.2.1 Height controls as follows: Area 1~~a~~ - 15.25m; Area 1~~b~~ 2 - 10m, except viewing towers, ~~15.25~~ 18m viewing towers only; ~~Area 2 - 12m;~~ Area 3 - 5m except exterior lighting, fittings and supports, ~~15.25~~ 18m exterior lighting, fittings and supports only; and Area 4 - 5m except exterior lighting, fittings and supports; ~~15.25~~ 18m exterior lighting, fittings and supports only	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-46	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.2.2 Building coverage, Table 6 as follows: Area 1~~a~~ - No maximum building coverage; Area ~~1b~~ 2 - 20% of Area ~~1b~~ 2; ~~Area 2 - 35% of Area 2;~~ Area 3 - Maximum GFA of ~~500~~ 5,000m^2; and Area 4 - 5% of Area 4	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-47	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.23 Yards as follows: 2. Buildings within Area ~~2~~ 1 may be located up to 6m from any boundary abutting Morrin Street, , the motorway and Mitchelson Street; and 3. Buildings within Area ~~1b~~ 2 may be located up to 6m from any boundary abutting Ladies Mile.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-48	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 3.2.5 parking as follows: 1. Sufficient space must be available for parking for one vehicle ~~Parking for grandstands must be provided at the rate of one parking space~~ for every four persons the grandstand is designed to seat outside; and 2. The general parking rules do not apply to the Ellerslie Racecourse sub precinct.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-49	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts Ak-Wide and Coastal	Racing	K1.6 Precinct Rules	Add Rule 3.2.6 New Artifical lighting development control as follows: Maximum illumination of 150 lux from exterior floodlights, measured at any point on the subject site in a horizontal or vertical plane at ground level.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-50	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	F2.7 Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend Precinct description as follows: The objective and policies of the underlying Mixed Use zone apply in the following precinct unless otherwise specified. Refer to planning maps for the location and extent of the precinct and sub-precincts. The objectives and policies of the underlying Mixed Use zone do not apply in the Ellerslie 2 Precicnt except for the following: Objective 3, Policy 3, Policy 4 and Policy 7	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-51	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	F2.7 Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend Precinct description as follows: ~~Restrict~~ Enable retail and restaurant uses	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-52	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	F2.7 Description, Objectives and Policies	Delete objective 3.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-53	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	F2.7 Description, Objectives and Policies	Delete Policy 1.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-54	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	F2.7 Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend Policy 2 as follows: ~~Restrict~~ Enable a limited amount of ~~the total floor area for~~ retail and food and beverage land uses.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-55	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	F2.7 Description, Objectives and Policies	Amend Policy 3(c) as follows: Requiring an active building frontage at the south eastern corner of Sub-precinct B that contributes to pedestrian amenity and passive surveillance of the adjoining road.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-56	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Activity table as follows: Ancillary activites to any of the foregoing - Permitted (Sub-precinct A), Permitted - (Sub-precinct B), Permitted - (Sub-precinct C)	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-57	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Development controls as follows: a. The development controls in the Mixed Use zone apply in the Ellerslie 2 precinct except for rule 1.4.3 Height in relation to boundary, 1.4.4 Building setback at upper floors, 1.4.5 Maximum tower dimension and tower seperation, 1.4.6 Buildings fronting the street, 1.4.8 Minimum floor to ceiling height, 1.4.11Residential at ground floor, 1.4.20.1(b)(i) and (iii) Dwellings, visitor accomodation and boarding houses, unless otherwise specified below.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-58	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.1 Height as follows: Sub-precinct A - ~~18~~ 39m; Sub-precinct B - ~~21~~ 45m	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-59	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.2 Yards and building setbacks, as follows: 1. No part of the building up to 7m in height may be located closer than 7.5m from the nearest site area boundary. This rule does not apply to the building fronatge subject to the Active Building Frontage identified on Precinct Plan 1: Ellerslie 2 Precinct.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-60	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Delete Rule 2.4 Maximum floor area ratio (FAR).	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-61	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 2.9 Tree protection as follows: e. If the works within the dripline are surpervised by a qualified arborist in accordance with accepted arboricultural practices.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-62	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 4.1 Matters of discretion as follows: 1. The council will restrict its discretion to the following matters for the activities listed as restricted discretionary in the activity table~~, in addition to the matters specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Mixed Use zone~~ .	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-63	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 4.1 Matters of discretion as follows: ~~c. centre vitality;~~ c ~~d~~ . ladscaping and trees; d ~~e~~ . building design and interface with the public realm; e ~~f~~ . stormwater effects	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-64	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 4.2 Assessment Criteria, as follows: 1. The council will consider the relevant assessment criteria below for the restricted discretionary activities listed above, ~~in addition to the criteria specified for the relevant restricted discretionary activities in the Mixed Use zone.~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3026-65	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 5.1 Matters of discretion as follows: ~~In addition to the general matters set out in clause 2.3 of the general provisions and the specific matters set out for infringements in the Mixed Use zone, t~~ The council will restrict its discretion to the matters below for the relevant development control infringement.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-66	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Amend Rule 5.2 Assessment Criteria, as follows: 1. ~~In addition to the general assessment criteria in the general provisions and the specific assessment criteria for infringement in the Mixed Use zone, t~~ The council will consider the assessment criteria below.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-67	Auckland Racing Club	Precincts - Central	Ellerslie 2	K2.7 Precinct Rules	Add sites 2 and 3 in Figure 1 of the submission to the Ellerslie 2 precinct as new sub-precincts D and E, with a maximum height of 39m. The proposed new sub-precincts are shown in Figure 2 of the submission.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-68	Auckland Racing Club	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend General Rule G.1.4, as follows: The Unitary Plan ~~must~~ specifies~~y~~ the matters over which the council will reserve its control and restrict its discretion when assessing resource consent applications for controlled and restricted discretionary activities respectively. The Unitary Plan contains assessment criteria ~~that aid the interpretation~~ to assist in the assessment of the matters of control/discretion. ... ~~However, the assessment criteria are not an exhaustive list and the council may consider any relevant policy or criteria within the Unitary Plan if it is within the scope of the matter of control/discretion for the particular activity.~~	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-68	Auckland Racing Club	General	Chapter G General provisions	G1.1-10 Administration (G1.3 refer to Designations theme)	Amend General Rule G.1.4, as follows: The Unitary Plan ~~must~~ specifies~~y~~ the matters over which the council will reserve its control and restrict its discretion when assessing resource consent applications for controlled and restricted discretionary activities respectively. The Unitary Plan contains assessment criteria ~~that aid the interpretation~~ to assist in the assessment of the matters of control/discretion. ... ~~However, the assessment criteria are not an exhaustive list and the council may consider any relevant policy or criteria within the Unitary Plan if it is within the scope of the matter of control/discretion for the particular activity.~~	3512	Bruce Pulman Park Trust	Support
3026-69	Auckland Racing Club	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the Rule as follows: 1. Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, ~~discretionary~~ , non-complying or prohibited activity is a ~~non-complying~~ discretionary activity.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-69	Auckland Racing Club	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the Rule as follows: 1. Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, ~~discretionary~~ , non-complying or prohibited activity is a ~~non-complying~~ discretionary activity.	2236	Museum of Transport and Technology (MOTAT)	Support
3026-69	Auckland Racing Club	General	Chapter G General provisions	G2.2 Activities not provided for	Amend the Rule as follows: 1. Any activity that is not specifically listed in the Unitary Plan as a permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, ~~discretionary~~ , non-complying or prohibited activity is a ~~non-complying~~ discretionary activity.	2570	NCI Packaging (NZ) Limited	Support
3026-70	Auckland Racing Club	Pre - 1944 Building demolition control	Overlay E3.2/J3.6 Pre -1944 Building demolition control	J.3.6 Rules and mapping	Delete the Pre-1944 Building Demolition Control from the Ellerslie Racecouse land.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-71	Auckland Racing Club	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Major Recreation Facility by adding the following to the list of accessory facilities and/or activities: retail; offices and administration; storage and servicing of machinery and vehicles; and temporary activities	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-72	Auckland Racing Club	Definitions	Existing		Amend the definition of Visitor Accomodation as follows: Facilities used for accomodating tourists and short-stay visitors away from their normal place of residence. ~~The building(s) in which the visitor accomodation is located is composed of no more than one site or stratum estate in freehold or leasehold, in one certificate of title.~~ ...	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-73	Auckland Racing Club	Zoning	Central		Rezone site 1 identified in Figure 1 of the submission from Special Purpose - Major Recreation Facility to Mixed Use.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-74	Auckland Racing Club	Zoning	Central		Rezone sites 2 and 3 identified in Figure 1 of the submission from Special Purpose - Major Recreation Facility to Mixed Use.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-75	Auckland Racing Club	Zoning	Central		Rezone sites 4 and 5 identified in Figure 1 of the submission, from Mixed Housing to Terrace Housing and Apartment Building.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3026-76	Auckland Racing Club	Zoning	Central		Rezone site 6 identified in Figure 1 of the submission, from Special Purpose - Major Recreation Facility to Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings.	367	The Ellerslie Stables Precinct Limited	Oppose
3027-1	Ai Meng Soon	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone land in Northcote from Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings to a less intensive zoning. Specifically refers to parts of Lake Rd, Deuxberry Ave, Raleigh Rd, College Rd, Exmouth Rd, Potter Ave, Greenslade Cres, Foster Ave and surrounding streets			
3027-2	Ai Meng Soon	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Northcote Town Centre from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with a lower height limit			
3027-3	Ai Meng Soon	Zoning	North and Islands		Rezone Highbury Town Centre (in Birkenhead) from Town Centre to a less intensive zoning with lower height limit	3264	NZRPG Management Limited, NZRPG Limited, Milford Centre Limited et al	Oppose in Part
3027-4	Ai Meng Soon	Residential zones	Residential	Development controls: Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings	Reduce height and increase yard setback in Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone on Lake and College Roads in Northcote, and other neighbourhood roads to avoid wind tunnel, shadowing, canyon-like effect			
3027-5	Ai Meng Soon	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Provide impact or feasibility studies, including social impact studies, on increased traffic loads, flows and densification or provision of other vital services to cope with increased densification (with specific reference to Northcote).			
3027-6	Ai Meng Soon	General	Noise and vibration	H6.2 Rules	Reduce noise levels for works on roads after hours			
3027-7	Ai Meng Soon	Public Open Space Zones	Public Open Space	I2.1 Activity table	Remove provision for commercial activity and large structures in Conservation and Informal Recreation zones			
3027-8	Ai Meng Soon	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain Single House zone at Chatswood estate, Birkenhead			
3027-9	Ai Meng Soon	Special Character	Overlay Special character Residential North Shore	J3.4.1-7 Rules	Include enhanced demolition controls in the Special Character North Shore overlay (similar to those contained in the Special Character General overlay). Otherwise retain overlay			

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3028-1	Glencally Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Add new activity to Activity Table: "New buildings on rural zoned land that is identified as being subject to coastal inundation and sea level rise, as a Non-Complying activity".	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in Part
3028-1	Glencally Trust	Natural Hazards and Flooding	Natural Hazards	H4.11.1 Activity table	Add new activity to Activity Table: "New buildings on rural zoned land that is identified as being subject to coastal inundation and sea level rise, as a Non-Complying activity".	2552	Man O War Farm Limited and Clime Asset Management Limited	Oppose in Part
3028-2	Glencally Trust	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.2.3.3 Rural zones / App. 12.1 Receiver site exclusion area	Add 'parent title' rule to 2.3.3.8 subdivision in the Countryside Living zone as follows "For the purpose of this rule, the existing site against which the minimum net site area and minimum average net site area are assessed is all the land held in separate certificate of title as at 5 June 1989."			
3028-3	Glencally Trust	Subdivision	Auckland-wide - Rural zones	H5.1 Activity Table 5 Rural zones only	Add new activity as follows: "Subdivision of land subject to Natural Hazards - Coastal Inundation overlay", as a Non-Complying activity.	1651	Alderwasley Trust	Oppose in Part
3028-4	Glencally Trust	Coastal zones and activities in the CMA	Appendix 6 - Coastal excluding sch. 6.2 ONC/HNC	6.1 Schedule SEA marine/Overlay 8.1 SEAs Marine 1 and 2	Amend the extent of the SEA on the site at 48 Porterfield Road, Whitford, to cover areas of Waikopua Estuary that are important habitat for wading and migratory bird species			
3028-5	Glencally Trust	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 6.2 Sch. of Outstanding and High Natural Character - Coastal		Add High or Outstanding Natural character overlay to site generally at 48 Porterfield Road, Whitford			
3028-6	Glencally Trust	Outstanding Natural Features (ONF) Rules	Appendix 3.1 - Schedule for the Outstanding Natural Features Overlay		Add Natural Features overlay to site generally at 48 Porterfield Road			
3028-7	Glencally Trust	Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules	Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes		Add Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay to site generally at 48 Porterfield Road, Whitford			
3029-1	Wilson Home Trust	Historic Heritage (Overlay)	Overlay E2/J2 Historic Heritage	Appendices 9.1 9.2 and 9.3 Significant Historic Heritage Places	Amend the historic heritage extent of place listing for St Lenoards/Wilson Complex at 212 Lake Road, Hauraki (schedule ID 1079) so it is limited to a 10m area around each of the protected buildings.			
3029-2	Wilson Home Trust	Zoning	North and Islands		Retain the Special Purpose Healthcare Facility zone at 212 Lake Road, Hauraki.			
3029-3	Wilson Home Trust	Social infrastructure (Special Purpose)	D8.3/I17 Healthcare facility zone		Amend Activity table to include the following new permitted activities: a. other accommodation related to the Wilson Home site, b. other residential accommodation/housing.	3391	The Ascot Hospital and Clinics Limited	Support
3030-1	Bruce and Suzanne Faddy	General	Whole Plan		Retain the PAUP.			
3031-1	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Miscellaneous	Other	Amend the Mayor's Foreward, sixth paragraph as follows: 'enable business and industrial growth and support job creation – crucial elements for healthy economic performance.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-1	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Miscellaneous	Other	Amend the Mayor's Foreward, sixth paragraph as follows: 'enable business and industrial growth and support job creation – crucial elements for healthy economic performance.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-1	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Miscellaneous	Other	Amend the Mayor's Foreward, sixth paragraph as follows: 'enable business and industrial growth and support job creation – crucial elements for healthy economic performance.'	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3031-2	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Add a new paragraph at 1.4 'Our Urban Environment', describing the role of the Unitary Plan [in recognising Auckland as New Zealand's main commercial centre and that sufficient business and employment land is available], as stated in submission [page 7/47].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-2	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Add a new paragraph at 1.4 'Our Urban Environment', describing the role of the Unitary Plan [in recognising Auckland as New Zealand's main commercial centre and that sufficient business and employment land is available], as stated in submission [page 7/47].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-3	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Add a new paragraph at 1.6 'Our Economy', describing the role of the Unitary Plan [in recognising Auckland as New Zealand's main commercial centre and that sufficient business and employment land is available], as stated in submission [page 7/47].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-3	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Add a new paragraph at 1.6 'Our Economy', describing the role of the Unitary Plan [in recognising Auckland as New Zealand's main commercial centre and that sufficient business and employment land is available], as stated in submission [page 7/47].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-3	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Chapter A Introduction	A1 Background	Add a new paragraph at 1.6 'Our Economy', describing the role of the Unitary Plan [in recognising Auckland as New Zealand's main commercial centre and that sufficient business and employment land is available], as stated in submission [page 7/47].	2892	Horticulture New Zealand and Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association	Support in Part
3031-4	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Add a new paragraph at 3.1 Auckland Plan, describing the role of the Unitary Plan [in recognising Auckland as New Zealand's main commercial centre and that sufficient business and employment land is available], as stated in submission [page 7/47].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-4	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	General	Chapter A Introduction	A3 Strategic Framework	Add a new paragraph at 3.1 Auckland Plan, describing the role of the Unitary Plan [in recognising Auckland as New Zealand's main commercial centre and that sufficient business and employment land is available], as stated in submission [page 7/47].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-5	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Our Sense of Place, paragraph 4, to include an additional bullet point, as follows: 'business growth and the support of job creation.'	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
3031-5	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Our Sense of Place, paragraph 4, to include an additional bullet point, as follows: 'business growth and the support of job creation.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-5	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Our Sense of Place, paragraph 4, to include an additional bullet point, as follows: 'business growth and the support of job creation.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-5	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Our Sense of Place, paragraph 4, to include an additional bullet point, as follows: 'business growth and the support of job creation.'	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3031-5	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Our Sense of Place, paragraph 4, to include an additional bullet point, as follows: 'business growth and the support of job creation.'	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Support
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support
3031-6	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Social Well-being, first paragraph, as follows: 'Aucklanders' quality of life and their social well-being is influenced by the affordability of housing, access to quality public open space, business/employment opportunities and access to social and community infrastructure.'	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	1246	Unitec Institute of Technology	Support
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Support
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support
3031-7	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Supply of land in appropriate locations, third paragraph, as follows: '...high quality urban living, lifestyle choices, business/employment opportunities, a healthy environment...'	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
3031-8	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities to include Strategic direction 6, with following bullet point: 'Develop an economy that delivers opportunity and prosperity for all Aucklanders and New Zealand.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-8	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities to include Strategic direction 6, with following bullet point: 'Develop an economy that delivers opportunity and prosperity for all Aucklanders and New Zealand.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-8	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities to include Strategic direction 6, with following bullet point: 'Develop an economy that delivers opportunity and prosperity for all Aucklanders and New Zealand.'	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support
3031-8	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.1 Enabling quality urban growth	Amend Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities to include Strategic direction 6, with following bullet point: 'Develop an economy that delivers opportunity and prosperity for all Aucklanders and New Zealand.'	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
3031-9	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph into the Explanation, describing the role of transport linkages and major areas of business land as stated in submission [page 8/45].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-9	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph into the Explanation, describing the role of transport linkages and major areas of business land as stated in submission [page 8/45].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-9	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph into the Explanation, describing the role of transport linkages and major areas of business land as stated in submission [page 8/45].	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
3031-9	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph into the Explanation, describing the role of transport linkages and major areas of business land as stated in submission [page 8/45].	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Support
3031-9	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph into the Explanation, describing the role of transport linkages and major areas of business land as stated in submission [page 8/45].	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3031-9	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph into the Explanation, describing the role of transport linkages and major areas of business land as stated in submission [page 8/45].	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support
3031-10	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend Urban form, second paragraph, as follows: '...higher value activities including retail, service sectors and in many ~~some~~ places, residential growth.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-10	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend Urban form, second paragraph, as follows: '...higher value activities including retail, service sectors and in many ~~some~~ places, residential growth.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-11	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph under the sub-section Physical infrastructure, outlining the role and importance of the Port of Auckland as stated in submission [page 9/45].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-11	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph under the sub-section Physical infrastructure, outlining the role and importance of the Port of Auckland as stated in submission [page 9/45].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-11	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new paragraph under the sub-section Physical infrastructure, outlining the role and importance of the Port of Auckland as stated in submission [page 9/45].	2422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support
3031-12	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new sub-section entitled Industrial land, describing the role of Industrial land as stated in submission [page 9/45].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-12	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new sub-section entitled Industrial land, describing the role of Industrial land as stated in submission [page 9/45].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-12	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new sub-section entitled Industrial land, describing the role of Industrial land as stated in submission [page 9/45].	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
3031-12	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new sub-section entitled Industrial land, describing the role of Industrial land as stated in submission [page 9/45].	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Support
3031-12	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new sub-section entitled Industrial land, describing the role of Industrial land as stated in submission [page 9/45].	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
3031-12	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Add a new sub-section entitled Industrial land, describing the role of Industrial land as stated in submission [page 9/45].	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2127	Auckland Utility Operators Group Incorporated	Oppose in Part
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2229	ACI Operations New Zealand Limited (O-I New Zealand)	Support
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2931	Chorus New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2937	Telecom New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2951	Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
3031-13	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Issues	B1.2 Enabling economic wellbeing	Amend the 'Auckland Plan strategic directions and priorities' section by adding strategic directive 6.3 from the Auckland Plan as a new bullet point as follows: 'protect, enhance and improve business-zoned areas and business improvement districts.'	2977	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose in Part
3031-14	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend the third paragraph of the Introduction as follows: "Objectives, policies, methods relating to business land supply and business activities are in the RPS - Commercial and Industrial Growth section. These sections need to be read together."	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-14	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	B2.1 Providing for growth in a quality compact urban form	Amend the third paragraph of the Introduction as follows: "Objectives, policies, methods relating to business land supply and business activities are in the RPS - Commercial and Industrial Growth section. These sections need to be read together."	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-15	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Policy 9.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-15	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Policy 9.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3031-16	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Policy 10.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-16	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Policy 10.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-16	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Policy 10.	2226	Waste Management Nz Limited	Support
3031-17	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Policy 11.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-17	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Retain Policy 11.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-18	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new objective as follows: '4. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-18	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new objective as follows: '4. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-18	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new objective as follows: '4. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
3031-18	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new objective as follows: '4. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support
3031-18	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new objective as follows: '4. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support
3031-18	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new objective as follows: '4. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3031-18	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new objective as follows: '4. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
3031-19	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new policy as follows: '12. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-19	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new policy as follows: '12. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-19	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new policy as follows: '12. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2591	Downer NZ Limited	Support
3031-19	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new policy as follows: '12. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2834	Auckland International Airport Limited	Support
3031-19	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new policy as follows: '12. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2896	Downer New Zealand Limited	Support
3031-19	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new policy as follows: '12. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3031-19	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add a new policy as follows: '12. Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by requiring residential subdivision, use and development to not occur in a location or form that constrains the use of scarce light and heavy industrial land.'	3060	Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
3031-20	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add under the heading Non-Regulatory and sub-heading Funding and assistance, the following text: 'Council to support the ongoing development and advancement of industrial business improvement districts.'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-20	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Economic / Business / Infrastructure / Energy / Transport	B3.1 Commercial and industrial growth	Add under the heading Non-Regulatory and sub-heading Funding and assistance, the following text: 'Council to support the ongoing development and advancement of industrial business improvement districts.'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-21	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend the Introduction, first paragraph, as follows: 'Motor vehicles, domestic fires and to a much lesser extent industry...'	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-21	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Natural resources	B6.1 Air	Amend the Introduction, first paragraph, as follows: 'Motor vehicles, domestic fires and to a much lesser extent industry...'	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-22	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B10 RPS - Methods, Table 1	Add to Table 1, in the section entitled Non-regulatory, in the list following 'Strategies, polices or plans which guide or direct Auckland development...', an additional bullet point 'Precinct plans'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support

Sub#/ Point	Submitter Name	Theme	Topic	Sub Topic	Summary	Further Sub #	Further Submitter	Support/ Oppose
3031-22	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B10 RPS - Methods, Table 1	Add to Table 1, in the section entitled Non-regulatory, in the list following 'Strategies, polices or plans which guide or direct Auckland development...', an additional bullet point 'Precinct plans'.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-23	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B10 RPS - Methods, Table 1	Add to Table 1, in the section entitled Non-regulatory, in the list following "Financial or other mechanisms to implement and support policies ...", an additional bullet point 'Industrial business improvement districts'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-23	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B10 RPS - Methods, Table 1	Add to Table 1, in the section entitled Non-regulatory, in the list following "Financial or other mechanisms to implement and support policies ...", an additional bullet point 'Industrial business improvement districts'.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-24	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add to Table 2 'Economic well-being', in the first column, in the list following 'Industrial growth occurs in appropriate locations...', the following text: 'Avoids reverse sensitivity from residential or other development'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-24	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add to Table 2 'Economic well-being', in the first column, in the list following 'Industrial growth occurs in appropriate locations...', the following text: 'Avoids reverse sensitivity from residential or other development'.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-24	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Add to Table 2 'Economic well-being', in the first column, in the list following 'Industrial growth occurs in appropriate locations...', the following text: 'Avoids reverse sensitivity from residential or other development'.	2915	Mighty River Power Limited	Support
3031-25	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend the text in Table 2 'Economic well-being', in the second column, as follows: 'The proportion of ~~floorspace [(m2)]~~ land (1,890 hectares) within appropriately zoned locations as identified in the Unitary Plan for industrial purposes does not reduce below that identified at the date of notification of this Unitary Plan'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-25	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	General	B12 Environmental Results Anticipated/Table 1/New ERAs	Amend the text in Table 2 'Economic well-being', in the second column, as follows: 'The proportion of ~~floorspace [(m2)]~~ land (1,890 hectares) within appropriately zoned locations as identified in the Unitary Plan for industrial purposes does not reduce below that identified at the date of notification of this Unitary Plan'.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-26	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	Future Urban	D4 Zone description, objectives and policies		Retain the Future Urban zone [recognise the importance of business and employment (especially industrial) opportunities] as stated in the submission [page 12/47].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-26	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	Future Urban	D4 Zone description, objectives and policies		Retain the Future Urban zone [recognise the importance of business and employment (especially industrial) opportunities] as stated in the submission [page 12/47].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-27	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	App. 1.1-2 Structure Plan req. & Metropolitan Urban Area 2010	Amend the text in the Structure plan requirements to ensure that industrial zoning is suitably prioritised as stated in submission [page 13/47].	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-27	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	RPS	Urban growth	App. 1.1-2 Structure Plan req. & Metropolitan Urban Area 2010	Amend the text in the Structure plan requirements to ensure that industrial zoning is suitably prioritised as stated in submission [page 13/47].	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part
3031-28	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.11 Heavy Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2(a) and Policy 2(e) to provide greater clarity and specificity regarding the types of activities discouraged from the zone, by inserting the following at the end of the clause: '(particularly places of worship and including care centres and tertiary education facilities)'.	1250	Auckland Chamber of Commerce	Support
3031-28	Rosebank Business Association Incorporated	Business (excluding City Centre)	D3.11 Heavy Industrial zone desc, obs & pols		Amend Objective 2(a) and Policy 2(e) to provide greater clarity and specificity regarding the types of activities discouraged from the zone, by inserting the following at the end of the clause: '(particularly places of worship and including care centres and tertiary education facilities)'.	2164	Kindercare Learning Centres	Oppose in Part